FILE NUMBER

$$
\text { PO-CH/NK } 10673 \text { PART A }
$$

FOR DISPOSAL ADVICE SEE INSIDE COVER




FROM: A C S ALLAN
DATE: 4 June 1987
chancellor of the exchreuegnu

## PRODUCTIVITY IN THE UK AND ELSEWHERE

You may have seen the piece in the latest National Institute Economic Review which said that even though growth in UK productivity had been rapid recently, it had so far done very little to reduce the large absolute gap between our productivity and that in other countries. I have long had an interest in purchasing power parities etc. and I thought I would track down the basis for this. It turns out to be derived from some 1973 estimates!
2. The latest Review says that "Comparing levels of productivity is a notoriously difficult exercise ... for purely illustrative purposes, the results of an earlier exercise have been used". It refers back to a special issue of the Review in 1982. This special issue reveals that the estimates of PPP rates used in making the comparisons were based on Kravis's estimates for 1973. These have then been rolled forward by the rates of productivity growth in domestic currencies in each country.
3. This is not necessarily stupid: there are some other estimates which confirm the broad general picture. But it is certainly not "news"! And in any case the line is clear: it will take many years of continuation of present policies to bridge the gap built up by decades of economic mismanagement.


A C S ALLAN
terms was indeed the fastest among the seven largest OECD countries (table 3). Taking a longer view, however, the result is not so favourable: in the ten years ending 1986, manufacturing productivity growth was slowest in Britain; it was particularly sluggish in 1976-9, followed by a period of fast advance in 1979-82, whilst in the years following 1982 the British performance, though rapid in historical comparison, was not outstanding when compared to those of other major industrial countries. It was certainly not sufficiently rapid to close-or even influence in any major way-the gap that exists between the level of productivity in Britain and that of most other industrial countries.

Comparing levels of productivity is a notoriously difficult exercise, not only because of the differences in the price systems of the various countries, but also in view of the frequent changes in relative exchange rates. ${ }^{(6)}$ For purely illustrative purposes, the results of an earlier exercise have been used; these were published in a special issue of the Review on British comparative productivity. ${ }^{(7)}$
Although we would readily admit-and even emphasise -that other comparisons can be made with different results, all of them would almost certainly indicate that output per person hour in Britain in 1980 was-and has remained-significantly lower than in the countries listed in table 4. The message from that table is clear: the advantage of relatively low labour costs in Britain is outweighed by the higher productivity in the other countries shown. As a
result, labour costs per unit of output are lower in the other countries than in Britain-according to this particular comparison.

The recent (1984-6) changes in the exchange rate have had a considerable effect on unit labour costs without, however, having invalidated the above statement: the depreciation of the dollar has reduced US unit labour costs, whereas the appreciation of other currencies has raised costs elsewhere. This is particularly noticeable in the case of Germany in view of the rise of the DM rate (about 25 per cent against the dollar), although the reduction in the productivity excess over the United Kingdom has also contributed.

As already noted, labour costs, important as they are, will always tell no more than part of the whole story in explaining the competitive position of any country; productivity differences bring us nearer to an answer, but one that will inevitably be incomplete in view of the unquantifiable nature of many nonprice factors, from delivery dates, through product design and quality, to after-sales service.

Leaving aside these latter considerations, we may conclude that whilst labour costs in British manufacturing are among the very lowest in the developed countries, so is productivity; although the historically favourable growth of productivity in recent years has started to raise its level relative to other countries, this gap is still quite formidable, more than balancing any competitive advantage stemming from low labour costs.

Table 4. Unit labour costs in manufacturing
Great Britain $=100$

|  | (A) Total hourly labour costs ${ }^{(a)}$ |  |  | (B) Labour productivity (output per hour) ${ }^{(b)}$ |  |  | (C) Unit labour costs ${ }^{(6)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1984 | 1986(d) | 1980 | 1984 | 1986 ${ }^{(d)}$ | 1980 | 1984 | 1986 ${ }^{(d)}$ |
| United States | 126 | 194 | 161 | 273 | 262 | 267 | 46 | 74 | 60 |
| Japan | 80 | 109 | 129 | 196 | 177 | 176 | 41 | 62 | 73 |
| France | 121 | 114 | 122 | 193 | 179 | 184 | 63 | 64 | 66 |
| Germany | 165 | 153 | 173 | 255 | 232 | 178 | 65 | 66 | 97 |
| Italy | 108 | 117 | 127 | 173 | 156 | 155 | 62 | 75 | 82 |
| Belgium | 176 | 140 | 149 | 207 | 200 | 154 | 85 | 70 | 97 |
| Netherlands | 160 | 142 | 156 | 269 | 267 | 205 | 59 | 53 | 76 |

Source: As table 1.
(a) Including social charges.
(b) The 1980 estimates have been taken from the special issue on British comparative productivity of the National Institute Economic Review (no. 101, August 1982, p.35); these have been brought up to date on the basis of the data in table 18 of the Statistical Appendix, supplemented by the author's estimates for Belgium and the Netherlands.
(c) $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{B}$.
(d) Provisional estimate.

## NOTES

${ }^{(1)}$ 'Labour costs and international competitiveness', National Institute Economic Review, no.61, August 1962, pp.53-8; 'Labour costs in OECD countries, 1964-75', National Institute Economic Review, no.78, November 1976, pp.58-62; and 'Industrial labour costs, 1971-83', National Institute Economic Review, no.110, November 1984, pp.62-7.
${ }^{(2)}$ 'Wages and total labour costs for workers', International Survey, annual, published by the Swedish Employers' Confederation; we are grateful to them, and particularly to Ms M. Finné, Chief Research Officer, for permission to use the information contained therein and for making it available to us.

National Institute Economic Review
Table 7. Relative levels of gross value added per person employed (at PPP rates); 1970, 1973, 1975 and $1980(\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{K}=100)$

(a) Using 1973 industrial and sectoral PPPs.
(b) As implied by I. B. Kravis, A. Heston and R. Summers, 'New insights into the structure of the world economy', Review of Income and Wealth, December 1981.
(c) As implied by CSO's 'International comparisons of gross domestic product', Economic Trends, April 1982.

## New work by UN team and by the CSO

Between the completion of the first stage of the present work at the end of 1981 and its revision and updating in May and June this year, PPP estimates for 1975 have been published by the UN team. ${ }^{(1)}$ The effect of using a weighting system based on 1975 prices on relative levels of GDP per employed worker-year is shown in table 7 where the levels relative to the UK can be compared with those for 1975 derived from the present study. With the possible exception of Belgium the differences are comparatively small.

The Central Statistical Office has recently published PPP estimates for $1980 .{ }^{(2)}$ The relative levels of GDP per employed worker implied by these estimates are also shown in table 7. We cannot be certain how closely the methodology used approaches that used by the UN team for earlier years. Nevertheless, it appears that the use of 1980 prices narrows the productivity differential between the UK and the other European countries, except Italy, as compared with the use of 1973 prices. We cannot, however,

[^0]yet tell how the differentials at 1980 prices have changed since 1973. Although the CSO contains annual figures for PPPs based on backward projection from 1980, the resulting PPPs being derived from a consideration of the movement of the volumes and the deflators of GDP do not reflect changes in the structure of production. Such changes are taken into account in the method of the present study, even if not as adequately as might be desired.

Output per man hour in manufacturing in 1980
The annual hours worked in manufacturing in 1975 derived from the Eurostat study on Labour costs in industry can be projected back to 1973 and forward to 1980 and, in some cases, to 1981 using the US Bureau of Labor Statistics indices of average weekly hours worked in manufacturing. Table 8 shows estimates of output per worker hour in 1973 dollars for the eight countries in 1973 and 1980 and for six countries in 1981. The table also gives the annual rate of change of output per worker hour between 1973 and 1980.
It can be seen that the differential between the UK and all of the other countries, except the US, widened between 1973 and 1980. The differentials narrowed, however, in all the comparisons which can be made for 1980 and 1981.

Table 8. Output per man-hour in manufacturing; 1973, 1980 and $1981^{(a)}$

|  |  |  | 1973 |  | Average annual <br> increase <br> $1973-80$ <br> per cent | 1980 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$73 | $\mathrm{UK}=100$ |  | \$73 | $\mathbf{U K}=100$ | \$73 | $\mathrm{UK}=100$ |
| US ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | . | 9.42 | 287 | 1.0 | 10.10 | 273 | 10.44 | 262 |
| Netherlands | $\cdots$ | .. | 7.06 | 215 | 5.0 | 9.94 | 269 | ... | .. |
| Frence . | $\cdots$ | .. | 5.26 | 160 | 4.5 | 7.14 | 193 | 7.31 | 187 |
| Belgium .. | . | .. | 5.24 | 160 | 5.6 | 7.66 | 207 |  |  |
| Germany | . | . | 6.23 | 190 | 4.2 | 8.33 | 255 | 8.55 | 219 |
| Italy . | . | . | 5.05 | 154 | 3.5 | 6.41 | 173 | 6.44 | 165 |
| Japan ${ }^{(c)}$. | . | . | 4.73 | 144 | 6.3 | 7.27 | 196 | 7.43 | 190 |
| UK | . | .. | 3.28 | 100 | 1.7 | 3.70 | 100 | 3.91 | 100 |

(a) Annual hours for 1975 taken from Labour costs in industry, vol I. (Eurostat 1977). Annual hours for 1973, 1980 and 1981, extrapolated from 1975 using US Bureau of Labor Statistics country indices of average weekly hours in manufacturing.
(b) Annual hours for 1975 assumed to be average of Germany, Netherlands and Belgium.
(c) Annual hours for 1975 assumed to be the same as in UK.
.. = Not available.

## Summary

In this article we have attempted to repeat D. T. Jones's exercise of six years ago, in which absolute levels of productivity for different economies were essentially derived from work designed to compare relative standards of living. Given the logic of national accounting such an approach is legitimate in theory, if difficult to accomplish satisfactorily in practice. A second demonstration of the same trick is bound to be less impressive. But it can be justified on a number of grounds. Six years have elapsed since it was last done. Other careful work carried out since then help us to test the reliability of some rather crude techniques. The behaviour of productivity in the UK relative to performance elsewhere remains a subject of lasting interest.

In his 1976 article, D. T. Jones showed that the growth of output per person employed in the UK increased in each of the four five-year periods that he considered from 1955 to 1973. In the five EC countries that he selected for comparison there were also increases in the second and third five-year periods but not in the fourth period. Thus there was some sign of relative improvement by the UK over the period 1969-73 compared with its past record, while the reverse was true of the five EC countries.

The contrast appeared particularly striking in manufacturing industry. The annual rate of increase
of output per worker for the EC Five rose only from 4.1 per cent to 4.7 per cent per annum on average between the periods $1955-60$ and 1968-73. For the UK, however, the rate of increase doubled from 2.2 per cent to 4.4 per cent-a conspicuous relative rate of improvement. The present study suggests that UK lost ground substantially between 1973 and 1980.

Taking the work of D. T. Jones and the present study together, we have a picture of varying UK fortunes and not one of steady deterioration as is often suggested. There are welcome signs of some improvement between 1980 and 1981, but it is too early to say whether this is merely a cyclical phenomenon or something more lasting.

The picture of the absolute levels of labour productivity across a group of eight countries and across five sectors within each country should be looked at with some degree of caution. Whatever we do, the index number problem can never be evaded. The picture possesses, however, the not negligible virtue of being up to date, and the method used contains certain built-in guarantees of overall consistency with the overall results, i.e. the absolute levels of GDP in the chosen base year, of Kravis and his team. The light and the perspective in which the UK appears seem not unfamiliar.

## APPENDIX

The Purchasing Power Parities, PPPs, for the economies as a whole and those used as indicators for the PPPs in various sectors were obtained from International comparisons of real product and purchasing power, by Irving B. Kravis, Alan Heston and Robert Summers. These PPPs were not designed for the purpose in hand. They
relate to prices of final products rather than to factory gate prices of finished and semi-finished goods.

For countries that are members of the European Economic Community the value and volume of Gross Value Added at market prices for the different sectors of the economy and of manufacturing industry were obtained
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GDP (OUTPUT MEASURE) IN SECOND QUARTER 1987

1. The coo will publish the preliminary estimate for GDP (O) at 11.30 a.m. on 18 August.

## Second Quarter Figures

2. GDP (O) is estimated to have increased by about $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent between the first and second quarters of 1987 following an increase of almost 1 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1986 and the first quarter of 1987. GDP (O) is estimated to have increased by 4 per cent in the year to 1987 Q2.
3. GDP (O) excluding oil and gas extraction rose by about l per cent between the first and second quarters of 1987, giving an increase of $4 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent in the year to 1987Q2.
4. The contribution of changes in the individual components of GDP (O) are tabled below:

# Contribution to percentage changes in GDP (O) from 1987Q1 to 1987Q2 

## Industry

1. Agriculture, forestry and fishing 0.0
2. Construction -0.2**
3. Services 0.9
4. Industrial production of which 0.2

- oil and gas extraction

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-0.2 \\
0.0 \\
+0.4
\end{array}
$$

- other energy and water supply
- manufacturing

5. GDP (0)*

* sum of rows l-4 (figures may not add due to rounding)
** not for use

5. The $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent increase in output in 1987 Q2 reflected preliminary estimates of growth of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in both services and manufacturing, partly offset by a fall in oil and gas extraction of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and a fall in construction of 2 per cent from its high first quarter level. (The figure for construction output in the second quarter is based on very little information and may well be revised substantially when the full set of GDP figures - including estimates based on the expenditure and income components of GDP - are published in a month's time).
6. The expenditure, income and output measures of GDP can move differently between quarters. The output measure, generally regarded as the most reliable indicator of short-run movements in GDP, indicates that the strong growth in 1986 has been maintained in the first half of 1987.


C MILLS
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## AUTUMN INTERNATIONAL MEETINGS

The Chancellor would be grateful if you could provide updated
... versions of the attached briefing cards as part of the briefing for this Autumn's series of international meetings. He would also like a briefing card giving the latest figures for General Government Financial Deficit.



| CURREMT ACcomit (\$ billion, \& of CDP |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in brackets) |  |  |  |  |
| IMF forecasts | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1985-1987$ |
| US | $-118(-3)$ | $-141\left(-3 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $-139(-3)$ | $-133(-3)$ |
| Japan | $49\left(3 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $86\left(4 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $83\left(3 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $73(4)$ |
| Germany | $14(2)$ | $36(4)$ | $34(3)$ | $28(3)$ |
| France | $0(-)$ | $4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| UK | $4(1)$ | $-2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $-5(-1)$ | $-3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| Italy | $-4(-1)$ | $5(1)$ | $4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $2(-)$ |
| Canada | $0(-1)$ | $-6(-2)$ | $-9(-2)$ | $-5(-1)$ |
| G7 | -5 | -18 | -28 | -33 |
| UK forecast |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
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## GDP FIGURES FOR THE SECOND QUARTER OF 1987

Provisional estimates of GDP in the second quarter of 1987 will be published by the CSO on Monday at 11.30 a.m. The increases in the various measures of real GDP between the first and second quarters of 1987 and between the second quarters of 1986 and 1987 are shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Real GDP (percentage increases) } \\
& 1987 \mathrm{Q1} \text { to } 1986 \mathrm{Q2} \text { to } \\
& 1987 \mathrm{Q} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

| Output estimate | 0.9 | 4.1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Expenditure estimate | -0.4 | 2.3 |
| Income estimate | 0.9 | 4.4 |
| Average estimate | 0.5 | 3.7 |

2. GDP growth shown by the average estimate ( $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent over the last quarter, and $3 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent over the last year) is a little lower than that shown by the preliminary second quarter output estimate published on 18 August (which was $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent up over the last quarter, 4 per cent over the last year). The CSO's press notice describes the level of economic activity in the second quarter as "some $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 4 per cent higher than at the same time last year".

## RESTRICTED UNTIL 11. 30 A.M ON MONDAY 21 SEPTEMBER then UNCLASSIFIED

3. As happened last year, recorded expenditure is trailing a long way behind recorded output. Consumers' expenditure rose by over $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first two quarters and fixed investment also rose by over 1 per cent - but the rise in domestic expenditure in total was less than the deterioration in the real trade balance.
4. Consumers' expenditure is estimated to have risen by about 4 per cent between the first half of 1986 and the first half of 1987 , compared with a near 6 per cent rise for 1986 as a whole. However as total expenditure has clearly been under-recorded in the first half of 1987, it is not possible to be confident that growth in consumers' spending has really slowed. It is however reasonably clear that investment spending (which is estimated to have risen by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first halves of 1986 and 1987) is now picking up quite strongly.
5. Industrial and commercial companies' profits net of stock appreciation are estimated to have risen by 16 per cent over the year to 1987 Q2. This comparison is affected by the privatisation of British Gas and British Airways; the CSO's press notice says that the increase in profits over the last year exceeds the contribution of the newly privatised companies. No split between oil and non-oil company profits is yet available for the latest quarter.

## Average growth since the 1981 trough

6. Between the first half of 1981 and the first half of 1987 , GDP has increased by 19 per cent in total, an annual rate of increase of 2.9 per cent.

## The GDP deflator and money GDP

7. The table below compares the estimates now being published for growth in the GDP deflator and money GDP over the last year with the Budget forecast for the present financial year as a whole. (The money GDP figure is based on the average estimate of real GDP: growth over the last year would, of course, be higher but for the low recorded expenditure figures.)

# RESTRICTED UNTIL 11.30 A.M ON <br> MONDAY 21 SEPTEMBER then <br> UNCLASSIFIED 

Published growth over year to 1987 Q2

FSBR Forecast of growth in 1987-88

Deflator of GDP at market prices

4.2
$4 \frac{1}{2}$

Money GDP
8.1
$7 \frac{1}{2}$
8. Commentators are unlikely to conclude that there is going to be a significant overshoot of the Budget money GDP forerast from these figures: they will probably expect money GDP growth to be relatively high over the year to 1987 Q2 because oil prices and profits were particularly low in l986Q2. The GDP deflator figure is unexpectedly low: it includes a rise of under $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the national accounts measure of consumer prices - well below the increase in the RPI over the same period.

## Lines to take

## Positive

(i) GDP at record level and currently growing at a rate a little above the average ofaround 3 per cent achieved since 1981.
(ii) Investment now picking up well again, after the dip following the phasing out of first year capital allowances.

## Defensive

(i) Economy overheating? Latest GDP figures suggest growth this year staying within the relatively narrow range of growth rates experienced since 1981 - very similar to the growth rate achieved between 1984 Hl and 1985 Hl - thus the record of steady expansion is continuing.

## GDP FIGURES FOR THE SECOND QUARTER OF 1987

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 18 September.
2. The Chancellor suggests that in the line to take positive (i), "of around 3 per cent" be ammended to read "annual rate of 3 per cent"


# A comparison of independent forecasts 

Compiled by
EB Division HM Treasury


This issue of the comparison includes new forecasts from: Alexanders Laing and Cruickshank; CBI; Capel Cure Myers; Henley Centre for Forecasting; Phillips \& Drew; and Wood Mackenzie \& Co.

The main points are:

|  | Independent average (i) |  | City average (ii) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1987 | 1988 |
| GDP growth (per cent) | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| RPI inflation rate (Q4; per cent) | 4.0 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| Unemployment (Q4; million) | 2.84 | 2.82 | 2.85 | 2.81 |
| Current account (£bn) | -1.1 | -2.3 | -0.8 | -2.1 |
| PSBR (FY; £bn) | 3.4 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.6 |

(i) 'Independent average' is an average of the 11 forecasts shown in tables 1 and 2.
(ii) 'City average' is an average of the 9 City forecasts shown in tables 3 and 4.

Please note that this comparison is a summary of published material reflecting the views of the forecasting organisations themselves and does not in any way provide new information on the Treasury's own views. The comparison contains only a selection of forecasters which is kept continually under review. No signifirance should be attached to the inclusion or exclusion of any particular forecasting organisation. HM Treasury accepts no responsibility for the accuracy of the material published in this comparison.

Subscription enquiries should be addressed to Committee Section, HM Treasury, Parliament Street, London SW1P 3AG (01-270-4561). An invoice for the annual subscription fee of $£ 50$ will be sent on receipt of application. Distribution enquiries should be addressed to Meena Henson (01-270-5212). Enquiries on contents to Peter Curwen (01-270-5206).


Independent average'is defined as an unveighted average of the comparable independent forecasts summarised in tables 1 and 2
'City Average' is an unweighted average of comparable City forecasts as surmarised in tables 3 and 4 .

OMPARISON OF FORECA
FORECASTS FOR 1988/19 88-89
SUMmARY TABLES

* New forecas

Except where specifled figures are percentage changes on previous year
(GOP components in constant 1980 prices)


| NOTES: |  | for definition see | (a) | flrst half 1988 at annual rate | (f) | 1988Q2 | (w) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Individual forecasts | (b) | 1988H1 | (h) | first half 1988 on first half 1987 | (z) | Cambridge Econometrles forecast |
| (A) aver age measure (0) output measure | - | Treasury forecast published in FSBR | (c) | non durable consumption | (k) | calendar year 1988 |  | taken from longer term |
| (E) expenditure measure |  | interpreted variously by | (d) | current and capital | ( $n$ ) | change in stockbuilding as a \% |  | disaggregate forecast |
| (E) expenditure measure |  | forecasters as either residual |  | including stockbullding |  | of GDP in previous period |  |  |
|  |  | or as target | (e) | private sector investment, | (s) | emp loyees in employment |  |  |
| 'Independent average' is forecasts as summarised |  | an unwelghted average of comparable 1 and 2 . |  | stockbuilding and durable consumption | (u) | end 1988 |  |  |

## COMPARISON OF FORECASTS - SUMMARY TABLES

CITY FORECASTS FOR 1987/1987-88 * New forecast
Except where specified figures are percentage changes on previous year (GDP components in constant 1980 prices)


|  |  | $!$ |  | ast | fina | 1 yea | 87-19 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| growt | 2-6y | 5.0k | 4.7 u | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | - | 5.7 | 4.5 | - |  |
| M3 growth |  | 20.9k | 18.7 u | 16.0 | 15.0 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 16.4 | - | - | . 7 |
| PSBR (billion pounds) | 4 | ! 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0 | . 7 |

NOTES:
(A) average measure
(O) output measure
(E) expenditure measure
O Treasury forecast
\& for definition see individual

- forecasts $\quad$ interpreted variously by forecasters as either residual
or target
(k) calendar year 1987
(s) employees in employment
(u) end 1987
(w) financial year 1987-88
(w) target range set in 1987 FSBR
(z) 1987 H 2
'City Average' is defined as an unweighted average of comparable City forecasts as summarised in tables 3 and 4.

CITY FORECASTS FOR 1988/1988-89 * New forecast

Except where specified figures are percentage changes on previous year


M0 growth
M3 growth
PSBR (billion pounds)
scope for fiscal
change (billion pounds) ${ }^{\wedge}$

Forecasts for fina ncial year 1988-1989

NOTES:
(A) average measure
(O) output measure
(E) expenditure measure
o Treasury forecast
\& for definition see individual forecasts
interpreted variously by forecasters as either residual or target
(a) first half 1988 at annual rate
(b) 1988 H 1
f) $1988 \mathrm{Q}^{2}$
(h) first half 1988 on first half 1987
(k) calendar year 1988
(s) employees in employment
(u) end 1988
(z) 1988 H 2
'City Average' is defined as an unweighted average of comparable City for ecasts as summarised in tables 3 and 4.

## Independent forecasting organisations covered in this comparison

Alexanders Laing \& Cruickshank (Alex Laing Cr)
Cambridge Econometrics (C'bridge Econ)
Capel-Cure Myers (Capel-Cure)
Commission of the European Communities (EC)
Confederation of British Industry (CBI)
Goldman Sachs (GS)
Henley Centre for Forecasting (Henley)
Hoare Govett
James Capel \& Co
Liverpool Research Group in Macroeconomics (Liverpool)
London Business School (LBS)
Midland Bank ple
National Institute for Economic and Social Research (NIESR)
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)
Oxford Economic Forecasting (Oxford)
Phillips and Drew ( $P \& D$ )
Scrimgeour Vickers * Co (Scrimgeour)
Wood Mackenzie \& Co (Wood Mack)

## SECRET

until 3 November 1987 then UNCLASSIFIED

## (ii) Definitions

(a) GGE: Expenditure by central and local government including debt interest, net lending and transactions in company shares.
(b) Planning total: As GGE plus market and overseas borrowing by public corporations, including nationalised industries, less debt interest. Also, some smaller definitional differences (see Cm 56 Vol II pages 40 and 41).
(iii)

GGE as percentage of GDP

> Including privatisation proceeds

1968-69
1969-70
1970-71
1971-72
1972-73
1973-74
1974-75
1975-76
1976-77
1977-78
1978-79
1979-80
1980-81
1981-82
1982-83
1983-84
1984-85
1985-86
1986-87
1987-88
1988-89
1989-90
1990-91

## Excluding privatisation proceeds

Source: HM Treasury using CSO data for historical figures on GGE and GDP. From 1978-79, Autumn Statement Table 2.2

## (iv) Inter-government comparisons

## GGE excluding privatisation proceeds as percentage of GDP

| Labour | $1963-64$ to $1969-70$ | up from $35 \frac{3}{4}$ to 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conservative | $1969-70$ to $1973-74$ | up from 40 to $42 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Labour | $1973-74$ to $1978-79$ | up from $42 \frac{1}{2}$ to $43 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Conservative | $\mathbf{1 9 7 8 - 7 9}$ to $1987-88$ | down from $43 \frac{1}{4}$ to $42 \frac{1}{2}$ |

CC1 PUBLIC SECTOR BORROWING: HISTORICAL STATISTICS AND INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS
[See also Fiscal policy: 1987-88 to 1990-91 (Brief C1) and Privatisation (EE2)]
A
PSBR HISTORICAL STATISTICS
Factual
(i)

|  | PSBR |  |  | privatisation proceeds |  | PSFD (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash <br> £ billion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Real terms } \\ & \text { (1986-87 } \\ & \text { prices) } \\ & \text { E billion } \end{aligned}$ | Ratio to GDP (per cent) | Cash <br> £ billion | Ratio to GDP (per cent) | Cash £ billion | Ratio to GDP <br> (per cent) |
| 1948 | not av | not av | not av | not av | not av | -0.3 | -2 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1949 | not av | not av | not av | not av | not av | -0.3 | $-2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1950 | not av | not av | not av | not av | not av | -0.4 | $-2{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ |
| 1951 | not av | not av | not av | not av | not av | 0.2 | $1{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 1952 | 0.8 | 8.2 | 5 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.6 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1953 | 0.6 | 5.9 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.6 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.7 | 4 |
| 1954 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1955 | 0.5 | 4.5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.4 | 2 |
| 1956 | 0.6 | 5.1 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.6 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1957 | 0.5 | 4.2 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 0.5 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 0.5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1958 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 |
| 1959 | 0.6 | 4.6 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 0.6 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 0.6 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1960 | 0.7 | 5.7 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.7 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.7 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1961 | 0.7 | 5.5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.7 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.7 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1962 | 0.5 | 4.1 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.5 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.5 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1963 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 23 | 0.8 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.8 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1963-64 | 1.0 | 7.7 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1.0 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1.1 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1964-65 | 0.9 | 6.5 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.9 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0.8 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1965-66 | 0.9 | 6.4 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.9 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.6 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1966-67 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 3 | 1.1 | 3 | 1.0 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1967-68 | 2.0 | 12.7 | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ | 2.0 | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1.7 | $4 \frac{1}{3}$ |
| 1968-69 | 0.4 | 2.2 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 0.4 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 0.4 | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1969-70 | -0.6 | -3.4 | -1 $\frac{1}{4}$ | -0.6 | -1 $\frac{1}{4}$ | -0.8 | $-1 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1970-71 | 0.8 | 4.1 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.8 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | -0.2 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1971-72 | 1.0 | 4.7 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1.0 | $1{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 0.7 | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1972-73 | 2.4 | 10.9 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2.4 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2.0 | 3 |
| 1973-74 | 4.3 | 18.1 | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 4.3 | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 3.5 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1974-75 | 8.0 | 27.9 | 9 | 8.0 | 9 | 6.0 | $6 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1975-76 | 10.3 | 28.5 | $9 \frac{18}{4}$ | 10.3 | $9{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 8.1 | $7 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1976-77 | 8.3 | 20.4 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8.3 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7.5 | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1977-78 | 5.4 | 11.6 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5.9 | 4 | 6.6 | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1978-79 | 9.2 | 18.0 | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ | 9.2 | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ | 8.3 | $4{ }^{3}$ |
| 1979-80 | 10.0 | 16.7 | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ | 10.4 | 5 | 8.0 | $3 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1980-81 | 12.7 | 17.8 | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ | 13.1 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11.7 | 5 |
| 1981-82 | 8.6 | 11.0 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 9.1 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5.2 | 5 |
| 1982-83 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 3 | 9.3 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 8.3 | 3 |
| 1983-84 | 9.7 | 11.1 | $3 \frac{1}{4}$ | 10.9 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11.4 | $3{ }^{3}$ |
| 1984-85 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 3 | 12.3 | $3 \frac{3}{4}$ | 13.1 | , |
| 1985-86 | 5.8 | 5.9 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8.5 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 8.3 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1986-87 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1 | 7.9 | 2 | 9.6 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1987-88 | 1.0 | 0.9 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 6.0 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6.1 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |

# SECRET AND PERSONAL <br> until 3 November 1987 <br> then UNCLASSIFIED 

## RESERVES

|  | $1988-89$ | $1989-90$ | $1990-91$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Autumn Statement shows | 3.5 | 7 | 10.5 |
| Per cent of planning total | $(2.2)$ | $(4.2)$ | $(5.6)$ |
| Compares with | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| 1984 PEWP |  |  | 4.75 |
| 1985 PEWP | 2.75 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 1986 PEWP | 3.0 | 6.25 | 8.0 |
| 1987 PEWP | 4.5 | 5.5 | 7.5 |

(NB Reserves in 1986 PEWP high to reflect exceptional decision to project LA current spending at constant cash levels.)

## SECRET

until 3 November 1987 then UNCLASSIFIED

## KEY PSBR COMPONENTS

1986-87

|  | FSBR | outturn | change | FSBR | AS | change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning total | 140.0 | 139.4 | -0.6 | 148.6 | 147.6 | $-1.0$ |
| (o/w: privatisation proceeds) | (-4.4) | $(-4.4)$ | (0.0) | $(-5.0)$ | $(-5.0)$ | (0.0) |
| Interest payments | 17.5 | 17.4 | -0.1 | 17.9 | 17.8 | -0.1 |
| Less PCMOB* | -1.6 | $-1.5$ | +0.1 | -0.8 | -0.7 | +0.1 |
| Other adjustments | 5.8 | 6.9 | +1.0 | 6.2 | 6.7 | +0.5 |
| General govt. exp | 164.9 | 165.1 | +0.2 | 173.5 | 172.8 | -0.7 |
| North Sea revenues | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 4.5 | +0.6 |
| Non-NS taxes | 114.5 | 114.8 | +0.3 | 123.8 | 125.6 | +1.8 |
| Nat. Ins. contributions | 26.5 | 26.7 | $+0.2$ | 28.5 | 28.9 | +0.4 |
| Interest and other receipts | 13.2 | 13.3 | +0.1 | 12.6 | 12.3 | -0.3 |
| Accruals adjustments | 0.1 | 0.5 | +0.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| General govt. receipts | 159.2 | 160.2 | +1.0 | 168.8 | 171.1 | +2.3 |
| GGBR | 5.7 | 4.9 | -0.7 | 4.7 | 1.7 | -3.0 |
| Plus PCMOB** | $-1.6$ | -1.5 | $+0.1$ | -0.8 | -0.7 | $+0.1$ |
| PSBR | 4.1 | 3.4 | -0.7 | 3.9 | 1.0 | -2.9 |
| PSBR as per cent of GDP | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $-\frac{3}{4}$ |

* All $£$ figures rounded to nearest $£ 100$ million for $1986-87$ outturn and FSBR and to nearest $£ \frac{1}{2}$ billion for Autumn Statement forecast. PSBR as percentage of GDP rounded to nearest per cent. Figures do not necessarily sum to totals, either down or across, because of rounding.
** Public corporations' market and overseas borrowing.

TABLE 1
Economic prospects: Summary

(1) Errors relate to average differences (on either side of central figure) between forecast and outturn; errors given for constant price output and expenditure are relevant to forecast for next calendar or financial year. Method of calculating these errors explained in earlier publications and Government forecasts (see "Economic Progress Report", June 1981). Calculations of average errors based on forecasts made between 1975 and 1985.
(2) Per cent change on previous financial year in brackets; average error relates to forecast of percentage change.
Source: Autumn Statement Table 1.12
until 3 November 1987

TABLE 2
Comparison of official forecasts
A Output

| GDP (per cent change <br> on year earlier) | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 1986 Autumn Statement IAF | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | not app |
| - 1987 Budget IAF | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | $2 \frac{1}{2} \neq$ |
| - 1987 Autumn Statement IAF | 3 | 4 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Manufacturing output <br> (per cent change on year earlier) | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| - 1986 Autumn Statement IAF | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | not app |
| - 1987 Budget IAF | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | $3 \nmid$ |
| - 1987 Autumn Statement IAF | 1 | 5 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |

B Inflation
RPI (per cent change on 1987Q4 1988Q2 1988Q4 year earlier)

| - 1986 Autumn Statement IAF | $3 \frac{3}{4}$ | not app | not app |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 1987 Budget IAF | 4 | 4 | not app |
| - 1987 Autumn Statement IAF | 4 | not app | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |

GDP deflator (per cent
change on year earlier)

| -1986 Autumn | 3 | $3 \frac{3}{4}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2} *$ | $3 *$ | not app |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Statement IAF | 3 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 *$ | $3 \frac{1}{2} *$ | $3 *$ |
| -1987 Budget IAF | 3 | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2} *$ | $3 *$ |
| -1987 Autumn |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Statement IAF |  |  |  |  |  |
| assumption |  |  |  |  |  |

## NATIONAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTION RATES 1988-89

Summary of proposals

|  | Present <br> 1987-88 | Proposed 1988-89 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employer's Class 1 (contracted-in) | 10.45\% | 10.45\% | - |
| Employee's Class 1 (contracted-in) | 9\% | 9\% | - |
| Opted-out married women | 3.85\% | 3.85\% | - |
| Lower Earnings Limit (Class 1) | £ 39 | £ 41 | +£ 2 |
| Upper Earnings Limit (Class 1) | £285 | £305 | +£10 |
| Low-paid earnings brackets | $\begin{array}{lll} ( & £ 65 \\ ( & £ 100 \\ ( & £ 150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} ( & £ 70 \\ ( & £ 105 \\ ( & £ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} +£ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ +£ 5 \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ |
| Rates payable within low-paid brackets | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 17 \% \\ & 19 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 17 \% \\ & 19 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Class 2 (self-employed) | £ 3.85 | £4.05 | +20p |
| Small earnings exception | £ 2,125 | £2,250 | +£125 |
| Class 3 (voluntary) | £ 3.75 | £3.95 | +20p |
| Class 4 (self-employed, profits-related) | $6.3 \%$ | 6.3\% | - |
| Lower profits limit (Class 4) | £ 4,590 | £4,750 | +160 |
| Upper profits limit (Class 4) | £ 15,340 | £15,860 | +£520 |
| Employers' contracted-out rebate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | -0.3\% |
| Employee's contracted-out rebate | 2.15\% | 2\% | -0.15\% |

# SECRET AND PERSONAL 

until 3 November 1987
then UNCLASSIFIED

|  | 1988-89 <br> cash change <br> from 1987 <br> PEWP <br> (f million) | 1989-90 <br> cash change <br> from 1987 <br> PEWP <br> (f million) | Real terms <br> percentage <br> change | Real terms <br> percentage <br> change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to |  |  |  |  |

# SECRET AND PERSONAL <br> until 3 November 1987 <br> then UNCLASSIFIED 

DEPARTMENTAL PLANS
Percentage cash change on previous year
1988-89* 1989-90
1990-91

| Ministry of Defence | +1.4 | +3.9 | +3.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FCO-Diplomatic wing | +3.5 | +2.7 | +2.7 |
| FCO-Overseas Development Administration | +7.8 | +5.1 | +3.1 |
| European Communities | -43.1 | +83.8 | -10.2 |
| Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food | +3.7 | +5.4 | +7.4 |
| Department of Trade and Industry | +33.5 | -3.7 | -4.4 |
| Export Credits Guarantee Department | -16.1 | +4.3 | -13.1 |
| Department of Energy | -56.2 | -254.7 | -224.0 |
| Department of Employment | +3.4 | +1.4 | +1.2 |
| Department of Transport | +7.2 | -0.6 | +1.9 |
| DOE-Housing | +9.8 | -0.5 | +1.3 |
| DOE-Other environmental services | -5.2 | +4.9 | +2.6 |
| Home Office | +4.5 | +4.2 | +2.7 |
| Legal departments | +10.8 | +6.7 | +6.1 |
| Department of Education and Science | +4.6 | +3.7 | +2.8 |
| Office of Arts and Libraries | +0.8 | +4.2 | +3.3 |
| DHSS-Health and personal social services | +5.7 | +4.8 | +4.5 |
| DHS-Social security | +4.4 | +5.6 | +4.8 |
| Scotland | +3.5 | +1.2 | +1.9 |
| Wales | +4.2 | +2.8 | +3.0 |
| Northern Ireland | +5.0 | +3.4 | +3.1 |
| Chancellor's Departments | +6.5 | +5.5 | +3.6 |
| Other Departments | +12.8 | +1.6 | +3.9 |
| Total Departmental programmes | +4.3 | +3.3 |  |

* Size of increases misleading because Reserve allocated in 1987-88.


# SECRET AND PERSONAL <br> until 3 November 1987 <br> then UNCLASSIFIED 

## ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

| $1987-88$ |  | $1988-89$ |  | $1989-90$ |  | $1990-91$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 |
| PEWP | AS | PEWP | AS | PEWP | AS | PEWP | AS |

Unemployment (GB narrow) (million)
3.05
2.7
3.05
2.6
3.05
$2.6 \quad$ not
2.6

GDP deflator
(financial year on financial year)

| (per cent) | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | September 1987 <br> to | September <br> to |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September |  |  |
|  | 1988 | September | 1989 |

(See also D1, FF17.)

## BB5 NORTH/SOUTH DIVIDE

[See also Employment and unemployment (Brief BB4), National Insurance contributions (D1), Trade and Industry (FF5), Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland (FF18) and Inner cities (FF24)]

## Factual

(i) GDP per capita

|  | factor cost: current prices; UK = 100 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| South East | 113.2 | 115.2 | 114.7 | 114.8 |
| East Anglia | 92.7 | 93.8 | 99.7 | 100.8 |
| South West | 93.0 | 92.7 | 95.5 | 93.8 |
| West Midlands | 100.5 | 95.5 | 89.7 | 92.3 |
| East Midlands | 95.9 | 96.1 | 97.6 | 95.7 |
| Yorks \& Humberside | 94.7 | 93.1 | 93.5 | 91.8 |
| North West | 96.5 | 96.6 | 94.6 | 96.0 |
| North | 91.0 | 91.4 | 93.8 | 9.9 |
| Wales | 86.4 | 88.4 | 87.7 | 88.8 |
| Scotland | 96.1 | 95.5 | 98.5 | 97.3 |
| Northern Ireland | 74.7 | 76.0 | 75.0 | 74.8 |
| * latest data available |  |  |  |  |

## (ii) Unemployment rates

## Per cent

June 1986* September 1986 January 1987 September 1987
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GDP (OUTPUT MEASURE) IN THIRD QUARTER 1987
The CSO will publish the preliminary estimate for GDP (O) at $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on Wednesday 18 November.

Third Quarter Figures
2. GDP (0) is estimated to have increased by a little over $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent between the second and third quarters of 1987, after increases of about 1 per cent in both the first and second quarters of 1987. It is estimated to have increased by around $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the year to 1987Q3.
3. Excluding oil and gas extraction, GDP (O) rose by almost $1 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent between the second and third quarters of 1987 , to give an increase of 5 per cent in the year to 1987Q3.
4. The contribution of the individual components to the change in GDP (O) are set out below:

# Contribution to percentage changes in GDP (O) from <br> 1987Q2 to 1987Q3 

Industry

1. Agriculture, forestry and fishing 0.0
2. Construction 0.1**
3. Services 0.9
4. Industrial production 0.5 of which

- oil and gas extraction 0.0
- other energy and water supply 0.1
- manufacturing
0.4

5. $\operatorname{GDP}(0)$ *
1.6

* sum of rows 1-4 (figures may not add due to rounding). ** not for use.

5. The $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent increase in output in 1987 Q3 reflects similar growth rates (all in the range $1 \frac{13}{2}-1 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent) in the production, service and construction industries. Within the service sector, distribution showed particularly strong growth, with output up nearly 4 per cent on a quarter earlier. Oil and gas extraction is estimated to have remained unchanged in the third quarter, after a $3 \frac{3}{2}$ per cent fall between the first and second quarters of 1987.
6. The expenditure, income and output measure of GDP frequently move differently between quarters. The output measure, generally regarded as the most reliable indicator of short-run movements in GDP, indicates that the strong growth seen since mid-1986 has been maintained into the second half of 1987. (NOT FOR USE: The preliminary third quarter estimate for $G D P(0)$ is slightly higher than was anticipated at the time of the Autumn Statement.)
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GDP (OUTPUT MEASURE) IN 3RD QUARTER 1987
The Economic Secretary has seen and was grateful for your minute of 17 November attaching the preliminary estimate for $G D P(0)$ in the third quarter of 1987.
2. The Economic Secretary has no comments on the substance of this, but he would find it helpful on future occasions if an additional column showing percentage changes in GDP(0) over a 12 -month period (ie in this case since l986Q3) could be inserted in the table in your paragraph 4.



GUY WESTHEAD
Assistant Private Secretary

A comparison of independent forecasts


Compiled by
EB Division
HM Treasury

As well as incorporating the latest Industry Act forecast published in the Autumn Statement, this issue of the comparison includes new forecasts from: Cambridge Econometrics; Capel Cure Myers; Goldman Sachs; Henley Centre for Forecasting; Hoare Govett; James Capel; London Business School; Oxford Economic Forecasting; Phillips \& Drew and Wood Mackenzie \& Co.

The main points are:

|  | Independent average (i) |  | City average (ii) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1987 | 1988 |
| GDP growth (per cent) | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| RPI inflation rate (Q4; per cent) | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| Unemployment (Q4; million) | 2.77 | 2.74 | 2.76 | 2.71 |
| Current account (£bn) | -1.6 | -3.1 | -1.4 | -2.7 |
| PSBR (FY; £bn) | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 |

(i) 'Independent average' is an average of the 11 forecasts shown in tables 1 and 2.
(ii) 'City average' is an average of the 9 City forecasts shown in tables 3 and 4.

Please note that this comparison is a summary of published material reflecting the views of the forecasting organisations themselves and does not in any way provide new information on the Treasury's own views. The comparison contains only a selection of forecasters which is kept continually under review. No significance should be attached to the inclusion or exclusion of any particular forecasting organisation. HM Treasury accepts no responsibility for the accuracy of the material published in this comparison.

Subscription enquiries should be addressed to Committee Section, HM Treasury, Parliament Street, London SW1P 3AG (01-270-4561). An invoice for the annual subscription fee of $£ 50$ will be sent on receipt of application. Distribution enquiries should be addressed to Meena Henson (01-270-5212). Enquiries on contents to Peter Curwen (01-270-5206).

Except where specifled figures are percentage changes on previous year


New forecast
Pomponents in constant 1980 prices)


Excep- where specifled flgures are percentage changes on previous year

* New forecast
(GDP components in constant 1980 prices)

Date of Forecast


| INDEPENDENT |
| :--- |
| AVERAGE |
| -2 |
|  |
| 2.4 |
| 3.5 |
| 1.3 |
| 5.1 |
| 0.8 |
| 2.1 |
| 5.2 |



GDP Consumers' Expenditure
General Govt Consumption
Gross Fixed Investment
Stockbuliding (bn pounds)
Exports (goods \& services
Imports (goods \& service
RPI (CPI) - 4th Qtr
Average Earnings \&
verage Earnings \&
RPDI

| 1 | 2.2 (0) | 2.8 (0) | 2.2 (A) | 2.1 (A) | 2.6 (A) | 1.9 (0) | 3.0 (E) | 2.2 (0) | 2.25 (A) | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 c | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 c | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.1 e | 6.3 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.9 |
| 1 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | - | 0.2 | 0.0 n | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | - | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 1 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 4.9 | - | 4.1 | 4.25 | 7.4 | 4.9 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 5.2 | (4.7)k | (3.1) k | 4.9 | (4.25)a | 3.1 | (3.9) k |
| 1 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.9 | - | - | 7.7 | 6.6 |
| 1 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.6 | - | - | 2.6 | - | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| 1 | 1.2 s | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | - | - | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.15 | 0.9 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.7 | 2.72 | 2.93 | 2.68 | 2.62 | 2.9 kw | 2.7k | 2.88 | - 25 | 2.64 |  |
| 1 | - | 3.2 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 | - | - | 3.25 | - | 2.0 |
| 1 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 | - | 4.4 | - - | 3.6 | 3.4 |

- 

Unemployment (UK adults
million -4 th Qtr)
ndustrlal Production

|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| World trade \& | 4 | 1 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.5 | - | 4.4 | 2.8 -2.6 | 4.0 -3.7 | 4.3 -2.3 | 3.9 -3.3 |
| Current Account (bn pounds) | -3.5 | 1 | -3.4 | -1.7 | -3.6 | -4.1 | . 9 | -4.7 | -2.3 | -2.6 | -3.7 | -2.3 | -3.3 |
| Sterling Index(1975=100) Q4 | - | 1 | 72.0 | 73.0 | 72.1 | 73.7 | 73.2 | 70.5k | 71.7k | . 1 |  | 70.7 | - |
| Short term interest rate Q4 \& | - | 1 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.2 |  | 8.8k | . 5 |  | 8.8 19.4 | 9.2 18.0 |
| oll Price (\$ per barrel)s | 18 | 1 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 20.9 | 19.1 | 18.5 |  |  |  | . 0 | 19.4 | 18.0 |


| 4.3 |
| :--- |
| 7.3 |
| 3.6 |
| 0.9 |
|  |
| 2.74 |
| 1.7 |
| 3.1 |



| 3.1 (OXF) | 5.2 (HENLEY) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.4 (CAM) | 8.0 (HEN) |
| 2.6 (CBI) | 4.5 (LBS) |
| 0.3 (CBI) | 1.4 (LBS) |
| 2.62 (HEN) | 2.93 (P8D) |
| -0.1 (GS) | 3.25 (OECD) |
| 1.5 (NIESR) | 4.7 (LBS) |
| 2.0 (GS) | 4.7 (LBS) |
| -4.7 (CAM) | -1.7 (LBS) |
| 69.1 (CBI) | 73.7 (GS) |
| 7.9 (NIESR) | 10.2 (HEN) |
| 18.0 (LBS, OECD,EC) | 21.0 (NIESR) |


| 4.6 | $!$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7.4 | 1 |
| 3.5 | 1 |
| 0.8 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 2.71 | 1 |
| 0.8 | 1 |
| 2.1 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 3.3 | ! |
| -2.7 | 1 |
| 71.8 | 1 |
| 9.5 | I |
| 19.6 | I |


|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | casts | $f$ fon | 1 year | 88 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8u |
| M0 growth | - | 1 | - | 5.8 | 5.3 k | 4.74 | 6.9 |  | 4.0k |  |  |  | $11.8 u$ |
| M3 growth | - | 1 | - | 9.0 | 21.4k | 15.8 u | 14.5 | - | - |  |  | 10.39 | 11.90 |
| PSBR (bllilion pounds) | - | 1 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.0k | 2.1 | 5.2 |  | 1.5 | 4.0 |
| scope for flscal |  |  | 3.0 | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | 2.5 | - | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 2.0 | 3.0 |


| 3.7 |
| ---: |
| -3.1 |
| 72.0 |
| 9.0 |
| 19.1 |
|  |
| $-\ldots-1$ |


(s) emp loyees in emp loyment
(u) end 1988
(w) including school leavers
(z) Camoridge Econometrics forecast taken from longer term disaggregate forecast


NOTES:
(A) average measure
(O) output measure
(E) expenditure measure
O Treasury forecast published
in Autumn Statement
\& for definition see individual
forecasts
interpreted variously by
forecasters as either residual
or target
(k) calendar year 1987
(s) employees in employment
(u) end 1987
(w) financial year 1987-88
(y) target range set in 1987 FSBR
(z) 1987 H 2
'City Average' is an unweighted average of comparable City forecasts as summarised in tables 3 and 4 .

Except where specified figures are percentage changes on previous year
(GDP components in constant 1980 prices)


NOTES:

| (A) average measure | for definition see individual | (k) calendar year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (O) output measure | forecasts | (s) | employees in |
| (E) expenditure measure | interpreted variously by | employment |  |
| o Treasury forecast published | forecasters as either residual | (u) | end |
|  | in Autumn Statement | or target |  |

'City Average' is an unweighted average of comparable City forecasts as summarised in tables 3 and 4.

Independent forecasting organisations covered in this comparison

```
Alexanders Laing & Cruickshank (Alex Laing Cr)
Cambridge Econometrics (C'bridge Econ)
Capel-Cure Myers (Cafel-Cure)
Commission of the European Communities (EC)
Confederation of British Industry (CBI)
Goldman Sachs (GS)
Henley Centre for Forecasting (Henley)
Hoare Govett
James Capel & Co
Liverpool Research Group in Macroeconomics (Liverpool)
London Business School (LBS)
Midland Bank plc
National Institute for Economic and Social Research (NIESR)
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)
Oxford Economic Forecasting (Oxford)
Phillips and Drew (P&D)
Scrimgeour Vickers & Co (Scrimgeour)
Wood Mackenzie & Co (Wood Mack)
```

Economic Indicator
Group: GDP

GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

|  |  | Gross domestic product |  |  |  |  | Gross domestic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | at 1980 prices at factor cost |  |  |  | at current market prices | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ \text { factor cost } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | income estimate | expenditure <br> estimate | output estimate | average estimate | average estimate | expenditure estimate |
| 1970 |  | 84.5 | 83.6 | 86.0 | 84.7 | 22.6 | 26.4 |
| 1971 |  | 84.9 | 85.7 | 87.3 | 86.0 | 25.2 | 29.3 |
| 1972 |  | 87.5 | 86.9 | 90.0 | 88.1 | 28. 1 | 32.3 |
| 1973 |  | 94.4 | 93.8 | 95.2 | 94.5 | 32.2 | 34.8 |
| 1974 |  | 91.7 | 93.2 | 93.8 | 92.9 | 36.3 | 40.7 |
| 1975 |  | 91.3 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 45.8 | 51.8 |
| 1976 |  | 93.5 | 96.1 | 93.9 | 94.5 | 54.1 | 59.3 |
| 1977 |  | 97.0 | 97.2 | 96.6 | 96.9 | 63.1 | 66.6 |
| 1978 |  | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 72.8 | 74.7 |
| 1979 |  | 102.4 | 102.0 | 102.9 | 102.4 | 85.6 | 84.2 |
| 1980 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1981 |  | 99.6 | 99.0 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 110.2 | 110.1 |
| 1982 |  | 101.5 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.5 | 120.3 | 117.9 |
| 1983 |  | 104.7 | 103.7 | 103.3 | 103.9 | 130.7 | 124.6 |
| 1984 |  | 107.2 | 105.5 | 106.7 | 106.5 | 139.9 | 130.6 |
| 1985 |  | 110.9 | 109.5 | 110.7 | 110.4 | 153.6 | 138.3 |
| 1986 |  | 114.9 | 112.4 | 114.0 | 113.8 | 164.2 | 142.1 |
| 1983 | 1 | 103.2 | 103.6 | 101.8 | 102.9 | 127.6 | 122.5 |
| 1983 | 2 | 104.9 | 102.5 | 102.1 | 103.2 | 128.2 | 122.6 |
| 1983 | 3 | 105.0 | 104.1 | 104.0 | 104.4 | 132.3 | 125.8 |
| 1983 | 4 | 105.5 | 104.4 | 105.2 | 105.0 | 134.9 | 127.4 |
| 1984 | 1 | 106.3 | 105.9 | 106.0 | 106.1 | 137.0 | 128.4 |
| 1984 | 2 | 106.3 | 104.3 | 106.1 | 105.6 | 137.7 | 129.6 |
| 1984 | 3 | 107.8 | 105.1 | 106.9 | 106.6 | 140.8 | 131.0 |
| 1984 | 4 | 108.5 | 106.7 | 107.8 | 107.7 | 144.1 | 133.5 |
| 1985 | 1 | 109.5 | 109.2 | 109.5 | 109.4 | 148. 4 | 135.3 |
| 1985 | 2 | 111.6 | 109.9 | 110.9 | 110.8 | 152.4 | 137.0 |
| 1985 | 3 | 111.5 | 109.6 | 110.8 | 110.6 | 155.2 | 138.9 |
| 1985 | 4 | 111.0 | 109.3 | 111.5 | 110.6 | 158.5 | 142.0 |
| 1986 | 1 | 113.7 | 112.2 | 112.0 | 112.6 | 160.5 | 140.9 |
| 1986 | 2 | 114.0 | 112.0 | 113.4 | 113.1 | 162. 1 | 141.4 |
| 1986 | 3 | 115.4 | 111.7 | 114.8 | 114.0 | 165.2 | 142.3 |
| 1986 | 4 | 116.3 | 113.9 | 115.9 | 115.3 | 169.1 | 144.0 |
| 1987 | 1 | 118.2 | 114.8 | 117.0 | 116.7 | 171.8 | 145.3 |
| 1987 | 2 | 119.0 | 114.9 | 118.1 | 117.4 | 175.3 | 147.2 |
| 1987 | 3 | - | - | 120.0 | - | - | - |



Economic Briefing Division

| Seasonally adiusted |  |  |  |  | Average 1980 $=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Production industries | Manufacturing industries | Construction industries | Energy industries | Oil \& gas extraction industries |
|  |  | Div 1-4 | Div 2-4 | Div 5 | Div 1 | Class 13 |
| WEIGHTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 361 | 286 | 63 | 95 | 44 |
| 1970 |  | 90.2 | 103.4 | 111.1 | 51.0 | - |
| 1971 |  | 89.7 | 102.3 | 113.1 | 52.6 |  |
| 1972 |  | 91.3 | 104.5 | 115.2 | 52.2 | - |
| 1973 |  | 99.5 | 114.2 | 117.9 | 55.8 | - |
| 1974 |  | 97.5 | 112.8 | 105.7 | 52.2 | - |
| 1975 |  | 92.2 | 105.0 | 100.1 | 54.5 | - |
| 1976 |  | 95.3 | 106.9 | 98.8 | 60.9 | 16.2 |
| 1977 |  | 100.2 | 109.0 | 98.4 | 74.8 | 47.3 |
| 1978 |  | 103.2 | 109.7 | 105.1 | 85.0 | 68.9 |
| 1979 |  | 107.1 | 109.5 | 105.8 | 100.5 | 98.8 |
| 1980 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.1 |
| 1981 |  | 96.6 | 94.0 | 89.9 | 103.8 | 110.3 |
| 1982 |  | 98.4 | 94.2 | 91.7 | 110.0 | 125.5 |
| 1983 |  | 101.9 | 96.9 | 95.3 | 115.9 | 137.8 |
| 1984 |  | 103.3 | 100.8 | 98.5 | 110.2 | 147.3 |
| 1985 |  | 108.1 | 103.7 | 99.8 | 120.1 | 150.3 |
| 1986 |  | 110.2 | 104.8 | 102.1 | 125.2 | 153.1 |
| $1983$ |  | 100.4 | 95.9 | 93.7 | 112.8 | 131.3 |
| $1983$ | 2 | 100.5 | 95.4 | 92.1 | 114.9 | 132.7 |
| 1983 | 3 | 102.8 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 117.4 | 141.7 |
| 1983 | 4 | 104.0 | 98.9 | 97.8 | 118.3 | 145.3 |
| 1984 | 1 | 104.2 | 99.7 | 97.8 | 116.8 | 147.7 |
| 1984 | 2 | 102.7 | 100.4 | 98.3 | 109.1 | 146.3 |
| 1984 | 3 | 102.5 | 101.6 | 99.6 | 104.9 | 143.0 |
| 1984 | 4 | 103.7 | 101.6 | 98.2 | 109.8 | 152.0 |
| 1985 | 1 | 106.4 | 103.4 | 100.3 | 114.9 | 154.0 |
| 1985 | 2 | 109.3 | 104.6 | 99.5 | 122.6 | 152.3 |
| 1985 | 3 | 108.2 | 103.7 | 98.7 | 120.9 | 145.7 |
| 1985 | 4 | 108.3 | 103.2 | 100.8 | 122.2 | 149.3 |
| 1986 | 1 | 108.9 | 102.5 | 98.9 | 126.7 | 154.7 |
| 1986 | 2 | 109.7 | 104.0 | 101.7 | 125.6 | 152.3 |
| 1986 | 3 | 110.9 | 105.0 | 102.8 | 127.4 | 158.0 |
| 1986 | 4 | 111.1 | 107.4 | 105.1 | 121.2 | 147.3 |
| 1987 | 1 | 111.9 | 107.4 | 109.8 | 124.6 | 153.3 |
| 1987 | 2 | 112.5 | 109.4 | 105.9 | 120.9 | 148.0 |
| 1987 | 3 | 114.3 | 111.4 |  | 122.6 | 148.0 |
| 1985 | F | 106.2 | 103.1 |  | 114.8 | 156.0 |
| 1985 | F | 105.7 | 103.0 |  | 113.2 | 153.0 |
| 1985 | M | 107.4 | 104.1 |  | 116.6 | 153.0 |
| 1985 | A | 108.9 | 104.3 |  | 121.6 | 155.0 |
| 1985 | M | 109.3 | 104.4 |  | 123.1 | 152.0 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 109.8 | 105.1 |  | 123.0 | 150.0 |
| 1985 | $\checkmark$ | 107.5 | 103.4 |  | 119.1 | 143.0 |
| 1985 | A | 107.8 | 104.0 |  | 118.5 | 138.0 |
| 1985 | S | 109.4 | 103.8 |  | 125.0 | 156.0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 108.6 | 103.1 |  | 123.8 | 156.0 |
| 1985 | N | 109.3 | 103.1 |  | 120.0 | 154.4 |
| 1985 | $\checkmark$ | 106.9 | 103.5 |  | 116.2 | 138.0 |
| 1986 | , | 108.2 | 102.6 |  | 123.8 | 151.0 |
| 1986 | F | 109.4 | 102.4 |  | 128.8 | 156.0 |
| 1986 | M | 109.2 | 102.6 |  | 127.6 | 157.0 |
| 1986 | A | 111.0 | 104.6 |  | 129.0 | 155.0 |
| 1986 | M | 109.0 | 103.6 |  | 124.2 | 152.0 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 109.1 | 103.9 |  | 123.7 | 150.0 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 110.5 | 104.9 |  | 126.2 | 158.0 |
| 1986 | A | 110.8 | 104.7 |  | 127.9 | 160.0 |
| 1986 | S | 111.4 | 105.4 |  | 128.0 | 156.0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 111.3 | 107.0 |  | 123.2 | 152.0 |
| 1986 | N | 111.3 | 107.4 |  | 122.3 | 149.0 |
| 1986 | D | 110.6 | 107.9 |  | 118.2 | 141.0 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 110.6 | 105.7 |  | 124.1 | 152.0 |
| 1987 | F | 112.5 | 108.3 |  | 124.3 | 154.0 |
| 1987 | $\stackrel{M}{*}$ | 112.7 | 108.2 |  | 125.3 | 154.0 |
| 1987 | A | 112.5 | 109.0 |  | 122.1 | 153.0 |
| 1987 | $\cdots$ | 113.1 | 109.5 |  | 123.0 | 154.0 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 111.9 | 109.8 |  | 117.7 | 137.0 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 114.2 | 111.1 |  | 122.9 | 149.0 |
| 1987 | A | 115.0 | 111.8 |  | 123.9 | 149.0 |
| 1987 | S | 113.8 | 111.2 |  | 121.0 | 146.0 |

\% change latest 3 months

| 1987 S | +1.6 | +1.8 | +1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| year earlier: |  |  |  |
| 1987 S | +3.1 | +6.1 | -3.7 |
| Notes: $(1)$. Weights are per thousand parts of GDP(O). |  |  |  |



Economic Briating Division Economic Indicator
H.M.Treasury (01-270-5208)

EXPORT AND IMPORT UNIT VALUES AND TERMS OF TRADE
(Balance of payments basis)

Not seasonally adjusted


IMF index of relative unit labour costs (1,2)

| Actual | Normalised | Relative <br> export prices (1) | Wages \& salaries <br> per unit of output <br> in manufacturing(3) | Sterling <br> index (4 <br> 1975 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 70.0 | 78.3 |  |  |  |
| 63.6 | 70.3 | 77.9 | 52.2 | 99.8 |
| 62.8 | 67.8 | 75.5 | 57.8 | 85.7 |
| 67.9 | 72.3 | 79.7 | 62.5 | 81.2 |
| 80.7 | 83.3 | 84.6 | 70.9 | 81.5 |
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 81.8 | 87.3 |
| 101.4 | 105.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.1 |
| 95.1 | 102.0 | 98.1 | 109.3 | 95.0 |
| 86.7 | 95.9 | 92.7 | 114.0 | 90.5 |
| 84.2 | 93.5 | 89.2 | 114.4 | 83.2 |
| 85.3 | 95.0 | 87.6 | 117.8 | 78.7 |
| 80.6 | 90.1 | 89.7 | 124.5 | 78.2 |
|  |  | 87.3 | 130.1 | 72.9 |


| 1983 | 1 | 83.2 | 91.8 | 85.2 | 113.2 | 80.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 2 | 89.1 | 97.5 | 90.3 | 115.3 | 84.3 |
| 1983 | 3 | 87.7 | 97.5 | 91.8 | 114.0 | 84.9 |
| 1983 | 4 | 86.8 | 96.8 | 89.6 | 115.2 | 83.2 |
| 1984 | 1 | 86.7 | 96.1 | 89.0 | 115.9 | 81.7 |
| 1984 | 2 | 84.3 | 94.0 | 88.5 | 116.2 | 79.8 |
| 1984 | 3 | 83.8 | 93.5 | 87.7 | 118.1 | 78.0 |
| 1984 | 4 | 81.8 | 90.5 | 85.2 | 121.1 | 75.1 |
| 1985 | 1 | 77.6 | 87.3 | 82.9 | 121.5 | 72.1 |
| 1985 | 2 | 85.3 | 95.3 | 90.5 | 122.5 | 78.9 |
| 1985 | 3 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 125.6 | 82.1 |
| 1985 | 4 | 88.0 | 97.3 | 91.7 | 128.4 | 79.8 |
| 1986 | 1 | 83.9 | 92.0 | 88.2 | 130.8 | 75.1 |
| 1986 | 2 | 85.3 | 94.8 | 90.9 | 130.0 | 76.1 |
| 1986 | 3 | 79.1 | 89.1 | 87.0 | 129.5 | 71.9 |
| 1986 | 4 | 74.2 | 84.5 | 83.1 | 129.8 | 68.3 |
| 1987 | 1 | 77.3 | 87.9 | 86.2 | 131.4 | 69.9 |
| 1987 | 2 | - | - | - | 131.0 | 72.7 |
| 1987 | 3 | - | - | - | 131.5 | 72.7 |



Notes: (1).Downward movements indicate greater competitiveness
(2). These indices are in terms of US dollars
(3).Seasonally adjustad
(4).Based on an average of daily telegraphic transier rates in London.

CHANGES IN STOCKS HELD BY INDUSTRY (1)

| Seasonally adjusted |  |  | Pounds million at 1980 prices |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  <br> water supply | Manufacturing <br> (revised <br> definition) | Wholesaling (2) | Retailing (2) | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: (1).Estimates are shown to the nearest Emn but should not be regarded as accurate to this degree
(2) These estimates exclude motor trades.
(3) Unadjusted 1980 price stock levels

|  |  | Employment: production \& construction industries(GB) | Employment: manufacturing industries(GB) | Employment: service industries(GB)(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 |  | 7859 | 6041 | 13105 |
| 1982 |  | 7414 | 5795 | 13056 |
| 1983 |  | 7071 | 5522 | 13184 |
| 1984 |  | 6930 | 5385 | 13496 |
| 1985 |  | 6836 | 5270 | 13852 |
| 1986 |  | 6640 | 5155 | 14165 |
| 1983 | 1 | 7156 | 5610 | 13031 |
| 1983 | 2 | 7096 | 5527 | 13130 |
| 1983 | 3 | 7034 | 5489 | 13239 |
| 1983 | 4 | 6998 | 5461 | 13337 |
| 1984 | 1 | 6954 | 5443 | 13416 |
| 1984 | 2 | 6936 | 5403 | 13466 |
| 1984 | 3 | 6912 | 5391 | 13450 |
| 1984 | 4 | 6916 | 5302 | 13650 |
| 1985 | 1 | 6898 | 5291 | 13726 |
| 1985 | 2 | 6852 | 5280 | 13821 |
| 1985 | 3 | 6816 | 5260 | 13894 |
| 1985 | 4 | 6779 | 5250 | 13968 |
| 1986 | 1 | 6717 | 5218 | 14043 |
| 1986 | 2 | 6654 | 5170 | 14126 |
| 1986 | 3 | 6599 | 5121 | 14213 |
| 1986 | 4 | 6588 | 5109 | 14279 |
| 1987 | 1 | 6558 | 5080 | 14393 |
| 1987 | 2 | 6568 | 5077 | 14492 |
| 1987 | 3 | - | 5069 |  |
| \% change latest quarter on previous quarter |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 3 |  | -1. 1 |  |
| on a year earlier |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 2 | -2. 2 | -2. 4 | +2.4 |
| 1987 | 3 |  | -1.8 |  |

Notes: (1) Estimates of employees in employment up to June 1985 take account of the results of the 1983,1984, and 1985 Labour Force Surveys. Estimates for later periods include an allowance for continued undercounting. For all dates individuals with two jobs as employees of different employers are counted twice
(2) The manufacturing figures are averages of monthly data


| 1971 | 751 | 3.0 | 699 |  | 2.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 837 | 3.3 | 777 | 78 | 3.1 |  |
| 1973 | 596 | 2.3 | 545 | -232 | 2.1 |  |
| 1974 | 599 | 2.3 | 549 | 5 | 2.1 |  |
| 1975 | 941 | 3.6 | 861 | 311 | 3.3 |  |
| 1976 | 1302 | 5.0 | 1179 | 318 | 4.5 |  |
| 1977 | 1403 | 5.4 | 1251 | 72 | 4.8 |  |
| 1978 | 1383 | 5.2 | 1226 | -25 | 4.7 |  |
| 1978 | 1296 | 4.9 | 1140 | -86 | 4.3 |  |
| 1980 | 1665 | 6.2 | 1452 | 311 | 5.4 | 134 |
| 1981 | 2520 | 9.4 | 2270 | 818 | 8.5 | 91 |
| 1982 | 2917 | 11.0 | 2626 | 356 | 9.9 | 114 |
| 1983 | 3105 | 11.7 | 2867 | 240 | 10.8 | 137 |
| 1984 | 3160 | 11.7 | 2999 | 132 | 11.1 | 150 |
| 1985 | 3271 | 11.8 | 3113 | 115 | 11.3 | 162 |
| 1986 | 3289 | 11.9 | 3180 | 67 | 11.5 | 188 |


| 1985 | $J$ | 3341 | 12.1 | 3079 | 9 | 11.1 | 155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | F | 3324 | 12.0 | 3098 | 20 | 11.2 | 155 |
| 1985 | M | 3268 | 11.8 | 3096 | -2 | 11.2 | 157 |
| 1985 | A | 3273 | 11.8 | 3118 | 22 | 11.3 | 162 |
| 1985 | N | 3241 | 11.7 | 3119 | - | 11.3 | 162 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 3179 | 11.5 | 3109 | -9 | 11.3 | 163 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 3235 | 11.7 | 3113 | 4 | 11.3 | 162 |
| 1985 | A | 3240 | 11.7 | 3119 | 6 | 11.3 | 163 |
| 1985 | S | 3346 | 12. 1 | 3121 | 3 | 11.3 | 166 |
| 1985 | 0 | 3277 | 11.9 | 3124 | 3 | 11.3 | 170 |
| 1985 | N | 3259 | 11.8 | 3123 | -1 | 11.3 | 169 |
| 1985 | D | 3273 | 11.8 | 3143 | 20 | 11.4 | 164 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 3408 | 12.3 | 3156 | 13 | 11.4 | 163 |
| 1986 | F | 3337 | 12.0 | 3164 | 9 | 11.4 | 157 |
| 1986 | N | 3324 | 12.0 | 3207 | 42 | 11.5 | 170 |
| 1986 | A | 3325 | 12.0 | 3197 | -10 | 11.5 | 170 |
| 1986 | M | 3271 | 11.8 | 3201 | 4 | 11.5 | 172 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 3229 | 11.6 | 3213 | 12 | 11.6 | 184 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 3280 | 11.8 | 3212 | - | 11.6 | 193 |
| 1986 | A | 3280 | 11.8 | 3209 | -3 | 11.6 | 201 |
| 1986 | S | 3333 | 12.0 | 3183 | -26 | 11.5 | 206 |
| 1986 | 0 | 3237 | 11.7 | 3160 | -24 | 11.4 | 210 |
| 1986 | N | 3217 | 11.6 | 3143 | -16 | 11.3 | 213 |
| 1986 | D | 3229 | 11.6 | 3119 | -24 | 11.2 | 211 |
| 1987 | $\checkmark$ | 3297 | 11.9 | 3114 | -5 | 11.2 | 212 |
| 1987 | F | 3226 | 11.6 | 3066 | -49 | 11.0 | 207 |
| 1987 | M | 3143 | 11.3 | 3040 | -26 | 10.9 | 214 |
| 1987 | A | 3107 | 11.2 | 3018 | -22 | 10.9 | 214 |
| 1987 | N | 2987 | 10.8 | 2952 | -66 | 10.6 | 23. |
| 1987 | $\checkmark$ | 2905 | 10.5 | 2925 | -27 | 10.5 | 234 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 2907 | 10.5 | 2876 | -49 | 10.4 | 235 |
| 1987 | A | 2866 | 10.3 | 2829 | -47 | 10.2 | 237 |
| 1987 | S | 2870 | 10.3 | 2773 | -56 | 10.0 | 247 |
| 1987 | 0 | 2751 | 9.9 | 2715 | -58 | 9.8 | 261 |

Notes (1). The annual figures shown are averages of the monehiy figures
(2). Unemployment figures from April 1983 reflect the effect of provisions in the 1983 Budget for some men aged 60 and over who are no longer have to sign on at unemployment benefit offices.

|  |  | All employees in whole economy |  |  | All employees in manufacturing$\qquad$ (revised definition) |  |  | All employees in sevice industries |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index Jan } \\ & 1980=100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% change over year earlier | Underlying \% change over previous 12 months(1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index Jan } \\ & 1980=100 \end{aligned}$ | \% change over year earlier | Underlying \% change over previous $12 \text { months(1) }$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index Jan } \\ & 1980=100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% change over year $\qquad$ | Underiying \% change over previous 12 months(1) |
| 1980 |  | 111.4 |  |  | 109.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 |  | 125.8 | 13.0 |  | 123.6 | 13.3 |  | 127.8 | 13.3 |  |
| 1982 |  | 137.6 | 9.4 |  | 137.4 | 11.2 |  | 138.9 | 8.7 |  |
| 1983 |  | $149 . ?$ | 84 |  | 149.8 | 9.0 |  | 151.1 | 8.8 |  |
| 1984 |  | 158.3 | 6.1 |  | 162.8 | 8.7 |  | 160.7 | 6.4 |  |
| 1985 |  | 171.7 | 8.5 |  | 177.7 | 9.1 |  | 171.4 | 6.6 |  |
| 1986 |  | 185.3 | 7.9 |  | 191.3 | 7.6 |  | 184.7 | 7.8 |  |
| 1983 | $J$ | 144.5 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 144.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 146.4 | 8.8 |  |
| 1983 | F | 147.2 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 144.8 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 150.1 | 11.4 |  |
| 1983 | M | 146.3 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 145.0 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 149.1 | 9.5 |  |
| 1983 | A | 147.0 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 148.1 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 148.3 | 8.6 |  |
| 1983 | M | 148.6 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 148.2 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 150.8 | 9.6 |  |
| 1983 | $J$ | 148.2 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 147.8 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 151.4 | 9.1 |  |
| 1983 | J | 150.3 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 149.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 152.3 | 7.6 |  |
| 1983 | A | 150.2 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 150.8 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 151.8 | 8.7 |  |
| 1983 | S | 150.7 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 152.4 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 151.5 | 8.9 |  |
| 1983 | 0 | 152.0 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 154.4 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 152.2 | 7.8 |  |
| 1983 | N | 152.1 | 7.3 | 7:8 | 155.6 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 153.6 | 6.8 |  |
| 1983 | D | 153.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 156.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 155.1 | 8.4 |  |
| 1984 | $J$ | 154.7 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 157.0 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 155.9 | 6.5 3.4 |  |
| 1984 | F | 155.6 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 158.7 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 155.2 | 3. 4 |  |
| 1984 | N | 154.4 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 159.2 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 157.0 | 5.3 |  |
| 1984 | A | 155.8 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 159.5 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 158.9 | 7.1 |  |
| 1984 | M | 156.0 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 159.5 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 158.7 | 5.2 |  |
| 1984 | $J$ | 156.0 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 161.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 159.0 | 5.0 |  |
| 1984 | $\checkmark$ | 158.2 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 162.9 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 160.3 | 5.3 |  |
| 1984 | A | 159.0 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 163.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 161.8 | 6.6 |  |
| 1984 | S | 160.2 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 166. 1 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 162.4 | 7.2 |  |
| 1984 | 0 | 164.5 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 168.3 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 168.7 | 10.8 |  |
| 1984 | N | 162.0 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 168.1 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 165.1 | 7.5 |  |
| 1984 | D | 163.5 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 168.5 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 165.9 | 7.0 |  |
| 1985 | $J$ | 165.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 171.7 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 166.7 | 6.9 | $7.0$ |
| 1985 | F | 166.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 172.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 166.9 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| 1985 | M | 168.3 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 173.8 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 168.6 | 7.4 | 7.0 |
| 1985 | A | 170.6 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 177.6 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 170.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 1985 | M | 169.7 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 174.4 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 169.6 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| 1985 | $\checkmark$ | 170.2 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 176.2 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 170.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
| 1985 | J | 172.2 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 178.3 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 170.1 | 6.1 | 6.8 |
| 1985 | A | 173.1 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 1781 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 173.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
| 1985 | S | 176.4 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 181.5 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 176.0 | 8.4 | 6.8 |
| 1985 | 0 | 174.3 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 180.9 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 172.4 | 2.2 | 6.8 |
| 1985 | N | 175.9 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 182.9 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 175.6 | 6.4 | 6.5 |
| 1985 | D | 178.1 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 184.7 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 177.4 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 179.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 185.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 176.7 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| 1986 | F | 180.0 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 186.0 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 177.0 | 6.1 | 6.8 |
| 1986 | M | 182.6 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 186.9 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 183.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 |
| 1986 | A | 185.3 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 191.1 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 185.7 | 9.2 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | N | 182.6 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 187.1 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 182.2 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | $\checkmark$ | 183.9 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 189.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 1848 | 8.6 0.3 | 7.3 7 |
| 1986 | $\checkmark$ | 186.3 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 190.5 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 186.0 | 9.3 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | A | 187.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 191.9 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 187.3 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | S | 187.1 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 194.0 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 186.0 | 5.7 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | 0 | 188.7 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 195.2 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 187.4 | 8.7 | 7.3 |
| 1986 | N | 190.2 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 197.1 | 7.8 8.8 | 7.8 | 190.5 | 8.5 | 7.5 |
| 1886 | D | 181.3 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 200.0 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 180.2 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 192.8 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 200.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 190.3 | 7.7 | 7.5 |
| 1987 | F | 193.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 201.0 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 189.7 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| 1987 | M | 194.8 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 201.1 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 193.8 | 5.9 | 7.3 |
| 1987 | A | 197.4 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 204.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 196.4 | 5.8 9.3 | 7.8 7.8 |
| 1987 | M | 198.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 202.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 199.2 | 9.3 | 7.8 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 198.1 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 204.8 | 7.9 | 8.3 8.3 | 198.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 7.3 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 201.3 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 207.6 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 200.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| 1987 | A | 201.3 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 207.2 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 200.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 1987 | S | 201.9 | 7.9 |  | 210.5 | 8.5 |  | 200.2 | 7.6 |  |

Notes: (1) Estimated to the nearest quarter of one percentage point
(2) Annual figures are straight averages of the montly data

|  | Real average <br> earnings <br> Jan $1980=100$ | $\%$ increase <br> over <br> year earlier |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 103.6 | - |
| 1981 | 104.6 | 1.0 |
| 1982 | 105.4 | 0.7 |
| 1983 | 109.2 | 3.7 |
| 1984 | 110.4 | 1.1 |
| 1985 | 112.9 | 2.3 |
| 1986 | 117.8 | 4.3 |


| 1983 | $J$ | 108.8 | 3.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | F | 110.3 | 4.0 |
| 1983 | N | 109.4 | 3.8 |
| 1983 | A | 108.4 | 4.4 |
| 1983 | M | 109.2 | 4.8 |
| 1983 | $J$ | 108.6 | 4.4 |
| 1983 | $J$ | 109.6 | 3.4 |
| 1983 | A | 109.0 | 3.6 |
| 1983 | S | 108.9 | 3.2 |
| 1983 | 0 | 109.4 | 3.6 |
| 1983 | N | 109.1 | 2.4 |
| 1983 | D | 109.8 | 2.7 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 110.8 | 1.8 |
| 1984 | F | 111.0 | 0.6 |
| 1984 | M | 109.7 | 0.3 |
| 1984 | A | 109.3 | 0.8 |
| 1984 | M | 109.0 | -0.1 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 108.7 | 0.1 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 110.4 | 0.8 |
| 1984 | A | 109.9 | 0.8 |
| 1984 | S | 110.5 | 1.5 |
| 1984 | 0 | 112.8 | 3.1 |
| 1984 | N | 110.8 | 1.5 |
| 1984 | D | 111.9 | 1.9 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 112.8 | 1.9 |
| 1985 | F | 112.6 | 1.5 |
| 1985 | N | 112.8 | 2.8 |
| 1985 | A | 111.9 | 2.4 |
| 1985 | N | 110.8 | 1.7 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 110.9 | 2.0 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 112.4 | 1.8 |
| 1985 | A | 112.7 | 2.5 |
| 1985 | S | 114.9 | 4.0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 113.4 | 0.5 |
| 1985 | N | 114.0 | 3.0 |
| 1985 | D | 115.3 | 3.1 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 115.7 | 2.5 |
| 1986 | F | 115.9 | 2.9 |
| 1986 | N | 117.4 | 4.1 |
| 1986 | A | 118.0 | 5.4 |
| 1986 | M | 116.0 | 4.7 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 116.9 | 5.4 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 118.8 | 5.7 |
| 1986 | A | 118.9 | 5.5 |
| 1986 | S | 118.3 | 3.0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 119.2 | 5.1 |
| 1986 | N | 118.1 | 4.5 |
| 1986 | D | 119.4 | 3.6 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 119.9 | 3.6 |
| 1987 | $F$ | 119.8 | 3.4 |
| 1987 | M | 120.4 | 2.6 |
| 1987 | A | 120.6 | 2.2 |
| 1987 | M | 121.1 | 4.4 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 120.9 | 3.4 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 123.0 | 3.5 |
| 1987 | A | 122.6 | 3.1 |
| 1987 | S | 122.6 | 3.6 |

Notes: (1) Seasonally adjusted average earnings (whole economy GB)

EXCHANGE RATES 1
tes per pound sterling (2)

|  |  | exchange rate index $1975=100$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } \\ \text { dollar } \end{gathered}$ | Deutsche mark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Japanese } \\ \text { yen } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | French frane | Italian lire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 |  | - | 2. 40 | 8.73 | 857.84 | 13.24 | 1502.4 |
| 1971 |  | - | 2. 44 | 8.51 | 849.23 | 13.42 | 1510.3 |
| 1972 |  | - | 2.50 | 7.98 | 758.89 | 12.63 | 1460.7 |
| 1973 |  | - | 2. 45 | 6.56 | 667.29 | 10.93 | 1425.6 |
| 1974 |  | - | 2.34 | 6. 05 | 682.44 | 11.25 | 1521.9 |
| 1975 |  | 99.8 | 2.22 | 5.45 | 658.44 | 9.49 | 1447.5 |
| 1976 |  | 85.7 | 1.81 | 4.56 | 535.85 | 8.61 | 1497.7 |
| 1977 |  | 81.2 | 1.75 | 4.05 | 467.78 | 8.57 | 1540.1 |
| 1978 |  | 81.5 | 1.92 | 3. 85 | 403.18 | 8.65 | 1628.3 |
| 1979 |  | 87.3 | 2. 12 | 3.89 | 465.57 | 9.02 | 1762.2 |
| 1980 |  | 96.1 | 2.33 | 4.23 | 525.81 | 9.83 | 1992.2 |
| 1981 |  | 95.0 | 2.03 | 4.56 | 445.02 | 10.95 | 2289.1 |
| 1982 |  | 90.5 | 1.75 | 4.24 | 434.98 | 11.47 | 2363.0 |
| 1983 |  | 83.2 | 1.52 | 3.87 | 359.95 | 11.54 | 2300.4 |
| 1984 |  | 78.7 | 1.34 | 3.79 | 316.74 | 11.63 | 2338.9 |
| 1985 |  | 78.2 | 1.30 | 3. 78 | 306.89 | 11.55 | 2461.1 |
| 1986 |  | 72.9 | 1.47 | 3. 18 | 247.00 | 10. 16 | 2186.5 |
| 1983 | $J$ | 81.9 | 1.57 | 3.76 | 366.02 | 10.66 10.54 | 2161.9 2141.6 |
| 1983 | $F$ | 80.7 | 1.53 | 3.72 | 361.53 | 10.54 | 2141.6 |
| 1983 | M | 79.1 | 1.49 | 3.59 | 354.88 | 10.45 | 2128.5 |
| 1983 | A | 82.8 | 1.54 | 3.76 | 366.19 | 11.28 | 2238.6 |
| 1983 | M | 84.9 | 1.57 | 3.88 | 369.18 | 11.67 | 2308.0 |
| 1983 | $\checkmark$ | 85.2 | 1.55 | 3.95 | 371.83 | 11.87 | 2339.8 |
| 1983 | $J$ | 84.8 | 1.53 | 3.95 | 367.28 | 11.89 | 2340.0 |
| 1983 | A | 85.1 | 1.50 | 4.02 | 366.96 | 12.08 | 2385.7 |
| 1983 | S | 84.8 | 1.50 | 4.00 | 363.29 | 12.08 | 2400.0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 83.4 | 1.50 | 3.90 | 348.63 | 11.90 | 2368.4 |
| 1983 | N | 83.7 | 1.48 | 3.97 | 347.26 | 12.06 | 2401.2 |
| 1983 | D | 82.5 | 1.44 | 3.94 | 336.38 | 12.02 | 2391.0 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 81.9 | 1.41 | 3.96 | 329. 15 | 12. 10 | 2403.0 |
| 1984 | F | 82.2 | 1.44 | 3. 89 | 336.39 | 11.97 | 2401.9 |
| 1984 | N | 81.0 | 1.46 | 3. 78 | 327.85 | 11.65 | 2350.0 |
| 1984 | A | 79.9 | 1.42 | 3. 76 | 320.33 | 11.56 | 2326.6 |
| 1984 | M | 80.0 | 1.39 | 3.82 | 320.27 | 11.71 | 2356.7 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 79.4 | 1.38 | 3.77 | 321.45 | 11.59 | 2332.9 |
| 1984 | $J$ | 78.4 | 1.32 | 3.76 | 320.80 | 11.55 | 2311.0 |
| 1984 | A | 78.4 | 1.31 | 3.79 | 318.30 | 11.64 | 2338.1 |
| 1984 | S | 77.3 | 1.26 | 3.81 | 308.75 | 11.69 | 2351.6 |
| 1984 | 0 | 75.6 | 1.22 | 3.74 | 301.08 | 11.48 | 2316.6 |
| 1984 | N | 75.7 | 1.24 | 3.71 | 302.17 | 11.40 | 2308.7 |
| 1984 | D | 74.0 | 1. 19 | 3.69 | 294.31 | 11.29 | 2269.8 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 71.5 | 1.13 | 3.58 | 286.82 | 10.95 | 2199.1 |
| 1985 | F | 71.3 | 1.09 | 3.61 | 284.73 | 11.02 | 2229.0 |
| 1985 | M | 73.4 | 1. 12 | 3.70 | 289.75 | 11.31 | 2336.0 |
| 1985 | A | 78.0 | 1.24 | 3.83 | 312.30 | 11.69 | 2445.8 |
| 1985 | M | 78.8 | 1.25 | 3.88 | 314.56 | 11.84 | 2475.5 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 79.9 | 1.28 | 3.92 | 318.69 | 11.96 | 2501.9 |
| 1985 | $J$ | 83.3 | 1.38 | 4.01 | 332.61 | 12.21 | 2620.2 |
| 1985 | A | 81.7 | 1.38 | 3.87 | 328.43 | 11.81 | 2590.8 |
| 1985 | S | 81.4 | 1.37 | 3.87 | 322.83 | 11.81 | 2596.6 |
| 1985 | 0 | 80.4 | 1.42 | 3.76 | 305.17 | 11.46 | 2537.2 |
| 1985 | N | 80.0 | 1.44 | 3.73 | 293.64 | 11.38 | 2522.9 |
| 1985 | D | 79.1 | 1. 45 | 3.63 | 293.17 | 11.11 | 2478.3 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 76.6 | 1.12 | 3.17 | 284.65 | 10.66 |  |
| 1986 | F | 74.2 | 1.43 | 3.34 | 263.84 | 10.23 | 2268.7 |
| 1986 | M | 74.6 | 1.47 | 3.33 | 262.06 | 10.23 | 2262.5 |
| 1986 | A | 76.2 | 1.50 | 3.40 | 262.17 | 10.79 | 2331.8 |
| 1986 | N | 76.1 | 1.52 | 3.39 | 253.84 | 10.79 | 2322.0 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 75.9 | 1.51 | 3.37 | 252. 78 | 10.74 | 2311.9 |
| 1986 | $J$ | 74.0 | 1.51 | 3.25 | 239.39 | 10.46 | 2229.4 |
| 1986 | A | 71.4 | 1.49 | 3.07 | 229.18 | 9.99 | 2110.6 |
| 1986 | S | 70.4 | 1.47 | 3.00 | 227.65 | 9.83 | 2073.4 |
| 1986 | 0 | 67.8 | 1.43 | 2.86 | 223.15 | 9.36 | 1979.7 |
| 1986 | N | 68.5 | 1.43 | 2.88 | 232.00 | 9.43 | 1995.9 |
| 1986 | D | 68.5 | 1.44 | 2.86 | 233.22 | 9.39 | 1984.1 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 68.8 | 1.51 | 2.80 | 232.94 | 9.33 | 1981.8 |
| 1987 | F | 69.0 | 1.53 | 2. 79 | 234.25 | 9.28 | 1980.8 |
| 1987 | N | 71.9 | 1.59 | 2.92 | 241.07 | 9.72 | 2078.0 |
| 1987 | A | 72.3 | 1.63 | 2.95 | 232.87 | 9.83 | 2106.1 |
| 1987 | N | 73.3 | 1.67 | 2.98 | 234.13 | 9.96 | 2151.8 |
| 1987 | $\checkmark$ | 72.6 | 1.63 | 2.96 | 235.31 | 9.89 | 2142.9 |
| 1987 | $\checkmark$ | 72.8 | 1.61 | 2.97 | 241.83 | 9.90 | 2152.5 |
| 1987 | A | 72.3 | 1.60 | 2.97 | 235.70 | 9.90 | 2149.3 |
| 1987 1987 | S | 73.1 73.6 | 1.65 1.66 | 2.98 2.98 | 235.57 238.05 | 9.96 10.00 | 2155.4 2164.0 |

Notes: (1) The annual figures quoted are 12 month averages
(2) Average of daily telegraphic transter closing rates in London

Economic Indicator Group: Sectoral Finance
Seasonaliy adiusted Pounds million

|  |  | Current <br> balance | Visible balance |  |  | Invisible balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Non-oil | Oil | Total |  |
| 1970 |  | 799 | 462 | -496 | -32 | 830 |
| 1971 |  | 1092 | 882 | -692 | 191 | 901 |
| 1972 |  | 193 | -82 | -666 | -747 | 940 |
| 1973 |  | -1 018 | -1645 | -941 | -2 587 | 1568 |
| 1974 |  | -3 316 | -1994 | -3 357 | -5 351 | 2035 |
| 1975 |  | -1583 | -276 | -3 057 | -3 334 | 1751 |
| 1976 |  | -921 | 18 | -3 917 | -3930 | 3009 |
| 1977 |  | -136 | 487 | -2771 | -2 284 | 2148 |
| 1978 |  | 967 | 442 | -1984 | -1541 | 2508 |
| 1979 |  | -661 | -2 718 | -731 | -3 450 | 2788 |
| 1980 |  | 2917 | 1046 | 315 | 1362 | 1555 |
| 1981 |  | 6312 | 249 | 3111 | 3360 | 2952 |
| 1982 |  | 4036 | -2 312 | 4643 | 2332 | 1704 |
| 1983 |  | 3337 | -7 811 | 6976 | -836 | 4173 |
| 1984 |  | 1473 | -11 321 | 6937 | -4 386 | 5858 |
| 1985 |  | 2921 | -10 283 | 8105 | -2 177 | 5097 |
| 1986 |  | -980 | -12520 | 4056 | -8 463 | 7483 |
| 1983 | 1 | 1668 | -1700 | 1769 | 68 | 1600 |
| 1983 | 2 | -35 | -2 015 | 1550 | -464 | 429 |
| 1983 | 3 | 1254 | -1648 | 1562 | -86 | 1340 |
| 1983 | 4 | 450 | -2 448 | 2095 | -354 | 804 |
| 1984 | 1 | 1254 | -2 270 | 2257 | -13 | 1267 |
| 1984 | 2 | -311 | -2 801 | 1532 | -1 268 | 958 |
| 1984 | 3 | -92 | -3 188 | 1672 | -1516 | 1424 |
| 1984 | 4 | 622 | -3 062 | 1476 | -1588 | 2209 |
| 1985 | 1 | -109 | -3 092 | 1894 | -1 198 | ${ }^{1} 089$ |
| 1985 | 2 | 1304 | -2 660 | 2448 | -211 | 1515 |
| 1985 | 3 | 1141 | -2 376 | 1780 | -596 | 1736 |
| 1985 | 4 | 585 | -2 155 | 1983 | -172 | 757 |
| 1986 1986 | 2 | 744 146 |  |  | -1240 -1607 | 1984 +1753 |
| 1986 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 146 -910 | -2 | 765 621 | -1 -2891 | 1 +1581 |
| 1986 | 4 | -960 | -3 509 | 784 | -2 725 | 1765 |
| 1987 | 1 | 671 | -2 300 | 1164 | $-1136$ |  |
| 1987 | 2 | -194 | -3 395 | 1 034 | -2 382 | 2187 |
| 1987 | 3 | -1249 |  |  | -3 049 | 1800 |
| 1985 | $\checkmark$ | 193 |  |  | -167 | 360 |
| 1985 | F | -57 |  |  | -399 | 342 |
| 1985 | N | -245 |  |  | -632 | 387 |
| 1985 | A | 397 637 |  |  | -108 132 | 505 |
| 1985 | N | 637 270 |  |  | 132 -235 | 505 |
| 1985 | J | 270 285 |  |  | -295 | 579 |
| 1985 | A | 447 |  |  | -131 | 578 |
| 1985 | S | 408 |  |  | -170 | 579 |
| 1985 | 0 | 182 |  |  | -15 | 197 |
| 1985 | N | -79 |  |  | -276 | 197 |
| 1985 | D | 482 |  |  | 118 | 363 |
| 1986 | . 1 | 998 |  |  | 45 | 953 |
| 1986 | F | 209 |  |  | -307 | 516 |
| 1986 | M | -463 |  |  | -978 | 515 |
| 1986 | , | 286 |  |  | -298 | 584 |
| 1986 | , | -108 |  |  | -693 | 585 584 |
| 1986 | $J$ | -3? |  |  | -616 | 588 |
| 1986 | $J$ | -3 -730 |  |  | -6ib3 -1391 | 661 |
| 1986 | A | -730 |  |  | -1 391 | 661 |
| 1986 | S | -177 |  |  | -837 | 660 |
| 1986 | 0 | -165 |  |  | -753 | 588 |
| 1986 | N | -472 -323 |  |  | -1061 -911 | 589 588 |
| 1986 | D | -323 |  |  | -911 | 588 |
| 1987 | $J$ | 85 |  |  | -517 | 602 |
| 1987 | F | 401 |  |  | -202 | 603 |
| 1987 | M | 185 |  |  | -417 | 602 |
| 1987 | A | 203 |  |  | -527 | 729 |
| 1987 | M | -378 |  |  | -1107 -748 | 729 |
| 1987 | $J$ | -19 |  |  | -748 | 729 |
| 1987 | $J$ | -325 |  |  | -925 | 600 |
| 1987 | A | -907 |  |  | -1 507 | 600 |
| 1987 | S | -17 |  |  | -617 | 600 |
| 1987 | 0 | -282 |  |  | -882 | 600 |

Note: 1 . Monthly figures for invisibles are not available. The monthly invisibles shown are one-third of the appropriate calender quarter's estimate, except for budget refunds received from the European Community which are allocated to the month they are known to have been received
2. The invisibles figure for April 1987 is a projection.

In lime wits all perious

1. MR HIBBERD
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

FROM: P F L ALLUM DATE: 17 DECEMEBR 1987
cc : Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Economic Secretary Sir Peter Middleton Sir Terence Burns Mr F Cassell Mr Monck Mr Scholar Mr Sedgwick o/r Mr Allen Mr Pickford Mr Patterson Mr Call Mr Tyrie

Mr Lomas - CSO

GDP FIGURES FOR THE THIRD QUARTER OF 1987

Provisional estimates of GDP in the third quarter of 1987 will be published by the CSO on Friday at 11.30 am . The increases in the various measures of real GDP between the second and third quarters of 1987 and between the third quarters of 1986 and 1987 are shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { REAL GDP (percentage increases) } \\
& 198702 \text { to } 198603 \text { to } \\
& 198703
\end{aligned}
$$

| Output estimate | 2.1 | 5.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expenditure | 2.5 | 5.2 |
| Income estimate | 1.9 | 5.3 |
| Average estimate | 2.1 | 5.2 |

2. GDP growth shown by the average estimate ( 2 per cent over the latest quarter, and $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent over the last year) is above that shown by the preliminary third quarter output estimate published on 18 November (which was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent up over the latest quarter, and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent over the last year). The CSO's press notice describes the level of economic activity in the third quarter as " 5 per cent higher than at the same time last year".
3. Growth between the second and third quarters of 1987 (estimated at 2 per cent) is considerably above earlier increases in the upswing since mid-1986. Several GDP components, including stockbuilding gdp
ch-17-12

## RESTRICTED until 11.30 am on Friday 18 December then UNCLASSIFIELD

expenditure and construction industry output, appear to have been erratically high in the third quarter. Because of this a better guide to underlying growth is probably provided by comparing the level of output in the first three quarters of 1987 with the comparable period in 1986. On this basis, the average measure of GDP is estimated to have increased by 4.1 per cent.
4. The national accounts published on Friday incorporate upward revisions to earlier estimates of consumers' expenditure and downward revisions to previous figures for incomes from self-employment. This has narrowed what was previously a considerable divergence between the income and expenditure measures of GDP in recent years. Estimated growth of consumers' expenditure in 1986 has been revised up from 5.8 to 6.0 per cent, while the increase in the year to the third quarter of 1987 has been revised up from 5.3 to 5.7 per cent.
5. Industrial and commercial companies' profits net of stock appreciation are estimated to have risen by 22 per cent over the year to 1987Q3. This comparison is affected by the privatisation of British Gas, British Airways and the British Airports Authority; the CSO's press notice says that the rise in company profits over the last year exceeds the contribution of the newly privatised companies. Company profits together with gross trading surpluses of public corporations rose by over 25 per cent in the year to 1987Q3. No split between profits earned by oil and non-oil companies is yet available for the latest quarter.

## Average growth since the 1981 trough

6. Between the first half of 1981 and the third quarter of 1987, GDP has increased $21 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in total, an annual rate of increase of 3.1 per cent.

## The GDP deflator and money GDP

7. The table below compares the estimates now being published for growth in the GDP deflator and money GDP with the Autumn Statement forecast for the current financial year as a whole.

# RESTRICTED until 11.30 am on Friday 18 December then UNCLASSIFIELD 

Latest published figures:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
1987 Q 3 \text { on } & 1987 Q 2 \text { and } 1987 Q 3 \\
\text { year earlier } & \text { on year earlier }
\end{array}
$$

Autumn Statement
forecast for growth in 1987-88

| Money GDP | 10.3 | 9.5 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GDP deflator | 4.8 | 4.7 | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| (market prices) |  |  |  |

8. The increase in the GDP deflator in the year to the third quarter of 1987 is in line with expectations at the time of the Autumn Statement. But upward revision to the level of the GDP deflator in the second quarter (which we previously suspected to be too low) together with stronger than expected growth in real output suggests that money GDP growth is now likely to exceed the Autumn Statement projection for 1987-88 as a whole. (Although the Autumn Statement forecast assumed some deceleration of money GDP growth in the second half of this financial year it would now need to be to an annual rate of less than 6 per cent to bring year-on-year growth in line with the Autumn Statement forecast.)

Lines to take

Positive
(i) GDP at record level and for first three quarters of 1987 up by over 4 per cent on year earlier.
(ii) Gross trading profits of private companies and public corporations over 25 per cent higher than year earlier.

## Defensive

(i) Economy overheating? Latest GDP figures show growth for first three quarters of 1987 in line with Autumn Statement forecast for 4 per cent growth in 1987 as a whole. Growth in third quarter likely to prove erratically high; 1988 likely to see GDP growth more in line with longer run trend over current upswing.


## UNCLASSIFIED

ps 2/59M


FROM: MISS M P WALLACE
DATE: 18 December 1987

MR P L BLUM
cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Economic Secretary
Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns
Mr F Cassel
Mr Monck
Mr Scholar
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Allen
Mr Pickford
Mr Hibberd
Mr Patterson
Mr Call
Mr Tyrie
Mr Lomas - CSO

## GDP FIGURES FOR THE THIRD QUARTER OF 1987

The Chancellor has seen and was grateful for your minute of 17 December.
npr.
MOIRA WALLACE

## general government financial deficit as a percentage of gdp

The Chancellor would be grateful for a table giving estimates/ forecasts of the general Government financial deficit as a percentage of GDP for each of the G7 countries in 1987-88, and 1988-89.


J M G TAYLOR

```
cc Mr H P Evans
```

GENERAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL DEFICIT AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP

The Chancellor would be grateful for a table giving estimates/ forecasts of the general Government financial deficit as a percentage of GDP for each of the G7 countries in 1987-88, and 1988-89.


J M G TAYLOR

FROM: D SAVAGE
DATE: 4 January 1988

CHANCELLOR
CC Mr Evans
Mr Matthews

GENERAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL DEFICIT AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP

Table 1 gives, for each of the $G 7$ countries, OECD estimates for calendar 1987 and forecasts for calendar 1988.

Table 1. GGFD as percentage of GNP/GDP

|  | $\underline{1987}$ | $\underline{1988}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| United States | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Japan | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Germany | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| France | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| United Kingdom | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Italy | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Canada | 4.4 | 3.3 |

Source: OECD Economic Outlook December 1987.
2. The estimate for the UK for 1987 is probably too high.
3. The forecasts for 1988 in general assume constant policies. The forecast for the US allows for a $\$ 23$ billion cut in the deficit in FY 1988 a little Less than the $\$ 30$ billion cut announced on 20 November. Our forecast for the UK (which we do not publish) is a good deal lower than the OECD's.


CHANCELLOR


FROM: D SAVAGE
DATE: 6 January 1988

CC
Mr Evans Mr Matthews

GENERAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL DEFICIT AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP

Table l gives the (unpublished) Autumn Statement forecast for the UK rather than the OECD's forecast.

Table 1. GGFD as percentage of GNP/GDP

|  | $\underline{1987}$ | $\underline{1988}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| United States | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Japan | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Germany | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| France | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| United Kingdom | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Italy | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Canada | 4.4 | 3.3 |

Source: Autumn Statement forecast for UK; OECD Economic Outlook December 1987 for other countries.
2. We are now esimating the $198 /$ outturn for the UK at arming 13/4 per cent.

DAVID SAVAGE


FROM: MOIRA WALLACE
DATE: 7 January 1988

MR SAVAGE

Cc Mr Evans
Mr Matthews

GENERAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL DEFICIT AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP

The Chancellor has seen and was grateful for your minutes of 4 January and 6 January.

Mops.

MOIRA WALLACE

PPS
a
This adds $v$ little indeed tout is aberdy $=$ speech it He there any pants yo do cant mon duad malty?


FROM: MRS M E BROWN DATE: 24 JANUARY 1988

CC PS/Economic Secretary Sir P Middleton Mr Wicks Mr Lankester
Mr R I G Allen
Mr Money
Mr Burr
Mr Gilhooly
Mr Inlet
Mr Mercer
Miss O'Mara
Mr Moran
Ms Symes

CHANCELLLOR'S SPEECH ON EUROPE

1. As agreed, I attach defensive briefing. I was offered "Ineping"? Mary


MRS M E BROWN

```
ec1.bk/meb/23.1.2
```


## GENERAL

## Another anti-communautaire speech?

No. UK committed to Europe in realistic and practical way. Single market an example. But cautious about getting diverted into idealistic discussions.

## Attacking Delors?

Read M. Delors' speech to European Parliament last week with great interest. Share his commitment to single market and the importance he placed on avoiding protectionist policies in dealings with rest of world. But do not agree that single market leads inexorably on to full economic and monetary union, and talk of inter-governmental conference on Treaty amendment far too premature. Want to concentrate on promoting economic and monetary measures which will strengthen the single market in a practical way.
ec1.bk/meb/23.1.3

EMU AND ERM
European Monetary Union essential for completion of internal market?

- No. Internal market white paper in 1985 did not suggest that EMU was required. Nor did the Cecchini Report.
- EMU presupposes degree of political integration at present not even in prospect.
- Delors Committee set up by Hanover European Council, was asked to study and propose concrete stages leading towards EMU. Hope it will adopt practical, pragmatic approach. Committee's proceedings are confidential. Due to report to ECOFIN before discussion at European Council in Madrid in June.
- [If needed: While §ingle European Àt refers in preamble to 1992 objective "of the progressive realisation of economic and monetary union", article 102A makes clear any institutional changes would require Treaty amendment. No specific commitment or timetable.]


## Join ERM?

- No change in Government position, kept under continuous review. Will join when Government thinks time is right.
- No timetable set. Completion of single market certainly does not require sterling's participation in ERM.


## Use of ecu

- UK actually filled market gap by issuing own short-term Ecu Treasury Bills and holds ecu in official reserves.
- Would encourage other member states:
to hold ecu in reserves and use in intervention within Community;
to enter ecu market themselves;
to remove any remaining obstacles to use of ecu in commercial transactions.

```
eci.bk/meb/23.1.2
```


## TAX

## Indirect taxes

All countries had problems with the Commission's proposals on tax approximation. My paper to the September ECOFIN set out an alternative approach which aims to remove fiscal frontier controls and allow market forces to influence tax rates to the extent necessary for the single market. Hope we will be able to consider a way forward on these lines in coming months. But stress UK cannot contemplate abolition of zero rates, or large reductions in excise duties on alcohol and tobacco. Have suggested high minimum duty rates for alcohol and tobacco as way of removing fiscal frontier controls for these items.

## Taxation of savings

Await Commission proposals.

There is no link between the freedom of capital movements and any tax measures on saving.

Essential to avoid measures which would drive business outside the Community, damage Community financial markets and reduce present tax rate. No evidence from UK experience in abolishing exchange controls that the consequence is massive tax losses.

Harmonising systems of company taxation and withholding taxes on dividends

The case for harmonisation of this kind has not been made out. Such convergence as is necessary will come through the operation of market forces.

## Harmonising rules for determining taxable profits

The case for harmonisation of this kind has not been made out. Such convergence as is necessary will come through the operation of market forces.

Preventing double taxation

The UK does not oppose this initiative but the need for such a procedure must be adequately established and, if established, the machinery must be workable.

Common system of taxation for parent and subsidiary companies

The Commission's proposal does not create any policy difficulties unless it were to be argued that ACT represents a withholding tax. The UK representatives have made the position clear on this point.

```
ec1.bk/meb/23.1.3
```


## MERGERS POLICY

Is UK against/in favour of proposed merger control regulation?

We are not convinced about the principle of a regulation and have reserved our position on it. Other member states have strong reservations on the details. Meanwhile we are participating constructively in discussions. These are likely to continue for some time.
ec1.bk/meb/23.1.3

SOCIAL POLICIES/STRUCTURAL FUNDS
[Single European Act provides for strengthening the EC's "economic and social cohesion", particularly through structural funds. Commission working paper, September 1988, outlined range of possible social measures - no specific proposals yet.]

- UK ready to discuss steps which make positive contribution to the single market, eg. promoting health and safety at work, better training.
- But wary of proposals which are not specifically related to the single market [eg. worker participation, worker protection].
- Increase in the structural funds was part of a package deal at the February Council last year, which included legally binding constraints on agricultural expenditure, annual ceilings on own resources and firm control of non-compulsory expenditure. The 1989 Budget procedure has demonstrated the effectiveness of budget discipline arrangements.
$\mathrm{ec} 1 . \mathrm{bk} / \mathrm{meb} / 23.1 .4$


## AGRICULTURE AND THE CAP

## What is the Government doing to reduce burden of CAP on consumers and taxpayers?

- UK has long pressed for reform within the European Community.
- February Brussels European Council agreed effective budgetary discipline by setting legally binding guideline on CAP expenditure, limiting guarantee expenditure growth to 74 per cent of growth of Community GNP. Council also agreed on "stabilisers" for main commodity sectors, which will control support levels and expenditure.
- July Agriculture Council froze most prices. Commission's proposals for 1989 price fixing would have similar effect.


## What is the future of the CAP?

- UK Ministers have brought world agricultural crisis to forefront of the international agenda.
- All OECD countries provide large-scale subsidies and protection. Reform will be easier if all countries reduce their support together and allow greater play of market forces. GATT Uruquay Round negotiations offer opportunity for significant multilateral reform. Hope for substantial progress at resumed Ministerial meeting in April.
- But as I have said, CAP reform ought not wait. Brussels European Council was an important step, but more needs to be done.
- Intervention arrangements should return to their original roots, and be no more than a safety net. Much greater openness to market forces would benefit producers themselves, as well as consumers and tax payers.
- CAP reforms bound to have some effect on farm incomes (which are in any case subject to the vagaries of the weather and world prices). Most farmers already have other sources of income and this will increasingly be the case as the rural economy diversifies away from agriculture.

How will Britain's farmers fare in 1992?

- British farming has nothing to fear from a leaner, trimmer CAP. Their efficiency and experience will be rewarded. We will not abandon farmers with special needs; policies should enable them to benefit from a more dynamic open market
$\mathrm{ec} 1 . \mathrm{bk} / \mathrm{meb} / 23.1 .5$

TRADE/EXTERNAL RELATIONS

## EC already protectionist?

Level of protection in certain sectors is certainly too high. Single market process will remove many national trade barriers affecting non-EC goods. Important that EC maintains firm commitment to successful GATT Round as this will lead to reduction of trade barriers in EC and elsewhere.
"Fortress Europe" after 1992?

In Hanover Council Communique EC Heads of Government underlined that "the single market should not close in on itself". UK strongly supports this position and will press for negotiations with third countries on access to EC markets to be conducted in conformity with GATT obligations.
[N.B. David Henderson, Chief OECD economist, has published essay on 'Fortress Europe' making similar points. Report in yesterday's (Tuesday) FT].

## EC/EFTA

Glad that M. Delors raised this subject in recent speech to European Parliament, and that European Commission is giving thought to strengthening relations with EFTA. Clearly important in context of single market.
[If raised - application procedures set out in Treaty of Rome. Any application will of course be looked at on its merits. Turkish application being considered - nothing to add.]

Issued jointly with the Central Statistical Office (CSO)

Press enquiries: Non-Press enquiries:
Visible Trade 01-215 4474/5060/5066 Invisibles 01-270 6357
Balance of Payments and Economy 01-270 5238

Visibles 01-215 4894/4895/5130 Invisibles 01-270 6363/6364

Date 27 June 1988

Ref No 460

## The current account of the United Kingdom balance of payments

## MAY 1988

The current account for May, seasonally adjusted, is estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 1205$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 728$ million in April. In May, exports - seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis - were valued at $£ 6481$ million and imports at $£ 8186$ million so that trade in goods was in deficit by $£ 1705$ million.

The balance on invisibles is projected to be in surplus by $£ 500$ million, a large surplus on the transactions of the private sector and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government transactions.

MARCH TO MAY 1988
In the three months ended May, the current account showed a deficit of $£ 2.5$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 2.7$ billion in the previous three months. There was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 3.9$ billion in the latest three months compared with a deficit of $£ 4.0$ billion in the three months ended February. The surplus on invisibles in the latest three months is projected at £1.4 billion.

## CURRENT ACCOUNT

Table 1
£ million, Seasonally adjusted

|  |  | Visible |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Balance | Balance | Exports <br> fob | Imports fob | Invisibles Balance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1986 | + 116 | - 8463 | 72678 | 81141 | + 8579 |
| 1987 | - 1560 | - 9625 | 79622 | 89247 | + 8065 |
| 1987 Q1 | + 974 | - 1211 | 19530 | 20740 | + 2185 |
| Q2 | - 218 | - 2317 | 19357 | 21674 | + 2099 |
| Q3 | - 919 | - 3109 | 20235 | 23344 | + 2190 |
| Q4 | - 1397 | - 2988 | 20500 | 23488 | + 1591 |
| 1988 Q1 | - 2776 | - 4017 | 18826 | 22843 | + 1241 |
| 1987 Dec | - 480 | - 1010 | 6817 | 7827 | + 530 |
| 1988 Jan | - 1159 | - 1573 | 6209 | 7782 | + 414 |
| Feb | - 1044 | - 1457 | 6176 | 7634 | + 413 |
| Mar | - 572 | - 986 | 6441 | 7427 | + 414 |
| Apr | - 728a | - 1228 | 6793 | 8021 | + 500a |
| May | - 1205a | - 1705 | 6481 | 8186 | + 500a |
| Dec-Feb 1988 | - 2684 | - 4041 | 19202 | 23243 | + 1357 |
| Mar-May 1988 | - 2505a | - 3919 | 19715 | 23634 | + 1414a |
| Jan-May 1988 | - 4709a | - 6950 | 32100 | 39050 | + 2241a |

a Invisibles for April to May are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection. Information relating to credits and debits can be found in Table 3.
Department of Trade and Industry 1 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET Out of Hours Tel 01-215 7877 Fax 01-222 4382

## VISIBLE TRADE IN MAY

There was a deficit on visible trade in May of $£ 1705$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 1228$ million in April. The surplus on oil was £22l million, £87 million less than in April. The deficit on non-oil trade increased by $£ 390$ million.

Total exports in May were valued at $£ 6481$ million, which was $£ 312$ million ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) lower than in April. Exports of oil decreased by $£ 72$ million and exports of the erratic items decreased by $£ 43$ million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports fell by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between April and May.

Total imports were valued at $£ 8186$ million in May, which was $£ 165$ million ( 2 per cent) more than in April. Imports of oil rose by $£ 16$ million between the two months while imports of the erratic items rose by $£ 197$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports fell by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between April and May.

## RECENT TRENDS

## Visible balance

In the three months ended May, there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 3.9$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 0.7$ billion being offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of $£ 4.7$ billion. Between the three months ended February and the latest three months, the deficit on visible trade decreased by $£ 0.1$ billion - the surplus on oil fell by $£ 0.3$ billion while the deficit on non-oil trade fell by £0.4 billion.

## Exports

Exports amounted to $£ 19.7$ billion in the three months ended May, $£ 0.5$ billion ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) more than in the previous three months. Exports of oil fell by $£ 0.4$ billion, while exports of the erratic items rose by $£ 0.5$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports increased by 3 per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months.

Total exports volume in the latest 3 months was 1 per cent higher than in the previous three months and 2 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume rose by 2 per cent in the latest three months and was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. The volatility of figures during 1988 makes it difficult to determine the extent of changes in the underlying level of exports since the beginning of the year.

## Imports

Total imports were valued at $£ 23.6$ billion in the latest three months, $£ 0.4$ billion ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) higher than in the previous three months. Imports of oil fell by $£ 0.1$ billion while imports of the erratic items rose by £0. 3 billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports rose by 1 per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months.

Total import volume in the latest three months was $l \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and 11 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by 1 per cent in the latest three months and was 11 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. It is too soon to say whether the underlying level of imports is now above that level reached at the end of 1987.

## Terms of trade and unit values

The terms of trade index rose 2 per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months with the export unit value index increasing by $l \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and the import unit value index decreasing by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Compared with the same three months a year ago, the export unit value index rose by 2 per cent and the import unit value index fell by 2 per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is 4 per cent up on a year ago.

Export unit values for fuels fell by $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil exports rose by 2 per cent.

Import unit values for fuels fell by 6 per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil imports was unchanged.

## Analysis by area

Exports to the developed countries rose by 6 per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months. Exports to the European Community countries rose by 13 per cent over the latest three months; exports to North America decreased by 10 per cent but exports to the other developed countries increased by 4 per cent. Exports to the developing countries rose by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months.

Imports from the developed countries increased by 3 per cent over the latest three months with arrivals from the European Community countries up by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, from North America up by 14 per cent and arrivals from the other developed countries down by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Imports from the developing countries increased by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended February and the latest three months.

## NOTES TO EDITORS

## INVISIBLES

1 Estimates of invisibles are based on a variety of sources, mostly inquiries of those engaging in the various transactions. These are usually sample inquiries, and are variously held on quarterly, annual or periodical bases. For some components, data for recent periods are therefore incomplete and subject to significant estimation errors.

2 The figures shown for the invisibles balance in most months are the estimates for the relevant quarters, taken from the balance of payments accounts, expressed at a monthly rate. For the most recent months, however, the figures are projections, which are rounded to the nearest £100 million to emphasise their approximate nature. These projections are superceded by preliminary estimates from the balance of payments accounts when they are published around the middle of March, June, September and December. Thus the projections for January to March 1988 shown in tables 1 and 2 of the April press notice have been replaced by figures based on the preliminary estimates for the first quarter of 1988 published on 16 June. There have also been revisions to estimates for earlier periods.

## visible trade

3 On 1 January 1988 new Customs administrative procedures were introduced, including a switch to a new Single Administrative Document for Customs declaration and the adoption of a new system of commodity coding based on the world-wide Harmonised System. Details of the changes, collectively known as 'Customs '88', were given in an article in British Business on 27 November 1987. As expected these changes have had some effect on the statistics of visible trade. The main effect has been to alter the month in which some exports have been recorded from December 1987 onwards (see para 4 below). There is no indication of any similar effects occuring with imports.

## The monthly pattern of exports

4 Figures of exports attributed to a particular month relate to information received in the Statistical Office of HM Customs and Excise up to three days before the end of that month. Documents generally arrive at the Statistical Office up to a fortnight after the goods are shipped, so that a proportion of exports attributed to a particular month correspond to goods shipped in the previous month, and in a few cases before then. A significant increase in the proportion of goods shipped and recorded in the month suggests some speeding up in the lodging of documents during December 1987 in advance of the administrative changes. Analysis of the timing of shipments and documents since December 1987 is continuing, although preliminary indications are that the major impact of Customs ' 88 was concentrated in the December to February period.

## Revisions

5 The figures for imports for the period January to April 1988 in Tables 1, 2 and 7 have been revised upwards since their earlier publication in the monthly curcent account press notice issued on 27 May. The revisions have been made to correct an error in the freight component which forms part of the adjustment of imports from an overseas trade to a balance of payments basis. As a consequence, the figures for imports and the visible trade deficit for January to April 1988 shown in Tables 1, 2, 5 and 7 and for the first quarter of 1988 shown in Table 16 have been revised upwards.

## Ferry Operators' strike

6 The ferry operators' strike, which continued throughout May, led to a reduction in the flow of trade through Dover. However the shortfall appears to have been diverted to other ports, so that the overall effect on the May figures is thought to be small.

## MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS

7 The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of $£ 43$ which includes the annual supplement. Individual copies are priced at £3, (£7 for the annual supplement).

AREA (tables 11 and 15)

8 Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than £600, are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15 . In addition the method of seasonal adjustment. leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

## STANDARD NOTES

9 There is a set of standard notes that describe the basis on which the figures in this Press Notice are compiled including the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Dverseas Trade Statistics (OTS) figures. Additional copies can be obtained from the address below.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, l Victoria Street, London SWIH OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)

|  | Current Balance | Visible Trade |  |  |  |  | Invisibles Balance b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports fob | Imports <br> fob | Visible Balance | of which |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Oil | Non-oil |  |
| 1986 | + 116 | 72678 | 81141 | - 8463 | $+4056$ | - 12519 | $+8579$ |
| 1987 | - 1560 | 79622 | 89247 | - 9625 | + 4184 | - 13809 | + 8065 |
| 1987 Q1 | + 974 | 19530 | 20740 | - 1211 | + 1159 | - 2370 | + 2185 |
| Q2 | - 218 | 19357 | 21674 | - 2317 | + 1016 | - 3333 | + 2099 |
| Q3 | - 919 | 20235 | 23344 | - 3109 | + 936 | - 4046 | + 2190 |
| Q4 | - 1397 | 20500 | 23488 | - 2988 | + 1073 | - 4061 | + 1591 |
| 1988 Q1 | - 2776 | 18826 | 22843 | - 4017 | + 881 | - 4897 | + 1241 |
| 1987 Sep | + 35 | 6962 | 7657 | - 695 | + 310 | - 1004 | + 730 |
| Oct | - 392 | 6802 | 7724 | - 922 | + 394 | - 1316 | + 530 |
| Nov | - 525 | 6881 | 7938 | - 1056 | + 332 | - 1388 | + 531 |
| Dec | - 480 | 6817 | 7827 | - 1010 | $+346$ | - 1356 | + 530 |
| 1988 Jan | - 1159 | 6209 | 7782 | - 1573 | + 356 | - 1929 | + 414 |
| Feb | - 1044 | 6176 | 7634 | - 1457 | + 311 | - 1769 | + 413 |
| Mar | - 572 | 6441 | 7427 | - 986 | + 213 | - 1199 | + 414 |
| Apr | - 728a | 6793 | 8021 | - 1228 | + 309 | - 1537 | + 500a |
| May | - 1205a | 6481 | 8186 | - 1705 | + 221 | - 1926 | + 500a |
| Mar-May 1987 | + 229 | 19404 | 21302 | - 1898 | + 1223 | - 3121 | + 2127 |
| Dec-Feb 1988 | - 2684 | 19202 | 23243 | - 4041 | + 1014 | - 5055 | + 1357 |
| Mar-May 1988 | - 2505a | 19715 | 23634 | - 3919 | + 743 | - 4662 | + 1414a |
| \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latest 3 months - on previous 3 months |  | $+2^{1 / 2}$ | + $11 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| - Same 3 months one year ago |  | + $11 / 2$ | + 11 |  |  |  |  |

a Invisibles for April to May 1988 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection.

## INVISIBLES

Table 3
(Balance of Payments basis)

All Sectors Corporations ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| of which |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Credits | Debits | Balance |
|  |  |  |  | Profits |  |  |  |  |
| Credits | Debits | Balance | Services | Dividends | Transfers |  |  |  |
| 80480 | 75017 | + 5463 | + 5882 | + 2833 | - 3252 | 75812 | 65063 | + 10749 |
| 77364 | 68785 | + 8579 | + 5721 | + 5035 | - 2177 | 72238 | 59178 | + 13060 |
| 80223 | 72158 | + 8065 | + 5752 | + 5735 | - 3422 | 74852 | 60586 | + 14266 |
| 18814 | 16841 | + 1973 | + 1357 | + 1179 | - 563 | 17485 | 14415 | + 3070 |
| 19421 | 17343 | + 2078 | + 1375 | + 1453 | - 750 | 18172 | 14793 | + 3379 |
| 19974 | 17907 | + 2067 | + 1455 | + 1464 | 852 | 18792 | 15251 | + 3541 |
| 19851 | 17666 | + 2185 | + 1484 | + 1531 | 830 | 18215 | 14601 | + 3614 |
| 20104 | 18005 | + 2099 | + 1461 | + 1388 | 750 | 18662 | 15181 | + 3481 |
| 20475 | 18285 | + 2190 | + 1645 | + 1495 | - 950 | 19254 | 15362 | + 3892 |
| 19793 | 18202 | + 1591 | + 1162 | + 1321 | 892 | 18721 | 15442 | + 3279 |
| 20384 | 19143 | + 1241 | + 919 | + 1364 | - 1042 | 19000 | 16059 | + 2941 |

[^2]EXPORT AND IMPORT UNIT VALUE AND VOLUME INDEX NUMBERS

|  | Unit Value (Not seasonally adjusted) |  |  | Volume (seasonally adjusted) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports | Terms of Trade ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Exports | Imports |
| 1986 | 136.8 | 134.3 | 101.8 | 123.3 | 134.6 |
| 1987 | 142.0 | 138.1 | 102.8 | 130.4 | 144.6 |
| 1987 Q1 | 140.8 | 140.2 | 100.4 | 129.5 | 133.5 |
| Q2 | 141.4 | 136.7 | 103.4 | 126.6 | 141.1 |
| Q3 | 143.1 | 138.0 | 103.7 | 130.6 | 151.1 |
| Q4 | 142.9 | 137.5 | 103.9 | 134.8 | 152.5 |
| 1988 Q1 | 142.7 | 136.3 | 104.7 | 126.0 | 148.3 |
| 1987 Sep | 143.7 | 138.3 | 103.9 | 134.1 | 149.7 |
| Oct | 143.8 | 138.6 | 103.7 | 131.8 | 148.4 |
| Nov | 142.9 | 137.8 | 103.7 | 135.4 | 154.3 |
| Dec | 141.8 | 136.2 | 104.2 | 137.1 | 154.9 |
| 1988 Jan | 141.8 | 135.9 | 104.3 | 126.4 | 151.5 |
| Feb | 142.8 | 136.5 | 104.6 | 123.6 | 147.4 |
| Mar | 143.5 | 136.4 | 105.2 | 128.1 | 146.0 |
| Apr | 144.4 | 135.5 | 106.6 | 134.2 | 155.8 |
| May | 144.4 | 134.7 | 107.2 | 128.0 | 158.7 |
| Mar-May 1987 | 141.2 | 138.0 | 102.3 | 127.9 | 137.9 |
| Dec-Feb 1988 | 142.1 | 136.2 | 104.4 | 129.0 | 151.3 |
| Mar-May 1988 | 144.1 | 135.5 | 106.3 | 130.1 | 153.5 |
| \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latest 3 months on <br> - previous 3 months <br> - Same 3 months one year ago | $+11 / 2$ $+\quad 2$ | $\begin{array}{r}-1 / 2 \\ -\quad 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +2 $+\quad 4$ | $\begin{array}{r}+1 \\ +\quad 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $+11 / 2$ <br> +11 |

e Export unit value index as a percentage of the Import unit value index.
VALUE AND VOLUME OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS
Table 5 EXCLUDING THE MORE ERRATIC ITEMS ${ }^{f}$

|  | Value $£$ million fob |  | Volume Index 1980 $=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports |
| 1986 | 67300 | 77492 | 126.3 | 143.0 |
| 1987 | 73645 | 85386 | 133.6 | 154.0 |
| 1987 Q1 | 18019 | 19853 | 132.5 | 142.5 |
| Q2 | 17939 | 20740 | 129.8 | 150.2 |
| Q3 | 18755 | 22313 | 134.1 | 160.9 |
| Q4 | 18933 | 22481 | 138.0 | 162.4 |
| 1988 Q1 | 17652 | 21877 | 130.6 | 158.1 |
| 1987 Sep | 6444 | 7348 | 137.3 | 159.9 |
| Oct | 6178 | 730 n | 133.2 | 156.4 |
| Nov | 6339 | 7609 | 138.3 | 164.5 |
| Dec | 6416 | 7572 | 142.5 | 166.3 |
| 1988 Jan | 5928 | 7501 | 132.9 | 162.4 |
| Feb | 5771 | 7244 | 127.8 | 156.1 |
| Mar | 5954 | 7133 | 131.2 | 155.9 |
| Apr | 6239 | 7670 | 136.5 | 165.5 |
| May | 5970 | 7638 | 130.7 | 165.5 |
| Mar-May 1987 | 17947 | 20399 | 131.0 | 146.9 |
| Dec-Feb 1988 | 18114 | 22317 | 134.4 | 161.6 |
| Mar-May 1988 | 18163 | 22441 | 132.8 | 162.3 |
| \% Change |  |  |  |  |
| Latest 3 months on <br> - previous 3 months <br> - Same 3 months | + 1/2 | + $1 / 2$ | - 1 | + 1/2 |
| one year ago | + 1 | + 10 | $+11 / 2$ | + 10 |

[^3]

[^4] which are on a time of shipment basis (see paragraph 9 of the standard notes).

TRADE IN GOODS OTHER THAN OIL
(Balance of Payments basis)

$f$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.

Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.

|  |  Food <br> bever-  <br>  ages Basic <br> and Mater-  <br> Total tobacco ials |  |  | Fuels | Total <br> Manufac- <br> tures | Manufactures excluding erratics ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Semi-manufactures excluding precious stones \& silver(PS) |  | Finished manufactures excluding ships, North Sea installations and aircraft (SNA) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  |  | Chemi - <br> cals | Other | Total | Passenger <br> Motor <br> Cars | Other <br> Consumer | Intermediate |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SITC } \\ \text { (REV 3) } \end{gathered}$ | 0-9 | 0+1 | $\underline{2+4}$ |  | 3 | 5-8 | 5-8 <br> less <br> SNAPS | $5+6$ <br> less PS | $5$ | less <br> PS | $\begin{aligned} & 7+8 \\ & \text { less } \\ & \text { SNA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 72834 | 5484 | 2098 |  | 8664 | 55036 | 50138 | 18651 | 9711 | 8940 | 31488 | 1362 | 5534 | 14362 | 10230 |
| 1987 | 79852 | 5599 | 2243 | 8747 | 61735 | 56140 | 20360 | 10541 | 9818 | 35780 | 1980 | 6797 | 15349 | 11654 |
| 1987 Q1 | 19531 | 1399 | 633 | 2302 | 14815 | 13459 | 4875 | 2510 | 2365 | 8584 | 452 | 1629 | 3734 | 2768 |
| Q2 | 19280 | 1351 | 565 | 2116 | 14865 | 13512 | 4879 | 2514 | 2365 | 8633 | 478 | 1607 | 3736 | 2811 |
| Q3 | 20275 | 1441 | 541 | 2134 | 15790 | 14426 | 5291 | 2735 | 2555 | 9136 | 523 | 1783 | 3843 | 2987 |
| Q4 | 20766 | 1407 | 504 | 2195 | 16265 | 14742 | 5315 | 2781 | 2533 | 9428 | 527 | 1778 | 4035 | 3088 |
| 1988 Q1 | 18999 | 1270 | 486 | 1763 | 15078 | 13935 | 5067 | 2663 | 2404 | 8868 | 492 | 1582 | 3865 | 2930 |
| 1988 Mar | 6495 | 456 | 159 | 518 | 5210 | 4737 | 1744 | 934 | 810 | 2993 | 187 | 511 | 1292 | 1003 |
| Apr | 6858 | 492 | 165 | 558 | 5515 | 4965 | 1758 | 905 | 852 | 3208 | 123 | 548 | 1433 | 1104 |
| May | 6541 | 480 | 165 | 485 | 5274 | 4769 | 1760 | 885 | 875 | 3009 | 130 | 521 | 1323 | 1034 |
| Dec-Feb | 19372 | 1275 | 495 | 1990 | 15233 | 14166 | 5132 | 2699 | 2433 | 9035 | 453 | 1688 | 3962 | 2931 |
| Mar-May | 19894 | 1428 | 489 | 1561 | 15999 | 14471 | 5261 | 2725 | 2537 | 9210 | 441 | 1580 | 4048 | 3141 |
| \% Change | + $21 / 2$ | + 12 |  | - 22 | + 5 | $+2$ | + $21 / 2$ | + 1 | + $41 / 2$ | + 2 | - $21 / 2$ | - $61 / 2$ | + 2 | + 7 |

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
EXPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 9
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES, $1980=100$, seasonally adjusted


[^5]
$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.

EXPORTS BY AREA
Table 11
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
$£$ million, fob, seasonally adjusted

|  | Developed countries |  |  |  |  |  |  | Developing countries |  |  | Centrally |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tota! ${ }^{k}$ | Total | European Community | Rest of W Europe | Total | USA | Other | Total | Oil exporting Countries | Other | planned economies |
| 1986 | 72834 | 57555 | 34959 | 6919 | 12075 | 10326 | 3602 | 13117 | 5491 | 7626 | 1721 |
| 1987 | 79852 | 64076 | 39416 | 7621 | 12993 | 11014 | 4046 | 13737 | 5222 | 8514 | 1539 |
| 1987 Q1 | 19531 | 15401 | 9278 | 1739 | 3435 | 2921 | 949 | 3346 | 1271 | 2075 | 420 |
| Q2 | 19280 | 15560 | 9825 | 1891 | 2908 | 2421 | 935 | 3393 | 1268 | 2125 | 344 |
| Q3 | 20275 | 16416 | 10156 | 1903 | 3313 | 2804 | 1044 | 3554 | 1330 | 2224 | 406 |
| Q4 | 20766 | 16699 | 10157 | 2088 | 3337 | 2868 | 1117 | 3444 | 1353 | 2091 | 368 |
| 1988 Q1 | 18999 | 15004 | 8860 | 1704 | 3385 | 2834 | 1054 | 3183 | 1158 | 2024 | 396 |
| 1988 Mar | 6495 | 5256 | 3176 | 623 | 1107 | 889 | 350 | 1066 | 342 | 724 | 130 |
| Apr | 6858 | 5543 | 3446 | 663 | 1050 | 877 | 384 | 1174 | 372 | 802 | 117 |
| May | 6541 | 5392 | 3521 | 611 | 902 | 756 | 357 | 1056 | 386 | 671 | 125 |
| Dec-Feb | 19372 | 15240 | 8993 | 1795 | 3405 | 2904 | 1047 | 3222 | 1211 | 2011 | 386 |
| Mar-May | 19894 | 16191 | 10143 | 1897 | 3059 | 2522 | 1091 | 3296 | 1100 | 2196 | 372 |
| \% Change | + $21 / 2$ | + 6 | +13 | + $51 / 2$ | - 10 | - 13 | $\begin{array}{r}109 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | + $21 / 2$ | - 9 | $\begin{array}{r} \\ +\quad 9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - $31 / 2$ |

k See paragraph 8 Notes to Editors.

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 13
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES, $1980=100$, Seasonally adjusted

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million, cif, Seasonally adjusted

|  | Developed countries |  |  |  |  |  |  | Developing countries |  |  | Centrally <br> planned economies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { Total }{ }^{k}$ | Total | European Community | Rest of W Europe | Total | USA | Other | Total | Oil exporting Countries | Other |  |
| 1986 | 85568 | 73152 | 44459 | 11840 | 10020 | 8445 | 6834 | 1014 4 | 2056 | 8088 | 1856 |
| 1987 | 94016 | 80490 | 49557 | 12869 | 10781 | 9136 | 7282 | 10985 | 1700 | 9286 | 2097 |
| 1987 Q1 | 21898 | 18699 | 11520 | 3043 | 2463 | 2060 | 1673 | 2512 | 432 | 2079 | 487 |
| Q2 | 22698 | 19673 | 12166 | 3190 | 2625 | 2230 | 1691 | 258/ | 375 | 2210 | 501 |
| Q3 | 24550 | 20903 | 12898 | 3232 | 2867 | 2435 | 1905 | 2978 | 451 | 2527 | 553 |
| Q4 | 24869 | 21215 | 12973 | 3404 | 2826 | 2412 | 2013 | 2912 | 442 | 2470 | 558 |
| 1988 Q1 | 24032 | 20663 | 12699 | 3241 | 2762 | 2319 | 1961 | 2677 | 418 | 2259 | 453 |
| 1988 Mar | 7831 | 6636 | 3970 | 1072 | 965 | 809 | 629 | 929 | 139 | 790 | 144 |
| Apr | 8481 | 7493 | 4619 | 1149 | 1056 | 886 | 668 | 951 | 187 | 764 | 187 |
| May | 8605 | 7429 | 4483 | 1213 | 1086 | 911 | 647 | 980 | 160 | 820 | 144 |
| Dec-Feb | 24467 | 20943 | 12977 | 3234 | 2715 | 2292 | 2016 | 2793 | 455 | 2337 | 494 |
| Mar-May | 24917 | 21557 | 13072 | 3433 | 3108 | 2606 | 1944 | 2860 | 486 | 2375 | 475 |
| \% Change | + 2 | + 3 | + 1/2 | + 6 | + 14 | + 14 | - $31 / 2$ | $+21 / 2$ | + $61 / 2$ | + $11 / 2$ | - 4 |

k See paragraph 8 Notes to Editors.

COMMODITY ANALYSIS OF VISIBLE TRADE
Table 16
(Balance of Payments basis)

| SITC (R3) | Food Beverages and Tobacco$0+1$ |  |  | Basic Materials$\underline{2+4}$ |  |  | Fuels 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exports fob | Imports <br> fob | Visible <br> Balance | Exports <br> fob | Imports <br> fob | Visible <br> Balance | Exports <br> fob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { fob } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Visible <br> Balance |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 5445 | 9196 | - 3752 | 2109 | 4495 | - 2386 | 8664 | 5977 | + 2687 |
| 1987 | 5550 | 9326 | - 3776 | 2257 | 4965 | - 2708 | 8748 | 5804 | + 2944 |
| 1986 | 1282 | 2228 | - 946 | 470 | 1098 | - 629 | 1905 | 1423 | + 482 |
|  | 1374 | 2328 | - 955 | 560 | 1052 | - 492 | 1606 | 1363 | + 243 |
|  | 1542 | 2399 | - 857 | 559 | 1219 | - 660 | 1978 | 1499 | + 480 |
| 1987 | 1389 | 2282 | - 893 | 637 | 1258 | - 621 | 2302 | 1413 | + 889 |
|  | 1352 | 2282 | - 930 | 575 | 1238 | - 664 | 2117 | 1400 | + 717 |
|  | 1426 | 2347 | - 921 | 543 | 1270 | - 726 | 2134 | 1563 | + 571 |
|  | 1383 | 2415 | - 1032 | 502 | 1198 | - 696 | 2194 | 1428 | + 767 |
| 1988 Q1 | 1252 | 2351 | - 1099 | 489 | 1200 | - 711 | 1757 | 1180 | + 577 |
|  | Semi Manufactures |  |  | Finished Manufactures |  |  | Total Manufactures |  |  |
| SITC (R3) | $5+6$ |  |  | $7+8$ |  |  | 5-8 |  |  |
|  | Exports | Imports | Visible | Exports | Imports | Visible | Exports | Imports | Visible |
|  | fob | fob | Balance | fob | fob | Balance | fob | fob | Balance |
| 1986 | 20839 | 21406 | - 568 | 34088 | 38827 | - 4739 | 54927 | 60233 | - 5307 |
| 1987 | 22503 | 23991 | - 1488 | 39053 | 44106 | - 5053 | 61556 | 68097 | - 6542 |
| 1986 Q2 | 5225 | 5153 | + 72 | 8549 | 9184 | - 635 | 13774 | 14337 | - 563 |
| Q3 | 5227 | 5313 | 86 | 8383 | 10061 | - 1677 | 13610 | 15373 | - 1763 |
| Q4 | 5544 | 5627 | - 83 | 9119 | 10682 | - 1562 | 14664 | 16309 | - 1645 |
| 1987 Q1 | 5467 | 5662 | - 195 | 9358 | 9893 | - 535 | 14825 | 15555 | - 730 |
| Q2 | 5519 | 5794 | - 275 | 9413 | 10719 | - 1306 | 14932 | 16513 | - 1581 |
| Q3 | 5731 | 6171 | - 439 | 10029 | 11698 | - 1669 | 15760 | 17868 | - 2109 |
| Q4 | 5786 | 6365 | - 579 | 10253 | 11796 | - 1543 | 16038 | 18161 | - 2122 |
| 1988 Q1 | 5465 | 6353 | - 887 | 9463 | 11507 | - 2044 | 14928 | 17860 | - 2931 |

Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on $27 / 7 / 88$ at 11.30 am and thereat fer unclassified
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Room 286
1 Victoria Street
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19 July 1988

## OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR JUNE : EXPORTS

The value of exports in June seasonally adjusted on a Balance of Payments basis is estimated at £6.8 billion, £0.3 billion higher than in May. Exports of oil were little changed and exports of the erratic items rose by $£ 0.1$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports rose by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between May and June.

In the second quarter of 1988 the total value of exports increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the previous quarter; excluding oil and the erratic items the increase was 7 per cent.

In the second quarter of 1988 , total export volume was 5 per cent higher than in the previous quarter and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same quarter a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume was 6 per cent higher in the latest quarter and 6 per cent higher than a year earlier. The underlying level of exports in the last few months has returned to the high level reached at the end of last year.

Recent export figures are shown on the attached table and charts. The note on figures of imports and the current account in respect of June will be circulated on Thursday 21 July. The monthly Press Notice for June is scheduled for release on Wednesday 27 July.

Although the ferry operators strike at Dover continued in June its effect was slight. The volume of exports through the port increased substantially and the amount of trade diverted to other ports fell.

As a result of operational difficulties at Poole some documents which in normal circumstances would have been included in the April and May accounts were delayed until June. As a result export figures in respect of June on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis are over-stated. Figures on a Balance of Payments basis however for the period April to June have been adjusted to reflect more closely the actual pattern of trade.

SECRET and PERSONAL until release of press notice on 27 JUL 88 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

Copy No...( )

## EXPORTS

(Balance of payments basis: seasonally adjusted)

## EXCLUDING

---TOTAL TRADE ---
---OIL AND ERRATICS--

| VALUE | VOLUME |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\xi_{m}$ | $(1980=100$ |

VALUE
VOLUME
ほm
$(1980=100)$

| 1987 | Q2 | 19357 | 126.6 | 15893 | 123.2 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Q3 | 20235 | 130.6 | 16700 | 129.3 |
|  | Q4 | 20500 | 134.8 | 16809 | 130.3 |
| 1988 | Q1 | 18826 | 126.0 |  |  |
|  | Q2 | 20215 | 132.5 | 15964 | 123.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 17043 | 130.7 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 988 JAN

seceret and personal until rellafse of press hoice on 27,7,88 IOTAL TRADE


SECRET AND PERSONAL UNILL RELLASE OF PRESS Notice in $27,7,88$ EXPORTS LESS ERRATICS (Ships, N Sea Rigs, Aiveraft, Prec Stones, Silver)


SECRET AND PERSONAL UNTIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOIICE ON 27.7.88 EXPORTS LESS OIL


SECRET AND PERSOMAL UNTIL RLLLASE OF Press hotice on 27.7.88 EXPORTS LESS ERRHIICS 知 OLL
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To:
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21 July 1988

\section*{OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR JUNE 1988}

\section*{the current account}

In June, the value of exports was £6.8 billion and imports £8.3 billion so that visible trade, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, shows a deficit of \(£ 1.5\) billion compared with the deficit of £1.6 billion in May.

The Central Statistical Office continue to project a surplus on invisibles of \(£ 0.5\) billion for months in the second quarter of 1988 so that the current account is provisionally estimated to have been in deficit by £1.0 billion, compared with a provisional estimate of £1. 1 billion in May.

TABLE 1: CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES (Table 2 of Press Notice)

Seasonally adjusted Balance of Payments Basis
£ million
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Current \\
Account \\
Balance
\end{tabular} & & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Visible Trade Balances } & Total
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c} 
Invisibles \\
Balance
\end{tabular}

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on \(27 / 7 / 88\) at 11.30 am}

In the second quarter of 1988 there was a deficit on visible trade of £4.3 billion - a surplus on trade in oil of £0.7 billion, offset by a deficit in non-oil trade of \(£ 5.0\) billion. Between the first and second quarter, the visible trade deficit increased by £o. 3 billion; the surplus on oil fell by \(£ 0.2\) billion while the deficit on non-oil trade rose by £0. 1 billion.

\section*{EXPORTS}

The value of exports in June was \(£ 277\) million ( 4 per cent) higher than in May. Exports of oil increased by \(£ 39\) million between the two months and exports of the erratic items increased by \(£ 102\) million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between May and June.

In the second quarter, total export volume was 5 per cent higher than in the previous quarter and \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the same quarter last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume was 6 per cent higher than in the previous quarter and 6 per cent up on the same quarter a year ago. The underlying level of non-oil export volume now appears to have returned to the high level reached at the end of last year.

TABLE 2: EXPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1,4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted
\begin{tabular}{lccccc}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
VALUE (£m)
\end{tabular}} & & VOLUME \((1980=100)\)
\end{tabular}

By value, exports of manufactures during the second quarter were 9 per cent up on the previous quarter; within manufactures, exports of capital goods rose by 14 per cent and exports of semi-manufactures other than chemicals rose by 10 per cent.

Also by value, total exports rose by \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the first and second quarter. The rise in exports to the developed countries was 12 per cent - within which exports to the rest of the European Community rose by 20 per cent while exports to North America fell by 11 per cent.
the department for Enterprise
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\section*{IMPORTS}

The value of imports in June was \(£ 154\) million more than in May. Imports of oil rose by £ 111 million while imports of the erratic items decreased by £89 million between the two months. Excluding nil and the erratic items, imports rose by 2 per cent between May and June.

In the second quarter, total import volume was \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the previous quarter and 13 per cent higher than in the same quarter last year. Exluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by 6 per cent in the second quarter to stand 13 per cent up on a year ago. The underlying level of non-oil import volume has been rising in recent months.

TABLE 3: IMPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1,4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{VALUE (£m)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{VOLUME \((1980=100)\)} \\
\hline & & Total & Total Less Dil and Erratics & Total & Total Less Oil and Erratics \\
\hline 1986 & & 81141 & 73346 & 134.6 & 151.6 \\
\hline 1987 & & 89247 & 81125 & 144.6 & 164.9 \\
\hline 1988 & Q1 & 22843 & 21070 & 148.3 & 170.8 \\
\hline 1988 & Q2 & 24547 & 22374 & 159.4 & 181.3 \\
\hline 1988 & April & 8021 & 7446 & 155.8 & 180.7 \\
\hline & May & 8186 & 7399 & 158.7 & 180.2 \\
\hline & June & 8340 & 7530 & 163.7 & 183.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

By value, imports rose by \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent betwecn the first and second quarter. Imports of passenger motor cars rose by 13 per cent and imports of intermediate finished goods rose by 11 per cent.

Again in value terms, imports from the developed countries rose by 9 per cent over the second quarter, with arrivals from the European Community countries up by \(8 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent, from North America up by 14 per cent and from the other developed countries up by 4 per cent. Imports from the developing countries increased by 12 per cent between the two quarters.

\section*{trade in manufactures}

Figures showing trade in manufactures on a balance of payments basis will be published in the August edition of the Monthly Review of

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on \(27 / 7 / 88\) at 11.30 am

External Trade Statistics following the release of the press notice. On present estimates they show a deficit in the second quarter of 1988 of \(£ 3.2\) billion compared with a deficit of \(£ 2.9\) billion in the previous quarter.

TABLE 4: TRADE IN MANUFACTURES (SITC 5-8) (Table 16 of Press Notice, quarterly data only)
£million
Seasonally Adjusted Balance of Payments Basis
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline & Exports & Imports & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 54927 & 60233 & -5307 \\
1987 & 61556 & 68097 & -6542 \\
1988 QP & 14928 & 17860 & -2931 \\
1988 QQ & 16298 & 19495 & -3197 \\
& & & \\
1988 & 5508 & 6387 & -1273 \\
& April & 5272 & 6545 \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Although the ferry operators' strike at Dover continued in June, the effect was much reduced, and the volume of trade through the port increased substantially.

\section*{PUBLICATION}

The press notice containing the June figures is scheduled for release on Wednesday 27 July 1988.

\section*{A.C.3 mut}
\(\rho \rho\)
W E BOYD

SECRET AMD FERSOMGL IMTIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 27.7 .88 WOLUFE INDICES EXCLUDING OIL RMD ERPRTIC ITEMS

```

Copy No 1 Minister for Trade
2 Prime Minister
3 Chancellor of the Exchequer
4 Secretary of State for Trade and Industry
5 Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster
6 Sir Robin Butler (Cabinet Office)
7 Sir Brian Hayes (Dept of Trade and Industry)
8 Sir Peter Middleton (H M Treasury)
9 Governot of the Bank of England
10 Chairman of the Board of H M Customs \& Excise
11 Mr J Hibbert (CSO)
12 Mr M J Pratt (H M Customs \& Excise)
13 Mr B Buckingham (CSO)
14 Mr Davies (H M Treasury)
15 Mr P Sedgwick (H M Treasury)
16 Mr D Owen (H M Treasury)
17 Mr A McIntyre (CSO)
18 Mr D Wilson (Dept of Energy)
19 Mr J Hibberd (H M Treasury)
20 Mr H H Liesner )
21 Mr P J Stibbard )
2 2 Mr W E Boyd )
23 Mr E J Wright )
24 Mrs A Brueton ) Dept of Trade and Industry
25 Miss H Chapman )
26 Mr Cottis )
27 Mr C Martin )
28 Mr Wright, Bank of England
29 File

```

\section*{COVERING SECRET AND PERSONAL}

Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary
Chancellor of the Exchequer /
H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW 1 3AG

Department of Trade and Industry

1-19 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET
Switchboard
01-215 7877
Telex 8811074/5 DTHQ G Fax 01-222 2629

Direct line 2154887
Our ref
Your ref
Date 22 July 1988


Seems

bn

I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in June. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Wednesday 27 July at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Tuesday 26 June and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr Davis, HM Treasury.

Yours sincerely
L. RB.

WE BOYD

COVERING SECRET AND PERSONAL

os3/16T


DATE: 25 July 1988

SIR T BURNS

JULY 1988 QUARTERLY INDUSTRIAL TRENDS SURVEY
... The Chancellor has seen the report of this survey (attached).
2. He awaits your analysis of this, as soon as possible.

J M G TAYLOR
1. MR HIBBERD

2. CHANCELLLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

\section*{JUNE TRADE FIGURES}

cc: See attached list

The June trade figures will be published on 27 July at 11.30 am . They will show a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 1521\) million, compared with a revised deficit of \(£ 1644\) million in May (previously \(£ 1705\) million). The June current account deficit is estimated at \(£ 1021\) million, compared with a revised deficit of \(£ 1144\) million in May (previously £1205 million). The cumulative deficit in the first half of 1988 is \(£ 5607\) million, compared with \(£ 2316\) million in the second half of 1987 .

\section*{Summary}
2. The main points are:
(i) The slight improvement in the visible balance in June reflects an improvement in the terms of trade - export and import volumes both rose by about 3 per cent.
(ii) The trend in export volumes (less oil and erratics) remains difficult to discern, but the underlying level now looks to have returned to around that of the fourth quarter.
(iii) Import volumes (less oil and erratics) reached their highest recorded level in June. They remain on an upward trend.
(iv) There are no significant distortions known to be affecting the June figures. The ferry operators' strike is thought to have had a negligible impact.
(v) The market expectation is for a current account deficit in June of around \(£ 800\) million. The lack of a significant improvement in the recorded deficit since May is unlikely to be received well by the City.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
1987 \\
Year
\end{tabular} & Q2 & Q3 & Q4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
1988 \\
\text { Q1 }
\end{gathered}
\] & Q2 & Apr & May & June \\
\hline Manufactures & -6.5 & -1.6 & -2.1 & -2.1 & -2.9 & -3.2 & -0.9 & -1.3 & -1.0 \\
\hline Oil & 4.2 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
\hline Other goods & -7.3 & -1.7 & -1.9 & -2.0 & -2.0 & -1.8 & -0.6 & -0.6 & -0.6 \\
\hline Total visibles & -9.6 & -2.3 & -3.1 & -3.0 & -4.0 & -4.3 & -1.2 & -1.6 & -1.5 \\
\hline Invisibles & 8.1 & 2.1 & 2.2 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 1.5* & 0.5* & \(0.5 *\) & 0.5* \\
\hline Current balance & -1.6 & -0.2 & -0.9 & -1.4 & -2.8 & -2.8 & -0.7 & -1.1 & -1.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Invisibles figures since April are projections.

\section*{Quality of the data}
3. There is nothing new to report on Customs '88; estimates of its impact will not be published for some time yet.

\section*{Exports}
4. Recorded export volumes (excluding oil and erratics) rose by about 1 per cent in June reflecting rises in exports of manufactures and basic materials. Export volumes were 6 per cent higher in the second quarter of 1988 compared with a year earlier with capital goods exports rising by 20 per cent. Exports of cars rose by 60 per cent in June from their abnormally low level in the previous two months, but remained 5 per cent lower in the second quarter compared with a year ago. The July CBI quarterly survey showed a slightly larger balance of firms reporting export order books above normal than in June, though this balance still remains below the peak of last summer. Firms expect export orders and deliveries to rise in the next few months, while export optimism has risen a little following falls in the previous two surveys.
5. The value of exports to developed countries rose by 12 per cent in the second quarter on the previous quarter. This reflected a 20 per cent recovery from the abnormally low first quarter exports to the EC, partly offset by a 13 per cent fall in exports to the US reflecting the strength of sterling against the dollar. Exports to developing countries rose by 4 per cent in the second quarter with a fall in exports to oil exporters being more than offset by increases elsewhere.

Table 2 : Exports
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
June \\
on \\
May
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Second quarter \\
on previous \\
quarter
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
change
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Second quarter \\
on same period \\
year earlier
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

\section*{Imports}
6. Import volumes (less oil and erratics) rose a little more slowly in June than total import volumes. A rise in imports of oil (partly because production slowed down for annual maintenance in June) was partly offset by a fall in imports of erratics - with last month's increased imports of precious stones being more than reversed. Imports of manufactures rose by 17 per cent in the second quarter compared with a year earlier, with particularly buoyant growth in imports of capital and intermediate goods. Imports of passenger motor cars rose by 30 per cent over this period reflecting the strength of domestic demand.

\section*{Table 3 : Imports}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & June on May & \begin{tabular}{l}
percen \\
Second quarter on previous quarter
\end{tabular} & change Second quarter on same period year earlier & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { on } \\
1986 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total value & 2 & 71/2 & 13 & 10 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & 2 & 6 & 14 & 101/2 \\
\hline Total volume & 3 & 71/2 & 13 & 71/2 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & 11/2 & 6 & 13 & 9 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & 11/2 & 8 & 17 & 10 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & 34 & 81/2 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 11/2 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & 41/2 & 3 & -3 & 101/2 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume & \[
\text { IS })^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\] & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & 4 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Trade prices}
7. The total and non-oil terms of trade both rose by 2 per cent between the first and second quarter of 1988 , reflecting the strength of sterling. [NB - the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture over a period of time due to their use of 1980 weights].

TABLE 4 : Trade Prices*
percentage change
second quarter on previous quarter

Export prices
\(1 \frac{1}{2}\)
Import prices
\(-\frac{1}{2}\)
Manufactures
(excl.erratics)
Food, drink, tobacco \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) 0
\begin{tabular}{lcr} 
Basic materials & \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) & 1 \\
Fuel & -2 & -5
\end{tabular}

Total (BOP basis) 1 -1
Total less oil
(BOP basis)
\(1 \frac{1}{2} \quad-\frac{1}{2}\)
*Not seasonally adjusted

Terms Trade

\section*{Piper Alpha Accident}
8. The July trade figures will be the first to be affected by the Piper Alpha accident. D.En. estimate a loss in net exports of £ 300 million in the remainder of 1988 and a similar effect in 1989. This will be partly offset by lower profits of overseas companies, and interest, profits and dividends debits may be reduced by about \(£ 50\) million in the remainder of 1988. The net current account effect is likely to be about \(-£ 250\) million.

\section*{Line to take}
9. The cumulative current account deficit so far this year is now \(£ 5.6\) billion compared with the \(£ 4\) billion forecast at Budget time for the year as a whole. Import volume growth remains strong, while recorded exports, though showing signs of improvement in the second quarter, remain weaker than expected at Budget time.
10. Nothing has happened for us to change the briefing line suggested last month. This is reflected in the attached press briefing for IDT, for which I would appreciate clearance.


\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT ON JUNE TRADE FIGURES}

Line to take

- A current account deficit is not itself of great concern if it can be readily financed.
- In this case it reflects a shift in private sector behaviour with increased investment and reduced saving; this contrasts with previous current account deficits which reflected unsustainable public sector borrowing.
- It also reflects a new-found confidence in the UK as a place to invest.
- The deficit will be reversed in time as increased production in the future raises exports and displaces imports, while private sector savings return to more normal levels. Govennet ill keep firm finanid pramberor a place;
- But we remain determined to keep monetary policy tight and certainly will not stand by to watch a depreciation of sterling, Fas we have demonstrated.

\section*{Positive}
(i) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In 1988Q2, manufacturing export volumes (less erratics) 8 per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 6 per cent in three months to May on a year earlier.
(ii) CBI July quarterly Industrial Trends Survey showed rise in export optimism. Larger balance of firms expect orders and deliveries to rise in next four months, while export order books still above normal.
(ii) Strength of capital inflows reflects newfound confidence in UK as place to invest.

\title{
SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL 27 JULY AT 11.30 am \\ THEN CONFIDENTIAL
}
(iii) Large positive balancing item in \(1988 Q 1\) - at \(£ 3.5\) billion, \(£ 0.7\) billion larger than the current account deficit - means that recorded figures understate capital inflows and/or overstate current account deficit.

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Repeatedly large current account deficits in 1988 indicate deficit not temporary as claimed. Deficit reflects fact that the economy growing slightly above sustainable rate. As growth slows, so current account deficit will diminish.
(ii) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account cannot be permanently in deficit, but no reason why it should balance over short period particularly if good investment prospects exist in UK. Present deficit is financing high investment spending by private sector. Contrast with experience of 1960 s and 1970 s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(iii) Confidence in sterling likely to deteriorate. Government's position perfectly clear. Will not bail out excessive increases in domestic costs by allowing exchange rate depreciation.
(iv) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption. [In second quarter compared with a year earlier, imports of cars up 30 per cent, other consumers goods up 11 per cent. But imports of capital goods up 21 per cent, intermediates up 22 per cent, semi-manufactures up 12 per cent.] Inevitable that strong growth in domestic demand and activity has led to rise in imports of consumer goods. But has also led to strong rises in imports of capital, intermediate and semi-manufactured goods, reflecting rising output and investment.
(v) UK current account deficit likely to reach US proportions. No similarity between UK and US positions. US deficit associated with large and persistent US government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK fiscal policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength of private sector spending.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL 27 JULY AT 11.30 am}
(vi) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit Current account deficit counterpart of capital inflows. Reflects both domestic and overseas confidence in UK economy. So long as Government maintains firm fiscal and monetary policy, markets ought not to have any cause for concern. Policy will maintain confidence in UK economy thereby ensuring that deficit can be readily financed.
(vii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR expected to remain a net repayment of debt. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years and improve trade performance.
(viii) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to improved competitiveness. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness.
(ix) Current account deficit indicates economy is overheating. CBI concludes no evidence of overheating - July survey showed no significant labour or raw materials shortages.
(x) Current account figures may be distorted. Figures for early months of 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in documentation and classification system. Prudent to await full analysis of data before deciding to what extent pattern of trade distorted.
(xi) Passenger car trade indicates industry facing capacity constraint. [Car import volumes up 30 per cent in 1988 Q2 on year earlier while exports down 5 per cent. Passenger motor car production up 6 per cent in three months to May on year earlier.] Recent figures reflect buoyant domestic demand. But UK producers performing well - production up 6 per cent in past year and 15 per cent over past 2 years.
(xii) Piper Alpha explosion will have serious impact on current account. Effect in 1988 likely to worsen current account by up to \(£ 250\) million. Will have to await details of exact extent of damage before full assessment can be made.
(xiii) No export growth in 1988. Some slow down was expected, but exports of manufactures up 8 per cent in year to 1988Q2.
(xiv) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since late 1970s. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive. UK has maintained share in world trade in manufactures since 1981 after decades of decline - demonstrates improved competitiveness.
(xv) Invisibles surplus declining. Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in 1988 Q1 balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surplus on financial services (despite fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.

\section*{TRADE FIGURES FOR JUNE 1988}

Advance circulation
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Chancellor & Mr Peretz \\
Chief Secretary & Miss O'Mara \\
Economic Secretary & Mr Pickford \\
Sir P Middleton & Mr Gieve \\
Sir G Littler & Mr Owen \\
Sir T Burns & \\
Mr Scholar & Mr Gray - No. 10
\end{tabular}

Circulation after 11.30 am on Wednesday 27 July

Financial Secretary
Paymaster General
Mr Monck
Mr Matthews
Mr Hudson
Mr Patterson
Mr I'yrie
Miss Holt - No. 10

THE JULY 1988 QUARTERLY INDUSTRIAL TRENDS SURVEY
NO 109
Total Response: 1395 Trade Response: 944
Conducted between 24 June and 13 July 1988
The July Industrial Trends Survey shows that business confidence remains good with growth moderating slightly towards a more sustainable rate. While strong demand has been matched by manufacturing output growth, the rate of increase of both orders and output moderated in the four months to July. Both are now expected to increase steadily. Investment intentions remain strong, reflecting a high level of capcity utilisation. Nevertheless, unit costs, having picked up, are now expected to slow, while prices are expected to rise moderately. Manufacturing employment is expected to show further gains.

During the Survey period the pound averaged \(\$ 1.70\) and DM 3.113 , compared with \(\$ 1.86\) and DM 3.114 over the April survey period. Sterling's effective exchange rate fell by \(3.2 \%\) between the survey periods.

Summary

Business confidence remains good. A balance of \(+8 \%\) of respondents indicated they were more optimistic than in April.

Growth in demand has slowed, as expected in April, to the steady rates seen last year and over the next four months, new orders are expected to grow at a similar rate. Order books continue, at \(+14 \%\) to be well above normal, although slightly below the very high balances seen at the beginming of the year.

The rate of growth in manufacturing output has slowed from the rapid increases recorded in the January and April surveys. A balance of \(+27 \%\) of respondents recorded an increase in output over the past four months, with the same proportion expecting output to rise over the next four months. This more moderate pace of output growth is expected to continue in most sectors, although stronger growth is anticipated in the capital goods industries.

Although the proportion of firms operating below capacity has fallen a little further - to \(31 \%\) - investment intentions remain strong and \(82 \%\) of firms report their capacity at least adequate to meet expected demand. Fixed capacity as a constraint on output, moreover, has remained steady at \(26 \%\) since the last survey. This indicates that the proportion of firms whose output is constrained
by insufficient plant capacity is no higher than in April 1987.

For the fourth survey in succession, a steady increase in employment is indicated. A balance of \(+8 \%\) report increases in their workforce over the past four months and \(+9 \%\) expect job gains in the next four months. As in previous surveys, the fastest growth in employment remain among small firms; the job losses recorded by the largest firms are now expected to cease in the coming months.

Unit costs picked up over the past four months, as had been expected in April, reflecting a rise in raw material and fuel costs. The rate of increase is, however, now expected to slow. Factory gate prices rose at a slower rate relative both to the four months to April and last survey's expectatons, indicating continuing competitive pressures. Moderate growth is also anticipated in the coming four months.

Optimism about exports has recovered sharply since April. A balance of \(+8 \%\) in July compares with a balance of \(-5 \%\) in the previous survey, although the rate of growth in export orders has slowed in the past four months in line with expectations. Export prices slowed as expected and few companies are expecting to increase prices over the next four months.

\section*{THE RESULTS}

\section*{Genera! Business Situation}

April 1988 July 1988
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
More optimistic (\%) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{27} & 20 \\
Less optimistic (\%) & 8 & 12 \\
Balance (\%) & +19 & +8
\end{tabular}

The outlook for industry remains good, with a balance of \(+8 \%\) of respondents reporting that they are more optimistic about the general business situation than four months ago. This is below the April balance of \(+19 \%\), following the pattern of previous years. The increase in confidence remains broadly based, but is less uniform than previously. A rising trend in optimism is reported by 'food, drink and tobacco', contrasting with reduced confidence in the 'chemicals, metal manufacture' and 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment'. The 'textiles' sector indicates a decline in optimism for the third successive survey.

Individual industries, rather more optimistic than in April, include 'office machinery and data processing equipment', 'extraction of minerals and metalliferous ores', 'food' and 'industrial engines, pumps and compressors'. 'Man-made fibres', 'wool textiles', 'spinning and weaving' and aerospace and other vehicles' continue to be less optimistic. Industries reporting a decline in optimism from the last survey include: 'non-ferrous metals', 'pharmaceutical and consumer chemicals', 'haṇd tools and implements', and 'instrument engineering'. The largest firms with over 5,000 employees and the consumer goods industries remain particularly confident about their prospects.

\section*{Orders, Output and Stocks - Total New Orders}

\author{
July 1988 July 1988
}

Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Up (\%) & 38 & 32 \\
Down (\%) & 14 & 9 \\
Balance (\%) & +24 & +23
\end{tabular}

The trend growth of demand has slowed somewhat in the last four months to the steadier rates seen during 1987. A balance of \(+24 \%\) of firms reported an upward trend in the volume of total new orders over the past four months, in line with April's expectations. The forward looking balance of \(+23 \%\) suggests that a similar rate of growth in new orders will continue in the third quarter of 1988. Total orders continue to be above normal. A balance of \(+14 \%\) of repondents reported orders to be above normal, the same as in April, but below the \(+20 \%\) indicated in January. This remains the second highest quarterly balance since this question was first asked in April 1977.

The slackening in orders is reported in a number of main industrial sectors but is not uniform. Weakening positive balances are reported by 'metal manufacture', 'all other manufacturing', 'electrical and instrument engineering', and the intermediate goods industries with a negative balance indicated by the textiles sector. Sectors that have experienced a pick-up in orders include 'food, drink and tobacco', paper, printing and publishing', as well as the conusmer goods industries. The largest companies with over 5,000 employees, also report a notable increase in demand growth, contrasting with the experience of the small and medium sized firms. For individual industries, lower positive balances are widely reported, while a fall in demand is indicated in 'non-ferrous metals', 'man-made fibres', 'electronic consumer goods' and 'wool textiles'. Order books remain well above normal for most broad industrial sectors, particularly for 'metal manufacture' and 'all other manufacturing'. 'Food, drink and tobacco', 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment', and firms with over 5,000 employees, now also report at least normal order books, reversing the position in the April survey. Order books are reported to be slightly below normal only in the 'textiles' sector.

Looking ahead, the trend in demand growth is expected to be upward in all sectors of industry, with the textile sector now also indicating a rise in demand for its products. Sectors expecting a sharp pick-up include 'electrical and instrument engineering', 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment', as well as the largest firms with over 5,000 employees. Demand growth is expected to moderate in the third quarter of 1988 in several sectors including 'metal manufacture', 'mechani-
cal erigineering', and 'metal products', and also in the consumer goods industries and the smaller firms with under 500 employees. Individual industries expecting a significant upturn in demand over the coming four months include 'textile consumer goods' and 'office machinery and data processing equipment', balanced by a slackening of demand for the products of 'ferrous metals', and 'glass and ceramics'.

\section*{- Volume of output}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
July 1988 & July 1988 \\
Past 4 mths & Next 4 mths
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Up (\%) & 37 & 33 \\
Down (\%) & 10 & 6 \\
Balance (\%) & +27 & +27
\end{tabular}

The rate of growth in the volume of output has, as expected in April, slowed somewhat from the rapid rates of earlier in the year, broadly in line with demand. A balance of \(+27 \%\) of respondents report an increase in output over the past four months, compared with \(+36 \%\) in April. The foward looking balance of \(+27 \%\) suggests that manufacturing output will expand at a similar rate to the present trend and a little above that recorded a year ago. These results are consistent with the trends in new orders and total order books. According to the CSO, manufacturing output is presently expanding at an annual rate of about \(6.5 \%\) and a broadly similar rate of increase may be expected in the third quarter.

The moderation in output growth is reported by all sizes of firms, many though not all industrial sectors, and by the capital and intermediate goods industries. The slackening in growth has been particularly marked in 'electrical and instrument engineering', motor vehicles and other transport equipment', and in the capital goods industries. Growth has, however, picked up in 'food, drink and tobacco', 'metal products' and in the consumer goods industries. For individual industries, the rate of increase in output has eased in 'non-ferrous metals', 'building materials', and constructional steelwork'. Falls in output are reported by 'electronic consumer goods' and 'footwear'. A sharp pick up in output is indicated by 'pharmaceuticals and consumer chemicals', 'agricultural machinery', and 'plastics products'. Over the next four months, output growth is ex-
pected to slacken in the intermediate goods industries, smaller firms with under 500 employees and the largest concerns as well as in some industrial sectors, including 'chemicals', 'metal manufacture' and 'all other manufacturing'. This is balanced, by an expected pick up in output in medium sized firms with between 500 and under 5000 employees, the capital goods industries, and the 'engineering and other industries' and 'paper printing and publishing'.

\section*{- Stocks of finished goods}
\[
\text { July } 1988 \text { July } 1988
\]

Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Up. (\%) & 19 & 11 \\
Down (\%) & 14 & 18 \\
Balance (\%) & +5 & -7
\end{tabular}

Over the past four months, the upward trend in the volume of stocks of finished goods has continued for the second successive survey, although some destocking had been expected in April. But the balance of respondents expecting the downward trend to resume over the next four months, \(-7 \%\), is in-line with the trends recorded last year. Those with over 500 employees anticipate a heavier rate of destocking over the coming months than the smaller firms.

Despite the slowing in the growth of output in relation to demand, firms indicate some increase in the stocks of raw materials and brought in supplies with a balance of \(+8 \%\) reported for the past four months. The forward looking balance of \(-5 \%\) reported for the next four months suggests that the intake of raw materials and semi-manufactures is expected to fall behind the rate of growth in output. For the fifth consecutive survey, the trend in the volume of work in progress has continued to be upward reflecting the growth in output. Looking ahead, however, a balance of \(-3 \%\) of respondents expect these stocks to fall in the third quarter of 1988 whilst output expectations remain stable.

\section*{- Constraints on Output}

A shortage of orders or sales, although remaining the most cited constraint to output, is reported by only \(60 \%\) of respondents in the present survey, compared with \(68 \%\) in April. This is the lowest
balance of the 1980 s , and is indicative of the growth in demand.

Plant capacity remains the second most frequently cited constraint to output over the next four months. The percentage of respondents citing this factor, at \(26 \%\) in the present survey, is unchanged from the previous survey and is comparable to the level in April 1987. This constraint has tightened significantly in the intermediate goods industries, 'paper, painting and publishing' and 'metal manufacture' but has eased particularly in the capital goods and engineering industries. The shortage of skilled labour is cited, by \(22 \%\) of respondents, as the third most important factor limiting output, compared with \(19 \%\) in the April survey. Firms with under 500 employees, the capital goods industries, and the engineering industries expect the situation to deteriorate over the next four months, although 'chemicals' expect an improving position. Individual sectors experiencing significant skilled labour shortages include 'electrical and instrument engineering' and 'paper, printing and publishing', while 'mechanical 'engineering', and 'chemicals' expect this constraint to ease. Other labour, although remaining a minor constraint on output, cited by \(3 \%\) of respondents in April, has risen to \(6 \%\), in the current survey.

\section*{- Fixed Capacity}
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
\(\qquad\) April 1988 & July 1988 \\
More than adequate (\%) & 26 & 21 \\
Less than adequate (\%) & 14 & 18 \\
Balance (\%) & +12 & +3
\end{tabular}

Fixed capacity remains - on balance - adequate to meet expected demand, but the degree of spare capacity has fallen since the April survey. The balance of respondents reporting present fixed capacity to be more than adequate has fallen from \(+12 \%\) in April to \(+3 \%\) in the current survey, the lowest balance since the question was first asked in October 1979. Nevertheless, \(82 \%\) of respondents indicate fixed capacity to be at least adequate to meet future demand.

Such fixed capacity constraints that do exist are unevenly distributed. The problem is more acute for chemicals' with a balance of \(-16 \%\) in the current survey compared with \(-8 \%\) in April. 'Paper, printing and publishing', the intermediate and con-
sumer goods industries, and the largest firms also report capacity on balance to be inadequate.
'Food, drink and tobacco', the engineering industries and the small and medium sized firms, however, expect fixed capacity to be adequate.

The proportion of respondents reporting their present level of output to be below capacity has fallen further to \(31 \%\), the lowest since the question was first asked in 1958. The increasing proportion of firms operating at capacity, particularly significant since January 1987, emphasizes the need for further investment in industrial capacity, particularly against the background of sustained growth in demand and output. Individual industries reporting very high levels of capacity utilisation include 'ferrous metals', 'industrial chemicals', 'electronic industrial goods', and 'motor vehicles'.

\section*{- Capital Expenditure - Plant and Machinery}
\[
\text { April } 1988 \text { July } 1988
\]
\begin{tabular}{lcr} 
More (\%) & 48 & 39 \\
Less (\%) & 16 & 20 \\
Balance (\%) & +32 & +19
\end{tabular}

Investment intentions in plant and machinery, twelve months ahead, remain strong, although less buoyant than in the April survey. Nevertheless, the growth in manufacturing investment is expected to continue. A balance of \(+19 \%\) of respondents, about the same as in January, expects to authorise more capital expenditure over the next twelve months than in the past twelve months. The responses suggest that investment will be more strongly concentrated in the intermediate goods industries, and the largest firms with over 5,000 employees. Smaller firms with under 500 employees report strong, stable investment intentions, in line with the previous survey. Although all sectors of industry show positive balances, the 'chemicals', 'metal manufacture' and 'all other manufacturing' sectors expect to increase their investment most strongly. The 'food, drink and tobacco', engineering and allied', and 'paper, printing and publishing' sectors and the capital goods industries now report lower positive balances than in the April survey. Investment intentions in buildings have reverted to a small negative balance of \(6 \%\), after recording low positive balances in the January and April surveys, suggesting a steady rate of replacement rather than expansion. The
most frequently cited reason for authorising capital expenditure continues to be that of increasing efficiency. It is cited by \(76 \%\) of all firms, the same as in the last survey. Industry, however, continues to be aware of the need to provide adequate capacity to cater for the sustained growth in output. The percentage of respondents citing the expansion of capacity as the main reason for capital expenditure, at \(39 \%\), is the same as in April, and remains the second highest result since this question was first asked in October 1979. Replacement is cited as the main reason by \(48 \%\) of respondents, compared with \(45 \%\) recorded in April.

An inadequate net return on the proposed investment remains the most important factor likely to limit capital expenditure authorisation, cited by \(48 \%\) of respondeats, compared with \(46 \%\) and \(44 \%\) respectively in January and April. Uncertainty about demand is cited by \(33 \%\) of respondents, compared with \(31 \%\) in the previous survey. It remains the second lowest result since this question was first asked in October 1979 and provides firm evidence of the durability of the growth in demand, despite currency instability. A shortage of internal finance is the third most important constraint on investment, cited by \(18 \%\) pf respondents. With the recent upward movement in interest rates, the proportion of firms citing the cost of finance as a significant constraint has risen from \(5 \%\) in April to \(7 \%\) in the present survey, similar to the levels of a year ago. A shortage of labour is also cited as a constraint by \(7 \%\) of respondents.

\section*{Employment}

July 1988 July 1988
Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Up (\%) & 28 & 27 \\
Same (\%) & 20 & 18 \\
Down (\%) & +8 & +9
\end{tabular}

The trend in manufacturing employment continues to be steadily upward with a balance of + \(8 \%\) of firms reporting an upward trend over the past four months. This is the fourth consecutive survey to indicate an improved employment position. The smaller firms, with under 500 employees, continue to show the most rapid employment growth. The largest firms, employing over 5000 people, have shed jobs at a much reduced rate over the past four months.

This improvement in employment is evident in most sectors of industry. The intermediate goods industries report a strengthening balance of \(+12 \%\), although the capital goods industries indicate a slowing in employment growth. Employment has also increased in the consumer goods industries after the decline reported in the April survey. Significant reductions, at a somewhat slower rate than in April, continue to take place in the 'food, drink and tobacco' and 'metal manufacture sectors'. Strong jobs growth is reported in 'furniture, upholstery and bedding', 'motor vehicles', 'timber and wooden products other than furniture' and by office machinery and data processing equipment'. 'Glass and ceramics', 'building materials', and 'pharmaceuticals and consumer chemicals' also report a strong pick up in employment.

Looking ahead, further gains are expected by a balance of \(+9 \%\) of respondents, but these are concentrated among the smaller firms, with under 500 employees; nevertheless, firms with over 5,000 employees expect job losses to cease over the next four months. The capital and consumer goods industries expect growth to pick up, but a reduction in employment is expected in the 'food, drink and tobacco, and 'metal manufacture' sectors.

\section*{Costs and Prices}
- Costs
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& July 1988 & July 1988 \\
Past 4 mths & Next 4 mths \\
Up (\%) & 33 & 29 \\
Down (\%) & 9 & 10 \\
Balance (\%) & +24 & +19
\end{tabular}

The deceleration in average costs per unit of output seen since October 1987 has been put into reverse. The balance of firms reporting an upward trend over the past four months, at \(24 \%\), compares with \(+10 \%\) in April, but is similar to the position last October. The pick up in costs, although expected in the April survey, reflects the rapid second quarter rise in the cost of materials and fuels purchased by manufacturing industry. Unit labour costs rose only slightly, helped by the \(6.5 \%\) growth in productivity in the three months ending in May, compared with a year ago. The increase in costs is widely based with only the
'chemicals' sector reporting a fall in costs. The largest firms with over 5000 employees, the capital goods industries, and the 'metal manufacture' and 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment' indicate a sharp upturn in costs over the past four months, compared with the first quarter reductions reported in the April survey. Costs are also rising significantly in some broad sectors of industry, including 'food, drink and tobacco' and engineering, and in such individual industries as 'wool textiles', 'pulp, paper and board', 'heating, ventilating and refrigerating equipment' and 'instrument engineering'.

The outlook for the next four months is for some slowing in the present rate of growth in costs, although, the 'metal manufacture' and 'paper, printing and publishing' sectors expect a fall in average costs. The slowdown in costs which is broadly based is expected to be particularly significant for the smallest firms with under 200 employees, the capital and intermediate goods industries, the 'metal products' and 'other manufacturing' sectors. Costs are expected to pick up, however, in the consumer goods industries, and in the 'food, drink and tobacco' and 'chemicals' sectors.

\section*{- Prices}

July 1988 July 1988
Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Up (\%) & 25 & 26 \\
Down (\%) & 4 & 3 \\
Balance (\%) & +21 & +23
\end{tabular}

In spite of the pick up in costs in the past four months, average prices at which domestic orders are booked have risen more slowly than was expected in April. A balance of \(+21 \%\) of respondents report a rising trend in prices, compared with \(+28 \%\) in the April survey and slightly above the balances recorded in October 1987 and January 1988. The moderation in the rate of price increases is reflected in most industrial sectors except 'chemicals' and 'metal manufactures', 'electrical and instrument engineering' and the capital goods industries which report a pick up. Main sectors indicating an above average slowing in the rate of price increases include 'textiles', 'food, drink and tobacco', 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment' 'metal products' and the con-
sumer goods industries. According to government statistics, output prices grew by \(4.6 \%\) on a year-on-year basis in June. The prospect is for a similar rate of growth in factory gate prices over the coming months, with a balance of \(+23 \%\) of respondents expecting prices to rise over the next four months.

\section*{Exports}

\section*{- Export Prospects}

April 1988 July 1988
\begin{tabular}{lcr} 
More optimistic (\%) & 19 & 25 \\
Less optimistic (\%) & 24 & 17 \\
Balance (\%) & -5 & +8
\end{tabular}

Optimism about export prospects for the coming twelve months has recovered sharply since April. The balance of respondents reporting an increase in optimism, at \(+8 \%\), compares with negative balances recorded in the preceding two surveys, although remaining below last July's strong positive balance. The trend in optimism varies markedly between sectors of industry. Signficantly increased confidence is reported by medium sized firms with 200 to under 500 employees, the largest firms and by the capital and consumer goods industries. Increasing optimism is also indicated by 'chemicals' 'electrical and instrument engineering' and 'paper, printing and publishing'. 'Textiles', 'metal products' and the intermediate goods industries, however, report sharp falls in confidence compared to four months ago.

\section*{- New Export Orders}

July 1988
Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Up (\%) & 28 & 26 \\
Down (\%) & 16 & 14 \\
Balance (\%) & +12 & +12
\end{tabular}

The rate of increase in new export orders has, as expected in the April survey, slowed in the second quarter, after the pick up recorded in the first quarter of this year. Growth has slackened significantly for medium sized firms with 500 and under 5000 employees, the intermediate goods industries, and the 'food, drink and tobacco' and
'metal manufacture' sectors, with 'textiles' and 'paper, printing and publishing' reporting falls in export orders. The largest firms, and 'electrical and instrument engineering', however, continue to indicate steady growth over the past four months. The balance of firms expecting an upward trend over the next four months, at \(+12 \%\), suggests a similar rate of growth in the third quarter. The largest firms, expect the recent growth to continue, while the smaller firms with under 500 employees expect a slowdown. Sectors expecting a pick up in export order include the engineering and allied industries, 'motor vehicles and other transport equipment', 'metal manufacture', the capital goods industries and medium sized firms with 500 to under 5000 employees. A downward trend, is expected in 'chemicals' and the smallest firms with falls indicated in 'textiles', 'metal products' and 'paper, printing and publishing'.

\section*{- Export Prices}

July 1988
July 1988
Past 4 mths Next 4 mths
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Up (\%) & 18 & 16 \\
Down (\%) & 10 & 9 \\
Balance (\%) & +8 & +7
\end{tabular}

The rate of increase in the average prices at which export orders are booked slowed slightly in the second quarter as expected in the April survey. Firms with over 500 employees, the capital and intermediate goods industries, the engineering industries, 'textiles' and 'metal products' reported a slowdown in the rate of price increases, while 'metal manufacture' and 'paper, printing and publishing' indicated price falls. The consumer goods industries, firms with 200 to under 500 employees, 'food, drink and tobacco', 'chemicals' and 'all other manufacturing', however, experienced a pick up in prices. Export prices are expected to rise in the third quarter at rates broadly similar to those reported over the past four months. Sectors expecting a pick up in prices, include 'food, drink and tobacco' and 'chemicals', balanced by 'metal manufacture', 'mechanical engineering' indicating a price fall over the coming months.

Prices remain the most important factor limiting the ability to obtain export orders, but have fallen sharply in significance, being cited by \(61 \%\) of
respondents, compared with 70\% in April and similar to the level a year ago. Delivery dates are cited by \(14 \%\) of respondents, the same as in the April survey. Political or economic conditions are a more important factor - though they were cited by only \(18 \%\) of respondents - compared with \(24 \%\) in April.

\section*{NOTES}

Respondents directly account for over half of manufacturing employment and approaching half the country's manufactured exports. The replies are weighted to take account of the firm's industry, net output and employment size; separate information is used for the questions relating to exports.

The Industrial Trends Survey, introduced in 1958, is conducted quarterly. In addition, the CBI carries out an abbreviated Enquiry in the intervening months. The CBI provides results for 50 individual industries, which have been defined to reflect the 1980-SIC classification, and disaggregated results are available on subscription.

Contact the CBI's Economic Trends Department (01-379-7400) for more details.
(All figures are percentage balances * except where otherwise stated)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TOTAL TRADE & Apr 87 & Ju1 87 & Oct 87 & Jan 88 & Apr 88 & Jul 88 \\
\hline 1 Optimism re business situation & +29 & +25 & +23 & +11 & +19 & \(+8\) \\
\hline 312 month forecast of capital expenditure authorisations compared with previous 12 months on: & & & & & & \\
\hline a buildings & - 3 & + 1 & - 3 & + 1 & + 6 & - 6 \\
\hline b plant and machinery & +13 & +20 & +17 & \(+20\) & +32 & +19 \\
\hline 4 Firms working below capacity \({ }^{1}\) & 49 & 45 & 41 & 35 & 32 & 31 \\
\hline 6 Numbers employed - past 4 months & -10 & - 7 & \(+4\) & \(+9\) & \(+8\) & \(+8\) \\
\hline 7 Volume of new orders - past 4 months & +26 & +24 & +25 & +26 & +31 & +24 \\
\hline - next 4 months & +25 & +25 & +25 & +16 & +23 & +23 \\
\hline 8 Volume of output - past 4 months & +24 & +23 & +19 & +31 & +36 & +27 \\
\hline - next 4 months & +25 & +23 & +25 & +26 & +29 & +27 \\
\hline 10a Stocks of raw materials - past 4 months & - 6 & \(+3\) & 0 & - 1 & \(+2\) & \(+8\) \\
\hline b Stocks of work in progress - past 4 months & - 5 & + 6 & \(+7\) & \(+7\) & \(+1\) & \(+7\) \\
\hline - next 4 months & - 6 & \(+1\) & +1 & - 3 & - 3 & - 3 \\
\hline c Stocks of fınished goods - past 4 months & - 7 & - 4 & - 7 & - 8 & + 1 & \(+5\) \\
\hline - next 4 months & - 8 & - 5 & - 8 & - 2 & 4 & - 7 \\
\hline 11 Average unit costs - past 4 months & +25 & +10 & +22 & +16 & +10 & +24 \\
\hline - next 4 months & +18 & +11 & +19 & +33 & +23 & +19 \\
\hline 12a Average domestic prices - past 4 months & \(+20\) & +16 & +18 & +18 & +28 & +21 \\
\hline - next 4 months & +26 & +19 & +23 & +39 & +31 & +23 \\
\hline 14 Four month forecast of factors likely to limit output & & & & & & \\
\hline Orders or sales & 72 & 69 & 65 & 67 & 68 & 60 \\
\hline Skilled labour & 12 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 19 & 22 \\
\hline Other labour & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\
\hline Plant capacity & 25 & 22 & 24 & 21 & 26 & 26 \\
\hline Credit or finance & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Materials/components & 4 & 6 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 9 \\
\hline Other & 2 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Apr \(8^{\prime} 7\) & Jul 87 & Uct 87 & Jan 88 & Apr 88 & Ju1 88 \\
\hline \(+24\) & +24 & +14 & \(-7\) & - 5 & \(+8\) \\
\hline +15 & +23 & + 9 & +14 & +18 & +12 \\
\hline +20 & +17 & +17 & \(+7\) & \(+9\) & +12 \\
\hline +15 & +23 & +13 & +22 & +20 & +19 \\
\hline +22 & +21 & \(+21\) & +11 & +11 & +20 \\
\hline +18 & + 9 & \(+6\) & +11 & +10 & + 8 \\
\hline +15 & +13 & +21 & +26 & \(+8\) & \(+7\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

15 four month forecast of lactors likely to limit export orders \({ }^{1}\)
prices
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
\(5 y\) & 60 & 56 & 56 & 70 & 61 \\
15 & 12 & 15 & 17 & 14 & 14 \\
\(y\) & 13 & 6 & 11 & 8 & 5 \\
10 & 13 & 11 & 12 & 10 & 5 \\
\(25-\) & 28 & 28 & 29 & 24 & 18 \\
10 & \(y\) & 13 & 10 & 10 & 13
\end{tabular}

Other

\section*{1 Percentage Figures}

CBI Monthly Trends Enquiry: Time Series of results from August 1987 to July 1988

In the intervening months between the main quarterly industrial frends Surveys the CBI carries out a much abbreviated monthly Trends Enquiry. In the latter participants are only asked to answer five questions. These five questions are also included in the main quarterly Survey and the table below sets out the time series of results for the past year.
(Q.5a)*

1b Export Urder Book
(Q.5b)
\(\angle\) Stocks
(Q. 5 c )

3 Volume of Cutput

4 Average Prices
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|lll|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline Aug, Sep & Uct & Nov & Nec & Jan & Feb & Mar & Apr & May & Jun & Jul \\
\hline+11 & \(+y\) & +10 & +13 & +18 & +20 & +20 & +20 & +14 & +21 & +13 & +14 \\
\hline+5 & +3 & +1 & +11 & +4 & +5 & +12 & +11 & +2 & +4 & +2 & +6 \\
\hline-1 & +3 & -7 & -5 & +2 & -1 & -2 & +1 & +2 & -3 & -1 & +1 \\
\hline+38 & +30 & +25 & +36 & +31 & +26 & +36 & +37 & +29 & +34 & +30 & +27 \\
\hline+21 & +20 & +23 & +28 & +31 & +39 & +33 & +25 & +31 & +18 & +21 & +23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* question number in quarterly survey
* The 'balance' is the ditference between those replying 'more', 'up', 'above normal' or 'more than adequate' and those replying 'less', 'down', 'below normal' or 'less than adequate'.

\section*{EUITKENLSLP}

Total Trade Questions
Export Trade Questions


Number of RESPONDENTS in each employment size group:
(a) 0-199

(b) 200-499 315
(c) 500-4,999 253
(d) 5,000 and over 3

1 Are you more, or less, optimistic than you were four months ago about the general business situation in your industry

2 Are you more, or less, optimistic about your export prospects for the Text twelve months than you were four months ago

3 Do you expect to authorise more or less capital expenditure in the next twelve months than you authorised in the past twelve months on:
a. buildings
b. plant and machinery
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline More & Same & Less \\
\hline 20 & 68 & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

4 Is your present level of output below capacity (i.e. are you working below a satisfactory full rate of operation)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline More & Same & Less & N/A \\
\hline 25 & 57 & 17 & 1 \\
\hline 22 & 3 & 7 & 28 \\
\hline 39 & 40 & 20 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

5 Excluding seasonal variations, do you consider that in volume terms:
a. Your present total order book is
b. Your present export order book is (firms with no order book are requested to estimate the level of demand)
c. Your present stocks of finished goods are

Excluding seasonal variations, what has been the trend over the PAST FOUR MONTHS, and what are the expected trends for the NEXT FOUR MONTHS, with regard to:
6 Numbers employed
7 Volume of total new orders

> of which:
a. domestic orders
b. export orders

8 Volume of output
9 Volume of:
a. domestic deliveries
b. export deliveries

10 Volume of stocks of:
a. raw materials and brought in supplies
b. work in progress
c. finished goods



a. domestic orders are booked
b. export orders are booked


Approximately how many months' production is accounted for by your present order book or production schedule.

14 What factors are likely to limit your output over the next four months. Please tick the most important factor or factors.

What factors are likely to limit your ability to obtain export orders over the next four months. Please tick the most important factor or factors.



a. In relation to expected demand over the next twelve months
b. What are the main reasons for any expected CAPITAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORISATIONS ON BUILDINGS, PLANT OR MACHINERY over the next twelve months
 is your present fixed capacity :
to expand capacity
to increase efficiency
for replacement

c. What factors are likely to limit (wholly or partly) your capital expenditure authorisations over the next twelve months

Inadequate net return
on proposed investment
Shortage of internal
finance
Inability to raise external finance
Cost of finance

Shortage of labour including Managerial and Technical Staff

Other (please specify)
N/A


\section*{INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIES IN THE CBI INDUSTRIAL TRENDS SURVEY}

\section*{FOOD, DRINK AND TOBACCO}

Food; drink and tobacco.
CHEMICALS, COAL AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS
Industrial and agricultural produots; consumer chemicals; synthetic resins and plastics.

\section*{METAL MANUFACTURE}

Ferrous metals; non-ferrous metals.

\section*{MECHANICAL AND INSTRUMENT ENGINEERING}

Agricultural machinery; machine tools; industrial engines; textile machinery; contractors' plant; industrial and office machinery; heating, refrigerating and ventilating equipment; heavy industrial plant; construction steelwork; other mechanical engineering; instrument engineering.

\section*{ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING}

Power and industrial electric goods; electronic goods; electric consumer goods.

SHIPBUILDING, MARINE ENGINEERING AND VEHICLES
Shipbuilding and marine engineering; motor vehicles; other vehicles.
METAL GOODS NOT ELSEWHERE SPECIFIED
Engineers' small tools and gauges; hand tools and
implements; fabricated metal goods.

\section*{TEXTILES}

Man-made fibres; spinning and weaving; wool textiles; hosiery and knitwear; other textiles; clothing and fur; leather and leather goods; footwear.

\section*{OTHER MANUFACTURING}

Building materials; timber and wooden products; furniture etc.; glass and ceramics; paper and paper products; printing and publishing; rubber; miscellaneous manufacturing.
```

The current account for June, seasonally adjusted, is estimated
to have been in deficit by £1021 million compared with a deficit of
£1144 million in May. In June, exports - seaunally adjusted on a
balance of payments basis - were valued at £6819 million and
imports at £8340 million so that the trade in goods was in deficit
by £1521 mill_un.
The balance on invisibles is projected to be in surplus by £500
million, a large surplus on the transactions of the private sector
and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government
transactions.

```

\section*{SECOND QUARTER 1988}

In the second quarter the current account showed a deficit of £2.8 billion, the same as in the previous quarter. There was a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 4.3\) billion in the second quarter compared with a deficit of \(£ 4.0\) billion in the rirst quarter. The surplus on invisibles in the latest quarter is projected at £1.5 billion.

CURRENT ACCOUNT
Table 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Visible Trade} & lly adjusted \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Current \\
Ral ance
\end{tabular} & & Exports & Imports & Invisibles \\
\hline & Bal ance & Balance & fob & fob & Balance \({ }^{\text {b }}\) \\
\hline 1986 & + 116 & - 8463 & 72678 & & \\
\hline 1987 & - 1560 & - 9625 & 72678 & 81141 & + 8579 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & - 218 & - 9625 & 79622
19357 & 37247 & + 8005 \\
\hline Q3 & - 919 & - 3109 & 20235 & 21674 & + 2099 \\
\hline Q4 & - 1397 & - 2988 & 20235 & 23344 & + 2190 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & - 2776 & - 4017 & 18826 & 23488 & + 1591 \\
\hline Q2 & - 2832 & - 4332 & 18826 & 22843 & + 1241 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & - 1159 & & 20215 & 24547 & + 1500 \\
\hline Fah & - 1U44 & - 1573 & 6209 & 7702 & + 414 \\
\hline Mar & - 572 & - 1457 & 6176 & 7634 & + 413 \\
\hline & - \(\quad 572\)
\(-\quad 667 a\) & - 986 & 6441 & 7427 & + 414 \\
\hline May & - 667a & - 1167 & 6854 & 8021 & + 500a \\
\hline May
Jun & - 1144a & - 1644 & 6542 & 8186 & + 500a \\
\hline Jan-Mar 1988 & - 1021a & - 1521 & 6819 & 8340 & a
\(+\quad 500 a\)
+ \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1988 & - 2776 & - 4017 & 18826 & 22843 & 1241 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1988
Jan-Jun 1988 & - 2832a & - 4332 & 20215 & 24547 & 1500 \\
\hline Jan-Jun 1988 & - 5607a & - 8348 & 39041 & 47389 & + 2741a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection. Information relating to credits and debits can be found in table 3.

\section*{Visible trade in June}

There was a deficit on visible trade in June of \(£ 1521\) million compared with a deficit of \(£ 1644\) million in May. The surplus on oil was £149 million, £72 million less than in May. The deficit on non-oil trade increased by £196 million.

Total exports in June were valued at \(£ 6819\) million, which was £277 million (4 per cent) higher than in May. Exports of oil increased by £39 million and exports of the erratic items increased by £102 million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between May and June.

Total imports were valued at \(£ 8340\) million in June, which was \(£ 154\) million more than in May. Imports of oil rose by £111 million between the two months while imports of the erratic items fell by £89 million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports rose by 2 per cent between May and June.

\section*{RECENT TRENDS}

\section*{Visible balance}

In the second quarter of 1988 , there was a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 4.3\) billion - a surplus on trade in oil of £O.7 billion offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of £S.O billion. Between the
first and second quarters the deficit on visible trade increased by £0. 3 billion - the surplus on oil fell by £O. 2 billion while the deficit on non-oil trade rose by £O. 1 billion.

\section*{Exports}

Exports amounted to \(£ 20.2\) billion in the second quarter of 1988 , £1.4 billion ( \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent) more than in the previous quarter. Exports of oil fell by \(£ 0.2\) billion, but exports of the erratic items rose by £0.5 billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports increased by 7 per cent between the first and second quarter.

Total export volume in the latest quarter was 5 per cent higher than in the previous quarter and \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume rose by 6 per cent in the latest quarter and was also 6 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. The underlying level of non-oil export volume in recent months has returned to the high level reached at the end of last year.

Imports

Total imports were valued at \(£ 24.5\) billion in the latest quarter, £1.7 billion ( \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent) more than in the previous quarter. Imports of oil were little changed while imports of the erratic items rose by \(£ 0.4\) billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports rose by 6 per cent between the first and second quarter.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on 27/7/88 at 11.30 am}

Total import volume in the latest quarter was \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the previous quarter and 13 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by 6 per cent in the latest quarter and was 13 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. The underlying level of non-oil import volume has been rising in recent months.

\section*{Terms of trade and unit values}

The terms of trade index rose by 2 per cent between the first and second quarter of 1988 with the export unit value index increasing by 1 per cent and the import unit value index decreasing by 1 per cent. Compared with the same quarter a year ago, the export unit value index rose by 2 per cent and the import unit value index fell b: 1 per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent up on a year ago.

Export unit values for fuels fell by 2 per cent between the first and second quarter while the unit value index for non-oil exports rose by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent.

Import unit values for fuels fell by 5 per cent between the first and second quarter while the unit value index for non-oil imports fell by \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent.

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on 27/7/88 at 11.30 am

Analysis by area

Exports to the developed countries rose by 12 per cent between the first and second quarter. Exports to the European Community countries rose by 20 per cent over the latest quarter; exports to North America decreased by 11 per cent but exports to the other developed countries increased by 9 per cent. Those to the developing countries rose by 4 per cent between the first and second quarter.

Imports from the developed countries increased by 9 per cent over the latest quarter with arrivals from the European Community countrie up \(8 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent, from North America up by 14 per cent and arrivals from the other developed countries up by 4 per cent. Imports from the developing countries incrased by 12 per cent between the first and second quarter.

\section*{NOTES TO EDITORS}

\section*{INVISIBLES}

1 Estimates of invisibles are based on a variety of sources, mostly inquiries of those engaging in the various transactions. These are usually sample inquiries, and are variously held on quarterly, annual or periodical bases. For some components, data for recent periods are therefore incomplete and subject to significant estimation errors.

2 The figures shown for the invisibles balance in most months are the estimates for the relevant quarters, taken from the balance of payments accounts, expressed at a monthly rate. For the most recent months, however, the figures are projections, which are rounded to the nearest \(£ 100\) million to emphasise their approximate nature. These projections are superceded by preliminary estimates from the balance of payments accounts when they are published around the middle of March, June, September and December.

\section*{VISIBLE TRADE}

3
On 1 January 1988 new Customs administrative procedures were introduced, including a switch to the Single Administrative Document for Customs declaration and the adoption of a new system of commodity coding based on the world-wide Harmonised System. Details of the changes, collectively known as 'Customs '88', were given in an article in British Business on 27 November 1987. As expected these changes have had some effect on the statistics of visible trade. The main effect has been to alter the month in which some exports have been recorded from December 1987 onwards (see para 4 below). There is no indication of any similar effects occuring with imports.

\section*{The monthly pattern of exports}

4 Figures of exports attributed to a particular month relate to information received in the Statistical Office of HM Customs and Excise up to three days before the end of that month. Documents generally arrive at the Statistical Office up to a fortnight after the goods are shipped, so that a proportion of exports attributed to a particular month correspond tu goods shipped in the previous month, and in a few cases before then. A significant increase in the proportion of goods shipped and recorded in the month suggests some speeding up in the lodging of documents during December 1987 in advance of the administrative changes. Analysis of the timing of shipments and documents since December 1987 is continuing, although preliminary indications are that the major impact of Customs ' 88 was concentrated in the December to February period and was relatively small.

\section*{Ferry Operators' strike}

5 Although the ferry operators' strike continued throughout June, the overall effect on trade is thought to be negligible.

\section*{MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS}

6 The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of \(£ 43\) which includes the annual supplement. Individual copies are priced at £3, (£7 for the annual supplement).

\section*{STANDARD NOTES}

7 There is a set of standard notes that describe the basis on which the figures in this Press Notice are compiled including the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) figures. Additional copies can be obtained from the address below.

AREA (tables 11 and 15)

8 Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than £600, are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15 . In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, l Victoria Street, London SW1H OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Current \\
Balance
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Visible Trade} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Invisibles Balance b} \\
\hline & & Exports & Imports & Visible & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{of which} & \\
\hline & & fob & fob & Balance & Oil & Non-oil & \\
\hline 1986 & + 116 & 72678 & 81141 & - 8463 & + 4056 & - 12519 & + 8579 \\
\hline 1987 & - 1560 & 79622 & 89247 & - 9625 & + 4184 & - 13809 & + 8065 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & - 218 & 19357 & 21674 & - 2317 & + 1016 & - 3333 & + 2099 \\
\hline Q3 & - 919 & 20235 & 23344 & - 3109 & + 936 & - 4046 & + 2190 \\
\hline Q4 & - 1397 & 20500 & 23488 & - 2988 & + 1073 & - 4061 & + 1591 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & - 2776 & 18826 & 22843 & - 4017 & + 881 & - 4897 & + 1241 \\
\hline Q2 & - 2832 & 20215 & 24547 & - 4332 & + 679 & - 5011 & + 1500 \\
\hline 1987 Oct & - 392 & 6802 & 7724 & - 922 & + 394 & - 1316 & + 530 \\
\hline Nov & - 525 & 6881 & 7938 & - 1056 & + 332 & - 1388 & + 531 \\
\hline Dec & - 480 & 6817 & 7827 & - 1010 & + 346 & - 1356 & + 530 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & - 1159 & 6209 & 7782 & - 1573 & + 356 & - 1929 & + 414 \\
\hline Feb & - 1044 & 6176 & 7634 & - 1457 & + 311 & - 1769 & + 413 \\
\hline Mar & - 572 & 6441 & 7427 & - 986 & + 213 & - 1199 & + 414 \\
\hline Apr & - 667a & 6854 & 8021 & - 1167 & + 309 & - 1476 & + 500a \\
\hline May & - 1144a & 6542 & 8186 & - 1644 & + 221 & - 1865 & + 500a \\
\hline Jun & - 1021a & 6819 & 8340 & - 1521 & + 149 & - 1670 & + 500a \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1987 & - 218 & 19357 & 21674 & - 2317 & + 1016 & - 3333 & + 2099 \\
\hline Jan-Mar 1988 & - 2776 & 18826 & 22843 & - 4017 & + 881 & - 4897 & + 1241 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1988 & - 2832a & 20215 & 24547 & - 4332 & + 679 & - 5011 & + 1500a \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\% Change} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Latest 3 months \\
- on previous 3
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline months & & \(+7 / 2\) & \(+7 / 2\) & & & & \\
\hline - Same 3 months one year ago & & + \(41 / 2\) & \(+13\) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a Invisibles for April to June 1988 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's cstimate or projection.

INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{All Sectors} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Private Sector and Public Corporations \({ }^{\text {d }}\)} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{of which} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Credits} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Debits} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Balance} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Credits} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Debits} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Balance} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Services} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Interest} & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Profits \\
Dividends
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Transfers} & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1985 & 80480 & 75017 & + 5463 & + 5882 & + 2833 & - 3252 & 75812 & 65063 & + 10749 \\
\hline 1986 & 77364 & 68785 & + 8579 & + 5721 & + 5035 & - 2177 & 72238 & 59178 & + 13060 \\
\hline 1987 & 80223 & 72158 & + 8065 & + 5752 & \(+5735\) & - 3422 & 74852 & 60586 & + 14266 \\
\hline 1986 Q2 & 18814 & 16841 & + 1973 & + 1357 & + 1179 & - 563 & 17485 & 14415 & + 3070 \\
\hline Q3 & 19421 & 17343 & + 2078 & + 1375 & + 1453 & - 750 & 18172 & 14793 & + 3379 \\
\hline n4 & 19974 & 17907 & + 2007 & + 1435 & + 1464 & - 852 & 18792 & 15251 & + 3541 \\
\hline 1987 Q1 & 19851 & 17666 & + 2185 & + 1484 & + 1531 & - 830 & 18215 & 14601 & + 3614 \\
\hline Q2 & 20104 & 18005 & + 2099 & + 1461 & + 1388 & - 750 & 18662 & 15181 & + 3481 \\
\hline Q3 & 20475 & 18285 & + 2190 & + 1645 & + 1495 & - 950 & 19254 & 15362 & + 3892 \\
\hline Q4 & 19793 & 18202 & + 1591 & + 1162 & + 1321 & - 892 & 18721 & 15442 & + 3279 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 20384 & 19143 & + 1241 & + 919 & + 1364 & - 1042 & 19000 & 16059 & + 2941 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
d ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.
}
and personal
until release of press notice on 27 JUL 88

EXPORT AND IMPORT UNIT VALUE AND VOLUME INDEX NUMBERS
Table 4
Balance of Payments basis
Indices,
\(1980=100\)

e Export unit value index as a percentage of the Import unit value index.
VALUE AND VOLUME OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS
Table 5 EXCLUDING THE MORE ERRATIC ITEMS \({ }^{f}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Value \(£\) million fob} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Volume Index 1980 \(=100\)} \\
\hline & Exports & 1 mports & Exports & Imports \\
\hline 1986 & 67300 & 77492 & 126.3 & 143.0 \\
\hline 1987 & 73645 & 85386 & 133.6 & 154.0 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 17939 & 20740 & 129.8 & 150.2 \\
\hline Q3 & 18755 & 22313 & 134.1 & 160.9 \\
\hline Q4 & 18933 & 22481 & 138.0 & 162.4 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 17652 & 21877 & 130.6 & 158.1 \\
\hline Q2 & 18537 & 23189 & 134.6 & 167.8 \\
\hline 1987 Oct & 6178 & 7300 & 133.2 & 156.4 \\
\hline Nov & 6339 & 7609 & 138.3 & 164.5 \\
\hline Dec & 6416 & 7572 & 142.5 & 166.3 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & 5928 & 7501 & 132.9 & 162.4 \\
\hline Feb & 5771 & 7244 & 127.8 & 156.1 \\
\hline Mar & 5954 & 7133 & 131.2 & 155.9 \\
\hline Apr & 6300 & 7670 & 137.7 & 165.5 \\
\hline May & 6031 & 7638 & 131.9 & 165.5 \\
\hline Jun & 6206 & 7881 & 134.3 & 172.5 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1987 & 17939 & 20740 & 179.8 & 150.2 \\
\hline Jan-Mar 1988 & 17652 & 21877 & 130.6 & 158.1 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1988 & 18537 & 23189 & 134.6 & 167.8 \\
\hline \% Change & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Latest 3 months on \\
- previous 3 months \\
- Same 3 months
\end{tabular} & +5
\(+31 / 2\) & +6
+12 & +3
+31 & +6
+12 \\
\hline one year ago & \(+31 / 2\) & \(+12\) & + \(31 / 2\) & \(+12\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(f\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Balance \\
of \\
Trade \\
in oil \\
£ \\
million \\
fob
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{EXPORTS} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{IMPORTS} \\
\hline & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{CRUDE OIL}} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rest of Division} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{CRUDE OIL}} & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Rest of Division 33} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & TOTAL & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(SITC R(3) 333.0 )} & 33 & TOTAL & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(SITC (R3) 333.0 )} & \\
\hline & & & & & Avg value & & & & & Avg value & £ \\
\hline & & million & million & million & per tonne & million & million & million & million & per tonne & million \\
\hline & & fob & fob & tonnes & E fob & fob & fob & fob & tonnes & £fob & fob \\
\hline 1986 & \(+4056\) & 8202 & 6294 & 82.1 & 76.7 & 1908 & 4146 & 2324 & 32.6 & 71.3 & 1822 \\
\hline 1987 & + 4184 & 8445 & 6765 & 80.6 & 83.9 & 1681 & 4261 & 2608 & 33.1 & 78.8 & 1654 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & + 1016 & 2046 & 1653 & 19.8 & 83.6 & 393 & 1030 & 645 & 8.1 & 79.2 & 386 \\
\hline Q3 & + 936 & 2055 & 1636 & 18.6 & 88.0 & 419 & 1119 & 667 & 8.2 & 81.6 & 451 \\
\hline Q4 & + 1073 & 2124 & 1658 & 20.4 & 81.2 & 466 & 1051 & 673 & 8.9 & 75.3 & 378 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & + 881 & 1688 & 1348 & 20.0 & 67.3 & 340 & 807 & 539 & 8.6 & 62.9 & 268 \\
\hline Q2 & + 679 & 1494 & 1118 & 17.1 & 65.4 & 377 & 815 & 417 & 6.9 & 60.8 & 398 \\
\hline 1987 Oct & + 394 & 695 & 549 & 6.3 & 87.0 & 146 & 300 & 170 & 2.1 & 80.3 & 130 \\
\hline Nov & + 332 & 712 & 557 & 6.8 & 82.5 & 156 & 380 & 266 & 3.4 & 78.5 & 114 \\
\hline Dec & + 346 & 717 & 553 & 7.4 & 75.0 & 164 & 370 & 236 & 3.4 & 69.0 & 134 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & + 356 & 620 & 518 & 7.4 & 70.3 & 103 & 264 & 181 & 2.8 & 64.2 & 83 \\
\hline Feb & + 311 & 569 & 443 & 6.4 & 69.0 & 126 & 258 & 163 & 2.5 & 64.7 & 95 \\
\hline Mar & + 213 & 499 & 388 & 6.3 & 61.9 & 111 & 286 & 196 & 3.3 & 60.3 & 90 \\
\hline Apr & + 309 & 533 & 390 & 5.9 & 66.1 & 143 & 224 & 105 & 1.8 & 59.8 & 119 \\
\hline May & + 221 & 461 & 348 & 5.4 & 64.3 & 113 & 240 & 112 & 1.9 & 59.7 & 128 \\
\hline Jun & + 149 & 500 & 380 & 5.8 & 65.6 & 120 & 351 & 200 & 3.2 & 62.0 & 151 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1987 & + 1016 & 2046 & 1653 & 19.8 & 83.6 & 393 & 1030 & 645 & 8.1 & 79.2 & 386 \\
\hline Jan-Mar 1988 & + 881 & 1688 & 1348 & 20.0 & 67.3 & 340 & 807 & 539 & 8.6 & 62.9 & 268 \\
\hline Apr-Jun 1988 & + 679 & 1494 & 1118 & 17.1 & 65.4 & 377 & 815 & 417 & 6.9 & 60.8 & 398 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{\% Change} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Latest 3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{months on} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{previous} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3 months} & - 11 & - 17 & - 15 & - 3 & + 11 & + 1 & - 23 & - 20 & - \(31 / 2\) & \(+48\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{- same 3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{months one} \\
\hline year ago & & - 27 & - 32 & - 14 & - 22 & - 4 & - 21 & - 35 & - 16 & - 23 & + \(31 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
g Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy which are on a time of shipment basis (see paragraph 9 of the standard notes).
and personal
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\(f\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{14}{|c|}{Manufactures excluding erratics \({ }^{\text {h }}\)} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Food} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Semi-manufactures excluding precious stones \& silver(PS)} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Finished manufactures excluding ships, North Sea installations and aircraft (SNA)} \\
\hline & Total & \begin{tabular}{l}
bever- \\
ages \\
and \\
tobacco
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Basic \\
Mater- \\
ials
\end{tabular} & Fuels & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Manufac- \\
tures
\end{tabular} & Total & Total & \begin{tabular}{l}
Chemi - \\
cals
\end{tabular} & Other & Total & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pass- \\
enger \\
Motor \\
Cars
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
Consumer
\end{tabular} & Intermediate & Capital \\
\hline & & & & & & 5-8 & 5+6 & & 6 & 7+8 & & & & \\
\hline SITC & & & & & & less & less & & less & less & & & & \\
\hline (REV 3) & 0-9 & 0+1 & \(\underline{2+4}\) & 3 & 5-8 & SNAPS & PS & 5 & PS & SNA & & & & \\
\hline 1986 & 72834 & 5484 & 2098 & 8664 & 55036 & 50138 & 18651 & 9711 & 8940 & 31488 & 1362 & 5534 & 14362 & 10230 \\
\hline 1987 & 79852 & 5599 & 2243 & 8747 & 61735 & 56140 & 20360 & 10541 & 9818 & 35780 & 1980 & 6797 & 15349 & 11654 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 19280 & 1351 & 565 & 2116 & 14865 & 13512 & 4879 & 2514 & 2365 & 8633 & 478 & 1607 & 3736 & 2811 \\
\hline Q3 & 20275 & 1441 & 541 & 2134 & 15790 & 14426 & 5291 & 2735 & 2555 & 9136 & 523 & 1783 & 3843 & 2987 \\
\hline Q4 & 20766 & 1407 & 504 & 2195 & 16265 & 14742 & 5315 & 2781 & 2533 & 9428 & 527 & 1778 & 4035 & 3088 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 18999 & 1270 & 486 & 1763 & 15078 & 13935 & 5067 & 2663 & 2404 & 8868 & 492 & 1582 & 3865 & 2930 \\
\hline Q2 & 20389 & 1442 & 520 & 1562 & 16458 & 14809 & 5367 & 2718 & 2649 & 9442 & 460 & 1603 & 4030 & 3349 \\
\hline 1988 Apr & 6858 & 492 & 165 & 558 & 5515 & 4965 & 1758 & 905 & 852 & 3208 & 123 & 548 & 1433 & 1104 \\
\hline May & 6541 & 480 & 165 & 485 & 5274 & 4769 & 1760 & 885 & 875 & 3009 & 130 & 521 & 1323 & 1034 \\
\hline Jun & 6990 & 470 & 190 & 519 & 5669 & 5075 & 1850 & 928 & 922 & 3226 & 206 & 534 & 1274 & 1211 \\
\hline Jan-Mar & 18999 & 1270 & 486 & 1763 & 15078 & 13935 & 5067 & 2663 & 2404 & 8868 & 492 & 1582 & 3865 & 2930 \\
\hline Apr-Jun & 20389 & 1442 & 520 & 1562 & 16458 & 14809 & 5367 & 2718 & 2649 & 9442 & 460 & 1603 & 4030 & 3349 \\
\hline \% Change & + \(71 / 2\) & + 14 & + 7 & - 11 & + 9 & + \(61 / 2\) & + 6 & + 2 & + 10 & + \(61 / 2\) & - \(61 / 2\) & + \(11 / 2\) & + \(41 / 2\) & + 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(h\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
EXPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 9
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES, \(1980=100\), seasonally adjusted Manufactures excluding erraticsh

Semi manufactures Finished manufactures excluding ships, excluding precious North Sea installations and aircraft stones \& silver(PS) (SNA)


Pass-
enger
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
bever- & \\
ages & Basic & Total \\
and & Mater- & Manufac
\end{tabular}

Chemi -
Motor Other Inter-
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & \[
\frac{\text { Total }}{5-8}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Total }}{5+6}
\] & & \[
\frac{\text { Other }}{6}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Total }}{7+8}
\] & & Consum & dia & Capital \\
\hline SITC & & & & & & less & less & & less & less & & & & \\
\hline (REV 3) & 0-9 & \(\underline{0+1}\) & 2+4 & 3 & 5-8 & SNAPS & PS & 5 & PS & SNA & j & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Weights & 1000 & 69 & 31 & 136 & 735 & 658 & 252 & 112 & 141 & 406 & 18 & 71 & 170 & 147 \\
\hline 1986 & 123.9 & 129.5 & 117.3 & 175.5 & 114.1 & 117.0 & 122.8 & 139.4 & 109.3 & 113.6 & 93.2 & 119.8 & 119.7 & 105.7 \\
\hline 1987 & 131.0 & 129.3 & 125.3 & 173.4 & 123.8 & 127.3 & 131.9 & 148.3 & 118.5 & 124.5 & 119.8 & 142.5 & 122.7 & 118.9 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 126.4 & 125 & 123 & 168 & 119 & 122 & 127 & 141 & 115 & 120 & 118 & 136 & 119 & 113 \\
\hline Q3 & 131.2 & 132 & 121 & 161 & 126 & 130 & 136 & 152 & 123 & 127 & 125 & 148 & 123 & 123 \\
\hline Q4 & 136.7 & 129 & 115 & 182 & 130 & 134 & 137 & 157 & 121 & 131 & 125 & 148 & 130 & 126 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 127.3 & 123 & 113 & 173 & 120 & 125 & 130 & 149 & 116 & 121 & 121 & 133 & 120 & 118 \\
\hline Q2 & 133.7 & 141 & 107 & 156 & 130 & 132 & 138 & 152 & 127 & 128 & 112 & 133 & 122 & 136 \\
\hline 1988 Apr & 135.6 & 149 & 104 & 167 & 130 & 132 & 135 & 151 & 122 & 131 & 91 & 136 & 131 & 131 \\
\hline May & 129.3 & 141 & 101 & 147 & 126 & 129 & 138 & 150 & 127 & 123 & 94 & 129 & 121 & 127 \\
\hline Jun & 136.3 & 133 & 115 & 155 & 134 & 135 & 142 & 156 & 131 & 132 & 151 & 132 & 115 & 149 \\
\hline Jan-Mar & 127.3 & 123 & 113 & 173 & 120 & 125 & 130 & 149 & 116 & 121 & 121 & 133 & 120 & 118 \\
\hline Apr-Jun & 133.7 & 141 & 107 & 156 & 130 & 132 & 138 & 152 & 127 & 128 & 112 & 133 & 122 & 136 \\
\hline \% Change. & + 5 & + 14 & - 51/2 & - \(91 / 2\) & + \(81 / 2\) & + 6 & + 6 & + \(21 / 2\) & + \(91 / 2\) & + 6 & - 8 & - \(1 / 2\) & + 2 & + 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
}
and personal
until release of press notice on 27 JUL 88 at 11.30 am

Semi-manufactures Finished manufactures excluding ships, excluding precious North Sea installations and aircraft stones \& silver(PS) (SNA)

Pass enger
Motor Other InterCars Consumer mediate Capital

Cars
Total tobacco ials Fuels tures
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (REV 3) & 0-9 & 0+1 & \(\underline{2+4}\) & 3 & 5-8 & SNAPS & PS & 5 & PS & SNA & j & j & \(j\) & j \\
\hline Weights & 1000 & 69 & 31 & 136 & 735 & 658 & 252 & 112 & 141 & 406 & 18 & 71 & 170 & 147 \\
\hline 1986 & 136.7 & 140 & 124 & 81 & 147 & 148 & 138 & 141 & 135 & 154 & 182 & 156 & 157 & 145 \\
\hline 1987 & 142.0 & 141 & 124 & 82 & 154 & 155 & 142 & 145 & 139 & 162 & 206 & 165 & 166 & 151 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 141.3 & 140 & 123 & 81 & 153 & 154 & 141 & 145 & 137 & 162 & 204 & 163 & 168 & 150 \\
\hline Q3 & 143.0 & 141 & 125 & 86 & 154 & 155 & 143 & 146 & 140 & 163 & 210 & 166 & 167 & 151 \\
\hline Q4 & 142.8 & 141 & 125 & 79 & 155 & 156 & 143 & 146 & 141 & 164 & 211 & 167 & 169 & 152 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 142.6 & 140 & 128 & 67 & 157 & 159 & 146 & 151 & 142 & 167 & 203 & 166 & 173 & 156 \\
\hline Q2 & 144.3 & 144 & 136 & 65 & 159 & 161 & 148 & 154 & 142 & 169 & 207 & 167 & 175 & 160 \\
\hline 1988 Apr & 144.3 & 143 & 135 & 66 & 159 & 161 & 148 & 155 & 143 & 169 & 208 & 168 & 174 & 159 \\
\hline May & 144.3 & 145 & 135 & 64 & 159 & 161 & 148 & 155 & 142 & 169 & 207 & 166 & 175 & 160 \\
\hline Jun & 144.4 & 144 & 138 & 65 & 159 & 161 & 147 & 153 & 142 & 170 & 207 & 166 & 175 & 160 \\
\hline Jan-Mar & 142.6 & 140 & 128 & 67 & 157 & 159 & 146 & 151 & 142 & 167 & 203 & 166 & 173 & 156 \\
\hline Apr-Jun & 144.3 & 144 & 136 & 65 & 159 & 161 & 148 & 154 & 142 & 169 & 207 & 167 & 175 & 160 \\
\hline \% Change & + 1 & + \(21 / 2\) & + \(61 / 2\) & - 2 & + 1 & + \(11 / 2\) & + 1 & + 2 & 0 & + \(11 / 2\) & + 2 & + \(1 / 2\) & + 1 & + \(21 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.

Table 11
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Developed countries} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Developing countries} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Centrally \\
planned \\
economies
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\text { Total }^{k}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
European \\
Community
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Rest of W Europe} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{North America} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Oil exporting Countries} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} & \\
\hline & & & & & Total & USA & & & & & \\
\hline 1986 & 72834 & 57555 & 34959 & 6919 & 12075 & 10326 & 3602 & 13117 & 5491 & 7626 & 1721 \\
\hline 1987 & 79852 & 64076 & 39416 & 7621 & 12993 & 11014 & 4046 & 13737 & 5222 & 8514 & 1539 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 19280 & 15560 & 9825 & 1891 & 2908 & 2421 & 935 & 3393 & 1268 & 2125 & 344 \\
\hline Q3 & 20275 & 16416 & 10156 & 1903 & 3313 & 2804 & 1044 & 3554 & 1330 & 2224 & 406 \\
\hline Q4 & 20766 & 16699 & 10157 & 2088 & 3337 & 2868 & 1117 & 3444 & 1353 & 2091 & 368 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 18999 & 15004 & 8860 & 1704 & 3385 & 2834 & 1054 & 3183 & 1158 & 2024 & 396 \\
\hline Q2 & 20389 & 16750 & 10600 & 1970 & 3028 & 2467 & 1151 & 3315 & 1123 & 2192 & 352 \\
\hline 1988 Apr & 6858 & 5543 & 3446 & 663 & 1050 & 877 & 384 & 1174 & 372 & 802 & 117 \\
\hline May & 6541 & 5392 & 3521 & 611 & 902 & 756 & 357 & 1056 & 386 & 671 & 125 \\
\hline Jun & 6990 & 5815 & 3633 & 696 & 1076 & 834 & 411 & 1085 & 365 & 720 & 109 \\
\hline Jan-Mar & 18999 & 15004 & 8860 & 1704 & 3385 & 2834 & 1054 & 3183 & 1158 & 2024 & 396 \\
\hline Apr-Jun & 20389 & 16750 & 10600 & 1970 & 3028 & 2467 & 1151 & 3315 & 1123 & 2192 & 352 \\
\hline \% Change & + 71/2 & + 12 & + 20 & + 16 & - 11 & - 13 & + 9 & \(\begin{array}{r}+4 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & - 3 & + \(81 / 2\) & \(\begin{array}{r}\text { - } 11 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\(k\) See paragraph 8 Notes to Editors.
}

\(h\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 13
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES, \(1980=100\), Seasonally adjusted
Manufactures excluding erratics \({ }^{h}\)
Semi-manufactures Finished manufactures excluding ships, excluding precious North Sea installations and aircraft stones \& silver(PS) (SNA) \(\qquad\)

\(h\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
QII 9 II \(+14+4-3+6+18+16+12+6+15+19\)
SECRET
and personal
\[
+30+10+22+21
\]
until release of press notice on

\(h\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3 ) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Developed countries} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Developing countries} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Centrally planned economies} \\
\hline & Total \({ }^{k}\) & Total & \begin{tabular}{l}
European \\
Community
\end{tabular} & Rest of W Europe & \begin{tabular}{l}
North \\
Total
\end{tabular} & nerica
USA & Other & Total & Oil exporting Countries & Other & \\
\hline 1986 & 85568 & 73152 & 44459 & 11840 & 10020 & 8445 & 6834 & 10144 & 2056 & 8088 & 1856 \\
\hline 1987 & 94016 & 80490 & 49557 & 12869 & 10781 & 9136 & 7282 & 10985 & 1700 & 9286 & 2097 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 22698 & 19673 & 12166 & 3190 & 2625 & 2230 & 1691 & 2584 & 375 & 2210 & 501 \\
\hline Q3 & 24550 & 20903 & 12898 & 3232 & 2867 & 2435 & 1905 & 2978 & 451 & 2527 & 553 \\
\hline Q4 & 24869 & 21215 & 12973 & 3404 & 2826 & 2412 & 2013 & 2912 & 442 & 2470 & 558 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 24032 & 20663 & 12699 & 3241 & 2762 & 2319 & 1961 & 2677 & 418 & 2259 & 453 \\
\hline Q2 & 25875 & 22503 & 13775 & 3527 & 3161 & 2633 & 2039 & 3001 & 530 & 2470 & 493 \\
\hline 1988 Apr & 8481 & 7493 & 4619 & 1149 & 1056 & 886 & 668 & 951 & 187 & 764 & 187 \\
\hline May & 8605 & 7429 & 4483 & 1213 & 1086 & 911 & 647 & 980 & 160 & 820 & 144 \\
\hline Jun & 8789 & 7581 & 4673 & 1166 & 1019 & 835 & 724 & 1069 & 183 & 886 & 162 \\
\hline Jan-Mar & 24032 & 20663 & 12699 & 3241 & 2762 & 2319 & 1961 & 2677 & 418 & 2259 & 453 \\
\hline Apr-Jun & 25875 & 22503 & 13775 & 3527 & 3161 & 2633 & 2039 & 3001 & 530 & 2470 & 493 \\
\hline \% Change & + \(7 / 2\) & + 9 & + \(81 / 2\) & \(\begin{array}{r} \\ +\quad 9 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & + 14 & + 14 & \(\begin{array}{r} \\ + \\ \hline\end{array}\) & + 12 & + 27 & + \(91 / 2\) & + \(81 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
k See paragraph 8 Notes to Editors.

COMMODITY ANALYSIS OF VISIBLE TRADE
(Balance of Payments basis)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{SITC (R3)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Food Beverages and Tobacco \(0+1\)}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Basic Materials
\[
2+4
\]}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fuels 3}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Exports & Imports & Visible & Exports & Imports & Visible & Exports & Imports & Visible \\
\hline & fob & fob & Balance & fob & fob & Balance & fob & fob & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 5445 & 9196 & - 3752 & 2109 & 4495 & - 2386 & 8664 & 5977 & + 2687 \\
\hline 1987 & 5550 & 9326 & - 3776 & 2257 & 4965 & - 2708 & 8748 & 5804 & + 2944 \\
\hline 1986 Q3 & 1374 & 2328 & - 955 & 560 & 1052 & - 492 & 1606 & 1363 & + 243 \\
\hline Q4 & 1542 & 2399 & - 857 & 559 & 1219 & - 660 & 1978 & 1499 & + 480 \\
\hline 1987 Q1 & 1389 & 2282 & - 893 & 637 & 1258 & - 621 & 2302 & 1413 & + 889 \\
\hline Q2 & 1352 & 2282 & - 930 & 575 & 1238 & - 664 & 2117 & 1400 & + 717 \\
\hline Q3 & 1426 & 2347 & - 921 & 543 & 1270 & - 726 & 2134 & 1563 & + 571 \\
\hline Q4 & 1383 & 2415 & - 1032 & 502 & 1198 & - 696 & 2194 & 1428 & + 767 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 1252 & 2351 & - 1099 & 489 & 1200 & - 711 & 1757 & 1180 & + 577 \\
\hline Q2 & 1427 & 2368 & - 941 & 523 & 1236 & - 714 & 1563 & 1184 & \(+\quad 379\) \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Semi Manufactures} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Finished Manufactures} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total Manufactures} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SITC (R3)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(5+6\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(7+8\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{5-8} \\
\hline & Exports & Imports & Visible & Exports & Imports & Visible & Exports & Imports & Visible \\
\hline & fob & fob & Balance & fob & fob & Balance & fob & fob & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 20839 & 21406 & - 568 & 34088 & 38827 & - 4739 & 54927 & 60233 & - 5307 \\
\hline 1987 & 22503 & 23991 & - 1488 & 39053 & 44106 & - 5053 & 61556 & 68097 & - 6542 \\
\hline 1986 Q3 & 5227 & 5313 & - 86 & 8383 & 10061 & - 1677 & 13610 & 15373 & - 1763 \\
\hline Q4 & 5544 & 5627 & - 83 & 9119 & 10682 & - 1562 & 14664 & 16309 & - 1645 \\
\hline 1987 Q1 & 5467 & 5662 & - 195 & 9358 & 9893 & - 535 & 14825 & 15555 & - 730 \\
\hline Q2 & 5519 & 5794 & - 275 & 9413 & 10719 & - 1306 & 14932 & 16513 & - 1581 \\
\hline Q3 & 5731 & 6171 & - 439 & 10029 & 11698 & - 1669 & 15760 & 17868 & - 2109 \\
\hline Q4 & 5786 & 6365 & - 579 & 10253 & 11796 & - 1543 & 16038 & 18161 & - 2122 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 5465 & 6353 & - 887 & 9463 & 11507 & - 2044 & 14928 & 17860 & - 2931 \\
\hline Q2 & 6073 & 6813 & - 740 & 10225 & 12682 & - 2457 & 16298 & 19495 & - 3197 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.

FROM: D W OWEN
DATE: 3 AUGUST 1988
1. MR HIBBERD
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

cc : Sir Peter Middleton
Sir Terence Burns Mr Sedgwick \(o / r\) Mr Odling-Smee Mr Pickford Mr O'Donnell o/a Ms Turk Mr Davis Mr McLaren

\section*{RECORDING OF VISIBLE AND INVISIBLE TRADE}

This note summarises the work done over the past year to review the methods of recording the visible and invisible trade data.
2. Initial concerns about the visible trade data were prompted by the difficulties associated with the introduction of the single administrative document (SAD) at the beginning of this year. However, our investigation of this led us to suspect that there might be a longer standing bias in Customs' procedures towards under-recording of visible exports relative to imports. We have also been concerned for some time about problems with the DTI's method of seasonally adjusting the visible trade data.
3. The problems with recording invisibles are well known. In mid1987, following a period of particularly large revisions to projections and estimates, we decided to commission a thorough review of the methodology. The CSO was asked to undertake this work, in consultation with relevant departments, and report to an interdepartmental group chaired by Sir Peter Middleton. A recent meeting of this group discussed papers by the CSO on invisibles together with papers by Customs and the DTI on the quality of the visibles figures.

\section*{Visibles}
4. My note to you of 2 August. (further copy attached) described DTI's plans to publish adjusted figures for exports which allow for timing distortions associated with the introduction of the SAD. The current position on the other major issues relating to visible trade is discussed below.
5. Our suspicion that exports might be under-recorded relative to imports is based partly on the fact that, when resources are limited, Customs attach greater priority to the collection of revenue than the recording of exports. In order to estimate the size of the bias, Customs conduct regular surveys of under-recording and, on the basis of this, the published figures for exports include an adjustment for under-recording - typically \(1-1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent of total exports. We are currently awaiting the results of the first post-SAD survey, conducted in March.
6. Our main worry is that these regular surveys may be underestimating the extent of under-recording. Customs only investigate the possibility of under-recording for a relatively small proportion of exports (those recorded under post-shipment procedures). For the remainder, under-recording is assumed to be negligible. The Customs paper addressed this issue together with the question of whether extra resources could lead to perceptible improvements in accuracy.
7. Although Customs accept that there is a risk of bias in their procedures, they do not accept that the under-recording problem could be significantly larger than is suggested by the regular surveys. This view does not seem to have been derived from a thorough review of the methodology. But it was supported by a DTI paper which argued that independent evidence - from engineering sales data and other EC countries imports from the UK - suggests that there is no serious problem with UK export data. In view of this, DTI and Customs do not feel that an attempt to make marginal improvements to the procedures would be worth the extra cost. Sir Peter Middleton's group accepted that there was no obvious way to improve the visibles figures without a large investment of resources, which might be better devoted elsewhere. Nevertheless, there remain grounds for concern about the quality of the figures, particularly exports, and it was agreed that this area should be examined closely in the current review of Government economic statistics.

\section*{Seasonality}
8. In early June we sent a paper to DTI which presented a range of tests to demonstrate the existence of residual seasonality in both the import and export volume series. We have not yet received a reply, though we understand that DTI now broadly accept our case. In the April trade press notice they announced that an investigation of year. If improved methods could be found, revised figures would be published later this year, or in January 1989 at the time of the annual update of seasonal factors. Work on this is in progress at the moment and should be completed by the end of September.

\section*{Invisibles}
9. Following the establishment of the working group on balance of payments statistics in June 1987, the CSO prepared a detailed programme of work to improve the invisibles statistics. The proposed tasks fell into the following broad categories:
(i) reviewing projection methods for items where preliminary quarterly estimates are not based on reliable quarterly surveys;
(ii) accelerating the processing or increasing the frequency of some surveys;
(iii) improving the methodology for using existing information;
(iv) looking at the possibility of launching new surveys.
10. In general there has been some useful progress on the first three categories, and more is planned, though our feeling is that more could still be done to improve projection methods. However, progress on new surveys has been disappointing. Progress on each of these areas is discussed briefly below.

\section*{Projection methods}
11. Much of the work done over the past year has been in this area, but it is, inevitably, hard to judge whether any significant improvements have been made - only time will tell. Of the areas we identified as being particularly important, there has been some progress on projections for sea transport, civil aviation and IPD portfolio credits. But for banking services, insurance underwriting earnings, royalties and IPD earnings on direct investment (other than banks and insurance companies) - all areas where large projection errors have been made in the past - no improvements have been proposed. CSO's view seems to be that projections will always be a second best solution and we should not expect too much of them. We have urged them to maintain the momentum in this area as, in the short run at least, it revisions.

\section*{Accelerated processing/more frequent surveys}
12. The main proposals in this area were to accelerate the processing of the annual direct investment and royalties surveys, introduce quarterly reporting of insurance companies IPD earnings and consider quarterly reporting for banks services and direct investment earnings. The first three of these have been achieved - with reductions of three months in the processing time for the 1986 direct investment survey and five months for royalties and miscellaneous services. The proposals for quarterly reporting by banks are due to be considered as part of the Banking Statistical Review.

\section*{Improving methodology}
13. There have been a number of small improvements and further work is planned on the important category of portfolio income debits.

\section*{New surveys}
14. Three new surveys have been proposed, but little progress has yet been made on any of them. The reasons for delay were set out in a CSO paper discussed at the recent meeting of Sir Peter Middleton's group, and they are summarised below:
(i) Comprehensive survey of securities dealers. This should provide direct estimates of the income of securities dealers, and would fill an important gap in the statistics. The Bank is keen to press ahead with this survey but is reliant on the cooperation of securities industry. It has not been possible to persuade the Securities' Association to move quickly, but the Bank intends that the survey should be in place to provide data for 1989. One problem with the proposed survey is that it will be voluntary. If the response rate proves to he ponr we may need to consider whether there is a case for making it compulsory.
(ii) Suppliers' trade credit. This is another important omission from the balance of payments statistics, though it is generally accepted that the major implications are for the

\section*{CONFIDENTIAL}
capital account rather than the current account. Delay reflects concern that it may prove difficult to obtain sufficiently good quality data, that it would impose additional burdens on business and would amount to the reversal of a Rayner decision. Sir Peter Middleton's meeting concluded that this survey should be shelved for the time being, but that alternative methods of obtaining estimates of trade credit, based on a modelling approach, should be explored.
(iii) Share register survey The last such survey was conducted in 1981, so a new survey could lead to major improvements in estimates of portfolio income debits although the problem of nominee holdings could make it difficult to measure accurately the scale of overseas residents' holdings of UK shares. The CSO regard the survey as having a relatively low priority. The major problem now appears to be lack of resources. The total cost is estimated at around \(£ 100,000\) and the CSO would require additional resources in future years to finance it. It was agreed that the CSO should work up a more specific proposal for consideration.

\section*{Conclusions}
15. Although we remain concerned about the quality of the visible trade data, there is no obvious cost-effective way of achieving greater accuracy. However this area will be examined closely in the current review of economic statistics. It is possible that either this, or the results of the most recent Customs survey of underrecording, will indicate ways of improving the data.
16. Some useful work has been done to improve the invisibles data, and more work is planned in all the categories discussed above. But our overall impression is that the Treasury is the only institution which is showing any sense of urgency in this area. It seems likely that we will have to continue to take the lead if we want to see any significant progress in the future.


D W OWEN

FROM: D W OWEN
DATE: 2 AUGUST 1988

CC : Sir Peter Middleton
Sir Terence Burns
Mr Scholar
Mr Sedgwick o/r
Mr P J Davis

\section*{EXPORTS - ADJUSTMENTS FOR TIMING DISTORTIONS}

DTI and Customs have now completed their analysis of timing distortions associated with the introduction of the single administrative document (SAD) at the beginning of 1988. They intend to publish revised figures for exports and the current account, adjusted to take account of these distortions, in the Pink Book on 24 August and in the July monthly trade press notice on the following day. The Pink Book will only include revised annual figures for 1987 but the trade press notice will show adjusted monthly figures for 1988 as well.
2. The main effect of the introduction of the SAD is thought to have been concentrated in the December to February period. The submission of export documents was accelerated at the end of December, so that some exports which would normally have been recorded in January were brought forward into the December figures. But new SAD documents were submitted more slowly than usual in January, with the result that some recorded exports were delayed until the February figures. The proposed adjustments, which are slightly smaller than those described in my note to you of 28 June (on recent trends in non-oil visible trade), are set out below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Monthly & Published series* & \begin{tabular}{l}
Exports \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & Adjusted series & £ mi
Current
Published
series* & lion Balance Adjusted series \\
\hline 1987 Nov & 6881 & & 6881 & - 525 & 525 \\
\hline Dec & 6817 & - 200 & 6617 & - 480 & 680 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & 6209 & \(+250\) & 6459 & - 1159 & 909 \\
\hline Feb & 6176 & - 50 & 6126 & - 1044 & - 1094 \\
\hline Mar & 6441 & & 6441 & - 572 & - 572 \\
\hline Quarterly & Q4 20500 & - 200 & 20300 & - 1397 & - 1597 \\
\hline & Q1 18826 & + 200 & 19026 & - 2776 & - 2576 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* June trade figures press notice, 27 July 1988

The adjusted series shown above, derived by applying the proposed adjustments to the latest published figures, are included for illustrative purposes only - the figures which will eventually be published will reflect other, unrelated revisions incorporated in the Pink Book. The effect of the adjustments is to raise the current account deficit in 1987 by \(£ 200\) million and reduce the cumulative deficit in 1988 by the same amount. Most of the switch is between December and January - in fact DTI have not finally decided whether to publish the \(-£ 50\) million adjustment to February. (An alternative would be to set this to zero and round the January adjustment to \(£ 200\) million.)
4. Although we have been involved in discussions with DTI and Customs on the effects of the SAD, we were not consulted on whether or when to publish these adjustments. However, DTI were aware of our preference for delaying publication. We feel that publication of the adjusted figures might give the impression that they are now entirely free distortions. But, in view of the problems other EC countries are still having with their trade figures, it is probably too soon to be confident about this. Furthermore, the relatively small proposed adjustments do little to ease the problem of analysing trends in exports around the turn of the year. The fall in exports in the first quarter is still very hard to explain. We strongly suspect that there are problems with the seasonal adjustment of this series and would have preferred to wait to see the results of the current DTI review of the seasonal adjustment procedures, before deciding on how to adjust the figures for SAD distortions.
5. On the other hand, we have been saying publicly for several months that the figures were distorted, and DTI feel under pressure to provide some quantification. The adjustments would be presented as similar to those made in October 1981 to take account of a speeding up of the flow of information associated with new procedures introduced at that time (see attached draft extract from the Pink Book). And, though it would be unfortunate, there would be nothing to stop DTI revising the adjustments subsequently in the light of further information.
6. I would be grateful for your reaction to the proposed publication of these adjusted figures. The CSO are not expecting to have to make any further changes to the Pink Book, so an attempt by us to remove the adjustments would not be welcome news to them. If we wanted to do this we would need to move quickly.
hing to largely offsetting changes to both exports and imports. However, the conceptual basis of the balance of payments figures is not affected by this change in presentation. To achieve the coverage required for balance of payments purposes, as well as the trade in finished manufactures in the Overseas Trade Statistics, en edjustment is now made to exports to include the value added in refining gold and in the production of proof coins, and to imports to cover the value of gold used for finished manufactures. The import adjustment is based on commercial statistics on hallmarking of gold items (published by the Assay Offices of Great Britain) and gold used in other finished forms (e.g. electronics, dentistry; published by Consolidated Gold Fields plc). Other transactions in gold with overseas residents, predominantly those involving bullion, bullion coins and semimanufactured gold items (e.g. bars, rods, etc) are regarded as being in gold held as a financial asset and are recorded in capital transactions (see section 8).

Exports by letter post. The Overseas Trade Statistics do not include exports from the United Kingdom by letter post. Information about the most important element of this trade, the export of books, is obtained from publishers and retail and wholesale booksellers. Other details are derived from a sample inquiry made by the Post Office.

Additions and alterations to ships. Certain work carried out on UK-owned and registered ships in foreign yards, and on overseas-owned ships in UK yards, comprises additions and alterations rather than repairs. Such work is properly regarded as a component of visible trade. For work on UKowned ships an estimate is obtained from the quarterly inquiry on capital expenditure carried out by the Department of Trade and Industry; this estimate is an addition to the imports figure. An estimate for the work on overseasowned ships is derived by the Department of Trade and Industry from various enquiries.

Forces parcels. Parcels sent to and by UK forces overseas are recorded in the Overseas Trade Statistics.Since the forces are UK residents (as defined - see page 5) theses parcels should be excluded for balance of payments purposes. The figures to be deducted are based on returns giving the numbers of such parcels. The average value per parcel is obtained from sample checks.

North Sea installations. Some goods imported directly from overseas to UK production sites in the North Sea are omitted from the Overseas Trade Statistics. In addition it is sometimes necessary to make revisions to the value of imported installations recorded in the Overseas Trade Statistics. The information to make these coverage and valuation adjustments is obtained from quarterly inquiries of the petroleum and natural gas industry. Included within the adjustments are drilling rigs delivered abroad and not included in the Overseas Trade Statistics, details of which are obtained from the same sources as for second-hand ships.

Three of the North Sea oil fields, Frigg, Murchison and Statfjord lie in both UK and non-UK waters. Trade involving these fields is allocated according to determinations of the respective shares of oil reserves. Thus only the United Kingdom share of the value of goods delivered to any one of these fields from a foreign country will normally be included as an import: similarly only the non-United Kingdom share of goods delivered from the United Kingdom to one of the fields will be counted as an export. (A similar procedure is followed ior other balance of payments transactions.) Occasionally there is a re-determination of the resources of a shared nield. in these circumstances the contribution to (or reimbursment of: \& proportion of the development costs has been treated as a purchase (or sale) of fixed assets at the date of the re-determination and appears as an adjustment to imports (or exports) of goods.

An adjustment to UK exports in 1976 has been made in respect of exports of pipe for one of the two pipelines from the Frigg field to the United Kingdom. The field is in both UK and Norwegian waters and one pipeline is treated as
being in Norwegian ownership and the second as being in United Kingdom ownership. Miscellaneous
This includes a variety of ad hoc adjustments. In particular, the figure for 1987 includes the reduction (of \(\mathbf{£ 2 0 0}\) million) mentioned under 'Timing basis of the figures', below.

\section*{Recording of exports}

Some exporters and agents fail to submit their documents or record incorrect valuations. It is possible on a sample basis to check the customs documents against pre-shipment documentation, and to check the valuations recorded on the documents against invoices. Regular assessments of the quality of recording are made, resulting in the net adjustments shown. Before October 1981 the adjustments for missing documents were estimated from a comparision of customs documents with ship and aircraft manifests.

Total allowances for under recording are allocated to the individual lines in Tables 2.2 and 2.3 pro rata to the reported values of exports.

\section*{Timing basis, of the figures}

To achieve consistency with the basis for estimating other countries' external transactions - and the estimates of domestic transactions given in the national accounts - exports and imports of goods should be recorded at the time when the ownership of the goods change.

The compilation of the Overseas Trade Statistics is geared to the declarations made by exporters and importers which are received in the statistical office of HM Customs and Excise.

Exporters provide some information before the goods are shipped. Except where revenue or restricted goods are concerned, exporters registered with Customs have the option of providing the full details when they present the goods for shipment (export pre-entry) or of using the Simplified Clearance Procedure (SCP). Under SCP, provided a suitable preshipment advice has been presented in lieu of the export entry, a detailed export declaration must be sent within fourteen days of shipment directly to the statistical office.

Before 1 October 1981, registered traders were normally allowed fourteen days after shipment before a completed export document had to be presented at the ports, and there was a further interval before copies reached the statistical office. Adjustments were introduced to take account on this recording of exports of this speeding up in the flow of export information in October 1981. A similar adjustment has been made for 1987, to reflect the temporary reduction in the interval between shipment and receipt of documents which occured in anticipation of the introduction of new recording and classification procedures in January 1988.

Monthly processing of the export statistics begins a few days before the end of the calendar month. Thus the figures for any calander month relate on average to goods passing through the ports in a monthly period ending about the middle of that calendar month.

Importers are usually required to present their documents before they can obtain customs clearance and remove the goods. Moreover, the monthly total for imports includes those recorded in documents relating to the month which reach the statistical office up to the third working day after the end of the month. Thus the imports statistics correspond closely to movements through the ports during the calendar montr.

\section*{Geographical analysis}

The analysis given in Table 2.2 of visible trade between the Unived Kingdom and major economic groupings is based on the Overseas Trade Statistics which, apart from a small amount conveyed in low value consignments (in 1987 consignments of less than \(£ 475\) in value each), are classified by country in detail.

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 25 August 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified


\section*{OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR JULY: EXPORTS}

1 The value of exports in July, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, is estimated at \(£ 6.8\) billion, the same as in June. Exports of oil fell by \(£ 0.1\) billion and exports of the erratic items by £O. 2 billion; excluding oil and the erratic items exports rose by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between June and July.

2 In the three months ending July the total value of exports increased by \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent compared with the previous three months; excluding oil and the erratic items the increase was 5 per cent.

3 In the three months ending July, total export volume was \(l\) per cent higher than in the previous three months and \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume was \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher in the latest three months and \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than a year earlier. The underlying level of exports appears to have exceeded the high levels reached at the end of last year.

4 Recent export figures are shown in the attached table; charts plotting the main aggregates arc also alldched. A note describing imports and the current account will be circulated on Friday 19 August. The press notice is scheduled for release on Thursday 25 August.


P J STIBBARD

25 AUG 88 at 11 soam and threattar unclosionifd

EXPORTS
(Balance of payments basis: seasonally adjusted)

\section*{EXCLUDING}
...TOTAL TRADE ....
---OIL AND ERRATICS--
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
VALUE & VOLUME \\
玉m & \((1985=100)\)
\end{tabular}

VAL.UE
VOLUME
£m (1985=100)
ほ!
( \(1985=100\) )
\begin{tabular}{rlllll}
1987 Q2 & 19357 & 106.2 & 15893 & 106.6 \\
& Q3 & 20234 & 109.2 & 16700 & 111.7 \\
& Q4 & 20300 & 111.9 & 16609 & 111.2 \\
& & & & & \\
1988 & Q1 & 19019 & 106.5 & 16154 & 107.7 \\
Q2 & 20238 & 111.1 & 17050 & 112.7
\end{tabular}
1988 FFR \(\quad 6171 \quad 103.6 \quad 103.8\)

SECFET AND PERSONGAL INTIL RELLASSE of Press Notice ON 25 aug 88

\section*{TOTAL EXPORIS}


SECREI AND PERSONAL UNTIL RELLASSE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 25 auv 88
EKPORTS LESS ERPATICS (Ships, N Sea Rigs, Aimenaft, Prec Stones, Bilyen)


SECRET AND PERSONAL LNEIL RELLEASE OF PRESS NOIICE ON 25 ang 88
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 EXPPERS LISSS ERRAIICS AND Oil

```

Copy No
l Minister for Trade
2 Drime Minister
3 Chancellor of the Exchequer
4 Secretary of State for Trade and Industry
5 Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster
6 Sir Robin Butler (Cabinet Office)
7 Sir Brian Hayes (Dept of Trade and Industry)
8 Sir Peter Middleton (H M Treasury)
9 Governor of the Bank of England
l0 Chairman of the Board of H M Customs \& Excise
11 Mr J Hibbert (CSO)
12 Mr M J Pratt (H M Customs \& Excise)
13 Mr B Buckingham (CSO)
14 Mr Davies (H M Treasury)
15 Mr P Sedgwick (H M Treasury)
16 Mr A McIntyre (CSO)
l7 Mr D Wilson (Dept of Energy)
18 Mr J Hibberd (H M Treasury)
19 Mr H H Liesner )
20 Mr P J Stibbard )
2l Mr W E Boyd )
22 Mr E J Wright )
23 Mrs A Brueton ) Dept of Trade and Industry
24 Miss H Chapman )
25 Mr Cottis )
26 Mr C Martin )
27 Mr Wright, Bank of England
28 File

```


\title{
Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1H 9NF \\ 5803 \\ Telephone Direct Line 01-273 \\ Switchboard 01-273 3000 Telex 915564 \\ GTN Code 273 \\ Facsimile 01-273 5124
}

Paul Gray Esq
10 Downing Street
LONDON
SW IA RA


\author{
RETAIL PRICES INDEX
}
... I enclose a copy of our note and draft press release on the Index of Retail Prices due to be released at 11.30 pm on Friday 19 August.

Numbered copies also go to Alex Allan (Treasury), Sir Peter Middleton (Treasury), Neil Thornton (Trade and Industry), Sir Brian Hayes (Trade and Industry), Andrea Large (CSO), John Footman (Bank of England), and Paul Cuthbert-Brown (CO).


BEVERLEY EVANS
Private Secretary

DATE: 18 August 1988

\section*{PS/CHANCELLOR}
cc PS/Paymaster General
Mr Perez
Mr Owen


The present estimate for the current account deficit for July is £2. lb. This could change by a few hundred million either way. My impression is that there is a slightly higher probability of the deficit being revised up than down. DTI will circulate a note in the course of tomorrow afternoon with the figure to be published. (This will go to No. 10 as well as to DTI and Treasury Ministers and officials). It is quite possible that DTI Ministers will not know the current account figure until tomorrow.
2. With exports little changed from the June level the further deterioration in the current account is the result of a major surge in imports. DTI do not yet have a commodity breakdown of imports in July. Their impression is that the rise since June is broadly based. I will be going over the figures with DTI statisticians tomorrow morning when the commodity breakdown will be available. I will also see whether there are any special factors or distortions in the figures. At the moment there are no obvious quirks. (Some Tornado engines have come back for repair from the Middle East: these score as imports now and will be classified as exports when, they return to the Middle East. This "distortion" is not, however, very high.) After we have crawled over the numbers DTI statisticians will draft their note for circulation to Ministers in the afternoon.
3. A current account deficit of \(£ 2 . l b\) in July would take the cumulative deficit for the first seven months of 1988 to \(£ 7 \frac{3}{4}\) or a little more (depending on the extent of revisions, particularly downward revisions in the balance on invisibles, for earlier months). As a percentage of money GDP the cumulative deficit so far is about 3 per cent (and - for what it is worth the July deficit about \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent).
4. The July deficit is the largest for a single month ever recorded in current prices. The January deficit of \(£ 1.15 b\) was the previous highest. As a percentage of GDP only the deficit for November 1974 - a year when the current account was 4 per cent of GDP, the highest annual deficit ever - compares with the July figure. (The November 1974 deficit was just under \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent of GDP). It will be difficult to deny therefore that the July figure is the worst ever monthly deficit.
5. At this stage it is difficult to extract any good news, or even mitigating factors, for the recent run of 'figures, and those for July in particular. One positive factor is that - subject to short term fluctuations which probably mainly reflect measurement errors - export volumes have over time continued to rise fairly strongly. I attach the DTI chart for exports less oil. (I do not share the DTI passion for excluding "erratics" as well, a significant proportion of which are military sales, when assessing trends over a few years.)
5. Dwelling on the reasonable performance of exports does not alter the fact that imports have been rising at a tremendous pace. Commentators will take this rise as further evidonce of excessive growth of domestic demand in the UK. We may be able to show that some of the surge in recorded seasonally adjusted imports during this year, eg. of cars, represents inadequate seasonal adjustment. But it will be difficult to establish that a moderation in imports is likely soon, and even if some additonal adjustments were made to the published (seasonally adjusted figures) these would not disguising the very high growth in imports between this year and last.
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EXPORTS LESS OIL
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\section*{PS/CHANCELLOR}

CC
```

PS/Paymaster General
Mr Peretz
Mr Owen

```

\section*{THE JULY TRADE FIGURES}

The present estimate for the current account deficit for July is £2.lb. This could change by a few hundred million either way. My impression is that there is a slightly higher probability of the deficit being revised up than down. DTI will circulate a note in the course of tomorrow afternoon with the figure to be published. (This will go to No. 10 as well as to DTI and Treasury Ministers and officials). It is quite possible that DTI Ministers will not know the current account figure until tomorrow.
2. With exports little changed from the June level the further deterioration in the current account is the result of a major surge in imports. DTI do not yet have a commodity breakdown of imports in July. Their impression is that the rise since June is broady based. I will be guing over the figures with DTI statisticians tomorrow morning when the commodity breakdown will be available. I will also see whether there are any special factors or distortions in the figures. At the moment there are no obvious quirks. (Some Tornado engines have come back for repair from the Middle East: these score as imports now and will be classified as exports when they return to the Middle East. This "distortion" is not, however, very high.) After we have crawled over the numbers DTI statisticians will draft their note for circulation to Ministers in the afternoon.
3. A current account deficit of \(£ 2 . l b\) in July would take the cumulative deficit for the first seven months of 1988 to \(£ 7 \frac{3}{4}\) or a littlc more (depending on the extent of revisions, particularly downward revisions in the balance on invisibles, for earlier months). As a percentage of money GDP the cumulative deficit so far is about 3 per cent (and - for what it is worth the July deficit about 5 \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent).
4. The July deficit is the largest for a single month ever recorded in current prices. The January deficit of \(£ 1.15 b\) was the previous highest. As a percentage of GDP only the deficit for November 1974 - a year when the current account was 4 per cent of GDP, the highest annual deficit ever - compares with the July figure. (The November 1974 deficit was just under \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent of GDP). It will be difficult to deny therefore that the July figure is the worst ever monthly deficit.
5. At this stage it is difficult to extract any good news, or even mitigating factors, for the recent run of figures, and those for July in particular. One positive factor is that - subject to short term fluctuations which probably mainly reflect measurement errors - export volumes have over time continued to rise fairly strongly. I attach the DTI chart for exports less oil. (I do not share the DTI passion for excluding "erratics" as well, a significant proportion of which are military sales, when assessing trends over a few years.)
5. Dwelling on the reasonable performance of exports does not alter the fact that imports have been rising at a tremendous pace. Commentators will take this rise as further evidence of excessive growth of domestic demand in the UK. We may be able to show that some of the surge in recorded seasonally adjusted imports during this year, eg. of cars, represents inadequate seasonal adjustment. But it will be difficult to establish that a moderation in imports is likely soon, and even if some additonal adjustments were made to the published (seasonally adjusted figures) these would not disguising the very high growth in imports between this year and last.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL}

FROM: \(\quad \mathbf{N}\) SEDGWICK

\section*{PS/CHANCELLOR}


DATE:
17 AUGUST 1988
CC PS/PMG
Sir P Middleton - or Sir \(T\) Burns - or Sir G Littler - ocr
Mr Peretz
Mr Owen

\section*{RECENT ECONOMIC STATISTICS}
1. The three weeks from mid-August see the publication of a greater volume of important statistics on economic performance than at any other time of the year. In addition to the regular monthly statistics there will be quarterly figures on GDP (0), and publication of the Pink and Blue books which will hopefully present a much more coherent picture of recent years.
2. We still do not have even first indications of many of the important numbers. My provisional impression, based on the numbers I know about, is that virtually all of them confirm that demand pressures are significantly stronger than envisaged at budget time. If anything the latest numbers suggest a greater divergence from budget expectations than we were contemplating during July. This looks like being especially true of the current account.
3. I summarise below what \(I\) know of the statistics.
\(\frac{\text { Publication }}{\text { Date }}\) Indicator

Already

Published August 15 Retail Sales

Provisional 2\% rise in volume in July to a level \(6 \frac{1}{2} 8\) higher than in July '87.

Revised (but unpublished) CSO calculations show consumers' expenditure flat between 198801 and Q2, but at a level \(6 \%\) higher than in the first half of 1987. There had also been some pause in the growth of the volume in retail

\author{
August 15 Index of production
}

August 16 Car registrations (figures appeared in an FT article but not officially published)

August 16 PSBR

To be
Published

August 18

August 18 Capital expenditure \& stocks in 1988Q2
sales during recent months. The provisional July figure has convinced the press and commentators that retail sales are again growing strongly. Data on car registrations (see below) suggest that the new car component of consumers' expenditure has risen sharply.

Manufacturing output 7 per cent higher than a year earlier in last 3 months. The "dip" in 1988Q1, that exercised - and misled - us earlier in the year, is now much less pronounced following upward revisions to earlier months.

SMMT figures for the first ten days of August were well up on the same period last year. Registrations in the period from January l-
August 101988 were \(12 \frac{3}{4}\) per cent higher than in the same period a year earlier. The import share rose to 55 per cent in the January to July period, and was 60 per cent for the crucial August l-10 period, compared with 55 per cent in the first 10 days of August last year.

PSDR of \(£ 1.7 \mathrm{~b}\). in July. Tax revenues (including VAT on imports) very bouyant. Lower central Government expenditure so far this year than we had been expecting.

Seasonally adjusted unemployment down 59,000 in July, one of the largest monthly falls ever recorded.

Average earnings growth steady at \(8 \frac{1}{2} \%\) for the whole economy. Average earnings growth in manufacturing edged up to 9\%.

In manufacturing productivity growth high ( 7.2 per cent in year to 198802) and unit wage costs grow Llu low (l.4 per cent in year to 1988Q2).

I do not yet have figures for all sectors of the economy. Investment in manufacturing, construction, distribution, and financial industries was 11 per cent higher in 1988Q2 than a year earlier. (First estimates of investment data are not
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
August 18 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Provisional \\
money numbers \\
for July
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

August 19 RSI

August 23 GDP(0) for 1988Q2

August 24 Balance of payments pink book

August 25 Monthly trade figures for July
always good indicators of what has been happening.)

First estimates show stockbuilding in the retail and wholesale sectors to be higher in 198802 than in Q1. The numbers do not do much to explain the recent surge in imports.
(Mr Peretz is briefing separately on these.)

Total RPI inflation 4.8 per cent in July (budget profile 4.2); likely to be over \(5^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent in August (budget profile 4.3). RPI inflation less MID's 5.0 per cent in July (budget profile 4.2). These RPI numbers are very close to our predictions in the "faster interest rate rise" variant of the June forecast.

We do not have the complete figures yet. Output of the production industries ( 36 per cent of GDP (0)) up \(1 \frac{1}{4}\) per cent in 1988 Q2 to a level \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than a year earlier. Construction output growth in the year to 1988 Q2 unlikely to be lower than the 10 per cent recorded for Ql.

Current account deficit of \(£ 2.5 b\) in 1987, £0.9b worse than previously estimated. Revision half and half on visibles and invisibles. This will probably lead to a small downward adjustment to balance of invisible in 1988Ql in August 25 press notice. The "projection" of the invisible balance used for 1988Q2 and Q3 will probably not change at this stage.

A very large deficit seems likely, quite possibly considerably above the levels recorded for May and June. Exports fell a little from the high June level, but there appears to have been another large surge in imports. We do not have final figures or full details so this picture could change. (Changes of a few hundred million can occur at this stage if, for instance, there has been double counting of large items.) I hope to have near final numbers by tomorrow (Thursday) evening. If the deficit is very large I will go over the numbers in detail with the DTI statisticians (either on Thursday, if the numbers are ready by then, or

August 30 Monthly CBI trends

September 9 National Income Blue Book

Friday). There are, however, no obvious factors - such as the introduction of the SAD in January - that give rise to suspicions that the numbers in July are more than usually subject to measurement error.

It is worth noting that is has been customary in the recent past to have a surge in imports and poor current account numbers at some time in the third quarter. But in the last two years it has been the August current account deficit that has, been the least favourable for the year.
(NB DTI Ministers know nothing at this stage about the figures for the current account as a whole, though they have a note on exports. It is important that they do not find out about the figures from the Treasury.

Not known

The figures should be available later this week. The constant price data will for the first time be at 1985 prices. We will be looking for a significant reduction in the major inconsistencies in the figures for the last few years. I understand that the residual error for the recent past is apparently substantially lower, partly through lower GDP (I) and partly through higher GDP (E).
fo.
P N SEDGWICK
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FROM: Ms K ELLIMAN
DATE: 19 August 1988
cc PS/Chancellor
Mr Peretz
Mr Owen

\section*{THE JULY TRADE FIGURES}

The Paymaster General has seen your minute of 18 August to PS/Chancellor. He has commented there was an interesting letter to the Financial Times from a man at Goldman Sachs about the relative capital \(v\) consumer content, which would be worth analysis/comment, since it invalidated part of our defence about the investment programme.


KIM ELLIMAN
Private Secretary

\title{
PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL 11.30 AM ON FRIDAY 19 AUGUST 1988
}

\section*{GENERAL INDEX OF RETAIL PRICES July 1988}

The general index of retail prices for all items for July 19, 1988 was 106.7 (January \(13,1987=100\) ). This represents an increase of 0.1 per cent on June 1988 and an increase of 4.8 per cent on July 1987.

The rise in the index between June and July was mainly the result of higher prices for motor vehicle purchase and insurance, non-seasonal food and for electricity and gas (as the final tranches of the recent price increases were reflected in the index). As is usual for the season, fresh food prices were lower and there were summer sale price reductions for clothing and footwear.

The movements for the main groups in the index are shown in Table 2.

Table 1.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{All items} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{All items except seasonal food} \\
\hline & Index Jan 13 \(1987=100\) & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Percentage change over} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Index } \\
\text { Jan } 13 \\
1987=100
\end{gathered}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage change over} \\
\hline 1988 & & & & & & & \\
\hline February & 103.7 & \(+0.4\) & +1.6 & +3.3 & 103.6 & \(+0.3\) & +1.4 \\
\hline March & 104.1 & +0.4 & +1.7 & +3.5 & 104.0 & +0.4 & +1.4 \\
\hline April & 105.8 & +1.6 & +2.8 & +3.9 & 105.7 & +1.6 & +2.5 \\
\hline May & 106.2 & +0.4 & +2.7 & +4.2 & 106.1 & +0.4 & +2.4 \\
\hline June & 106.6 & +0.4 & +3.2 & \(+4.6\) & 106.6 & +0.5 & +3.2 \\
\hline July & 106.7 & +0.1 & +3.3 & \(+4.8\) & 106.9 & +0.5
+0.3 & +3.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


1 As reported by the Secretary of State for Employment on December 11, 1987, it has been discovered that from February 1986 to October 1987 a computer program error affected the monthly index. The official figures are always stated to one decimal place and the extent of the understatement of index levels will depend on rounding. The all items index figures for February 1986 to January 1987 will be understated by about 0.06 per cent; the index figure for January 1987 taking January 1974 as 100 was 394.5 . The index figures for February to October 1987 were affected by an error about 0.09 per cent. In most months this will have resulted, with rounding, to an understatement of 0.1 points in the published figures which take January 1987 as 100. However, because the January index link, 394.5, was understated the understatements relative to January 1986 may have rounded to 0.1 or 0.2 per cent.

2 The General Index of Retail Prices (RP1) measures the average change from month to month in the prices of goods and services purchased by most households in the United Kingdom. The expenditure pattern on which the index is based is revised each year using information from the Family Expenditure Survey. The expenditure of certain higher income households and pensioner households, mainly dependent on state pensions and benefits, is excluded.

3 The index is compiled using a large and representative selection of more than 600 separate goods and services for which price movements are regularly measured in about 180 towns throughout the country. Approximately 130,000 separate price quotations are used each month in compiling the index.

4 The prices of some items of food show significant seasonal variation. A separate price index is compiled for these "seasonal foods", the expenditure on which accounts for around \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent of household expenditure. The variation caused by these items is removed from the series of indices for 'all items except seasonal food'.

5 Rates of change of indices can be calculated over periods of any length. Rates calculated over long periods are slow to detect changes in trend while calculations over very short periods give rather volatile results. To help in assessing what is happening to prices, rates of changes in the all items index and the index for all items except seasonal food are shown in Table 1 over successive periods of one month, six months and twelve months.

6 Following the recommendations which the Retail Prices Index Advisory Committee made in its report submitted to the Secretary of state for Employment in July 1986, the index has been re-referenced to make January 1987 \(=100\). Calculations of movements in the index over periods of time which span January 1987 are made as follows:-

For example, to find the percentage change in the Index for all items between June 1986 and October 1987. Take the index for October 1987 (102.9), multiply it by the January 1987 index on the 1974 base (394.5), then divide by the June 1986 index (385.8). Substract 100 from the result and this will show that the index increased by 5.2 per cent between those months.

7 Other changes made to the index in 1987 are given in an article in the April 1987 edition of Employment Gazette.

8 The Retail Prices Index Advisory Committee was first established in 1946 and advises on the methodology used for compiling the RPI. Committee members include representatives of consumers, employees, employers, retailing organisations, academic experts, government departments and other official bodies. The Committee's latest report - 'Methodological Issues Affecting The Retail Prices Index' Cmnd 9848 HMSO £6.50 - was published on 15 July 1986. The Government announced at the same time that all its recommendations were to be accepted.

9 The housing costs of owner-occupiers are reflected in the index using an indicator which represents mortgage interest payments. A weighted average of building societies base mortgage interest rates is used in the calculation.

10 The index is given in full in the Employment Gazette.

Embargo: 0001 Monday 22 August 1988 TIGHTER CONSTRAINTS ON GOVERNMENTS NEEDED

Invisible Hand less benign in politics than economics

Socialists, unlike most bishops, now claim to favour markets, freedom of choice, competition and the rights of the consumer. However, because of inequality, market imperfections such as the alleged monopoly power of large corporations, exploitation of workers, ignorance of consumers and the persistence of economic privileges, they claim that the market system must always be corrected by the state in accordance with some ideal plan of the efficient co-ordination of human activities.

In a new Hobart Paper published today Professor Norman Barry says that a proper understanding of the market process reveals that competition is continually correcting those errors the socialists locate. He argues that the intellectual's fascination with the theoretical model of an economy in 'perfect equilibrium' has distracted attention away from the study of real world markets which, although they are often messy and imperfect, are the only mechanisms capable of coping with change and uncertainty: those permanent features of social life. 1

State imposed economic schemes, eg Keynesian macro-economics and extensive welfare state organisations, in fact disrupt

\footnotetext{
1 The Invisible Hand in Economics and Politics, Hobart Paper 111, £6.50 + 50p p \& p from IEA, 2 Lord North Street, London SW1P 3LB.
}
the self-correcting process. The Invisible Hand of the Market works better than the Visible Hand of the State: price signals co-ordinate quicker and more effectively human
activities than do bureacratic regulations. The political process tends to favour established and powerful interest groups, rather than the interests of the anonymous public. Competitive market processes are the greatest threat to privilege. Markets work better in a framework of strict constitutional rules and the enforćement of equal and impartial rules of law. This institutional framework widens the scope of human liberty: an essential requirement for that continual experimentation and innovation on which economic progress depends.
"The important difference between the market process and the political process is that the Invisible Hand produces less benign consequences in the political process. The important reasons for the difference is that there is no immediate budget constraint in politics, so that political action through representative institutions such as British Parliaments can impose burdens on the citizen that no individual who stands to lose has a strong enough motive to oppose and prevent. The unwelcome result is that the strong influence of group interests on politics in British and other Western societies has almost made them exempt from the rule of law and created departures from economic rationality that have undermined the public interest. The lax constitutional control of temporary legislatures in most Western democracies has permitted if not incited an enervating 'politicisation' of economic life", Professor Barry says.
"The conclusion is that the form of politics most consonant with the preservation of a free society is that produced by 'constitutional politics'. The actions of government must be limited by much stricter rules than are applied now, so that government requires wider popular consent for the application of its powers."

For further information: Norman Barry 0280814080 (office) 090873929 (home)
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I attach draft press briefing for IDT for which I would appreciate clearance. The usual note on the trade figures will follow shortly.
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\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR EDT ON JULY TRADE FIGURES}
 crisis \(L\) unsustander gunge of dater domain.). - With a tight policy stance it should be possible to finance the current account deficit. Manille talion of cos domblic demand grown him in Jut crumb bony how depict. Mtansule, depose
- With the public sector in surf lues, the current account deficit at present reflects private sector behaviour. This contrasts with earlier deficits which reflected unsustainable public sector borrowing. No non low hat cunt account sis do a balame,
4. the (etta OiL A Jena squats
- The deficit in part reflects confidence in the ok economy and

- The deficit will be reversed in time as increased production in the future raises exports and displaces imports, while private sector savings return to more normal levels.
- The recent tightening of monetary policy demonstrates that the Government will take no risks with inflation, and will not stand by to watch sterling depreciate. © The recent trade figures do not reflect the full effects of the recent tightening.

\section*{Positive}
(i) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to July, exports of manufactures (less erratics) \(5^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 7 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier.
(ii) CBI July quarterly industrial trends survey showed rise in export optimism. Larger balance of firms expect orders and deliveries to rise in next few months, while export order books still above normal.
(iii) Strength of capital inflows reflects new-found confidence in UK as place to invest, both because of good profitability prospects for UK industry and Government's firm anti-inflation stance.

PD
idtbrief

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Deficit of \(£ 2.2\) billion in July indicates current account out of control. Never read too much importance into a single month's figures. Tight monetary and fiscal policy will ensure that confidence in UK economy maintained and deficit remains readily financiable. As growth slows to a sustainable rate, so deficit will diminish.
(ii) July deficit will diminish confidence in sterling. Government will hold monetary poliey tight and not allow a depreciation of sterling - particularly to bail out excessive increases in domestic costs
ans maikets kenn Shrs.
(iii) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption [In three months to July on a year earlier, imports of cars up 33 per cent, other consumer goods up 14 per cent. But imports of capital goods up 25 per cent, intermediates up 27 per cent and semi-manufactures up 14 per cent.] Strong growth in domestic demand has led to rise in imports of consumer goods. But there has also been a rise in imports of capital, semi-manufactures and intermediate goods reflecting rising output and investment.
(iv) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account cannot be permanently in deficit, but no reason why it should balance over shortor medium term particularly if good investment prospects exist in UK. Present deficit is partly financing high investment spending by private sector. Contrast with experience of 1960s and 1970s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity, which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(v) Current account deficit has reached US proportions. [UK deficit about 3 per cent of GDP in first 7 months of 1988 compared to 1987 US deficit of \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent.] No similarity between underlying UK and US positions. US deficit, associated with large and persistent US Government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength of private sector Sav~r.
(vi) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit. Current account deficit counterpart of capital inflows. Reflects both
domestic and overseas confidence in UK economy. So long as Government maintains firm fiscal and monetary policy, markets should have no cause for concern. Policy will maintain confidence in UK economy thereby ensuring that any deficit can be readily financed. Recent interest rate rises are evidence of Government's resolve.
(vii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR expected to be annex repayment of debt. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years and improve trade performance.
(viii) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to improved competitiveness. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness.
(ix) Current account figures distorted. Documentation and classification system changes on 1 January 1988 resulted in understatement of January exports by \(£ 200\) million, while December figures previously overstated by \(£ 200\) million. Revised figures now included in press notice. Estimates of later month's export figures and all import figures unaffected. All foeman, of comdt, subjil G further unison \(r\) Custom Tr. (x) Passenger car trade indicates industry facing capacity constraint. [Car import volumes up 33 per cent in three months to July on a year earlier while exports little changed. Passenger motor car production up \(12 \frac{1 / 2}{}\) per cent in 3 months to July on a year earlier.] Recent figures reflect buoyant domestic demand. But UK producers nevertheless performing well - production up \(12 / 2\) per cent in past year and 18 per cent in past two years.
(xi) Piper Alpha explosion will have serious impact on current account. Oil surplus fell from \(£ 148\) million in June to \(£ 65\) million in July. Most of fall due to effects of Piper Alpha. Expected to worsen current account by \(£ 250\) million in total during 1988.
(xii) Export growth in 1988. Some slowdown was expected, but exports of manufactures up \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in year ending three months to July.

(xiii) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since late 1970s. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive. UK has maintained share in world trade in manufactures since 1981 after decades of decline demonstrates improved competitiveness.
(xiv) Invisibles surplus declining. [1988Q1 invisibles surplus revised down nearly \(£ 200\) million to \(£ 1.1\) billion compared with preliminary estimate published in June.] Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in \(1988 Q 1\) balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surplus on financial services (despite fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL}

FROM:
DATE:
cc
PS/Chancellor
Sir T Burns
Mr Perez
Ms Turk
Mr P Davis

\section*{BRIEFING FOR THE JULY TRADE FIGURES}

As agreed \(I\) attach two revised versions of the briefing. (Version A - as eventually revised - would in the normal course of events be handed to DTI press office tomorrow). I have incorporated suggestions from Sir \(T\) Burns and David Peretz. I share David's view that with version A the less said the better. Maybe comment, other than on the details of the figures, should if at all possible be confined to the first item in the "line to take".

We will of course need to revise both versions of the briefing when we get the Chancellor's comments.

On reflection \(I\) am not in favour of putting a short statement of our views onto Reuter's at ll.30 AM.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fIN. } \\
& \text { P SEDGWICK }
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT ON JULY TRADE FIGURES}

\section*{Line to take}
- Interest rates have been raised by \(3^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent. Chancellor has made it clear he will continue to take whatever action is needed to maintain monetary conditions needed to keep downward pressure on inflation.
- The large current account deficits in recent months do not reflect the full effects of the tightening of monetary policy.
- With tight monetary conditions growth of domestic demand, which has been too fast so far this year, will ease. This should lead to a reduction in import growth and in the current account deficit.
- The current account deficit is in part the result of booming investment in the UK. The deficit will as a result be reduced as increased production in the future raises exports and displaces imports.
- Fiscal policy is turning out even tighter than envisaged at Budget time. The present current account deficit contrasts with earlier deficits (and the US position) which were associated with large Government deficits.

\section*{Positive}
(i) GDP up 5 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier while manufacturing output up 7 per cent over same period to reach record levels.
(ii) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to July, exports of manufactures (less erratics) \(8^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 7 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier.
(iii) Manufacturing productivity over 7 per cent higher in second quarter than a year earlier. Has contributed to slow growth in UK unit labour costs, but continued good performance in industry's own hands.
(iv) Unemployment has fallen in every month for past two years to 2.3 million in July - almost 0.6 million lower than a year ago. Employment up 0.4 million over same period.
(v) CBI July quarterly industrial trends survey showed rise in export optimism. Larger balance of firms expect orders and deliveries to rise in next few months, while export order books still above normal.
(vi) Strength of capital inflows reflects confidence in UK as place to invest, both because of good profitability prospects for UK industry and Government's firm anti-inflation stance.

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Deficit of \(£ 2.2\) billion in July indicates current account out of control. Largest deficit ever. Never read too much importance into a single month's figures. Tightened monetary policy will ensure that confidence in UK economy maintained and deficit remains readily financiable. As growth slows to a sustainable rate, so deficit will diminish.
(ii) July deficit will diminish confidence in sterling. As Government has demonstrated it will hold monetary policy tight and not allow a depreciation of sterling - particularly to bail out excessive increases in domestic costs.
(iii) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption [In three months to July on a year earlier, imports of cars up 33 per cent, other consumer goods up 14 per cent. But imports of capital goods up 25 per cent, intermediates up 27 per cent and semi-manufactures up 14 per cent. Exports of consumer goods and motor cars little changed over some period, with capital goods up 24 per cent, intermediates up 2 per cent and semi-manufactures up 8 per cent.] Strong growth in domestic demand has led to rise in imports of consumer goods, as well as

\section*{UNTIL 11.30 AM ON THURSDAY 27 AUGUST THEN UNCLASSIFIED} capital, intermediate and semi-manufactured goods. While imports of capital goods, intermediates and semi-manufactures have risen, net export performance on consumer goods has declined. Maintenance of tight monetary and fiscal policy will help restrain demand and improve the current account.
(iv) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account cannot be permanently in deficit, but no reason why it should balance over short or medium term, particularly if good investment prospects exist in UK. Present deficit is partly financing high investment spending by private sector. Contrast with experience of 1960 s and 1970 s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity, which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(v) Current account deficit has reached US proportions. [UK deficit about 3 per cent of GDP in first 7 months of 1988 compared to 1987 US deficit of \(3 \frac{3}{2}\) per cent (peaked at 3.7 per cent in 1987Q3).] No similarity between underlying UK and US positions. US deficit associated with large and persistent US Government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength of private sector spending.
(vi) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit. So long as Government maintains firm fiscal and monetary policy, markets should have no cause for concern. Policy will maintain confidence in UK economy thereby ensuring that any deficit can be readily financed. Recent interest rate rises are evidence of Government's resolve. Tight monetary conditions will restrain demand and help the current account.
(vii) Capital inflows not long term, but short term and speculative. [Tim Congdon alleged that capital flows financing current account deficit were inflows in portfolio and financial sectors. Hence likely to reverse if confidence in UK economy declines.] Congdon argument based on an artificial and misleading distinction between long term and short term flows. Both types of flows can finance investment. No such flows will stay in the UK unless there is confidence in the maintenance of firm policies.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL 11.30 AM ON THURSDAY 27 AUGUST THEN UNCLLASSIFIED}
(viii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR in 1988-89 expected to be a net repayment of debt, probably larger than envisaged in the FSBR. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years and improve trade performance.
(ix) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to improved competitiveness. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness.
(x) Current account figures distorted. Documentation and classification system changes on 1 January 1988 resulted in distortion in the figures. January exports have now been adjusted up by \(£ 200\) million, while December figures have been reduced by \(£ 200\) million. Revised figures now included in press notice.
(xi) Passenger car trade indicates industry facing capacity constraint. [Car import volumes up 33 per cent in three months to July on a year earlier while exports little changed. Passenger motor car production up \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in 3 months by July on a year earlier.] Recent figures reflect buoyant domestic demand. But UK producers nevertheless performing well - production up \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in past year and 18 per cent in past two years.
(xii) Piper Alpha explosion will have serious impact on current account. Oil surplus fell from \(£ 148\) million in June to \(£ 65\) million in July. Most of fall due to effects of Piper Alpha. Expected to worsen current account by \(£ 250\) million in total during 1988.
(xiii) Export growth in 1988. Some slowdown was expected, but volume of exports of manufactures up \(8^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent in year ending three months to July.
(xiv) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since late 1970s. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive. UK has maintained share in world trade in manufactures since 1981 after decades of decline demonstrates improved competitiveness.

\section*{UNTIL 11.30 AM ON THURSDAY 27 AUGUST THEN UNCLASSIFIED}
(xv) Invisibles surplus declining. [1988Q1 invisibles surplus revised down nearly \(£ 200\) million to \(£ 1.1\) billion, only half its value in 1987Q1 and Q2.] Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in 1988Q1 balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surplus on financial services (despite fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.

\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT ON JULY TRADE FIGURES}

\section*{Line to take}
- The high growth of imports in recent months confirms that the economy has been growing faster than expected. A further tightening of monetary conditions, by today's increase in interest rates, is the appropriate policy response. Interest rates have now risen by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) points in the last few months.
- Tight monetary conditions will reduce the growth of domestic demand and thereby reduce both import growth and the current account deficit.
- The current account deficit is in part the result of booming investment in the UK.
_ The deficit which will be reduced in the short term with the maintenance of tight monetary and fiscal policy, will be further reduced as increased production in the future raises exports and displaces imports.
- Fiscal policy is turning out even tighter than envisaged at Budget time hence the current account deficit contrasts with earlier deficits (and the US position) which were associated with large Government deficits.

\section*{Positive}
(i) GDP up 5 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier while manufacturing output up 7 per cent over same period to reach record levels.
(ii) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to July, exports of manufactures (less erratics) \(8^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 7 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier.
(iii) Unemployment has fallen in every month for past two years to 2.3 million in July - almost 0.6 million lower than a year ago. Employment up 0.4 million over same period.
(iv) Manufacturing productivity over 7 per cent higher in second quarter than a year earlier. Has contributed to slow growth in UK unit labour costs, but continued good performance in industry's own hands.
(v) CBI July quarterly industrial trends survey showed rise in export optimism. Larger balance of firms expect orders and deliveries to rise in next few months, while export order books still above normal.
(vi) Strength of capital inflows reflects confidence in UK as place to invest, both because of good profitability prospects for UK industry and Government's firm anti-inflation stance.

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Deficit of \(£ 2.2\) billion in July indicates current account out of control. Largest deficit ever. Never read too much importance into a single month's figures. Tightened monetary policy will ensure that confidence in UK economy maintained and deficit remains readily financiable. As growth slows to a sustainable rate, so deficit will diminish.
(ii) July deficit will diminish confidence in sterling. As Government has demonstrated it will hold monetary policy tight and not allow a depreciation of sterling - particularly to bail out excessive increases in domestic costs.
(iii) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption [In three months to July on a year earlier, imports of cars up 33 per cent, other consumer goods up 14 per cent. But imports of capital goods up 25 per cent, intermediates up 27 per cent and semi-manufactures up 14 per cent. Exports of consumer goods and motor cars little changed over same period while capital goods up 24 per cent, intermediates up 2 per cent and semi-manufactures up 8 per cent.] Strong growth in domestic demand has led to rise in imports of consumer goods, as well as capital, intermediate and semi-manufactured goods. However net

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL MIDDAY THURSDAY 27 AUGUST \\ THEN UNCLASSIFIED}
- export performance on consumer goods has deteriorated, but rise in interest rates should help restrain demand and improve the current account.
(iv) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account cannot be permanently in deficit, but no reason why it should balance over short or medium term particularly if good investment prospects exist in UK. Present deficit is partly financing high investment spending by private sector. Contrast with experience of 1960 s and 1970 s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity, which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(v) Current account deficit has reached US proportions. [UK deficit about 3 per cent of GDP in first 7 months of 1988 compared to 1987 US deficit of \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent (peak of 3.7 per cent in 1987Q3.] No similarity between underlying UK and US positions. US deficit associated with large and persistent US Government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength of private sector spending.
(vi) Government should take further action to reduce current account deficit. The further rise in interest rates demonstrates by deeds rather than words the Government's determination to keep monetary conditions adequately tight. As previously shown, policy will maintain confidence in UK economy thereby ensuring that any deficit can be readily financed.
(vii) Capital inflows not long term, but short term and speculative. [Tim Congdon alleged that capital flows financing current account deficit were inflows in portfolio and financial sectors. Hence likely to reverse if confidence in UK economy declines.] Congdon argument based on an artificial and misleading distinction between long term and short term flows. Both types of flows can finance investment. No such flows will stay in the UK unless there is confidence in the maintenance of firm policies.
(viii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR in 1988-89 expected to be a net repayment of debt, probably

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL}

UNTIL MIDDAY THURSDAY 27 AUGUST
THEN UNCLASSIFIED
larger than envisaged in the FSBR. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years and improve trade performance.
(ix) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to improved competitiveness. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness.
(x) Current account figures distorted. Documentation and classification system changes on 1 January 1988 resulted in distortion in the figures. January exports have been increased by \(£ 200\) million, while December exports have been reduced by \(£ 200\) million. Revised figures now included in press notice.
(xi) Passenger car trade indicates industry facing capacity constraint. [Car import volumes up 33 per cent in three months to July on a year earlier while exports little changed. Passenger motor car production up \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in 3 months by July on a year earlier.] Recent figures reflect buoyant domestic demand. But UK producers nevertheless performing well - production up \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in past year and 18 per cent in past two years.
(xii) Piper Alpha explosion will have serious impact on current account. Oil surplus fell from \(£ 148\) million in June to \(£ 65\) million in July. Most of fall due to effects of Piper Alpha. Expected to worsen current account by \(£ 250\) million in total during 1988.
(xiii) Export growth in 1988. Some slowdown was expected, but volume of exports of manufactures up \(8 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in year ending three months to July.
(xiv) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since late 1970s. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive. UK has maintained share in world trade in manufactures since 1981 after decades of decline demonstrates improved competitiveness.
(xv) Invisibles surplus declining. [1988Q1 invisibles surplus revised down nearly \(£ 200\) million to \(£ 1.1\) billion, only half its value

0
in 1987Q1 and Q2.] Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in 1988Q1 balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surplus on financial services (despite fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.


FROM: MISS M P WALLACE
DATE: 23 August 1988

MR OWEN
cc Mr Sedgwick

\section*{RECORDING OF VISIBLE AND INVISIBLE TRADE}

The Chancellor has seen and was most grateful for your minute of 3 August.


MOIRA WALLACE

\section*{PS/CHANCELLOR}
cc: Sir T Burns
Mr Peretz
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Bush
Ms Turk

BRIEFING FOR THE JULY TRADE FIGURES

I attach the briefing you had requested.


PAUL DAVIS

\title{
11.30 am on THURSDAY 27 AUGUST \\ THEN UNCLASSIFIED
}

\section*{ULY TRADE FIGURES}

The July current account deficit, in money terms, is the largest ever recorded. On an individual month basis, July does not provide the largest deficit as a proportion of GDP - November 1974 still stands out.
2. The table below shows the largest deficits recorded in the past, both annual and monthly, together with their proportion of GDP.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Current Account Balances} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Balance \\
£ million
\end{tabular} & Per cent of GDP \\
\hline 1947 & -381 & 3娄 \\
\hline 1951 & -369 & \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline 1964 & -371 & 1 \\
\hline 1974 & -3316 & 4 \\
\hline Jan-July 1988 & -7973 & \(3 *\) \\
\hline 196b Cot & -64 & \(1 / 2\) \\
\hline 1967 Nov & -136 & \(11 / 2\) \\
\hline 1974 Nov & -564 & \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline 1988 Jul & -2151 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{*}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 1: Visible Trade balances}
(\$ billion)
\begin{tabular}{lrrlc} 
& \multicolumn{1}{c}{ UK } & US & Japan & Germany \\
1984 & -6.1 & -123.6 & 44.3 & 20.4 \\
1985 & -3.0 & -148.8 & 56.0 & 26.4 \\
1986 & -12.8 & -155.1 & 92.8 & 54.0 \\
1987 & -16.7 & -170.3 & 96.4 & 66.0 \\
& & & & \\
1988 Q1 & -7.1 & -37.4 & 26.0 & 15.7 \\
Q2 & -8.2 & -33.7 & 20.7 & \\
1988 Jan & -2.5 & -11.3 & 9.1 & 6.5 \\
Feb & -2.7 & -14.4 & 8.5 & 4.9 \\
Mar & -1.9 & -11.7 & 8.4 & 4.3 \\
Apr & -2.3 & -10.3 & 7.7 & 6.5 \\
May & -3.2 & -10.9 & 6.8 & 5.7 \\
Jun & -2.7 & -12.5 & 6.2 &
\end{tabular}

Table 2: Current Accounts
(\$ billion, percentage of GNP in brackets)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr} 
& \multicolumn{4}{c}{ UK } & Japan & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Germany } \\
1984 & 2.7 & \((0.6)\) & \(-107.0(-2.8)\) & \(35.0(2.8)\) & \(9.7(1.6)\) \\
1985 & 4.3 & \((0.9)\) & \(-116.4(-2.9)\) & \(49.2(3.7)\) & \(16.2(2.6)\) \\
1986 & -0.3 & \((-0.1)\) & \(-141.3(-3.3)\) & \(85.8(4.4)\) & \(37.9(4.2)\) \\
1987 & -4.1 & \((-0.6)\) & \(-153.9(-3.4)\) & \(87.0(3.6)\) & \(44.3(3.9)\) \\
& & & & & & \\
1988 Q1 & \(-4.9(-2.5)\) & \(-39.8(-3.4)\) & \(23.2(3.3)\) & \(9.0(2.9)\) \\
Q2 & \(-5.4(-2.6)\) & & & \(17.6(2.4)\) &
\end{tabular}

DRAFT PRESS BRIEFING FOR IDT

Bull points on UK Economy
(i) GDP increased by over 5 per cent in year to 1988Q2.
\[
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { GDP (output measure) at factor cost } \\
1980=100 & \text { Per cent change } \\
& \text { on a year earlier }
\end{array}
\]

198

1987 Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4

1988 Q1
122.7
5.2
124.3
(ii) Manufacturing output up nearly 7 per cent in 1988 Q2 on a year earlier. Now at record level in second quarter.
(iii) Unemployment at 2.3 million in July, has been falling for two years. Empluyment rose by 0.4 million in year to July.
(iv) Productivity in manufacturing industry up by over 7 per cent in 1988Q2 on a year earlier.

Manufacturing productivity and output
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Productivity } & \text { (output per head) } \\
1980=100 & \text { Per cent change } \\
& \text { on a year earlier }
\end{array}
\]

1987
142.8
6.9
109.6
5.4
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
1987 Q1 & 138.1 & 6.8 \\
Q2 & 141.1 & 6.7 \\
Q3 & 144.9 & 7.7 \\
Q4 & 147.1 & 6.3 \\
& & \\
1988 Q1 & 148.6 & 7.6
\end{tabular}
106.1
4.2
108.4
5.0

Q3 144.9
111.3
6.9
112.8
5.7

1988 Q1 143.6
7.2
114.1
7.5

Q2 151.3
115.9
6.9
() Unit labour costs in manufacturing have probably risen only slightly in year to 1988 Q2. Unit wage and salary costs up 1.4 per cent over same period. Maintenance of competitive position, however, still very much in industry's own hands.

MR P SEDGWICK
MR D PERETZ
cc Financial Secretary Sir T Burns Sir G Littler Mr H Bush Mr P J Davis - EA2 Deputy Governor - \(B / E\) Mr George - B/E

\section*{JULY TRADE FIGURES}
... As promised, I attach the various pieces of briefing the Chancellor sent back this morning:
(i) at Annex \(A\), his comments, which \(I\) have marked in manuscript, on the trade figures briefing which Mr Davis circulated on 22 August (the Chancellor has not seen the revised version circulated yesterday); and
(ii) at Annex B, the Chancellor's draft of a "Line to take" on interest rates.

The Chancellor has said that he would like to see the latest versions of both these briefs in the box \(I\) shall be sending him tonight. In particular, he has noted that additional supplementaries will be needed on the interest rate brief. I should therefore be grateful if you could let me have revised versions by close.

> MOIRA WALLACE Private secretary

\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT ON JULY TRADE FIGURES}

Exparts (ner of oil + erratics) at all. Hime record levels.
Line to take Deficit swollen by rapid rise in imports caused by unsustainable growth of domestic demand. Measures
- With a tight policy stance it should be possible to finance the eurrent account deficit.] Staken to curb domestic demand will in due course bring down deficir. Meanwhile, deficit readily finane o
- with the public sector in surp lus, the current account deficit [at present reflects private sector behaviour. This contrasts with earlier deficits which reflected unsustainable public sector borrowing. No iron law thet current account should be in balance.
- EThe deficit in part reflects confidence in the UK econemy and prospects for investment in it.\(]\)
- TThe defjeít will be reversed in time as increased production in the future raises exports and displaces imports, while private sector savings return to more normal levelp.]
- The recent tightening of monetary policy demonstrates that the Government will take no risks with inflationo and will not standby to watch storling depreciato The recent trade figures do not reflect the full effects of the recent tightening.

\section*{Positive}
(i) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to July, exports of manufactures (less erratics) \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 7 per cent in second quarter on a year earlier.
(ii) CBI July quarterly industrial trends survey showed rise in export optimism. Larger balance of firms expect orders and deliveries to rise in next few months, while export order books still above nnrmal.
(iii) Strength of capital inflows reflects new-found confidence in UK as place to invest, both because of good profitability prospects for UK industry and Government's firm anti-inflation stance.

\title{
SECRET AND PERSONAL UNTIL 25 AUGUST AT 11.30 AM
} THEN UNCLASSIFIED

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Deficit of \(£ 2.2\) billion in July indicates current account out of control. Never read too much importance into a single month's figures. Tight monetary and fiscal policy will ensure that confidence in UK economy maintained and deficit remains readily financiable. As growth slows to a sustainable rate, so deficit will diminish.
(ii) July deficit will diminish confidence in sterling. [Governmént will hold monetary policy tight and not allow a depreciation of sterling - particularly to bail out excessive increases in domestic oosts. Government determined to maintain value of sterling and marhets know this.
(iii) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption [In three months to July on a year earlier, imports of cars up 33 per cent, other consumer goods up 14 per cent. But imports of capital goods up 25 per cent, intermediates up 27 per cent and semi-manufactures up 14 per cent.] Strong growth in domestic demand has led to rise in imports of consumer goods. But there has also been a rise in imports of capital, semi-manufactures and intermediate goods reflecting rising output and investment.
(iv) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account cannot be permanently in deficit, but no reason why it should balance over shortor medium term particularly if good investment prospects exist in UK. Present deficit is partly financing high investment spending by private sector. Contrast with experience of 1960 s and 1970 s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity, which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mav in fifth year at } 3 \% \text { or } \\
& \text { so of GDP }
\end{aligned}
\]
(v) Current account deficit has reached US proportions. [UK deficit about 3 per cent of GDP in first 7 months of 1988 compared to 1987 US deficit of \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent.] No similarity between underlying UK and US positions. US deficit \(/\) associated with large and persistent US Government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength/of ofs private sector spendinge investment over private sector saving.
(vi) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit. Current account deficit counterpart of capital inflows. Reflects both
domestic and overseas confidence in UK economy. So long as Government maintains firm fiscal and monetary policy, markets should have no cause for concern. Policy will maintain confidence in UK economy thereby ensuring that any deficit can be readily financed. Recent interest rate rises are evidence of Government's resolve.
(vii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR expected to be a net repayment of debt. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years and improve trade performance.
(viii) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to improved competitiveness. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness.
(ix) Current account figures distorted. Documentation and classification system changes on 1 January 1988 resulted in understatement of January exports by \(£ 200 \mathrm{million}\), while December figures previously overstated by \(£ 200\) million. Revised figures now included in press notice. Estimates of later month's export figures and all import figures unaffected. All figures, of course, subject to further revision in customary way.
\((x)\) passenger car trade indicates industry facing capacity constraint. [Car import volumes up 33 per cent in three months to July on a year earlier while exports little changed. Passenger motor car production up \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in 3 nearing b July on a year earlier.] Recent figures reflect buoyant domestic demand. But UK producers nevertheless performing well - production up li\% per cent in past year and 18 per cent in past two years.
(xi) Piper Alpha explosion will have serious impact on current account. Oil surplus fell from \(£ 148\) million in June to \(£ 65\) million in July. Most of fall due to effects of Piper Alpha. Expected to worsen current account by \(£ 250\) million in total during 1988.
(xii) Export growth in 1988. Esome slowdown was expected, but exports of manufactures up \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in year ending three months to July.
Underlying level of Exports over past 3 months \(5 / 2\) per cent up in volume terms over same period a year ago.
(xiii) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since late 1970s. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive. UK has maintained share in world trade in manufactures since 1981 after decades of decline demonstrates improved competitiveness.
(xiv) Invisibles surplus declining. [1988Q1 invisibles surplus revised down nearly \(£ 200\) million to \(£ 1.1\) billion compared with preliminary estimate published in June.] Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in \(1988 Q 1\) balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surplus on financial services (despite fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.

\section*{Line to take}

The latest import figures taken together with other recent information make it clear that spending in the economy has been growing even faster than had been earlier envisaged. In order to keep inflation under control, the steps already taken to Euphten
monetary conditions therefore need to be reinforced. Accordingly, the Bank of England has today signalled a 1 per cent rise in short-term interest rates to 12 per cent.

This substantial rise in interest rates that has now taken place will inevitably take time to have its effect. There is no reason to believe that short-term interest rates will need to go any higher than they now are, but they are likely to have to remain at this level for some time.

Overall, as the recent OECD survey underlined, the economy continues to do very well. In particular:
- exports of manufactures growing at \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent a year;
- investment in manufacturing growing at \(12 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent a year;
- manufacturing productivity growing at over 7 per cent a year.

\section*{Defensive}

\section*{All fault of Budget tax cuts?}

No. Purpose of tax-reforming Budget was further lasting improvement in supply-side performance. In fact fiscal policy unequivocally tight, with surplus likely to exceed the \(£ 3 \frac{1}{4}\) billion set in the Budget by a comfortable margin.

\section*{Need credit controls?}

Would be distortive and basically ineffective in today's flexible and competitive financial markets. See Chancellor's Speech to Cities of London and Westminster CA.

\section*{Mistake to cut interest rates to \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent?}

Cut to \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent was purely temporary measure lasting only two weeks, in response to exceptional upward foreign exchange market pressures.

\section*{Interest rates now set on purely domestic criteria? Abandoned managed floating?}

Always made it clear that first priority must be defeat of inflation. Budget Speech etc.

\section*{Will mortgage rates rise?}

Matter for banks and building societies, but clearly to be expected. That is part of process of slowing down growth in demand in economy.

\section*{Prospects for RPI?}

A firm monetary policy is essential instrument for bringing inflation down. In short-term, RPI will increase since UK, unlike most other countries, includes mortgage interest payments in its consumer price index. But, as in l985, this will only be a temporary blip, and measures now taken will ensure that in due course inflation comes down again.

Why 1 per cent? Have you abandoned policy of moving in half
per cent steps?
No. Half points remain normal movements, both up and down. But on this occasion a full point increase warranted and could be implemented without any risk of excessive upward pressure on exchange rate.

\title{
Economic forecasts
}

\section*{September preview}

The full version of our SeptemberEconomic Forecasts for the UK is due to be published after the Bank Holiday．Here we provide a preview of the main points and forecast tables．

\section*{Increased risks}

The short term outlook for the economy has worsened on three counts：
＊Inflationary pressure already in the pipeline threatens to push up recorded retail price inflation into the \(5 \mathbf{1 / 4 - 5} \mathbf{1 / 2 \%}\) range by year end．We expect this to happen even in the absence of a further rise in mortgage rates．
＊Every indicator relevant to national pay bargaining is flashing red．The forthcoming pay round is likely to add an unwelcome twist to the wage－price spiral with private sector pay settlements rising by a further 1 percentage point．
＊Although the official estimates are subject to a large margin of error，the UK＇s current account position now appears unsustainable．It is a measure of the UK＇s problem that domestic demand growth would have probably to fall to a quarter of its present rate merely to prevent the trade deficit from getting worse．

\section*{Credit crunch}

We paint three possible scenarios for the UK．
＊There could be a spontaneous slowdown in domestic demand growth．But this seems unlikely， thanks to the lagged effects of higher real incomes，tax cuts and wealth which have still to feed through．Short－term，demand is expected to accelerate，not slow down．
＊The UK could become a successful capital－importer，living with high current account deficits． But the UK shares none of the characteristics of other large－scale capital－importing economies． Moreover，the financing of the external deficit has probably come from short－term，easily reversible， capital flows rather than long－term investments．
＊The most plausible outcome is a credit crunch in which base rates are driven up further and sustained at a high level to induce a rise in private sector net saving．We expect base rates to rise to at least \(\mathbf{1 2 \%}\) and stay there for a period of up to nine months．

On this basis，we forecast growth，ex oil，will fall below productive potential（possibly \(4 \%\) pa）．We expect \(\mathbf{2 - 2} \mathbf{1 / 2 \%}\) growth，ex oil，in 1989 after \(4 \%\) plus this year．Boosted by higher mortgage rates，retail price inflation peaks at \(\mathbf{6 1 / 2 \%}\) next February，falling to below \(5 \%\) by end 1989.

\section*{Markets}

Gilts and equities will struggle with base rates sustained at \(12 \%\) or above．Slower growth and falling inflation in 1989 provide some comfort，more especially for gilts．
\begin{tabular}{|rcc|}
\hline & 25 year gilt yield \％ & FTA All－Share \\
\hline 3 month & 10 & 950 \\
12 month & \(91 / 4\) & 1000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Table 1: Forecast summary
\begin{tabular}{|cccccccc|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
GDP \\
growth \%
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Consumption \\
growth \%
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Inflation \\
\%(Q4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Current \\
account \(£ b n\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Base rates \\
\% (Q4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
PSBR \\
£bn (FY)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Sterling \\
Index (Q4)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1986 & 3.0 & 6.0 & 3.4 & 0.1 & 10.9 & 3.4 & 68.2 \\
1987 & 4.2 & 5.2 & 4.1 & -1.6 & 9.2 & -3.5 & 74.9 \\
1988 & 3.5 & 6.0 & 5.6 & -11.6 & 12.0 & -8.0 & 75.5 \\
1989 & 2.1 & 4.2 & 4.8 & -14.3 & 10.0 & -7.6 & 77.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2: Components of demand

** Difference between the average and expenditure estimates of GDP at factor cost.
Table 3: Prices and interest rates
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1987 \\
Year
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-1988} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{- 1989} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} \\
\hline & & & Q1 & Q2 & Q3 & Q4 & & Q1 & Q2 & Q3 & Q4 & \\
\hline Import price deflator & L & 134.1 & 133.8 & 133.7* & 136.8 & 138.4 & 135.7 & 138.7 & 139.3 & 139.0 & 139.4 & 139.1 \\
\hline (all goods) & Y & 2.4 & -0.9 & 0.3* & 2.0 & 3.5 & 1.2 & 3.7 & 4.2 & 1.6 & 0.7 & 2.5 \\
\hline Producer prices (output) & Y & 3.9 & 3.8 & 4.4* & 4.5 & 4.6 & 4.3 & 4.7 & 4.8 & 4.6 & 4.3 & 4.6 \\
\hline Retail prices & L & 101.9 & 103.7* & 106.2 & 107.5 & 109.0 & 106.6 & 110.2 & 112.4 & 113.1 & 114.2 & 112.5 \\
\hline Jan 1987 = 100 & Y & 4.1 & 3.4* & 4.3 & 5.3 & 5.6 & 4.6 & 6.3 & 5.8 & 5.2 & 4.8 & 5.5 \\
\hline Consumer expenditure & L & 150.6 & 154.3* & 154.6 & 156.5 & 159.7 & 156.3 & 161.5 & 162.6 & 164.5 & 167.2 & 164.0 \\
\hline deflator \(1980=100\) & Y & 3.5 & 3.3* & 3.6 & 3.7 & 4.4 & 3.7 & 4.7 & 5.2 & 5.1 & 4.7 & 4.9 \\
\hline GDP deflator & L & 151.4 & 155.7* & 158.9 & 162.6 & 164.9 & 160.5 & 165.8 & 169.1 & 172.6 & 174.8 & 170.6 \\
\hline \(1980=100\) & Y & 4.8 & 5.2* & 5.6 & 6.5 & 6.7 & 6.0 & 6.5 & 6.4 & 6.1 & 6.0 & 6.2 \\
\hline Bank base rate \% & & 9.7 & 8.8 & 8.1* & 10.8 & 12.0 & 9.9 & 13.0 & 12.0 & 11.0 & 10.0 & 11.5 \\
\hline Mortgage rate \% & & 11.6 & 10.2 & 9.8* & 11.1 & 13.1 & 11.1 & 13.6 & 13.3 & 12.7 & 11.7 & 12.8 \\
\hline 25-year gilt yield \% & & 9.4 & 9.3 & 9.2* & 9.4 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 10.2 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 9.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Last actual. \(\mathrm{L}=\) level, \(\mathrm{Y}=\) year-on-year percentage change.
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ I. B. Kravis, A. Heston and R. Summers, 'New insights into the structure of the world economy', Review of Income and Wealth, December 1981.
    ${ }^{(2)} \mathrm{CSO}$, 'International comparisons of gross domestic product', Economic Trends, April 1982.

[^1]:    UK - latest HMT view

[^2]:    d ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.

[^3]:    $f$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.

[^4]:    g Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy

[^5]:    $h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft (together comprising SITC (REV 3) 792 and 793 ), precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
    j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classifaction.

