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To: MINISTER FOR TRADE
Copy No. (3) 28
From: Peter Stibbard
US/S2
v/260 Ext. 4872
23 February 1988

OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR JANUARY 1988

## THE CURRENT ACCOUNT



In January, the value of exports was $£ 6.2$ billion and imports $£ 7.8$ b so that visible trade, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, shows a deficit of $£ 1.7$ billion compared with the deficit of $£ 1.0$ billion in December.
The Central Statistical Office continue to project a surplus on invisible** of $£ 0.6$ billion for months in the first quarter of 1988 so that the current account is provisionally estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 1.1$ billion, compared with a latest estimated deficit of $£ 0.4$ billion in December. (NB The December figure, revised from a deficit of $£ 0.6 \mathrm{bn}$, reflects the results of the end -1987 annual seasonal adjustment update exercise).

TABIE 1: CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES (Table 2 of Press Notice)

Seasonally adjusted Balance of Payments Basis
£ million


* See postscript at end.
** The CSO is currently engaged in its quarterly reappraisal of invisibles estimates and the results up to and including Q4 1987 will be published on 11 March.
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In the three months ended January there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 3.7$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 1.0$ billion, offset by a deficit in non-oil trade of $£ 4.8$ billion. Between the three months ended October and the latest three months, the visible trade deficit increased by $£ 0.7$ billion; the surplus on oil was little changed while the deficit on non-oil trade also rose by $£ 0.7$ billion.

## EXPORTS

The value of exports in January was $£ 634$ million ( $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) lower than in December. Exports of oil decreased by $£ 97$ million between the two months and exports of the erratic items decreased by $£ 120$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports fell by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between December and January.
In the three months ended January, total export volume was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and 3 per cent higher than in the same period last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume was unchanged in the latest three months and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent up on the same three months a year ago. The underlying level of exports, which was high in the Autumn of 1987, seems to have been maintained in recent months.

TABTE 2: EXPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted

|  | VALUE (Em) |  | VOLLIME ( $1980=100$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Total Iess Oil and erratics | Total | Total less Oil and erratics |
| 1986 | 72678 | 59098 | 123.3 | 118.0 |
| 1987 | 79622 | 65200 | 130.4 | 126.6 |
| Aug-Oct 1987 | 20351 | 16714 | 131.2 | 129.0 |
| Nov-Jan 1988 | 19881 | 16608 | 132.8 | 129.1 |
| 1987 Nov | 6881 | 5627 | 135.4 | 130.7 |
| Dec | 6817 | 5699 | 137.1 | 133.1 |
| 1988 Jan | 6183 | 5282 | 126.0 | 123.3 |

Also by value, total exports fell by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months. The fall in exports to the developed countries was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent - within which exports to the rest of the European Community fell by 8 per cent while exports to North America rose by 1 per cent.

## dii

the department for Enterprise
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## IMPORTS

The value of imports in January was little changed from that in December. Imports of oil decreased by $£ 109$ million while imports of the erratic items increased by $£ 43$ million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports rose by 1 per cent between December and January.

In the three months ended January, total import volume was $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and 11 per cent higher than in the same period last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items import volume rose by 3 per cent in the latest three months to stand 12 per cent up on a year ago. The upward trend in non-oil import volume since last Spring has continued, though the rate of growth may have moderated in recent months.

TABIE 3: IMPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted

|  | VALUE (Em) |  | VOLIME ( $1980=100$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Total less oil and erratics | Total | Total less oil and erratics |
| 1986 | 81141 | 73346 | 134.6 | 151.6 |
| 1987 | 89247 | 81125 | 144.6 | 164.9 |
| Aug-Oct 1987 | 23392 | 21268 | 151.0 | 172.9 |
| Nov-Jan 1988 | 23609 | 21716 | 154.6 | 177.7 |
| 1987 Nov | 7938 | 7229 | 154.3 | 176.3 |
| Dec | 7827 | 7202 | 154.9 | 177.0 |
| 1988 Jan | 7844 | 7286 | 154.5 | 179.8 |

By value, imports rose by 1 per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months.

Again in value terms, imports from the developed countries rose by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent over the latest three months, with arrivals from the European Cormunity countries up by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, from North America down by 5 per cent and from the other developed countries up by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Imports from the developing countries decreased by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the two three month periods.
the department for Enterprise
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## trade in manufaciures

Figures showing trade in manufactures on a balance of payments basis will be published in the January edition of the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics following the release of the press notice. On present estimates they show a deficit in the three months ended January of $£ 2.9$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 2.2$ billion in the previous three months.

TABLE 4: TRADE IN MANUFACIURES (SITC 5-8) (Table 16 of Press Notice, quarterly data only)
£ million
Seasmally Adjusted
Balance of Payments Basis

|  | Exports | Imports | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 54927 | 60233 | -5307 |
| 1987 | 61556 | 68097 | -6542 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Aug-Oct 1987 | 15844 | 18028 | -2184 |
| Nov-Jan 1988 | 15549 | 18419 | -2870 |
|  |  |  | -748 |
| 1987 Nov | 5404 | 6152 | -640 |
| Dec | 5319 | 5959 | -1482 |

## QUALITIY OF THE FIGURES

It is customary to advise against attaching too much significance to figures for an individual month. Figures for January 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than normal because the information system has been altered in that EC member countries have adopted the Single Administrative Document and a new system of product classification has been introduced from 1.1.88 (see your Written Answer of 7 December 1987, attached to my note on exports of 19 February, and the article in British Business of 27 November 1987). Customs are presently taking the view that the changes did not. interfere with the flow of trade, but it would nevertheless be prudent to await the figures for February and probably later months before deciding whether any distortions have in fact occurred. Extensive checking by their Statistical Office at Southend indicate that the quality of the aggregate figures should be up to the normal standard.
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PUBLICATION
The press notice containing the January figures is scheduled for release on Monday 29 February.


P J STIBBARD
POST SCRIPT
Since preparing the above we have been told that Customs are investigating a possible double-counting of imports amounting to $£ 0.1$ bn or $£ 0.2 \mathrm{bn}$. The exact amount will be confirmed tomorrow morning and the amended figure will be incorporated in the draft press notice circulated to Ministers tomorrow afternoon.
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Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary Chancellor of the Exchequer HM Treasury
Parliament Street
London
SW BAG

Direct line
Our ref
Your ref Date

24 February 1988


Telex $8811074 / 5$ DTHQ G Fax 01-222 2629

I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in January. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Monday 29 February 1988 at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Friday 26 February 1988 and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton, Mr Davis, HM Treasury and Sue Holt, Prime Minister's Office.

Yours sincerely

$$
C h
$$

$$
ఓ \Omega \text { Boy }
$$

is Ludiennis. Andre
WE BOYD
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Copy No...( )
TEE CURRENT ACCOUNT - TABLE 2
Balance of payments basis
£ million seasmally adjusted


PERCENTAGE CHANGES:-
LATEST 3 MONTHS ON
PREVIOUS 3 MONTHS
$-2.3 \% \quad 0.3 \%$
SAME 3 MONTHS
ONE YEAR AGO
$3.9 \% \quad 9.1 \%$

| JAN-JAN87 | -28 | 6244 | 6841 | -597 | 378 | -975 | 569 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JAN-JAN88 | -905 | 6183 | 7688 | -1505 | 359 | -1864 | 600 |

 total as a neut of 'double counting by Cut

## REVISED DRAFT
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DRAFT
Copy No. 3.
(22)

JANUARY 1988
The current account for January, seasonally adjusted, was estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 905$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 410$ million in December. In January, exports - seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis - were valued at £6183 million and imports at $£ 7688$ million so that the trade in yuods was in deficit by $£ 1501$ million.

The balance on invisibles is projected to be in surplus by $£ 600$ million, a large surplus on the transactions of the privatc sector and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government transactions. Preliminary estimates of the invisibles balance in the fourth quarter of 1987 and revisions to earlier periods will be published on 11 March.

## NOTE ON INIERPREIATION

It is customary to advise against attaching too much importance to figures for an individual month. Figures for January 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of the adoption from 1 January 1988 by all EC member countries of the single Administrative Document and a new system of procauct classification (see notes to editors No. 3). It would be prudent to await the figures for February and probably later months, before deciding whether or not there were any distortions in the monthly pattern of trade.
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NOVEMBER 1987 TO JANUARY 1988
In the three months ended January, the current account showed a deficit of $£ 1.8$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 1.2$ billion in the previous three months. There was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 3.6$ billion in the latest three months compared with a deficit of $£ 3.0$ billion in the three months ended October. The surplus on invisibles in the latest three months is projected at $£ 1.8$ billion.

## CUREENT ACCOUNT

table 1
£ million, Seasonally adjusted

a Invisibles for October to January 1987 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the apprepriate calendar quarter's estimete or projection. Informatien relating to cradita and debits can be found in Table 3.
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## VISIBLE TRADE IN JANUARY

There was a deficit on visible trade in January of $£ 1505 \mathrm{mil} .1 \mathrm{l}$ ion compared with a deficit of $£ 1010$ million in December. The surplus on oil was £359 million, £12 million more than in December. The deficit on nonoil trade increased by $£ 507$ million.

Total exports in January were valued at $£ 6183$ million, which was £634 million ( $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) lower than in December. Exports of oil decreased by $£ 97$ million and exports of the erratic items decreased by $£ 120$ million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports fell by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between December and January.

Total imports were valued at $£ 7688$ million in January, which was $[$ little changed from December. Imports of oil fell by $£ 109$ million between the two months and imports of the erratic items rose by $£ 43 \mathrm{million}$. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports [rose by 1 per cent between
December and January.

## RECENT TRENDS

## Visible balance

In the three months ended January, there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 3.6$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 1.0$ billion being offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of $£ 4.6$ billion. Between the three months ended October and the latest three months, the deficit on visible trade increased by $£ 0.5$ billion - the surplus on oil was little changed while the deficit on non-oil trade also rose by $£ 0.5$ billion.
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## Exports

Exports amounted to $£ 19.9$ billion in the three months ended January, £0. 5 billion ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) less than in the previous three months. Exports of oil fell by $£ 0.1$ billion and exports of the erratic items fell by $£ 0.3$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports decreased by $£ 0.1$ billion between the three months ended October and the latest three months.

By volume, exports rose by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months to be 3 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume was unchanged in the latest three months and was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. It is too soon to say whether the upward trend in non-oil exports during 1987 has now changed.

## Imports

Total imports were valued at $£ 23.5$ billion in the latest three months, £0. 1 billion ( $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent) higher than in the previous three months. Imports of oil were little changed and imports of the erratic items fell by $£ 0.2$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports grew by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months. Within the total, imports of capital goods rose by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent; imports of passenger motor cars rose by 6 per cent. had on unamemdar hymns
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Total import volume in the latest three months was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and 10 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by 2 per cent in the latest three months to be 12 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. As with exports, it is too soon to say whether the upward trend in non-oil imports during 1987 has now changed.

Terms of trade and unit values
The terms of trade index was virtually unchanged between the three months ended October and the three months ended January with the export unit value index decreasing by 1 per cent and the import unit value index decreasing by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Compared with the same three months a year ago, the export unit value index rose by 2 per cent and the import unit value index fell by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent up on a year ago.

Expori unit vaiues for fueis feil by 13 per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil exports was unchanged.

Import unit values for fuels fell by 8 per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil imports fell by $\frac{2}{2}$ per cent.
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## Analysis by area

Exports to the developed countries fell by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months. Exports to the European Community countries fell by 8 per cent; exports to North America and to the other developed countries increased by 1 per cent and 8 per cent respectively. Those to the developing countries fell by $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months.

Imports from the developed countries increased by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent over the latest three months with arrivals from the European Community countries up $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, from North America down by 5 per cent and arrivals from the other developed countries up by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Imports from the developing countries decreased by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended October and the latest three months.

## NOTES TO EDITORS

INVISIBLE

1 Estimates of invisibles are based on a variety of sources, mostly inquiries of those engaging in the various transactions. These are usually sample inquiries, and are variously held on quarterly, annual or periodical bases. For some components, data for recent periods are therefore incomplete and subject to significant estimation errors.

2 The figures shown for the invisibles balance in most months are the estimates for the relevant quarters, taken from the balame of payments accounts, expressed at a monthly rate. For the most recent months, however, the figures are projections, which are raided to the nearest $£ 100$ million to emphasise their approximate nature. These projections are superceded by preliminary estimates from the balance of payments accounts when they are published aroid the middle of March, June, September and December. Thus the projections for October to Dearnber 1987 in tries 1 am 2 will be superoodod by preliminary estimates published on 11 March .

## VISIBLE TRADE: NEW CUSTOMS PROCEDURES AND CHANGES IN CLASSIFICATION

3 On 1 January 1988 new astans procedures were introduced, including a switch to a new Single Administrative Doament for Ostams declaration and the adaption of a new system of commodity coding, based on the worldwide Harmonised System. Further information may be obtained from the article in British Business of 27 November 1987 and colum 34 of Written Answers, House of Commons Official Report, 7 Deventer 1987. Far further details of changes; which involve revisions to the commodity classification and to the treatment of gold see the Standard Notes for 1988.

## ADJUSTMENT FOR UNPROCESSED DOCUMENTS; APRIL TO OCTOBER

4 Following an investigation by Customs and Excise into the effects of industrial action, adjustments have been made to take accant of dooments delayed from the period April to June and subsequently processed in August and October. These adjustments have been made to the Balance fo Payments based figures (tables 1 to 7 and 16), but not to the OIS figures (tables 8 to 15). The amounts involved are as follows:


JANUARY 1988 FIGURES
5. Tables 1 to 7 on a balance of payments basis incorporate a slight downward revision of 5156 million to imports; information was not available to carry this through to OTS based figures (tables 8 to 15 ). This revision will be made to the OTS tables next month

AREA (tablea 11 and 15)

6 Low value consignments ie items of individual value less than $£ 600$ are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15 . In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

## MONTHLY REVIEM OF EXTERMAL TRADE STATISTICS

57 The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of $£ 43$ which includes the annual supplement. Individual copies are priced at £3, ( $£ 7$ for the annual supplement).

## STANDARD NDTES

18 There is a set of standard notes that describe the basis on which the figures in this Press Notice are compiled including the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) figures. Copies can be obtained from the address below.

A revieed edition of these notes accompanies this press notice

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, 1 Victoria Street, London SWIH OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.

## mocx of tacles

A ONLMCE OF PAMEMTS EASIS TABE PACE
Current sccount ..... 1 ..... 1
Current balance, visible trade and invisibles ..... 2 ..... 7
Invisibles ..... 7
Export and import unit velue and valume index numbers ..... 4 ..... 8
Value end volume of exports and imports excluding the more erratic items ..... 5 ..... 8
Trade in oil ..... 9
Trade in Goods other then oil ..... 10
B OVEREEAS TRADE STATISTICS
Exports by commodity ..... 11
Exports by commoditys valume indices ..... 11
Exports by comodity: unit value indices ..... 12
Exports by area ..... 12
Imports by commodity ..... 13
Importe by commodity: valume indices ..... 13
Imports by commodity: unit value indices ..... 14
Imports by area ..... 14
C GUARTEER BALANCE OF PAYMINTS DATA
Comodity analysis of visible trade ..... 16 ..... 15

CUREENT BMLANGE, VISIBLE TRADE AND invisibles
(Balance of Payments basis)

a Invisibles for October to January 1987 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection.

Table 3
invisibues
£ million seasonally adjusted

$d$ ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.
and personal
5588
intil release of press notice on ${ }^{\text {c.............. at } 11.30 \text { a.m. }}$

Table 4
(Balance of Payments besis)
Indices $1980=100$


- Export unit value index a percentage of the import unit value index.

Valle and valuri of exports and imports excluoimg the mofe erratic itemsf
Table 5 (Balance of Paywents besis)
seasonally adjusted

| 1 |  | L | Velue £ million fob |  |  | , | Volume Index 1980 $=100$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 | Exports | 1 | Imports | 1 | Exports | 1 | Imports |
| 1 |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | 1 |  |
| \| 1986 |  | I | 67300 | 1 | 77492 | I | 126.3 | 1 | 143.0 |
| \| 1987 |  | 1 | 73645 | I | 85386 | I | 133.6 | 1 | 154.0 |
| \| 1986 | Q4 | 1 | 17581 | 1 | 20738 | 1 | 132.4 | 1 | 151.5 |
| \| 1987 | Q1 | 1 | 18019 | 1 | 19853 | I | 132.5 | 1 | 142.5 |
| 1 | Q2 | I | 17939 | 1 | 20740 | I | 129.8 | 1 | 150.2 |
| 1 | Q3 | 1 | 18755 | 1 | 22313 | 1 | 134.1 | 1 | 160.9 |
| 1 | Q4 | 1 | 18933 | 1 | 22481 | 1 | 138.0 | 1 | 162.4 |
| \| 1987 | May | 1 | 5884 | 1 | 7122 | I | 127.4 | 1 | 153.9 |
| 1 | June | 1 | 5932 | 1 | 6844 | 1 | 126.9 | 1 | 149.3 |
| 1 | July | 1 | 6108 | 1 | 7297 | 1 | 132.0 | 1 | 157.8 |
| 1 | Aug | 1 | 6203 | 1 | 7668 | I | 132.9 | I | 165.1 |
| 1 | Sept | 1 | 6444 | 1 | 7348 | I | 137.3 | 1 | 159.9 |
| 1 | Oct | I | 6178 | 1 | 7300 | 1 | 133.2 | 1 | 156.4 |
| 1 | Nov | 1 | 6339 | 1 | 7609 | I | 138.3 | I | 164.5 |
| 1 | Dec | 1 | 6416 | 1 | 7572 | 1 | 142.5 | 1 | 166.3 |
| 1988 | Jan | 1 | 5902 | 1 | 7391 | 1 | 132.5 | 1 | 162.1 |
| 1 \% Change \| |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1 Latest 3 month on \| |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1 -previous 3 months |  | 1 | - 1 | 1 | $+1$. | 1 | $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ | I | $+21 / 2$ |
| 1-same 3 months \| |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| - one year ago |  | 1 | $+6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $+10$ | 1 | $+4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $+10$ |

$f$ These are defined as ships, North Ses installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.

and parconel
until release of press notice on 23 Fi.......... at $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

TRADE IN OHL 9
(Balance of Payments basis)
semonally adjusted


9 Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy which are on time of shipment basis (see paragraph 8 of the standard notes).

and personal
until release of press notice on 29 F. 5.80 .8 at $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

TRADE IN GBGDS OTHER THAN OIL
(Balance of Payments basis)

f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.

and personal until release of press notice on 23 at........ at $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

h These are defined as ships，Morth Sea inatallatians（tegether eomprising SITC（REV 2）793），aircraft（792）precious atones（667），and ailver（681．1）．
j Besed on the United Mations Broed Economic Categories mat－uee elassification．
EXPORTS BY COMMODITY：VOLUNE INDICES
Table 9
（Overseas Trade Statistics basis）
INDICES $1980=100$ ，seasonally adjusted

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | anufactu | Ures ext | cluding | orratics ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11 | Food 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Semi－ma | manufactu | ures｜ | Finish | hed manu | factures | excluding | g ships， |
|  | 11 | bever－1 | $\mid$ Basic｜ |  | Total |  | excludi | ding prec | cious | or | Sea | allation | a and air | rcraft |
|  | ｜Total｜ | ages I | ｜Mater－ | Fuels I | Manufa |  | stones | \＆silve | er（PS）｜ |  |  | （SNA） |  |  |
|  |  | and I | ｜ials｜ |  | tures | $\mid$ Total｜ |  |  |  |  | Pass－1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | tobacco I | 1 | 1 |  |  | Total 1 | I Chemi－ | Other I | Total I | enger I | Other | ｜Inter－ | ｜Capital |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ｜cals |  |  | Motor 1 | Consumer | I mediate｜ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | Cars 1 |  |  |  |
| SITC $_{3}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | ｜5－8｜ | $5+61$ |  | 6 | 7＋8 |  |  |  |  |
| （REV ${ }^{2}$ ） | 0－9 1 | $0+1 \quad 1$ | $2+41$ | 3 | 5－8 | less｜ | less $\mid$ | 15 | less ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | less | j | j | j 1 | 1 j |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | ｜SNAPS｜ | PS I |  | PS | SNA |  |  |  |  |
| Weights | 11000 | 69 1 | 31 1 | 136 | 735 | 1658 | 252 1 | 11121 | 141 ｜ | 406 | 18 | 71 | 170 | 147 |
| 1986 | ｜ 123.91 | 129.51 | 111731 | 175.51 | 114. | ｜117：0｜ | $122 \cdot 8.1$ | ｜ 139.41 | 109：31 | 113.61 | 93.21 | $119 \cdot 8$ | $1 / 9 \cdot 7$ | 11057 |
| 1987 | 1／31：01 | $129 \cdot 31$ | ｜ 125.31 | 173.41 | $12.3 \cdot 8$ | 1127.31 | 1.31 .91 | $1148 \cdot 31$ | 118.51 | $124 \cdot 51$ | 119.81 | 142.5 | $1122 \cdot 71$ | 1118.9 |
| 1986 Q4 | 1130.41 | 144．1 | 11251 | 1801 | 120 | 1122.1 | 128.1 | 1146.1 | 113.1 | 119.1 | 94．1 | 127. | 123. | 1114. |
| 1987 Q1 | 1／30．01 | 1311 | 1 1441 | 184.1 | 120 | $1 / 231$ | 128.1 | 1144 | 1 1ヶ 1 | 120 | 1113 | 137 | 120 | 1113 |
| Q2 | 1／26．51 | 125.1 | 11231 | 169．1 | 119 | 11221 | 1271 | 1141 | 1115 | 119 | 1118 | 135 | 1119 | 1113 |
| Q3 | 1／31．21 | 1321 | 1121 | 1621 | 126 | $1 / 301$ | 1361 | 11521 | 1231 | 127 | 1251 | 147 | 1123 | 1124 |
| Q4 | $1136 \cdot 9.1$ | 129 | 11151 | 1821 | 130 | 1134.1 | 1371 | $115 y 1$ | 121 | 131 | 125 | 148 | 1130 | 1126 |
| 1987 Nov | ｜ 136.41 | 123 | 11201 | 180.1 | 131 | 1134 | 1371 | 1156 | 122 |  | 132 | 149 | 1125 | $1 / 31$ |
| Dec | $1 / 38.31$ | 126.1 | 1114 | 196.1 | 130 | 1 136．1 | 1411 | 1641 | 121 | 13.31 | 108.1 | 160 | 1134 | 1123 |
| 1988 Jan | 1／27．21 | 114．1 | $1 / 321$ | 186.1 | 117 | 11251 | 1311 | 1150.1 | 115.1 | 121．1 | 122.1 | 136 | 1123 | 1112. |
| AUG－大セなり | 132.71 | 134 1 | 119.1 | 166.1 | 127 | 11.31 1 | 136.1 | 154.1 | 1221 | 128. | 1271 | 144 | 1126 | 125 |
|  | 1 | 121 | 122.1 | 1871 | 126 | ｜ 131 ！ | 1361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11571 | 1191 | 128 | 1211 | 148 | 1127 | 1122 |
| \％bhange | $1+1$ | $-9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\left.1+2 \frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\,$ | ＋13．1 | －1 | － | － 1 | $1+21$ | －1立｜ | － | －4交1 | ＋2 | $1+1$. | 1－2． |

$h$ These ar ned as ships，North Sea installations（together comprising SITC（REV 2）793），aircraft（792）precious stones（667），and silver（681．1）．
$j$ Based on then


INDICES $1980=100$ not seasonally adjusted

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

## EXPORTS BY AREA <br> (Overseas Trade Statistics besis)

Table 11
£ million, fob, seasonally edjusted


K See paragraph 6 of Notes to Editors.
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and personal
until rolnes? of press notice on 29 FEB 88

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUE INDICES
Table 13
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES $1980=100$ seasonally adjusted

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SIIC (REV 2)-793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).



IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: UNIT VALUE INDICES
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)

|  | I |  |  |  | I |  |  |  | Manufactu | res | xcluding | erratics ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11 | Food I |  |  |  | 11 | \| Semi-ma | anufact | ures | Finis | 3hed manu | factures | excludi | g ships, |
|  | 11 | bever-1 | $\mid$ Basic \| |  | 1 Total | 11 | I exclud | ing pre | cious | Nort | Sea ins | stallation | s and | rcraft |
|  | \| Total $\mid$ | ages \| | \| Mater- | Fuels | 1 Manufac- |  | stones | \& silv | er (PS) 1 |  |  | (SNA |  |  |
|  | 1 | and I | \| ials | |  | I tures | \| Total | |  |  |  |  | \| Pass-1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | tobacco I |  |  | 1 | 1 | \| Total | | Chemi- | Other I | Total | \| enger 1 | Other | Inter- | Capital |
|  | 1 |  | 11 |  | 11 | 11 |  | cals | d |  | 1 Motor | Consumer | media |  |
|  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Cars |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SITC }_{3} \\ \left(\text { REV }_{2}^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  |  |  | $1 \times 1$ | $\mid$ 5-8 \| | \| $5+6$ \| |  | 6 | 7+8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-9 1 | $0+1$ | 2+4 1 | 3 | 5-8 | \| less | | \| less| | 5 | less | less | j | j | j | j |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  | \| SNAPS ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | 1 PS |  | PS 1 | SNA |  |  |  |  |
| Weights | 110001 | 1241 | 181 \| | 138 | 1626 | \| 5431 | 2171 | 63 | 154 | 326 | 42 | 94 | 96 | 94 |
|  | 1 I |  |  |  | 1 I | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | \| 133:2| | 1361 | 11141 | 97 | 1143 | 1441 | 1331 | 141 | 130. | 152 | 170 | 147 | 157 |  |
| 1987 | 1136.51 | 1361 | \| 117 | 43 | 1149 | 151 | 1138 | 147 | 134.1 | 159 | 11851 | 152 | 163 | 1148 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \text { Q4 } \\ & 1987 \text { Q1 } \end{aligned}$ | 1/35:61 | 1391 | 1115 | +2 | 149 | $1150 \mid$ | 1136 | 144 | 133.1 | 159 | 11781 | 155 | 166 | 1148 |
|  | 1/38.51 | 1381 | 1117 | 94 | 151 | $1152 \mid$ | 1138 | 147 | 1341 | 162 | 1189 | 155 | 166 | 1152 |
| Q2Q3 | $\frac{1 / 35.31}{1 / 3641}$ | 136 | 117 | 931 | 1147 | 1491 | 1137 | 145 | 133 | $15 y$ | 1183 | 148 | 163 | 1148 |
|  | 113641 | 1351 | 1181 | 95.1 | 1148 | 11501 | 138 | 1491 | 1341 | 158 | 11841 | 152 | 162 | 1 147 |
| 1987 NovDec | $\frac{1135.91}{1 / 36.21}$ | 134 133 | 1117 <br> 1118 | $91-1$ 94.1 | 1149 | 151.1 | 1401 | 1491 | 1361 | 1581 | 1851 | 152 | 160 |  |
|  | $1 / 36.21$ $1 / 36.71$ | 1/33 134 | 18.1 115.1 | 94.1 | 1149 | 151.1 | 140.1 | 1491 | 136.1 | 158 | 187.1 | 151 | 160 | 1148 |
| 1988 Jan | $\frac{13 k i l}{1 / 34}$ | 134 135.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 115.1 \\ & 1118.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.1 \\ & 83.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1148 | 150.1 151.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 139.1 \\ & 1600.1 \end{aligned}$ | 147 149.1 | 135.1 | 157.1 | 184 | 151 151 | 161 | 1146 |
| A46-06な\%1/365: |  | 135.1 | 1117 | 95.1 | $1149^{\prime} 1$ | 1151.1 | 139.1 | 150.1 | 135.1 | 158.1 | 184. | 153 | 161 |  |
|  |  |  | 11 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $16)$ |  |
|  |  | 1341 | 11171 | 88 | 148 | $1 / 501$ | 140.1 | 149 | 1361 | 157 | 1851 | 151 | 161 |  |
| \% Bhonge | $1-11$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$. 1 | $1-\frac{1}{2} 1$ | -8.1 | $1-\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 - |  | -ノ | $+\frac{1}{2}$. 1 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | +1.1 | -1/ $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | + 1 |

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
J Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY AREA
Table 15
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million cif seasonally adjusted


K See paragraph 6 Notes to Editors.

and psisonal
until re:sace of press notios on29.FF....?. at 11.30 a.m.
(Balance of Payments basis)

E million, sezeenally adjusted


Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.

The Rt. Hon. Lord Young of Graffham Secretary of State for Trade and Industry

The Rt Hon Nigel Lawson MP Chancellor of the Exchequer HM Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON SWIP 3AG

Direct line 2155422
Our ref DC 3AGF
Your ref
Date 3 March 1988


TRADE FIGURES PRESS NOTICE
Thank you for your letters of 28 January and 22 February.
When Alan Clark and I heard of the low export figures recorded for January we decided that it would be unwise to introduce any format changes to the January press notice text or tables. If we had done so there was a danger that hostile commentator would have interpreted this as a device to hide bad figures. Nevertheless, I am keen to improve the presentation of the trade figures press notice and I will be looking again at the proposed changes and possibly others, such as the introduction of charts, later in the year. I am inclined to introduce them when the trade outlook is rather more favourable.

It was therefore necessary to retain the statements on underlying volume trends in the press notice, especially as these provided a good opportunity to underline our present agnosticism on the meaning of the January figures. The inclusion of these statements is often necessary to correct misleading impressions created by simple, three month on three month comparisons. Butwht ant 3 mounts n vern ye y
The future of the trend statements is $I$ think best determined in the light of the other presentational changes that might be made. Before making any decisions I think those most concerned should look at the analysis of the track record of the trend statements we have prepared here, which covers three years rather than one. I have asked my officials to send details to yours.


# dti <br> the department for Enterprise 

## CONFIDENTIAL

Although there is inevitably some professional judgement involved in seasonal adjustment work, and in the determination of recent underlying trends, there is also much rigorous mathematical analysis. We are quite prepared to have our methods audited, say by the Central Statistical Office, and my officials will shortly make some proposals about possible variations in the methods, which now become technically feasible because of improved computing facilities here. I understand that Treasury officials were invited to play a part in the recent annual seasonal adjustment update exercise.

There is no ban on Ministers seeing seasonally unadjusted figures. These have been sent regularly to Treasury officials over the past few months. I attach a chart plotting the unadjusted figures for recent years in green; seasonally adjusted figures in red (ie those actually published in the press notice); and the estimated trend line in purple which, together with other evidence, is used to formulate the textual statement of underlying volume trends. It seems to me that these adjustments to the raw figures are a useful exercise in helping users of the statistics to extract meaning from the figures for the latest six months or so. I note that the US Department of Commerce are re-introducing seasonal adjustment figures rather earlier than originally planned (an extract from their most recent press notice is attached).

Regarding the consumer/capital/intermediate split of trade (the second point in your letter of 28 January) we have not yet taken any decisions and will be consulting with the Treasury on this and any other proposed changes to the press notice.

Turning to invisibles, I am anxious to improve the presentation of this part of the balance of payments account. I understand that officials conducted a review of the information system for invisibles last year and the results were delivered to the Treasury in November 1987. I have asked officials to prepare a paper for me on the transactions that constitute trade in invisibles, the weaknesses in monitoring and recording and how the position could be better explained. In due course it may be sensible to ventilate the issue in an article placed in the Times or the Financial Times. I am also keen to publicise the figures of UK overseas investment more widely and will be examining the possibilities.

I hope this is helpful.




## SPECIAL NOTICE

1. ANNOUNCEMENT OF SEASONAL ADJUSTMENT

The Census Bureau will reintroduce seasonal adjustment of monthly trade data with the release of the April 1988 trade statistics (scheduled for mid-June).

Prior to 1986, the Census Bureau seasonally adjusted the monthly data. However, "carry-over" (that portion of the monthly data actually occurring in previous months) prevented an accurate measure of seasonality in 1986 and later. Both the U. S. Customs Service (which collects the trade documentation) and the Census Bureau (which tabulates the data) have taken steps to reduce the carry-over. from its high of over 50 percent to a relatively constant $2-3$ percent, enabling the Census Bureau to return to seasonal adjustment of the monthly data.

The data for April and prior months of 1988 will be seasonally adjusted at the total import and export level and at the following broad "enduse" commodity levels; (1) Foods, feeds, and beverages; (2) Industrial supplies and materials; (3) Capital goods, except automobiles; (4) Automotive vehicles, parts and engines; (5) Consumer goods (nonfood), except auto; and (6) Other merchandise (military goods, estimates of low-valued shipments, etc).

The application of the "end-use" commodity levels ensures methodological consistency with the quarterly adjusted trade data published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) and reflects the BEA coding descriptions which combine data into broad categories based upon principal uses of the commodities in contrast to the Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) which classifies commodities in terms of physical nature. In addition, the use of "end-use" categories provides the Census Bureau the opportunity to reintroduce seasonal adjustment at an earlier date than would be possible using our normal SITC classifications which would require extensive data retabulation for prior years.

We will also include in the April release seasonally adjusted aggregate monthly import and export data for 1986 and 1987. "End-use" commodity level adjustment for those years will be available subject to further research and resource considerations. Adjustment factors will be provided for all months.

Public comment on this change should be submitted to Don. L. Adams, Chief, Foreign Trade Division, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233, within 60 days of the date of this notice.

1. SIR T BURNS
2. CHANCELLOR


743188
cc: Sir P Middleton
Mr Byatt
Mr Odling-Smee
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Bottrill
Mr Hibberd Mr S Davies Mr RI G Allen Mr Pickford Mr Patterson Miss Simpson Mr CM Kelly Mr Hudson Mr Tyre

This followed a particularly fedte
effort in briefing for last lat Order PRs.
This looks much more like it. Once you have commented, $E B \rightarrow E A$ will incorporate it into briefing for Qnestrionson Thursday.

## UK COMPETITIVENESS

Mr Hudson's note of 11 February (attached) requested the preparation of a briefing line to be used against Bryan Gould's accusations that competitiveness is now worse than in 1978. I attach a note which surveys the available evidence, looking at profitability and non price competitiveness as well as the conventional price and cost competitiveness measures on which Mr Gould bases his claims. It concludes with some suggested points for use in briefing.


Bryan Gould claimed, in a letter to the Sunday Times on 31 January, that UK competitiveness on a variety of measures was worse now than in 1978 and had deteriorated sharply over the past year. He clearly based this view on a number of published measures of cost and price competitiveness in manufacturing (see note by Mr Patterson of 9 February). This note surveys a wider range of evidence on competitiveness, points out why the conventional measures on their own can be misleading, and suggests a briefing line.

## Measures of competitiveness

2. The most widely used measure of cost competitiveness is (actual) relative unit labour costs in manufacturing, in common currencies. Chart 1 shows the IMF and Treasury measures of relative unit labour costs - the differences, which are small, reflect slightly different coverage of overseas costs. The most common measures of price competitiveness - relative export prices and relative producer prices, both in common currencies - are shown in Chart 2.
3. All these measures are strongly correlated with the exchange rate, especially in the short run (see Chart 3, which shows an export weighted sterling index) and, as a result, they all tell a similar story:

- lack of confidence in sterling caused measured competitiveness to reach a peak in late 1976 but this proved only temporary;
- during 1977 to 1980 price and cost competitiveness deteriorated steadily as UK prices and costs rose at a much faster rate than overseas and, particularly in 1979 and 1980, the exchange rate appreciated;
- since early 1981 there has been an improvement in all these measures so that by 1987 they suggest only a slight deterioration compared with 1978 and little change compared with mid 1979.

4. Since 1981 relative export prices have fallen less fast than relative costs, implying a recovery in relative export margins (though possibly not to their 1978 level). Absolute export profit margins have also risen very strongly from their trough in 1981 and are now substantially higher than at their previous peak in 1978. This, of

CHART 1. ACTUAL RELATIVE UNIT LABOUR COSTS IN COMMON CURRENCIES


CHART 2: RELATIVE PRICES IN COMMON CURRENCIES


CHART 3: EXPORT WEIGHTED EXCHANGE RATE INDEX $(1975=100)$

ourse, coincides with the general recovery in profitability in manufacturing. The recovery in export profitability, during a period when the long term decline in the UK's share of total world trade in manufactures has been halted (Chart 4), is the clearest possible evidence that competitiveness has improved.

CHART 4: UK SHARE OF VOLUME OF WORLDTRADE IN MANUFACTURES

5. The fact that this general improvement in competitiveness is not reflected in measured cost or price competitiveness implies an improvement in 'non price' factors which are not taken account of in the conventional measures. These factors include quality, design, reliability, continuity of supply and delivery time. Many of them cannot be measured directly though there is no doubt that all these aspects of industry's supply performance have improved in the 1980 s.
6. An example of this is the reduction in the average number of days lost through strikes compared with the late 1970s. This has been accompanied by a sharp reduction in the proportion of respondents to the CBI survey reporting delivery dates (compared with overseas competitors) as a constraint on export orders - this has averaged 14 per cent over the past year compared with 18 per cent in 1978 and an average of 25 per cent in the 1970s (Chart 5).
7. It is important to interpret the responses to this question with care. The fall in 1980 probably reflected the fall in export order books at the time, rather than improved efficiency. However the absence of any significant rise in delivery problems since 1981, despite the strong rise in capacity utilisation and export order books over this period (Chart 6) seems a clear indication of improved underlying performance.

CHART 5. Firms reporting delivery dates as constaint on export orders
$\%$


## CHART 6. Export orders and Capacity Utilisation


8. The discussion so far indicates that commonly used competitiveness measures do not provide a complete picture of overall industrial competitiveness and, on their own, can be very misleading. A number of factors are responsible for this.
(i) Changes in competitiveness which result from fluctuations in the exchange rate are given equal weight with changes in domestic costs. But, whereas changes in relative costs in domestic currencies are likely to be sustained, exchange rate movements are often only temporary. In recent years the performance of domestic costs has improved substantially: in the second half of the 1970 s UK unit labour costs rose much more rapidly than costs in other countries, but during the 1980 s they have risen broadly in line with costs elsewhere (Chart 7). Although earnings growth has remained higher in the UK than elsewhere, UK relative costs have been held down by the marked improvement in relative productivity performance.

CHART 7: RELATIVE UNIT LABOUR COSIS IN DOMESTIC CURRENCIES

(ii) When, as in recent years, improved supply performance leads to better non price competitiveness, the resulting improvement in trade performance may well be reflected in a firmer exchange rate or in higher earnings. This improves the terms of trade but shows up as a deterioration in measured competitiveness. By contrast, in the 1970 s poor supply performance contributed to a steady decline in the UK's share of world trade, a depreciating currency and accelerating inflation. Japan provides a striking example of a country which has remained highly competitive through supply side flexibility, and as a result has experienced a strong balance of payments and a steadily appreciating currency but deteriorating price and cost competitiveness.
ii) The usual measures of cost competitiveness relate only to costs in the manufacturing sector. However the contribution which the service sector makes to UK exports, either directly or indirectly through inputs to the manufacturing sector, is now roughly the same as that of manufacturing.

## Conclusions

9. The following points could be used to rebut Mr Gould's claims:
(i) In 1980 s long term decline in UK share of total world trade in manufactures has been halted while manufacturers have raised profitability, and especially profitability of exports, to higher level than seen for 20 years - irrefutable evidence that competitiveness greatly improved compared with 1970 s.
(ii) Improvement reflects better supply performance

- marked rise in underlying growth of UK manufacturing and non manufacturing productivity, compared to 1970 s and compared to other countries
- improvement in non price factors, eg quality, reliability delivery dates.
(iii) Narrow measures of price and cost competitiveness misleading because they give equal weight to changes in exchange rate and domestic costs - fluctuations in exchange rate often temporary, eg measured competitiveness peaked at end 1976 , but reflected poor trade performance and resulting lack of confidence in sterling. Depreciation contributed to rising inflation, and by mid 1979 cost competitiveness had deteriorated by around 35 per cent. Better to look at changes in unit costs in domestic currencies: in late 1970 s $U K$ costs rose much faster than those overseas but since 1980 have grown on average at broadly the same rate in the UK as in other major industrial countries.
(iv) Improved supply performance in 1980 s has led to present strong exchange rate and low inflation. Firm exchange rate in no way inconsistent with strong trade performance if non price factors improving - as demonstrated by Japan which has had strong balance of payments and appreciating currency for many years.

FROM: A P HUDSON
DATE: 7 March 1988
cc Sir P Middleton
Sir T Burns
Mr Byatt
Mr Odling-Smee
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Bottrill
Mr Hibberd
Mr S J Davies Mr R I G Allen Mr Pickford Mr Patterson Miss Simpson Mr C M Kelly Mr Tyrie

## UK COMPETITIVENESS

The Chancellor is grateful for the note attached to your 4 March minute, which he thought was useful.
2. He has commented that the briefing should zero in on three issues:
(a) the only reliable indicator of all-round competitiveness is the share of world trade, where the UK has shown a dramatic improvement, with the share holding steady after decades of decline;
(b) on the Alice-in-Wonderland basis used by Mr Gould, which depends overwhelmingly on exchange rate movements, the country that has really become uncompetitive is Japan;
(c) is Mr Gould advocatexig a devaluation of sterling? And if so, by how much?


A P HUDSON

Mr P N Sedgwick
HM Treasury
Parliament Street
London

Department of Trade and Industry

1-19 Victoria Street London SW1H 0ET
Switchboard
01-215 7877
Telex 8811074/5 DTHQ G
Fax 01-222 2629

Direct line $\quad 01 \quad 2154872$
Our ref Your ref Date

10 March 1988
sour Peter

## THE SIGNIFICANCE OF MONTHLY TRADE FIGURES

1 There seems to be more than one perception of the significance of monthly figures of visible trade, in Whitehall as well as outside. The Treasury's press handling of the monthly figures could be better. A new low was reached when last week's Economist referred to a ". . . bungled change in the method of recording imports and exports". Even the seasonal adjustment excuse was paraded by some commentators (for a month when the seasonal adjustment factors are more up-to-date than any other). These explanations seem to have convinced few analysts and commentators, and at the same time offended colleagues in customs and DTI who have been working weekends and evenings for the past, three months or so to keep the show on the road in the face of Customs ' 88 and other major changes to the information system.

2 The present arrangements seem tailor-made to cause irritations and recriminations every month, out of all proportion to the importance of a single month's figures. I suppose the root of the problem is that City analysts have persuaded market-makers that monthly figures are important: as a result they try to predict the monthly trade balance to the nearest $£ 100$ million, which from a statistical point of view is a derisory activity. An indication of the low level of understanding is at a recent press conference a DTI Statistician was asked to explain why the monthly outturn was different from City expectations, with the implication that we calculate it wrong and they guess it right!

3 I think we badly need a considered appraisal of the significance of month-to-month changes in trade figures - indeed short term movements generally -separating the statistical, economic, market and political aspects. This letter is directed mainly towards the first of these: it is of course for the Treasury to take the lead in formulating a coherent policy on the other three, but we would like to be taken into your confidence.

Seasonal adjustment
4 Some of the comments emanating from the Treasury recently get very close to suggesting that we should abandon seasonal and length of month adjustments altogether and that you would prefer the raw figures to be the main focus of attention. Is this being advanced as a serious proposition? I attach as Annex A for your information a chart showing the effect of these adjustments (it was prepared for last week's Thames TV programme). There is also continuing criticism of our seasonal adjustment methods and far too often these criticisms are fed to the press - in a seemingly desperate attempt to rubbish each set of 'had' figures.

5 However, I am the first to acknowledge there are alternative ways of seasonal adjustment, and other smoothing techniques can be tried. With improved computer facilities here, and the workload of Customs ' 88 and other changes behind us; later in the year we can look at the pros and cons of introducing them. 'Month of shipment' data are now coming through from Customs and I hope we can make good use of them. I assume you would like to be involved in these developments; we are also considering holding a half-day seminar on the statistical. aspects of the trade figures and inviting some analysts and journalists.

Underlying trends
6 From a purely statistical point of view, I am convinced of the value of our work on underlying volume trends and, when resources permit. would like to extend it to other visible trade series. This is one way to overcome the markets' obsession with monthly figures and correct misleading trends that often are implied by simple three-month on three month comparisons.

7 The letter to the Chancellor of the Exchequer from my Secretary of State dated 3 March referred to an analysis we have prepared here of the track record of volume trend statements over the last three years. This is attached as Annex B. The charts plot the seasonally adjusted figures and the trend as viewed now. The statements about trends were of course made only with the information available at the time. The wording of the statements is, quite rightly, only finalised after discussion with Treasury officials, but this process tends to lead to caution in identifying changes of trend. As a result we are occasionally slow to report changes, where, as for imports in 1986, they are weak and slow to establish. Excluding cases where identification of small changes in underlying level was late rather than wrong, the statement could be regarded as misleading in only about 6 out of 72 occasions. I think you will agrce that, in handling such a volatile series, this is a good performance. I do not think the success rate would have been so high if we had relied solely on three-monthly comparisons.

8 I turn now to the analysis of the 1987 trend statements included in the Chancellor of the Exchequer's letter of 22 February. As mentioned above, the process is sometimes slow to identify turning points (downturns for exports and upturns for imports as well as upturns for exports and downturns for imports). However, the renewed rise in exports was first picked up in the September figures (not the October figures as implied in the letter) and would probably have been picked up earlier still if the August observation had not, with hindsight, been erratically low. The third paragraph of the Chancellor's letter states that the press notice was slower to pick up the rise in exports than imports. The latest analysis of trends plotted in Annex A show that the underlying level of imports started to rise two to three months earlier than exports, so last year's press notices were not misleading anybody. The buoyancy of imports relative to exports in the Spring and early Summer is also exhibited in an examination of centre-plotted moving annual totals, and the earlier pick-up of imports is seen clearly in three month-onprevious three month comparisons (see Annex C and Annex D respectively).

9 The Treasury letter says "for several months exports were depicted as flat or falling while imports rose". That situation prevailed for only 8 weeks (from 1.9 .87 to 23.10 .87 ), as the press notice carrying the July figures (the first to report the upturn in imports) was one of those delayed by the customs strike: in fact the upturn in imports occurred two to three months before that. I attach at Annex E the trend analysis we did when we had the May figures, which showed the beginning of the upturn in imports: this was reinforced in the analysis of the June figures but, deferring to Treasury susceptibilities, was not reflected in press notice text until the July figures were released. Accusations of DTI bias do not stand up to close examination.

10 The Treasury appears still to be disputing the existence of a pause in export growth at the beginning of 1987. One supporting piece of evidence, which the Treasury sometime uses, is the CBT's trends survey. I attach at Annex F , a chart of the CSO's seasonalily adjusted analysis of expectations about export order books which suggests that export performance levelled off during the first part of 1987.

11 The seasonal adjustment and trend-seeking model we use for the trade figures is deliberately chosen to be capable of picking up turning points and changes in trend within a twelve month period, and makes use of information for the last six months or so. I have the impression that Treasury economists would prefer to introduce a radically different model which would smooth out turning points and changes in gradient and, in particular, would be less capable of capturing significant movements over the last twelve months. We could experiment with using say a 23-term Henderson rather than the 13-term Henderson presently used, which would have the effect of focusing more on changes lasting about a year or more. But first it is for you to say why you wish to suppress information on intra-year movements. Is the Treasury saying these have no economic significance, or are there other reasons?

12 One final point. In concentrating attention on statements about trends in recent months I think the Treasury is aiming at the wrong target. There would be more sense in developing a long-term policy towards dissuading the markets and media from getting over-excited about a single month's balance of trade in money terms. Our proposals for de-emphasising the monthly figures in the press notice text is a step in this direction but maybe we could go further.

13 As soon as you are free from Budgetary matters we are ready to come over and discuss all this with you and others, preferably at a time when none of us are preoccupied with a particular set of monthly figures.

14 Copies of this go to Richard Allen and Alex Allan in the Treasury: Jack Hibbert in the CSO; also Philip Nash and Mitch Pratt at Customs.

Yours sincerely
Peter Sirarom

P J STIBBARD


Export volume

| 1985 description of underlying level' |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Jan | continues the rise in recent months |
| Feb | remains high |
| Mar | rising since middle of 1984, remains high |
| April | rising since middle of 1984, remains high |
| May | rose strongly in second half of 1984, little change in recent months |
| June | little change in last 6 months |
| July | may have fallen slightly in recent months |
| Aug | appears to have been falling in recent months |
| Sept | continues to decline, although well above a year ago |
| Oct | declined, but rate of fall may be diminishing |
| Nov | appears to have flattened out after decline from 01 level |
| Dec | appears to have risen a little in recent months |
| 1986 |  |
| Jan | difficult to determine |
| Feb | stronger signs - fallen a little in recent months |
| Mar | fallen in recent months |
| Apr | gradual decline which began mid-85 continued so far in 86 |
| May | trend flat in last few months |
| June | risen a little in recent months |
| July | recent growth continues |
| Aug | continues to show signs of having increased |
| Sept | rising over last 6 months or so |
| Oct | upward trend established earlier in year continues |
| Nov | upward trend continues |
| Dec | upward trend continues |
| 1987 |  |
| Jan | upward trend continues |
| Feb | growth appears to have continued |
| Mar | figures volatile but suggest level remained at high level of end 1986 |
| Apr | slightly below high level of end 1986 |
| May | in recent months ... fallen back slightly from high level at turn of year |
| June | settled a little below high level of end 86 |
| July | remains close to high level of end 86 |
| Aug | continues to remain close to high level of end 86 |
| Sept | seems to have been rising, above high level of end 86 |
| oct | rising for over 6 months - well above high level of end 86 |
| Nov | rising steadily in latest 6 months |
| Dec | rose steadily in second half of 1987 |

## IOTAL EXPOMTS LESS OLL ERRATICS

Balance of Payments Basis Seasonally adjusted 1980-100


## Import volume

| 1985 ler |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Jan | may have been levelling out in recent months |
| Feb | has been levelling out in recent months |
| Mar | little change in recent months |
| April | unchanged in recent months |
| May | remains unchanged |
| June | remained flat since end of last year |
| July | continues to show little change |
| Aug | appears to be little change over last few months |
| Sept | little change over last few months |
| Oct | fluctuations, but strong indications of rise earlier in the year |
| Nov | rising in recent months |
| Dec | rising in recent months |
| 1986 |  |
| Jan | does not appear to be significant change in recent months |
| Feb | little change in recent months |
| Mar | appears to be little change over past few months |
| Apr | appears to have changed little since summer |
| May | little change in recent months |
| June | signs of having risen a little in recent months |
| July | continues to rise |
| Aug | continues to rise |
| Sept | recently growing rapidly |
| oct | rising rapidly in recent months but now signs of slackening in growth |
| Nov | seemed to be flattening out but November figure reestablishes upward trend |
| Dec | upward trend continues |
| 1987 |  |
| Jan | upward trend continues |
| Feb | level may have stabilised |
| Mar | exceptionally volatile, but level appears to be below Q4 1986 |
| April | fallen away from high level of end 1986 |
| May | too early to assess whether sharp increase in May indicates change |
| June | tentative assessment . . flat during first half 1987, slightly below Q4 1986 peak |
| July | appears to have been increasing in recent months |
| Aug | appears to have been rising strongly in recent months, above 86 but may be erratically high |
| Sept | has been rising in recent months, above end 86 |
| Oct | continues to rise following slight fall at beginning of 1987 |
| Nov | upward trend since Spring seems to have continued in recent months |
| Dec | upward trend since Spring has continued in recent months |

## TOTAL IHPORTS LESS OIL \& ERRATICS



## TOTAL LESS OIL \& ERRATICS at 1980 PRICES (n5a)



## EXPORTS LESS OIL \& ERRATICS at 1980 PRICES (SA)



## IMPORTS LESS OIL \& ERRATICS at 1980 PRICES (SA)



IMPORTS LESS OIL \& ERRATICS
Balance of Fayments Basis 1980=100 Seasonally adjusted
+170.0
+130.0
+180.0

SECRET \& PERSONAL UNTIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 22.7.87

EXFORT ORDER BOOK ABOVE NORMAL


From Peter Stibbard
US /SR
V/260 Ext. 4872
17 March 1988

## OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR FEBRUARY: EXPORTS

The timetable for the February figures requires me to report exports to you today. The basic figures have been received on time from HM Customs but, particularly in view of the administrative changes at the beginning of the year, we are scrutinising them even more closely than usual. To allow more time for this; I now intend to report the export figures on Monday 21 March, at the same time as the import figures and the resulting balance of trade.
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FROM: PAUL DAVIS
DATE: 23 March 1988 in public.
1. MR BOTTRILL
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

\section*{FEBRUARY TRADE FIGURES}

\(C l\)
-

\section*{} Content witt
prerobviepin
behin? Mp The February trade figures will be published at 11.30 am on Friday 25 March. They will show a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 1320\) million. Combined with an unchanged coo projection of the monthly invisible surplus of \(£ 600\) million, they give a projected current account deficit of \(£ 720\) million in February compared to a revised deficit of £844 million in January. The increased deficit reflects a recorded fall in export volumes of 2 per cent since January and a \(2^{\frac{1}{2}}\) per cent fall in import volumes. The terms of trade improved by \(\frac{1 / 2}{2}\) per cent between January and February.

\section*{Main points}

* Invisibles figures since January are projections
3. The February figures - like those for January - need to be interpreted with caution. We have scrutinised carefully with both Customs and DTI the collection and processing of figures following the introduction of new Customs procedures on 1 January. We are satisfied that all documents received by Customs have been processed correctly and subjected to reasonable validation and credibility checks. Contacts by Customs with ports and trade associations reveal no shipments delayed by documentation problems. There remains a residual
query as to whether some traders may be late in sending export documents to Customs - although this would be very unlikely to explain the sharp falls in January and February. The ferry operators' strike in early February is likely to have affected exports more than imports although most shipments from Dover were apparently rerouted by neighbouring ports. DTI assess that the Ford strike had a minimal effect on exports in February, reducing them only by around £5 million, but suspect that the impact on imports - in both January and February - has been more substantial, although they are unable to quantify the effect at present.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4.} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{percentage change} \\
\hline & Feb on Jan & Latest three months on previous three months & Latest three months on same period year earlier & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { on } \\
1986
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total value & - \(\frac{1}{2}\) & -7 & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & 93/2 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & -2 & -4 & 23/2 & 103/2 \\
\hline Total volume & -2 & \(-3 \frac{1}{2}\) & -1 & 6 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & -3 & -31/2 & 1/2 & 73/2 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & -4 & \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) & 9 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & \(-7 \frac{1}{2}\) & 91/2 & 2 & -1 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & -20 & 1 & -19 & 7 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume & \[
\text { OTS })^{5}
\] & -9 & -12 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5. Recorded export volumes, excluding oil and erratics, fell by 3 per cent in February, bringing the average for January and February together to a level \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent below the fourth quarter of last year. The recorded fall in exports of manufactures (excluding erratics), was even steeper at 8 per cent over the same period. In the three months to February, however, total non-oil exports (excluding erratics) were broadly unchanged from a year ago with a \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent rise in exports of manufactures (excluding erratics) largely offset by a steep fall in exports of non-manufactures.
6. The uncertain quality of the January and February figures makes it difficult to assess whether the underlying upward trend in exports in the latter half of 1987 has changed. It would perhaps not be surprising to see some slowdown in growth in view of the loss of competitiveness and projected slower growth in world trade - but the extent of the recorded fall in exports is hard to reconcile with other evidence from the CBI survey and manufacturing output.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Imports & Feb on Jan & Latest three months on previous three months & \begin{tabular}{l}
ge change \\
Latest three months on same period Year earlier
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1987 \\
& \text { on } \\
& 1986 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Total value & -2 & -1雨 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & \(-3 \frac{1}{2}\) & - - \(\frac{1}{2}\) & 101/2 & 103/2 \\
\hline Total volume & \(-2 \frac{1 / 2}{2}\) & 娄 0 & 11 & 71/2 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & -4 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & 13 & 9 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & -5 & 0 & 16 & 10 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & -9 & -3 & -6 & \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & 8 & -5 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & -9 & 101/2 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume & \[
\text { OTS) }{ }^{3}
\] & 6 & \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) & 1/2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
8. Recorded import volumes, excluding oil and erratics, fell by 4 per cent in February to bring the average for January and February to \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent below the fourth quarter level. The falls in imports in January and February were widespread with the exception of passenger car and capital goods imports which both rose. The exceptionally low level of imports in the early months of 1987 when coupled with the growth during the year is reflected in the fact that recorded non-oil imports (excluding erratics) in January and February were 13 per cent higher than a year earlier with manufactures 16 per cent higher more than offsetting a fall in imports of basic materials.
9. The recorded import figures for January and February look erratically low - but the quality problems with the data are
apparently less severe than in the case of exports. This might lend some weight to the view that import growth has slowed in recent months - but it is too early to say this with any confidence.

\section*{Geographical area}
10. The value of exports to developed countries fell by \(9 \frac{1 / 2}{2}\) per cent in the three months to February compared to the previous three months reflecting a 15 per cent decrease in exports to Japan and a 13 per cent fell in exports to the EC, though exports to the USA rose by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent, maintaining their recovery. Exports to developing countries fell by \(8 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent over this period, with in particular a 13 per cent fall in exports to oil exporting countries.

\section*{Trade prices}

> percentage change
> latest three months on previous three months
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Export prices & Import prices & Terms \\
Manufactures \\
(excl.erratics) & 1 & 0 & Trade \\
Food, drink, tobacco & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
Basic materials & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & -10 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
Fuel & -15 & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & \\
Total (BOP basis) & -1 & \(-\frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\)
\end{tabular}
11. In the three months to February both the total terms of trade and the non-oil terms of trade improved by \(\frac{1 / 2}{2}\) per cent compared to the previous three months (as measured by unit value indices). Over this period the terms of trade may have been boosted by sterling appreciation which appears broadly to have offset the effect of rises in non oil commodity prices. (NB: the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture over a period of time due to their use of 1980 weights.)

\section*{Assessment}
12. The FSBR forecast a \(£ 4\) billion deficit on the current account in 1988 and 1989 H 1 (at an annual rate) implying average monthly deficits of \(£ 300-£ 400\) million. The recorded current account deficits in January and February are clearly well above this figure. We are inclined to reserve judgment on the underlying current deficit until it is clear whether recent monthly figures have been distorted.

\section*{Market expectations}
13. The market expectation is for a current account deficit of around \(£ 370\) million in February. The February deficit is therefore very much larger than anticipated by the City.

\section*{Press briefing}
14. I would be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.


EA2

\section*{Positive}
1. Current account deficit in 1987 as a whole currently estimated at \(£ 1.7\) billion. Small as percentage of GDP ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent) and much smaller than imbalances in mid 1970s. FSBR forecast of \(f 4\) billion deficit in 1988 still less than 1 per cent of GDP.
2. Export order books CBI February Survey showed balance of firms reporting order books above normal at historically high level.
3. Manufacturing industry performing well. Volume of manufacturing exports (excluding erratics) \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher in three nanths to February thom a your ago. Output up 6 per cent comparing three months to January with a year earlier.

\section*{Defensive}
1. February current account figures distorted. Figures for February - like January - need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in the information system. The ferry operators' strike beginning in early February may also have affected trade flows. Prudent to wait until later months figures available before deciding whether pattern of trade distorted in January and February.
2. February current account deficit high. Monthly figures highly volatile - fall inconsistent with other evidence, eg February CBI survey shows balance of firms reporting export order books above normal at historically high level. Manufacturing output still growing strongly ( 1 per cent up in three months to January on previous three months).
3. Current account deficit still growing. Recent figures highly volatile. Prudent to wait for some months to assess trend.
forecast too optimistic. Much too soon to be revising forecast. Recent figures highly erratic, Unwise to attach too much importance to deficits until we know whether they have been distorted.
5. Current account deficit forecast to rise in 1988. (FSBR forecast current deficit of \(£ 4\) billion in 1988 compared to £1.7 billion out-turn in 1987.) Projected deficit in FSBR of £4 billion, less than 1 per cent of GDP - much smaller than deficit in mid 1970 s (3-4 per cent of GDP). Latest outside forecasts (including NIESR and LBS) show similar deficit in 1988 aft Sewer Sueltrove yean
 6. Current account deficit no longer "temporary" as Chancellor earlier claimed. Deficit reflects strong growth of UK domestic demand and activity in 1987 at a time of slow growth in many of our major export markets. Imports forecast to rise less rapidly in 1988 as a whole as domestic demand growth moderates. Good supply performance is forecast to allow manufacturers to take advantage of rising world trade.
7. Capacity constraint/overheating threatens current account performance. [CBI January survey reported only 35 per cent of firms working below capacity - lowest balance since survey began, but 87 per cent report capacity adequate over next year.] CBI concludes that economy not overheating and no evidence of significant labour or raw materials shortages developing. New export order books consistent with continued growth in manufacturing exports in current period. February survey shows balance of firms reporting export orders above normal at historically high level.
8. Exports falling while imports remain at high level. Recent figures for export and import volumes both erratic. Prudent to wait before assessing underlying trends.
9. Fall in export optimism. [CBI January survey showed sharp deterioration in export optimism with balance turning negative.] Balance of CBI firms still expects export orders and deliveries to rise in current period. February survey shows balance of firms reporting export order books above normal at historically high level.
10. Exports to fall in 1988? FSBR forecast is for UK broadly to maintain volume share of total world trade in manufactures, continuing improved performance which has been evident since 1981, following decades of decline.
11. Export fall in January and Februany shows sterling's recent strength has undermined competitiveness - fall in exchange rate needed. No. Competitiveness better than and 1985 before the fall in oil prices. Will not allow lower exchange rate to accommodate domestic cost increases.
12. Fall in invisibles surplus in 1987Q4. Estimate for 1987 Q4 only preliminary. FSBR forecast is for further substantial invisibles surplus in 1988.
13. Invisibles forecast too optimistic. FSBR forecast shows invisibles remaining in strong surplus in 1988. Projected improvement between 1987 and 1988 reflects at least partly expected lower net payments abroad by North Sea companies.


MR BOTTRILL
cc
Sir T Burns Sir G Littler Mr Sedgwick Mr P Davis

\section*{FEBRUARY TRADE FIGURES}

Sir Peter Middleton was very grateful for your note of 21 March.


\section*{S D H SARGENT}

Private Secretary

\section*{FEBRUARY TRADE FIGURES}

The Chancellor has seen your minute of 23 March. As I told you on the phone, he had a number of changes to the press briefing.

Defensive 1 - first sentence to read "February current account figures may be distorted".

Defensive 4 - answer to read "Much too soon to say. January figure known when forecast made. Unwise to attach too much importance to figures until we know...."

Defensive 6 - insert new sentence before existing first sentence of the answer, as follows: "1987 deficit first after seven successive years of current account surplus." Amend last sentence to read "Good supply performance should allow manufacturers..."

Defensive 11 - delete "No."


MOIRA WALLACE

SECRET and PERSONAL until release of press notice on 25 MAR 88 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified
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Balance of payments basis £ million seasonally adjusted


PERCENTAGE CHANGES:-
LATEST 3 MONTHS ON
PREVIOUS 3 MONTHS \(\quad \mathbf{- 7 . 0 \%} \quad 0.0 \%\)
SAME 3 MONTHS
ONE YEAR AGO



\section*{INVISIBLE}

1 Estimates of invisibles are based on a variety of sources, mostly inquiries of those engaging in the various transactions. These are usually sample inquiries, and are variously held on quarterly, annual or periodical bases. For some components, data for recent periods are therefore incomplete and subject to significant estimation errors.

2 The figures shown for the invisibles balance in most months are the estimates for the relevant quarters, taken from the balance of payments accounts, expressed at a monthly rate. For the unsl recent months, however, the figures are projections, which are rounded to the nearest 2100 million to emphasise their approximate nature. These projections are superceded by preliminary estimates from the balance of payments accounts when they are published around the middle of March, June, September and December. (This modifies the procedure described in the December 1986 issue of Economic Trends; a review of the timetable concluded that more time should be allowed to prepare these preliminary estimates to improve their quality and reduce the likelihood of revisions within


\section*{ADJUSTMENT FOR UNPROCESSED DOCUMENTS}

3 Following a further investigation by Customs and Excise into the effects of industrial action, adjustments have been made to take account of documents delayed from the period April to June and subsequently processed in August and October. These adjustments have been made to the Balance of Payments based figures (tables 1 to 7 and 16 ), but not to the OTS figures (tables 8 to 15). The amounts involved are as follows:

Adjustment for unprocessed documents
\begin{tabular}{lccccc} 
& April & May & June & August & October million \\
Exports & +30 & +71 & +67 & -18 & -151 \\
Imports & +63 & +49 & +38 & -50
\end{tabular}

\section*{MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAl TRADE STATISTICS}

4 The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Ralance of Payments, UK Exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of \(\{43\) which includes the annual supplement. Individual copies are priced at £3, (£7 for the annual supplement).

\section*{STANDARD MOTES}

5 There is a set of standard notes that describe the basis on which the figures in this Press Notice are compiled including the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) figures. Copies can be obtained from the address below.
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ATTN NIGEL LAWSON
```

TRADE FIGURES

```

THE REASON FOR THE PAST TWO MONTHS BAD TRADE FIGURES IS NOTHING TO DO WITH BAD EXPORTS BUT BAD LIASON AND INSTRUCTION EY HM CUSTOMS. FFOM OUR OWN INVESTIGATIONS WE HAVE FOUND THAT THE SHIPPING LINES HAVE NOT BEEN INSTRUCTED CORRECTLY ON WHAT TO DO WITH COPY 2 (STATISTICAL COPY) OF THE NEW S.A.D. EXPORT DOCUMENT BY HM CUSTOMS, NOR HAVE HM CUSTOMS INSTRUCTED THEIR STAFF ON THE CORRECT PROCEDURE. THE END RESULT HAS BEEN THAT THIS COPY IS EITHER ACCOMPANYING THE GOODS OUT OF THE COUNTRY OR BEING THROWN AWAY BY THE SHIPPING LINES. I SUGGEST THAT AS A MATTER OF URGENCY YOU MAKE SURE THAT HM CUSTOMS GET THIS MATTER PUT RIGHT.
```

REGARDS
CHRIS BUNCH
DIRECTOR
GARDEN COTTAGE FOODS LTD

```
```
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\section*{To Minister for Trade}

Copy No.3. (28)
From Peter Stibbard
US/S2
V/260 Ext. 4872
21 April 1988

\[
2114188
\]

\section*{OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR MARCH: EXPORTS}

1 The value of exports in March, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, is estimated at \(£ 6.4\) billion, \(£ 0.3\) billion higher than in February. Exports of oil fell by \(£ 0.1\) billion and exports of the erratic items rose by \(£ 0.1\) billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by 5 per cent between February and March.

2 In the first quarter of 1988 the total value of exports decreased by 8 per cent compared with the previous quarter; excluding oil and the erratic items the decrease was 5 per cent.

3 In the first quarter of 1988, total export volume was \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the previous quarter and \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the same quarter a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume was \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower in the latest quarter and \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than a year earlier. Notwithstanding some evidence of changes in the time-lags in recording exports now emerging from HM Customs (see paragraph 5 below), it is cleon the the underlying level of exports in the first quarter of 1988 seems to be] is (well) below that of the previous quarter (and little changed from the first quarter of 1987.

4 Recent export figures are shown in the attached table; charts plotting the main aggregates are also attached. A note describing imports and the current account will be circulated on Monday 25 April. The monthly press notice for March is scheduled for release on Friday 29 April.

Quality of the data
5 Although extensive audit checks of the export figures have so far failed to reveal serious underrecording or distortions in the monthly flow of information, there is now little doubt that exports since December 1987 have been affected to some extent by the new procedures for documenting trade introduced in January 1988. Further work by HM Customs indicates that some documents were submitted earlier than usual in December, thus inflating December's figures and reducing those for January. It is possible that further analyses will show that information for the early months of 1988 has been distorted by increased delays in returning documents after the introduction of Customs ' 88.
the department for Enterprise
SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

6 The ferry operators' strike at Dover was in progress during March, with exports being diverted to other Channel ports. The effect on the March export figures is thought to be negligible.

7 We are continuing to monitor other countries' trade figures. Recorded imports into France in January from the UK confirm the sharp fall which was shown in UK exports to France. Other EC countries have not yet published their January trade with the UK. In particular we are awaiting the West German figures.

8 Last Friday the CSO published figures for UK industrial output which showed an unexpected fall in February. In conjunction with S1 Division, we are examining the underlying details of these figures to see if they provide any reliable evidence that the fall was concentrated in export sales.

SECRET and PERSONAL until release of press notice on. 29 ARPB8 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified
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\section*{EXPORTS}
(Balance of payments basis: seasonally adjusted)


\section*{EXCLUDING}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & VALUE £m & VOLUME
\[
(1980=100)
\] & VALUE £m & VOLUME
\[
(1980=100)
\] \\
\hline 1987 & Q1 & 19530 & 129.5 & 15798 & 123.7 \\
\hline & Q2 & 19357 & 126.6 & 15893 & 123.2 \\
\hline & Q3 & 20235 & 130.6 & 16700 & 129.3 \\
\hline & Q4 & 20500 & 134.8 & 16809 & 130.3 \\
\hline 1988 & Q1 & 18832 & 126.1 & 15971 & 123.2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1987} & OCT & 6802 & 131.8 & 5483 & 127.0 \\
\hline & NOV & 6881 & 135.4 & 5627 & 130.7 \\
\hline & DEC & 6817 & 137.1 & 5699 & 133.1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1988} & JAN & 6210 & 126.4 & 5309 & 123.8 \\
\hline & FEB & 6181 & 123.7 & 5206 & 120.2 \\
\hline & MAR & 6441 & 128.1 & 5456 & 125.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SECRET AND PERSONAL UNTIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 29,4.88


EXPORTS LESS ERRATICS (Ships,N Sea Rigs,Aircraft, Precious Stones, Silver)


SECRET AND PERSONAL UNIIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 29.4,88
EXPORTS LESS ERRATICS \& OIL
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9 Governor of the Bank of England
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```

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

TO: MINISTER FOR TRADE
From: Peter Stibbard US/S2
V/260 Ext. 4872
25 April 1988


In March, the value of exports was \(£ 6.4\) billion and imports \(£ 7.3\) billion, so that visible trade, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, shows a deficit of \(£ 0.9\) billion compared with the deficit of \(£ 1.3\) billion in February.

The Central Statistical Office continue to project a surplus on invisible of \(£ 0.6\) billion for months in the first quarter of 1988 so that the current account is provisionally estimated to have been in deficit by £0. 3 billion in March, compared with a provisional estimate of \(£ 0.7\) billion in February.

TABLE 1: CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES (Table 2 of Press Notice)

Seasonally adjusted Balance of Payments Basis
£ million


SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

In the first quarter of 1988 there was a deficit on visible trade of £3. 6 billion - a surplus on trade in oil of \(£ 0.9\) billion, offset by a deficit in non-oil trade of \(£ 4.5\) billion. Between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988, the visible trade deficit increased by \(£ 0.6\) billion; the surplus on oil fell by \(£ 0.2\) billion while the deficit on non-oil trade rose by \(£ 0.5\) billion.

\section*{EXPORTS}

The value of exports in March was \(£ 260\) million ( 4 per cent) higher than in February. Exports of oil decreased by \(£ 70\) million between the two months and exports of the erratic items increased by \(£ 80\) million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by 5 per cent between February and March.

In the first quarter of 1988 , total export volume was \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the previous quarter and \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the same quarter last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items, export volume was \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the previous quarter and \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent down on the same quarter a year ago. Notwithstanding the possibility that the monthly pattern of the export figures for the past four months have been distorted to some extent by changes in the information system, it now seems likely that the underlying level of exports in early 1988 is below that reached at the end of 1987.

TABLE 2: EXPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{VALUE (Em)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{VOLUME \((1980=100)\)} \\
\hline & Total & Total Icss Oil and erratics & Total & Total less Oil and erratics \\
\hline 1986 & 72678 & 59098 & 123.3 & 118.0 \\
\hline 1987 & 79622 & 65200 & 130.4 & 126.6 \\
\hline 1987 Q4 & 20500 & 16809 & 134.8 & 130.3 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 18832 & 15971 & 126.1 & 123.2 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & 6210 & 5309 & 126.4 & 123.8 \\
\hline Feb & 6181 & 5206 & 123.7 & 120.2 \\
\hline March & 6441 & 5456 & 128.1 & 125.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
the department for Enterprise
SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

By value, exports of manufactures during the first quarter of 1988 were \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent down on the previous quarter; within manufactures, exports of consumer goods excluding cars fell by 11 per cent.

Also by value, total exports fell by 8 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988. The fall in exports to the developed countries was 10 per cent - within which exports to the rest of the European Community fell by 13 per cent while exports to North America rose by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent.

\section*{IMPORTS}

The value of imports in March was \(£ 207\) million ( 3 per cent) lower than in February. Imports of oil rose by \(£ 28\) million while imports of the erratic items decreased by \(£ 96\) million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports fell by 2 per cent between February and March.

In the first quarter of 1988, total import volume was 3 per cent lower than in the previous quarter but 11 per cent higher than in the same quarter last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items import volume fell by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in the first quarter of 1988 to stand 13 per cent up on a year ago. The underlying level of imports in early 1988 appears to be dittle-changed from that reached at the end of 1987.

TABIE 3: IMPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{VALUE ( fm )} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{VOLUME (1980 \(=100\) )} \\
\hline & Total & Total less oil and erratics & Total & Total less oil and erratics \\
\hline 1986 & 81141 & 73346 & 134.6 & 151.6 \\
\hline 1987 & 89247 & 81125 & 144.6 & 164.9 \\
\hline 1987 Q4 & 23488 & 21430 & 152.5 & 174.5 \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 22450 & 20690 & 147.8 & 170.4 \\
\hline 1988 Jan & 7654 & 7114 & 151.0 & 175.8 \\
\hline Feb & 7501 & 6857 & 147.0 & 168.8 \\
\hline March & 7295 & 6719 & 145.4 & 166.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

By value, imports fell by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988. Imports of passenger motor cars rose by 6 per cent but imports of other consumer goods fell by 10 per cent.

Again in value terms, imports from the developed countries fell by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent over the first quarter of 1988 , with arrivals from the European Community countries down by 2 per cent, from North America also down by 2 per cent and from the other developed countries down by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent. Imports from the developing countries decreased by 8 per cent between the two quarters.

\section*{TRADE IN MANUFACIURES}

Figures showing trade in manufactures on a balance of payments basis will be published in the May edition of the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics following the release of the press notice. On present estimates they show a deficit in the first quarter of 1988 of \(£ 2.9\) billion compared with a deficit of \(£ 2.1\) billion in the previous quarter.

TABLE 4: TRADE IN MANUFACTURES (SITC 5-8) (Table 16 of Press Notice, quarterly data only)
£ million
Seasonally Adjusted
Balance of Payments Basis
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline & Exports & Imports & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 54927 & 60233 & -5307 \\
1987 & 61556 & 68097 & -6542 \\
1987 Q4 & 16038 & & \\
1988 Q1 & 14930 & 17807 & -2122 \\
1988 Jan & 4854 & 6153 & -2877 \\
Feb & 4914 & 5984 & -1299 \\
March & 5162 & 5670 & -1070 \\
& & & -508
\end{tabular}

\section*{QUALITY OF THE FIGURES}

In my note of 21 April reporting March exports, I drew attention to the continued uncertainty about the extent to which the monthly pattern of export figures since December 1987 had been affected by the new documentation procedures. There is no evidence that import figures have been similarly affected; however it is possible that the 'length of working month adjustment' used for the March figures may have slightly exaggerated. the fall from February.

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 29 April 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified

PUBLICATION
The press notice containing the March figures is scheduled for release on Friday 29 April 1988.
AC.Bmet

P J STIBBARD


\section*{CIRCUTATION LIST}
```

Copy No 1 Minister for Trade
2 Prime Minister
3 Chancell.or of the Fxchequer
4 Secretary of State for Trade and Industry
5 Chancel.lor of the Duchy of Trancaster
6 Sir Robin Butler (Cabinet Office)
7 Sir Brian Haves (Dept.. of Trade and Industry)
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Daxatier 2154887 Meytentences
Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary
Chancellor of the Exchequer
H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW SAG
Cuflley"tenkences (Our officials
had been inclined 15 argue for AW"to early to say" uni on both sides)


I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in March. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Friday 29 April at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Thursday 28 April and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr Davis, H M Treasury.

Yours sincerely
h. R boyd.

W E BOYD



COVERING SECRET AND PERSONAL

But tee romani doubts about the statistics (recording, seasonal andfustmonl etc) inch piectioce a confident analysis y rceent rounds.

FROM: PAUL DAVIS DATE: 27 APRIL 1988
1. MR HIBBERD
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

\section*{MARCH TRADE FIGURES}

The March trade figures ( published at 11.30 am on Friday 29 April) will show a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 854\) million compared with a visible trade deficit of \(£ 1.3\) billion in February. Export volumes rose \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in March while import volumes fell by 1 per cent. The terms of trade improved by \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent between February and March. These developments in visible trade along with an unchanged CSU projection of the monthly invisible surplus of \(£ 600\) million give a projected current account deficit of \(£ 254\) million in March. This compares with a deficit of \(£ 720\) million in February.

\section*{Current account}
2. The current account deficit in the first quarter was \(£ 1.8\) billion compared with \(£ 1.3\) billion in the previous quarter and \(£ 1.7\) billion in 1987 as a whole.

* Invisibles figures since January are projections

\section*{Quality of the data}
3. There is increasing evidence that the pattern of the monthly export figures since December has been distorted by the changes in Customs' procedures introduced on 1 January. The proportion of goods shipped in December which were recorded during the December month of account was the highest ever, suggesting that firms rushed to complete documentation before the introduction of the new forms. In January, however, the average delay between the shipment of goods and receipt of documentation in Southend increased sharply. Complete information mail
for February is not yet available and there is little evidence yet on March, but Customs' view at this stage is that any distortion in these months was probably small. The press notice will remain agnostic on the effect of the Customs' changes, and no attempt to quantify the effects will be made until we are confident that the new system is working smoothly. However, in oral briefing DTI officials intend to refer to qualitative evidence pointing to some distortion, especially in December and January. We will circulate the proposed briefing line (agreed with Customs) as soon as it is available. There is no evidence that the import figures have been significantly affected by the Customs' changes. The ferry operators' strike is thought to have had little effect on trade flows overall.
4. However, we remain suspicious about the seasonal adjustment of both imports and exports. The low imports figure in March reflects unusually large 'length of month' and seasonal adjustments - the unadjusted import figure for March was the highest ever recorded.

\section*{Exports}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{percentage change} & \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mar \\
on \\
Feb
\end{tabular} & Latest three months on previous three months & Latest three months on same period year earlier & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { on } \\
1986 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total value & 4 & -8 & -31/2 & 91/2 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & 5 & -5 & 1 & 101/2 \\
\hline Total volume & 31/2 & -61/2 & \(-2^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 6 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & 43/2 & -51/2 & - \(\frac{1}{2}\) & 71/2 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & \(3 \frac{1 / 2}{2}\) & -61/2 & \(1{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 9 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & -6 & -4 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & \(-5^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & -1 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & -1 & -21 & 7 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume & \[
\begin{array}{r}
12 \\
\text { TS }
\end{array}
\] & -5 & -51/2 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5. Recorded export volumes, excluding oil and erratics, rose by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in March, but the average for the first quarter was still \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent below the fourth quarter of last year. Exports of manufactures fell by \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in the first quarter, a little faster than for non-manufactures. In the first quarter, non-oil export
volumes (excluding erratics) were little changed on a year earlier with a \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent increase in manufactures broadly offset by a fall in exports of non-manufactures.
6. The uncertain quality of recent figures makes it difficult to assess the underlying trend in exports. It seems unlikely that revisions to remove the effect of recent distortions will entirely eliminate the fall in exports in the first quarter. Evidence from the April CBI survey and the losses in competitiveness point to some weakening in exports, but probably not on the scale apparent in the figures.

\section*{Imports}
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\multicolumn{4}{c}{ percentage change } \\
Mar & Latest three & Latest three & 1987 \\
on months on previous months on same period & on \\
Feb three months & year earlier & 1986 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Total value & -3 & -4/2 & 8 & 10 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & -2 & \(-3 \frac{1}{2}\) & 10 & 101/2 \\
\hline Total volume & -1 & -3 & 11 & 71/2 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & -1/1/2 & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & 13 & 9 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & \(-3\) & -3 & 15 & 10 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & 22 & -91/2 & -5 & 13/2 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & 4 & 0 & -6 & 101/2 \\
\hline Food, drink and & 0 & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & 5 & /2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} tobacco volume (OTS)
7. Recorded import volumes, excluding oil and erratics, fell by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in March to bring the first quarter average \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent below the fourth quarter level, reflecting falls in most major categories. However, the volume imports also fell sharply in the early months of 1987 but grew strongly during the remainder of the year so that in the first quarter of 1988 they were 13 per cent higher than a year earlier. The volume of imports of manufactures increased by 15 per cent over this period, reflecting particularly strong growth in imports of semi-manufactures, intermediate and capital goods.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL}
8. The recorded import figures for the early months of the year are well below their fourth quarter level, but it is too early to tell whether this indicates a slowing in import growth or problems with seasonal adjustment.

\section*{Geographical area}
9. The value of exports to developed countries fell by 10 per cent between 1987 Q4 and 1988Q1. Exports to the EC fell by 13 per cent and by 18 per cent to the rest of Europe. Exports to Japan fell by around 11 per cent and to developing countries by \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent, including a 14 per cent fall in exports to oil exporting countries. Exports to the US fell only slightly.

\section*{Trade prices}
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Export prices & Import prices & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Terms \\
of
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Manufactures \\
(excl.erratics)
\end{tabular} & 2 & Trade
\end{tabular}
10. Boosted by the appreciation of sterling since the autumn, both the total terms of trade and the non-oil terms of trade improved by 1 per cent in the first quarter (as measured by unit value indices). (NB: the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture over a period of time due to their use of 1980 weights.)

\section*{Assessment}
11. The FSBR forecast a \(£ 4\) billion deficit on the current account in 1988 and 1989H1 (at an annual rate), implying average monthly deficits of \(£ 300-£ 400\) million. The average recorded current account deficit in the first quarter is clearly well above this figure. We remain inclined to reserve judgment on the underlying current account deficit until it is clear by how much recent monthly export figures have been distorted and to what extent the low import figures in the first quarter are providing a misleading indication of trend, as they did in 1987.

\section*{Market expectations}
12. The market expectation is for a current account deficit of around \(£ 500\) million in March. The March deficit is therefore rather smaller than anticipated by the City.

\section*{Press briefing}
13. I would be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.

\title{
SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL 11.30 AM ON 29 APRIL \\ THEN UNCLASSIFIED
}

DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT

\section*{Positive}
1. Manufacturing industry performing well. Manufacturing output up 5亩 per cent comparing three months to February with a year earlier. Manufacturers' volume share of total world trade in manufactures rose slightly in 1987 and has been broadly stable since 1981, following decades of decline.
2. Export order books. CBI April survey still showed balance of firms reporting export order books above normal. Balance of firms expect volume of new exports orders and export deliveries to rise over next four months.
3. Current account deficit in 1987 as a whole estimated at \(£ 1.7\) billion. Small as percentage of GDP ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent) and much smaller than imbalances in mid-1970s. FSBR forecast of \(£ 4\) billion deficit in 1988 still less than 1 per cent of GDP.

\section*{Defensive}
1. Current account figures may be distorted. Figures for first quarter need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in the documentation and classification system. Prudent to wait until later months figures available before deciding to what extent pattern of trade distorted.
2. March deficit erratically low. Monthly figures highly volatile - never attach much importance to single month's figures.
3. Current account deficit still on growing trend. Recent figures highly volatile. Prudent to wait for some months to assess trend.
4. First quarter current account deficit implies FSBR forecast too optimistic. FMuch] Foo soon to say. Unwise to attach too much importance to recent figures until we know to what extent they have been distorted.

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL \\ UNTIL 11.30 AM ON 29 APRIL THEN UNCLASSIFIED}
5. Current account deficit forecast to rise in 1988. Projected deficit in FSBR of \(£ 4\) billion, less than 1 per cent of GDP - much smaller than deficit in mid 1970s (3-4 per cent of GDP). On average outside forecasts (including NIESR) [and LBS show similar deficit in 1988.
6. Current account deficit no longer "temporary" as Chancellor earlier claimed. 1987 deficit first after 7 successive years of current account surplus. Deficit reflects strong growth of UK domestic demand and activity in 1987 at a time of slow growth in many of our major export markets. Imports forecast to rise less rapidly in 1988 as a whole as domestic demand growth moderates. Good supply performance should allow manufacturers to take advantage of rising world trade.
7. Fall in imports means economy growing more slowly. Import volumes (excluding oil and erratics) also low in first quarter of last year - prudent to wait to assess whether upward trend in imports in second half of 1987 has changed. FSBR forecast some slowing of GDP and import growth during 1988. \(\sqrt{\text { But manufacturing output still strong, }}\) consumers' expenditure still showing modest growth, in line with FSBRforecast. DTI and CBI still point to buoyant investment prospects. No reason for pessimism. 7 Bul the som
8. Capacity constraint/overheating threatens current account performance. [CBI April survey reported lowest balance of firms working below capacity since survey began, but 86 per cent report capacity adequate over next year.] CBI concludes that economy not overheating and no evidence of significant labour or raw materials shortages developing. New export order books consistent with continued growth in manufacturing exports in next four months. Survey still shows balance of firms reporting export orders above normal.
9. Exports to fall in 1988? Some slowing of export growth in 1988 not unexpected - but given uncertainties about data, cannot give too much weight to recent fall in exports. FSBR forecast is for UK broadly to maintain volume share of total world trade in manufactures, continuing improved performance which has been evident since 1981, following decades of decline.

SECRET AND PERSONAL UNTIL 11.30 AM ON 29 APRIL THEN UNCLASSIFIED
10. Fall in export optimism. [CBI April survey showed continuing deterioration in export optimism with balance remaining negative.] Balance of CBI firms still expects export orders and deliveries to rise in next four months and balance of firms reporting export order books also above normal.
11. Export fall in first quarter shows sterling's recent strength has undermined competitiveness - fall in exchange rate needed. Competitiveness better than in 1985 before the fall in oil prices. Will not allow lower exchange rate to accommodate domestic cost increases.
12. Fall in invisibles surplus in 1987Q4. Estimate for 1987Q4 only preliminary. FSBR forecast is for further substantial invisibles surplus in 1988.
13. Invisibles forecast too optimistic. FSBR forecast shows invisibles remaining in strong surplus in 1988. Projected improvement between 1987 and 1988 reflects at least partly expected lower net payments abroad by North Sea companies.

Industry hos ten donging very well. Maintaining their competiwe position is hugely i Heir hands \(\qquad\)
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TRADE FIGURES FOR MARCH 1988

\section*{Advance circulation}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Chancellor & Mr Peretz \\
Chief Secretary & Miss O'Mara \\
Economic Secretary & Mr Pickford \\
Sir P Middleton & Mr RIG Allen \\
Sir G Littler & Mr Owen \\
Sir T Burns & \\
Mr Scholar & \\
Mr Sedgwick & Mr Gray - No. 10
\end{tabular}

Circulation after 11.30 am on Friday 29 April

Financial Secretary
Paymaster General
Mr Monck
Mr Matthews
Mr Patterson
Mr Tyrie
Miss Holt - No. 10
med \(1 / 156 \mathrm{~A}\)

MR P DAVIS
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
cc \(\quad\) Mr Scholar \\
& Mr Sedgwick \\
Mr Peretz \\
Mr Hibberd \\
Miss O'Mara \\
Mr Pickford \\
Mr R I G Allen \\
Mr Owen
\end{tabular}

\section*{MARCH TRADE FIGURES}

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 27 April. He had the following amendments to the draft briefing for IDT.
(i) Insert a new section right at the beginning, headed "Line to Take", saying "As always, it is unwise to look at one month's figures in isolation".
(ii) Delete positive 3 altogether.
(iii) In defensive 4, delete "much" (so that the first sentence reads "too soon to say".
(iv) In defensive 5, delete "and LBS".
(v) Replace the existing defensive 7 by "Possibly. FSBR forecast some slowing of GDP and import growth during 1988. But too soon to say."
(vi) Replace the existing defensive 11 by
"Industry has been doing very well. Maintaining their competitive position is largely in their hands. It is no part of the Government's policy to depreciate the exchange rate in order to accommodate domestic cost increases and improve industry's 'competitiveness'. But, as the Chancellor said on This Week Next Week, \(1 / 1\) certainly do not want to see the exchange rate appreciate further. As I have said on previous occasions, I think that would be unsustainable, and an unsustainable uppreciation does nobody any good and is damaging for business and industry'".

\section*{\(p^{p p_{n}}=\) \\ chessman}

MR R I G ALLEN


CC PPS
PS/Chief Secretary PS/Economic Secretary Sir P Middleton
Sir \(T\) Burns Sir G Littler Mr Scholar
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Peretz
Mr Hibberd
Miss O'Mara
Mr Pickford
Mr Gray No 10

\section*{MARCH TRADE FIGURES}
1. You may wish to be aware of the line DTI intend to take, when the March figures are released tomorrow, on recent distortions to the trade figures.
2. Neither DTI nor Customs intend to make any written statement on the quality of recent trade figures, beyond the short paragraph included in the press notice. However in oral briefing of journalists DTI intend to make the following points:
i. there is evidence that the monthly pattern of export figures since December has been distorted by the changes in Customs' procedures:
- during December, some traders submitted documents more quickly than usual, in advance of the changes. As a result some exports which would normally have been recorded in January were included in the December figures.
- there were increased delays in the submission of the new documents in early 1988 which reduced recorded exports in January and possibly in later months complete information on February and March is not yet available.
ii. although the system is returning to normal, we cannot yet be confident that increased delays are not still having some effect - but distortions are now probably smaller than in December/January.
iii. the size of the distortion, though probably small relative to total exports, was sufficient to make the interpretation of trends very difficult.
iv. there is no evidence of any similar distortion to the import figures.

David Owen
D W OWEN

RET and PERSONAL until release of press notice
29 APR 88 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified
Copy Nos.S.(9)
THE
CURRENT
ACCOUNT
-
Balance of payments basis
£ nillion seesonally adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
CURRENT \\
BALANCE fob
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { EXPORTS } \\
\text { fob }
\end{gathered}
\] & IMPORTS fob & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { VISI } \\
& \text { TOTAL }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BAL.AI } \\
& \text { OIL }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
ES: \\
\(\mathrm{NON}-\) OIL
\end{tabular} & invisible BALANC.E \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1986 \\
& 1987
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
46 \\
-1679
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 72678 \\
& 79622
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81141 \\
& 89247
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -846 ் 3 \\
& -9625
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4056 \\
& 4184
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
-12519 \\
-13809
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8509 \\
& 7946
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1987 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q1 } \\
& \text { Q2 } \\
& \text { Q3 } \\
& \text { Q4 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
799 \\
-300 \\
-896 \\
-1282
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19530 \\
& 19357 \\
& 20235 \\
& 20500
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20740 \\
& 21674 \\
& 23344 \\
& 23488
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1211 \\
& -2317 \\
& -3109 \\
& -2988
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1159 \\
1016 \\
936 \\
1073
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -2370 \\
& -3333 \\
& -4046 \\
& -4061
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2010 \\
& 2017 \\
& 2213 \\
& 1706
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1988 & Q1 & & 18832 & & & & & \\
\hline 1987 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { JUL } \\
& \text { AUG } \\
& \text { SEP }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
-253 \\
-687 \\
43
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6686 \\
& 6587 \\
& 6962
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7677 \\
& 8011 \\
& 7657
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
-991 \\
-1424 \\
-695
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 267 \\
& 359 \\
& 310
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1258 \\
& -1783 \\
& -1004
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 738 \\
& 737 \\
& 738
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OCT } \\
& \text { NOV }
\end{aligned}
\]
DEC & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -353 \\
& -488 \\
& -441
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6802 \\
& 6881 \\
& 6817
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7724 \\
& 7938 \\
& 7827
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
-922 \\
-1056 \\
-1010
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 394 \\
& 332 \\
& 346
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1316 \\
& -1388 \\
& -1356
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 569 \\
& 568 \\
& 569
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1988 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { JAN } \\
& \text { FEB } \\
& \text { MAR }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -844 \\
& -720
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6210 \\
& 6181
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
6441
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7654 \\
& 7501
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1444 \\
& -1320
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 361 \\
& 316
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1805 \\
& -1636
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 600 \\
& 600
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline JJAN-MAR 87 & 0 & 19530 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline OCT-DEC 87 & 0 & 20500 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline JAN-MAR 88 & v & 18832 & 0 & 0 & \[
0
\] & \[
0
\] & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PERCENTAGE CHANGES:-
LATEST 3 MONTHS ON
PREVIOUS 3 MONTHS
\[
-8.1 \% \quad 0.0 \%
\]

SAME 3 MONTHS
ONE YEAR AGO
\(-3.6 \%\)
\(0.0 \%\)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
JAN-MAR8 7 & 0 & 19530 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular} 00

The current account for March, seasonally adjusted, was estimated to have been in deficit by \(£ 254\) million compared with a deficit of \(£ 720\) million in Febuary. In March exports - seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis - were valued at \(£ 6441\) million and imports at \(£ 7295\) million so that the trade in goods was in deficit by £854 million.

The balance on invisibles is projected to be in surplus hy f600 million, a large surplus on the transactions of the private sector and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government transactions.

\section*{NOTE ON INTERPRETATION}

It is customary to advise against attaching too much importance to figures for an individual month. Figures for recent months need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of the changes in the documentation and classification system which took place from 1 January 1988 (see Note 3 of the Notes to Editors and artirle in British Business of 27 November 1987). The ferry operators' strike, which continued throughout March, is thought to have had a negligible effect on trade flows overall.

\section*{CURRENT ACCOUNT}
table 1
£ million, Seasonally adjusted

a Invisibles for January to March 1988 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection. Information relating to credits and debits can be found in Table 3.
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\section*{FIRST QUARTER 1988}

\begin{abstract}
In the first quarter of 1988 the current account showed a deficit of £1.8 billion compared with a deficit of £1.3 billion in the previous three months. There was a deficit on visible trade of £3.6 billion in the latest quarter compared with a deficit of \(£ 3.0\) billion in the previous quarter. The surplus on invisible in the latest quarter is projected at \(£ 1.8\) billion.
\end{abstract}

\section*{VISIBLE TRADE IN MARCH}

There was a deficit on visible trade in March of \(£ 854\) million compared with a deficit of \(£ 1320\) million in February. The surplus on oil was £218 million, £.98 million less than in February. The deficit on non-oil trade decreased by \(£ 565\) million.

Total exports in March were valued at \(£ 6441\) million, which was \(£ 260\) million ( 4 per cent) higher than in February. Exports of oil decreased by \(£ 70\) million and exports of the erratic items increased by \(£ 80\) million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by 5 per cent between February and March.

Total imports were valued at \(£ 7295\) million in March, which was \(£ 207\) million ( 3 per cent) less than in February. Imports of oil rose by
and perscnal
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£28 million between the two months while imports of the erratic items fell by £96 million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports fell by 2 per cent between February and March.

\section*{RECENT TRENDS}

\section*{Visible balance}

In the first quarter of 1988 there was a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 3.6\) billion - a surplus on trade in oil of \(£ 0.9\) billion being offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of \(£ 4.5\) billion. Between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988 , the deficit on visible trade increased by \(£ 0.6\) billion - the surplus on oil fell by £0. 2 billion while the deficit on non-oil trade rose by £0. 5 billion.

\section*{Exports}

Exports amounted to \(£ 18.8\) billion in the first quarter of 1988 , £1.7 billion ( 8 per cent) less than in the previous quarter. Exports of oil and exports of the erratic items each fell by £0.4 billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports decreased by £0. 8 billion belween the fourth quarter of 1987 and the latest quarter.

By volume exports fell by \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988 to be \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in

\section*{SECRET}
and personal
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the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and erratic items, export volume fell by \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in the latest quarter to be \(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent lower than in the same period a year ago. Notwithstanding the possibility that the monthly pattern of the export figures in
recent months has been affected to some extent by changes in the y - "information system" documentation and classification system, it now seems likely that the underlying level of
exports in early 1988 is below that reached towards the end of 1987.

\section*{Imports}

Total imports were valued at \(£ 22.4\) billion in the latest quarter, £1.0 billion ( \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent) lower than in the previous quarter. Imports of oil fell by \(£ 0.3\) billion while imports of the erratic items were little changed. Excluding oil and the erratic items imports fell by \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the latest quarter. Within the total, imports of consumer goods excluding passenger cars fell by 10 per cent.

Total import volume fell by 3 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988 but was 11 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items import volume fell by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in the latest three months but was 13 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. The underlying level of imports in early 1988 appears to be slightly Gan lower than that reached towards the end of 1987.

The terms of trade index rose 1 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and first quarter of 1988 with the export unit value index unchanged and the import unit value index decreasing by 1 per cent. Compared with the same quarter a year ago, the export unit value index rose by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent and the import unit value index fell by 3 per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent up on a year ago.

Export unit values for fuels fell by 16 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988 while the unit value index for non-oil exports rose by 1 per cent.

Import unit values for fuels fell by \(9 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the last quarter of 1987 and the latest quarter while the unit value index for non-oil imports was unchanged.

\section*{Analysis by area}

Exports to the developed countries fell by 10 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the latest quarter. Exports to the European Community countries fell by 13 per cent over the latest quarter; exports to North America increased by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent but exports to the other developed countries decreased by \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent. Those to the developing countries fell by \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between the fourth quarter of 1987 and the first quarter of 1988 .

Imports from the developed countries decreased by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent over the latest quarter with arrivals from the European Community countries down by 2 per cent from North America also down by 2 per cent and arrivals from the other developed countries down by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent. Imports from the developing countries decreased by 8 per cent between the last quarter of 1987 and the latest quarter.

\section*{INV ISIBLES}

1 Estimates of invisibles are based on a variety of sources, mostly inquiries of those engaging in the various transactions. These are usually sample inquiries, and are variously held on quarterly, annual or periodical bases. For some components, data for recent periods are therefore incomplete and subject to significant estimation errors.

2 The figures shown for the invisibles balance in most months are the estimates for the relevant quarters, taken from the balance of payments accounts, expressed at a monthly rate. For the most recent months, however, the figures are projections, which are rounded to the nearest \(£ 100\) million to emphasise their approximate nature. These projections are superceded by preliminary estimates from the balance of payments accounts when they are published around the middle of March, June, September and December.

\section*{VISIBLE TRADE: NEW CUSTOMS PROCEDURES AND CHANGES IN CLASSIFICATION}

3 based on the worldwide Hermonised syat [Further information be obtained from the article in British Business of 27 November 1987 and column 34 of Written Answers, House of Commons Official Report, 7 December 1987]. For further details of changes which involve revisions to the commodity classification and to the treatment of gold see the Standard Notes for 1988.

\section*{MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS}

4 The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of \(£ 43\) which includes the annual supplement. Individual copies are priced at \(£ 3\), ( \(£ 7\) for the annual supplement).

\section*{AREA (tables 11 and 15)}

5 Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than \(£ 600\), are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15. In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

\section*{ADJUSTMENT FOR UNPROCESSED DOCUMENTS; APRIL TO OCTOBER 1987}

6 Following an investigation by Customs and Excise into the effects of industrial action, adjustments have been made to take account of documents delayed from the period April to June and subsequently processed in August and October. These adjustments have been made to the Balance of Payments based figures (tables 1 to 7 and 16), but not to the OTS figures (tables 8 to 15). The amounts involved are as follows:

\section*{Adjustment for unprocessed documents}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & April & May & June & August & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { £ million } \\
& \text { October }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Exports & +30 & +71 & +67 & -18 & -151 \\
\hline Imports & +63 & +49 & +38 & & -150 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

7 Trade Statistics (OTS) figures. Adifferences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas ( Additional copies can be obtained from the address below.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, 1 Victoria Street, London SWIH OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)

a Invisibles for January to March 1988 are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection.

INVISIBLES
Table 3
-
£ million seasonally adjusted

\(d\) ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.
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Value and valume of exports and imports excluding the more erratic itemsf (Balance of Payments basis)

Table 5
seasonally adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Value \& million fob} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Volume Index \(1980=100\)}} \\
\hline & & Exports & Imports & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{1986 l 67300 l 1} \\
\hline 1987 & & 73645 & 85386 & 126.3 & 143.0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1987} & Q1 & 18019 & 19853 & 133.6 & 154.0 \\
\hline & Q2 & 17939 & 20740 & 132.5 & 142.5 \\
\hline & Q3 & 18755 & 22313 & 129.8 & 150.2 \\
\hline & Q4 & 18933 & 22481 & 134.1 & 160.9 \\
\hline 1988 & Q1 & 17659 & 21484 & 138.7 & 162.4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1987} & July & 6108 & 7297 & 132.0 & 157.5 \\
\hline & Auq & 6203 & 7668 & 132.9 & 157.8 \\
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{1988} & Sept & 6444 & 7348 & 137.3 & 165.1 \\
\hline & Oct & 6178 & 7300 & 133.2 & 159.9 \\
\hline & Nov & 6339 & 7609 & 138.3 & 156.4 \\
\hline & Dec & 6416 & 7572 & 142.5 & 164. \\
\hline & Jan & 5929 & 7373 & 133.0 & 166.3 \\
\hline & Feb
March & 5775 & 7111 & 127.9 & 161.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\% Change
Latest 3 mon} & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{- previous 3 months} & - \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-4 \frac{1}{2}\) & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{- same 3 months} & & - \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-3\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{one year*ago} & - 2 & \(+8\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
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TRADE IN GOODS OTHER THAN OIL
(Balance of Payments basis)

f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
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 stones (667), and silver (681.1).
\(j\) Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
EXPORTS BY COMMODITY: VQLUME INDICES
Table 9
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES \(1980=100\), seasonally adjusted

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (togather comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious
- stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
\(j\) Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

EXPORTS BY AREA
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
Table 11
£ million, fob, seasonally adjusted


-
Land .u.c... of pres notice on 29 .....988
at \(11.30=. \pi\).

IMPORTS BY COMMODITY
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
\(j\) Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

> IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES (Overseas Trade Statistics basis)

Table 13

INDICES \(1980=100\) seasonally adjusted
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & & 11 & & 1 & & & & Manufact & tures & di & rratics & & & \\
\hline & 1 & Food & 11 & & 1 & 11 & Semi-me & anufact & ures I & I Finis & shed man & factures & exclu & & ip \\
\hline & , & bever-1 & | Basic | & & | Total & 11 & exclud & ing prec & cious I & 1 North & Sea & alla &  & & aft \\
\hline & | Total | & ages | & | Mater- & Fuels & 1 Manufac- & & stones & \& silve & er(PS) 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & and | & | ials | & & I tures & \(\mid\) Total | & & & 1 & 1 & \(\mid\) Pass-1 & & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline & 11 & tobacco | & I & & I & & Total & | Chemi- & Other & | Total | & \(\mid\) enger 1 & Other & | Inter- & & Capita \\
\hline & 11 & & 11 & & 1 & , & & | cals | & & I & \(\mid\) Motor \(\mid\) & Consumer & 1 mediate & & \\
\hline & 11 & & 11 & & 1 & & & & & & | Cars & & & 1 & \\
\hline SITC & 11 & & 11 & & 1 & 5-8 | & 5+6 | & & 6 & 7+8 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline (REV 3) & \(\mid\) 0-9 | & U+1 & 2+4 1 & 3 & 5-8 & less | & less | & 15 & less & | less | & 1 j & j & 1 j & & j \\
\hline & 11 & & 11 & & 1 & | SNAPS | & PS I & & PS & SNA & & & 1 J & & \\
\hline Weights & | 10001 & 124 & 81 & 138 & 626 & 1543 & 217 & L 631 & 154 | & 1326 & 42 & 94 & 196 & 1 & 94 \\
\hline 1986 & | 134.2 | & 124.3 | & | \(108.2 \mid\) & 94.01 & 1148.2 & | \(163.0 \mid\) & 151.91 & | \(188.1 \mid\) & 136.8| & | 170.3 | & | \(131.6 \mid\) & 161.0 & | 186.5 & & 179.8 \\
\hline 1987 & | 144.4 | & \(125.0 \mid\) & | 119.5 | & 95.41 & | 162.6 & | 179.5 | & 166.51 & | \(207.2 \mid\) & | 149.51 & | \(188.0 \mid\) & | \(125.4 \mid\) & 181.0 & | 209.9 & & 199.6 \\
\hline 1987 Q1 & | 133.7 | & 122 | & | 122 | & 92 & | 147 & | 163 & 1531 & 193 | & 1371 & | 168 | & 1105 & 162 & 1 187 & & 184 \\
\hline Q2 & | \(140.0 \mid\) & 121 & 122 I & 90 & | 158 & 174 & 1631 & 204 & 146 & 181 & 121 & 178 & 1 202 & & \\
\hline Q3 & | 150.7 | & 126 & 1201 & 102 & 1171 & 1188 & 1731 & 216 | & 156 & 198 & | 142 & 188 & 1221 & & \\
\hline Q4 & | 153.1 | & 131 & 114 | & 97 & | 175 & | 193 & 176 | & 216 & 159 & 205 & 134 & 197 & | 231 & & \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & | 147.8 | & 128 & 1151 & 881 & 1170 & | 187 & 173 | & 2041 & 160 & 196 & 139 & 176 & 1221 & & \\
\hline 1988 Jan & | 150.6 | & 126 & 107 | & 87 & 176 & 196 & 174 | & 2051 & 161 & 210 & 166 & 177 & 1 241 & & \\
\hline Feb & \(|147.1|\) & 129 & 116 & 79 & 170 & | 186 & 173 & 211 | & 158 & 194 & 141 & 176 & 1 216 & & \\
\hline Mar & | 145.8 | & 1301 & 121 I & 97 & 163 & 1180 & 172 | & 1971 & 162 | & | 185 | & | 109 & 177 & 1207 & 1 & 205 \\
\hline \% Change & 1 1 & & 1 & & 1 & I & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 11 & & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline Q1/Q4 & \(\left|-3 \frac{1}{2}\right|\) & - \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) & 1 & - \(9 \frac{1}{2}\) & - 3 & \(1-31\) & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-5 \frac{1}{2} 1\) & \(+\frac{1}{2}\) & -41 & \(\left|+3 \frac{1}{2}\right|\) & - 11 & 1-4 & 1 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
}
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IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: UNIT VALUE INDICES
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)

\(h\) These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
\(j\) Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

IMPORTS BY AREA
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
Table 15

and perscral
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SITC (R3) & Food & everages and
\(0+1\) & Tobacco & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Basic Materials} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Fuels} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Exports & Imports & Visible & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(2+4\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{3} \\
\hline & fob & fob & Balance & fob &  & isible & Exports & Imports & Visible \\
\hline & & & & & & Balance & fob & fob & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 5445 & 9196 & - 3752 & 2109 & 449 & & & & \\
\hline 1987 & 5550 & 9326 & - 3776 & 2257 & 4965 & & 8664 & 5977 & + 2687 \\
\hline 1986 Q2 & 1282 & 2228 & - 946 & 470 & 1068 & - 2708 & 8748 & 5804 & + 2944 \\
\hline Q3 & 1374 & 2328 & - 955 & 60 & , & 629 & 1905 & 1423 & + 482 \\
\hline Q4 & 1542 & 2399 & - 857 & 559 & 1052 & - 492 & 1606 & 1363 & + 243 \\
\hline 1987 Q1 & 1389 & 2282 & - 893 & 637 & 1219 & - 660 & 1978 & 1499 & + 480 \\
\hline Q2 & 1352 & 2282 & - 930 & 575 & 1258 & - 621 & 2302 & 1413 & + 889 \\
\hline Q3 & 1426 & 2347 & - 921 & & 1238 & - 664 & 2117 & 1400 & + 717 \\
\hline Q4 & 1383 & 2415 & - 1032 & 543
502 & 1270 & - 726 & 2134 & 1563 & \(+\quad 571\) \\
\hline 1988 Q1 & 1257 & 2034 & 1032
\(-\quad 778\) & 489 & 1198 & - 696 & 2194 & 1428 & 767 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{SITC (R3)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Semi-Manufactures} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Finished Manufactures}} & 1763 & 1142 & \(\begin{array}{r}+\quad 621 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\(5+6\)} & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Total Manufactures} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & Exports & Imports & Visible & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(7+8\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{5-8} \\
\hline & fob & fob & Balance & fob &  & Visible & Exports & Imports & Visible \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Balance} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{fob | Balance} & fob & fob & Balance \\
\hline 1986 & 20839 & 21406 & - 568 & 34088 & 38827 & & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{1 1 Balance} \\
\hline 1987 Q2 & 22503 & 23991 & - 1488 & 39053 & 44106 & 4739 & 54927 & 60233 & - 5307 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Q3
Q4
1987 Q1} & 5225 & 5153 & + 72 & 8549 & 184 & & 61556 & 68097 & - 6542 \\
\hline & 5227 & 5313 & - 86 & 8383 & 10061 & 635 & 13774 & 14337 & - 563 \\
\hline & 5544 & 5627 & - 83 & 9119 & 10682 & & 13610 & 15373 & - 1763 \\
\hline & 5467 & 5662 & - 195 & 9358 & 9893 & 1562 & 14664 & 16309 & - 1645 \\
\hline Q2 & 5519 & 5794 & - 275 & 9413 & 0719 & 535 & 14825 & 15555 & - 730 \\
\hline Q3 & 5731 & 6171 & - 439 & 10029 & 11698 & 1306 & 14932 & 16513 & - 1581 \\
\hline 988 Q4 & 5786 & 6365 & - 579 & 10253 & & 1669 & 15760 & 17868 & - 2109 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1988 Q1} & 5472 & 6335 & - 863 & 9458 & 11471 & - 1543 & 16038 & 18161 & - 2122 \\
\hline & & & - 863 & 4458 & 11471 & - 2014 & 14930 & 17807 & - 2877 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.
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\section*{OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR APRIL: EXPORTS}
\[
\neq 352 \mathrm{~m}
\]

1 The value of exports in April, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, is estimated at \(£ 6.8\) billion, \(£ 0.4\) billion higher than in March. Exports of oil were little changed but exports of the erratic items rose by \(£ 0.1\) billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports rose by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent between March and April.

2 In the three months ending April 1988 the total value of exports decreased by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent compared with the previous three months; excluding oil and the erratic items the decrease was \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent.

3 In the three months ending April 1988, total export volume was 3 per cent lower than in the previous three months and 2 per cent lower than in the same period a year ago. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume was 3 per cent lower in the latest three months but unchanged from a year earlier. Uncertainty over the timing effects following from customs administrative changes introduced at the beginning of the year, and the volatility of recent figures, make it difficult to determine the extent of the fall in the underlying level of exports since the end of last year.

4 Recent export figures are shown in the attached table; charts plotting the main aggregates are also attached. A note describing imports and the current account will be circulated on Monday 23 May. The monthly press notice for April is scheduled for release on Friday 27 May.

\section*{Quality of the data}

5 It now seems clear that the timing of export recording since December 1987 has been affected to some extent by the new procedures for documenting trade introduced in January 1988. Analyses by IM Customs indicate that some documents were submitted earlier than usual in December, thus inflating December's figures and reducing those for January. It is possible that further analyses will show that information for the early months of 1988
the department for Enterprise

\section*{SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 27 May 1988 at 11.30 am and thereafter unclassified}
has been distorted by increased delavs in returning documents after the introduction of Customs ' 88 . It is hoped that further analyses by Customs will enable estimates to be made of the extent of the distortion in time for the press notice announcing the May figures.

6 The ferry operators' strike at Dover was in progress during April, with the volume of exports through the port down to about half of normal levels. The bulk of exports was diverted to other Channel and North Sea ports, and the overall effect on April exports is thought to be small.
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\section*{secret and personal unill release of press notice on 27.5.88}

EXPORTS LESS ERRAIICS (Ships,N Sea Rigs, Aircraft, Precious Stones, Silver)
Balance of Payments Basis Seasonally Adjusted \(\frac{1980}{\text { Rev } 3}=100\) \(+150.8\)
\(+140.0-\)

\(+110.0=\)


SECRET AND PERSONAL UNTIL RELEASE OF PRESS NOTICE ON 27.5.88 EXPORTS LESS OIL Balance of Payments Basis Seasonally Adjusted \(\frac{1980}{\text { Rev } 3}=100\)
+140.0
+130.0
+120.0
+1100.0
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EXPORTS LESS ERRATICS \& OIL
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cc : PPS
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Mr Owen

\section*{RECENT TRADE FIGURES}

We met Peter Stibbard (DTI) recently to discuss further progress made on the analysis of timing distortions to the December and first quarter export figures, and the future public presentation of any adjustments. At an earlier meeting Mr Stibbard had proposed publishing preliminary estimates of the size of distortions in the April figures press notice at the end of May. However, in the light of your letter to him of 12 May, which stated our opposition to the publication of rather hastily prepared estimates, it was agreed that no estimates would be published this month.
2. There are still some data and further analysis to come from Customs before any decision can be taken on the size of adjustments. Customs estimated that they would be able to produce the information in time for the May trade figures press notice in late June. In the light of this, DTI will consider whether they wish to publish adjusted figures at the end of June. For this month they propose only to expand the notes to editors to include some general remarks about distortions, and possibly also on more general under-recording and seasonality. We will have a chance to comment on this when the draft press notice is circulated next week.



FROM: PAUL DAVIS DATE: 25 MAY 1988
cc: See attached list
1. MR HIBBERD
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

APRIL TRADE FIGURES

The April trade figures will be published on Friday 27 May at 11.30 am . They will show a visible deficit of \(£ 1125\) million, compared with a deficit of \(£ 885\) million in March. The April current account deficit is estimated at \(£ 525\) million, compared with a revised deficit of \(£ 285\) million in March.

\section*{Summary}
2. The main points are:
(i) The deterioration in the current account between March and April reflects a \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent rise in import volumes, partly offset by a 5 per cent rise in export volumes.
(ii) The introduction of the new Customs procedures on 1 January has distorted the monthly path of recorded exports. The major impact is thought to be on the December to February figures. Exports have recovered strongly in March and April from the low levels of the previous two months.
(iii) Uncertainty over the quality of the export figures makes the underlying path of non-oil exports difficult to assess. But, even allowing for distortions, it appears that the trend may have levelled out since the autumn.
(iv) The strong rise in imports in April supports our view that, despite the recorded fall in the first quarter, the underlying trend remains upwards - the volume of imports excluding oil and erratics was 13 per cent higher in the latest three months than the same period a year ago.
(v) The market expectation is for a current account deficit of around \(£ 400\) million. The outturn is only a little larger, and should not be received too badly by the City.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1987 \\
& \text { Year }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { Q1 }
\end{gathered}
\] & Q2 & Q3 & Q4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
1988 \\
\text { Q1 }
\end{gathered}
\] & Feb & Mar & Apr \\
\hline Manufactures & -6.5 & -0.7 & -1.6 & -2.1 & -2.1 & -2.9 & -1.1 & -0.5 & -0.9 \\
\hline Oil & 4.2 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\
\hline Other goods & -7.3 & -1.6 & -1.8 & -1.9 & -1.9 & -1.6 & -0.6 & -0.6 & -0.5 \\
\hline Total visibles & -9.6 & -1.2 & -2.3 & -3.1 & -3.0 & -3.7 & -1.4 & -0.9 & -1.1 \\
\hline Invisibles & 7.9 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.2 & 1.7 & 1.8* & 0.6* & 0.6* & 0.6* \\
\hline Current balance & -1.7 & 0.8 & -0.3 & -0.9 & -1.3 & -1.9 & -0.8 & -0.3 & -0.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Invisibles figures since January are projections.

\section*{Quality of the data}
3. Evidence that the pattern of monthly export figures has been distorted by the introduction of the new Customs procedures on 1 January was described in oral press briefing last month. It is also referred to in the expanded Notes to Editors in this month's press notice. Further information is still to come from Customs on the size of the effects, and they should be available shortly. But, at this stage no public indication will be given of the likely size of any distortions. Customs are also carrying out the first post-Customs '88 survey of general underrecording of exports. The result of this inquiry may not be available for some months. The Notes to Editors refer to this under-recording exercise and also announce that DTI will be reviewing their approach to seasonal adjustment during 1988. We have been suspicious of their present method for some time.
4. Although the ferry operators' dispute reduced the volume of trade through Dover by half in April, most exports were diverted to other ports, and the overall effect on trade flows is thnught to have been small.

\section*{Exports}
5. Recorded export volumes (excluding oil and erratics) rose by \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in April, but were little changed in the latest six months compared to the previous six months. (The usual three month comparison is distorted by the effects of the Customs changes.) In the latest six months compared to the previous six months a \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent rise in exports of manufactures was offset by a fall in exports of non-manufactures. While evidence from CBI surveys points to some weakening in export growth, it is not on the scale suggested by recent official estimates. Adjustments for distortions to exports since

December will probably not be large enough to remove this effect entirely.
6. Exports to developed countries fell by 1 per cent in the three months to April. Exports to the EC rose by 1 per cent, but exports to the US fell by \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent. There was no change in exports to developing countries over this period, although exports to oil exporting countries fell by 10 per cent.

Table 2 : Exports
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Apr \\
on \\
Mar
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
percenta \\
Latest three months on previous three months
\end{tabular} & ge change Latest three months on same period year earlier & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { on } \\
1986 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total value & \(5 \frac{1}{1 / 2}\) & \(-2^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(9 \frac{1}{12}\) \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & 41/2 & -11/2 & 2 & 101/2 \\
\hline Total volume & 5 & -3 & -2 & 6 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & 41/2 & -3 & 0 & 71/2 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & 43/2 & \(-3^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 13/2 & 9 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & 31/2 & -11 & -11 & -1 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & 1 \(\frac{1}{2}\) & -15 & -23 & 7 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume (OT & \()^{10}\) & 11 & 41/2 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Imports}
7. Import volumes (less oil and erratics) rose by 8 per cent in April and were 13 per cent higher in the latest three months than in the same period last year. Over the latest three months imports of manufactures rose 15 per cent, reflecting strong increases in imports of semi-manufactures, intermediate and capital goods, as investment, stockbuilding and output remained buoyant. Consumer goods imports continued to increase over this period, although less quickly than non-consumer goods.

Table 3 : Imports
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\multicolumn{4}{c}{ percentage change } \\
Apr & Latest three & Latest three & \\
on months on previous & months on same period & 1987 \\
Mar & on \\
three months & year earlier & 1986 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llccl} 
Total value & 8 & -3 & \(8 \frac{1}{2}\) & 10 \\
Total value excl. & 9 & -3 & 11 & \(10^{\frac{1}{2}}\)
\end{tabular}
    oil and erratics
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Total volume & \(6 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & 11
\end{tabular}
Total volume excl. \(8 \quad 13 \quad 9\)
    oil and erratics
\(-315\)

Manufactures volume 12 (excl.erratics, OTS basis)
\begin{tabular}{llrlr} 
Fuels volume (OTS) & -15 & -12 & -8 & \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Basic materials \\
volume (OTS)
\end{tabular} & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & 7 & -2 & \(10 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
Food, drink and & \(-1 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(\frac{1}{2}\)
\end{tabular}
    tobacco volume (OTS)

\section*{Trade prices}
8. The continuing strength of sterling led to both the total and non-oil terms of trade improving by \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in the three months to April on the previous three months. [NB - the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture over a period of time due to their use of 1980 weights].

TABLE 4 : Trade Prices

\section*{percentage change}
latest three months on previous three months
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Export prices & Import prices & Trade \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } \begin{tabular}{c} 
Manufactures \\
(excl.erratics)
\end{tabular} & \(2 \frac{1 / 2}{2}\) & 1 & \\
Food, drink, tobacco & 1 & 1 & \\
Basic materials & 4 & \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) & \\
Fuel & -12 & \(-7 \frac{1}{2}\) & \\
Total (BOP basis) & 1 & \(-\frac{1}{2}\) & \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Total less oil \\
(BOP basis)
\end{tabular} & 2 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \(1 \frac{1}{2}\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Assessment}
9. The cumulative current account deficit for the first four months of 1988 was \(£ 2.4\) billion, well above the level implied by the FSBR forecast of \(£ 4\) billion for 1988 as a whole. This partly reflects the distortions resulting from the introduction of the new Customs procedures. However, even allowing for distortions, exports appear to have been weaker than forecast at the time of the FSBR. The monthly path of import volumes so far this year - with falls in the first three months and a sharp rise in April - mirrors the pattern in early 1987, raising strong suspicions of residual seasonality in the series. Consequently the apparent weakness of imports in recent months would not at this stage lead us to revise our view of the underlying trend.
10. I would be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.


PAUL DAVIS
EA

\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT}

\section*{Line to take}

Rise in imports in April not surprising, following unexpected falls in previous three months. Export figures for early 1988 distorted by changes in Customs procedures, but have recovered strongly in past two months.

\section*{Positive}
(i) Export order books CBI April Survey still showed balance of firms reporting order books above normal. Balance of firms expect volume of new export orders and export deliveries to rise over next four months.
(ii) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to April, manufacturing export volumes (less erratics) higher than a year earlier, while output up \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in first quarter on a year earlier.

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Current account figures may be distorted. Figures for 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in the documentation and classification system. Evidence that export documentation accelerated during December 1987 in advance of administrative changes - may have brought forward recording of some exports from first quarter into December. But prudent to await further months' data before deciding to what extent pattern of trade distorted.
(ii) Ferry operators' strike. Thought to have had little effect on figures so far.
(iii) Current account deficit on rising trend. Small deficit in 1987 was first after 7 successive years of surplus. Projected deficit in 1988 less than 1 per cent of GDP - much smaller than deficits in mid-1970s (3-4 per cent of GDP). Deficit reflects strong growth of UK domestic demand and activity in 1987 at time of slower growth in many
export markets. Imports forecast to rise more slowly in 1988; good supply performance should allow manufacturers to take advantage of rising world trade.
(iv) Current account deficit indicates economy overheating. CBI concludes no evidence of overheating - April survey shows no significant labour or raw materials shortages.
(v) Current account deficit unsustainable. International confidence in sterling clearly indicates markets have no doubt that modest deficit sustainable and readily financable.
(vi) Fall in export optimism. [CBI April survey showed continuing deterioration in export optimism with balance remaining negative.] Balance of CBI firms still expect export orders and deliveries to rise in next four months and balance of firm reporting export orders above normal.
(vii) Fall in exports in 1988. Some slowing of export growth not unexpected - but cannot give much weight to recent figures. Manufacturers expected broadly to maintain volume of world trade in 1988.

(viii) Fall in imports in early 1988 means economy growing more slowly. Possibly. FSBR forecast some slowing of GDP and import growth during 1988, but too soon to say. Monthly figures erratic - imports rose in April following falls in previous three months.
(ix) Passenger car exports down steeply in April while imports rose. Monthly series often volatile. Both exports and imports of cars down by similar amount in three months to April on previous three months.
(x) Sterling's recent strength has undermined competitiveness. Maintaining competitive position largely in the hands of industry - no part of Government's policy to depreciate exchange rate to accommodate domestic cost increases. But, as Chancellor said (on This Week Next Week, 24 April), certainly do not want to see exchange rate appreciate further - that would be unsustainable and, as such, damaging for business and industry.
(xi) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since 1978. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive.
(xii) Fall in invisibles surplus in 1987Q4. Estimate for 1987Q4 only preliminary. FSBR forecast is for further substantial invisibles surplus of \(£ 8 \frac{1 / 2}{2}\) billion in 1988 reflecting at least partly lower net payments abroad by North Sea companies.

TRADE FIGURES FOR APRIL 1988
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\section*{APRIL TRADE FIGURES}

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 25 May.
2. He is content with the press briefing, but thinks that it should also pick up the point in your paragraph 7 - that imports of semi-manufactures, intermediate and capital goods are rising fast as investment, stockbuilding and output remain buoyant - as an indication of balanced growth this year, thus dispelling some of the concerns about a consumer boom.


\section*{PS/CHANCELLOR}
cc Sir T Burns Mr Sedgwick Mr Hibberd Mr Pickford Mr R I G Allen Mr Owen

\section*{APRIL TRADE FIGURES}

I attach a revised press briefing, incorporating the Chancellor's comments.


PAUL DAVIS

\section*{Line to take}

Rise in imports in April not surprising, following unexpected falls in previous three months. Export figures for early 1988 distorted by changes in Customs procedures, but have recovered strongly in past two months.

\section*{Positive}
(i) Export order books CBI April Survey still showed balance of firms reporting order books above normal. Balance of firms expect volume of new export orders and export deliveries to rise over next.. four months.
(ii) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to April, manufacturing export volumes (less erratics) higher than a year earlier, while output up \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in first quarter on a year earlier.

\section*{Defensive}
(i) Current account figures may be distorted. Figures for 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in the documentation and classification system. Evidence that export documentation accelerated during December 1987 in advance of administrative changes - may have brought forward recording of some exports from first quarter into December. But prudent to await further months' data before deciding to what extent pattern of trade distorted.
(ii) Ferry operators' strike. Thought to have had little effect on figures so far.
(iii) Current account deficit on rising trend. Small deficit in 1987 was first after 7 successive years of surplus. Projected deficit in 1988 less than 1 per cent of GDP - much smaller than deficits in mid-1970s (3-4 per cent of GDP). Deficit reflects strong growth of UK domestic demand and activity in 1987 at time of slower growth in many
xport markets. Imports forecast to rise more slowly in 1988; good supply performance should allow manufacturers to take advantage of rising world trade.
(iv) Current account deficit indicates economy overheating. CBI concludes no evidence of overheating - April survey shows no significant labour or raw materials shortages.
(v) Current account deficit unsustainable. International confidence in sterling clearly indicates markets have no doubt that modest deficit sustainable and readily financable.
(vi) Fall in export optimism. [CBI April survey showed continuing deterioration in export optimism with balance remaining negative.] Balance of CBI firms still expect export orders and deliveries to rise in next four months and balance of firm reporting export orders above normal.
(vii) Fall in exports in 1988. Some slowing of export growth not unexpected - but cannot give much weight to recent figures. Manufacturers expected broadly to maintain volume of world trade in 1988.
(viii) Fall in imports in early 1988 means economy growing more slowly. Possibly. FSBR forecast some slowing of GDP and import growth during 1988, but too soon to say. Monthly figures erratic - imports rose in April following falls in previous three months.
(ix) Rise in imports in April reflects excessive growth in consumption. Never look at one month's figures on their own. True that consumer goods imports risen in latest three months on a year earlier, but imports of semi-manufactures, intermediate and capital also rising strongly reflecting buoyant investment, stockbuilding and output.
(x) Passenger car exports down steeply in April while imports rose. Monthly series often volatile. Both exports and imports of cars down by similar amount in three months to April on previous three months.
(xi) Sterling's recent strength has undermined competitiveness. Maintaining competitive position largely in the hands of industry - no part of Government's policy to depreciate exchange rate to accommodate

Pmestic cost increases. But, as Chancellor said (on This Week Next Week, 24 April), certainly do not want to see exchange rate appreciate further - that would be unsustainable and, as such, damaging for business and industry.
(xii) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since 1978. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive.
(xiii) Fall in invisibles surplus in 1987Q4. Estimate for 1987Q4 only preliminary. FSBR forecast is for further substantial invisibles surplus of \(£ 8 \frac{1}{2}\) billion in 1988 reflecting at least partly lower net payments abroad by North Sea companies.
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\section*{MAY TRADE FIGURES}

The May trade figures will be published on Monday 27 June at 11.30 am . They will show a deficit on visible trade of \(£ 1705\) million, compared with a revised deficit of \(£ 1228\) million in April. The May current account deficit is estimated at \(£ 1205\) million - the largest on record - compared with a revised deficit of \(£ 728\) million in April. The cumulative deficit for the year so far is \(£ 4709\) million.

\section*{Summary}
2. The main points are:
(i) The deterioration in the visible balance in May is due to a \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent fall in export volumes and a 2 per cent rise in import volumes.
(ii) The invisibles surplus in the first quarter, published by the CSO on 16 June, was estimated at \(£ 1.2\) billion or \(£ 0.4\) billion a month compared with the earlier CSO projection of \(£ 0.6\) billion a month. The CSO have partly discounted the very low Q1 outturn but have nevertheless revised down their projection of the invisibles surplus for the second quarter to \(£ 0.5\) billion per month.
(iii) Given the distortions to exports in December to February, associated with Customs ' 88 , it remains difficult to assess the underlying trend. However, the fall in exports in May is consistent with the trend having flattened out since the second half of last year.
(iv) The rise in imports in May, following the strong recovery in April, provides further evidence of a continued upward trend in import volumes, despite the recorded fall in the first quarter.
(v) There are not thought to be any significant distortions to the monthly trade figures in May - the effects of Customs ' 88 seem to have been concentrated in the December to February period and the ferry operators' strike has probably had little net effect.
(vi) The market expectation is for a current account deficit of around \(£ 450\) million in May. Some of these forecasts were prepared before the publication of the \(Q 1\) current account figures on 16 June. Even allowing for this, the May figures are much worse than anticipated and are unlikely to be well received by the City.

Table 1 : Current account \(£\) billion
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1987 \\
& \text { Year }
\end{aligned}
\] & Q1 & Q2 & Q3 & Q4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
1988 \\
\text { Q1 }
\end{gathered}
\] & Mar & Apr & May \\
\hline Manufactures & -6.5 & -0.7 & -1.6 & -2.1 & -2.1 & -2.9 & -0.5 & -0.9 & -1.3 \\
\hline Oil & 4.2 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline Other goods & -7.3 & -1.7 & -1.7 & -1.9 & -2.0 & -2.0 & -0.7 & -0.6 & -0.6 \\
\hline Total visibles & -9.6 & -1.2 & -2.3 & -3.1 & -3.0 & -4.0 & -1.0 & -1.2 & -1.7 \\
\hline Invisibles & 8.1 & 2.2 & 2.1 & 2.2 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 0.4 & 0.5* & 0.5* \\
\hline Current balance & -1.6 & 1.0 & -0.2 & -0.9 & -1.4 & -2.8 & -0.6 & -0.7 & -1.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Quality of the data}
3. No public indication will be qiven in the May trade figures press notice of the size of the distortions caused by Customs ' 88. The visibles figures for the first four months of 1988 contain an upward revision to imports of about \(£ 0.1\) billion per month, reflecting the correction of an error in the freight component which affects the balance of payments basis figures only.

\section*{Exports}
4. Recorded export volumes (excluding oil and erratics) fell by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent in May, with falls in all major categories. However in the three months to May they were \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent higher than in the same period a year earlier, mainly due to a rise in exports of manufactures. This increase was concentrated in non-consumer goods, with a strong rise in exports of capital goods. Exports of passenger motor cars and other consumer goods, however, fell over this period. The June CBI monthly survey showed a small balance of firms reporting
export order books above normal (a zero balance after seasonal adjustment), though this balance remains below the peak of last summer.
5. Exports to developed countries rose by 6 per cent in the three months to May on the previous three months reflecting a 13 per cent rise in exports to the EC partly offset by a 13 per cent fall in exports to the US. The latter suggests that the loss of competitiveness resulting from the depreciation of the dollar is beginning to have a significant effect. Exports to developing countries rose by \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent over this period despite a 9 per cent fall in exports to oil exporting countries.

Table 2 : Exports
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & May on Apr & Latest three months on previous three months & ge change Latest three months on same period year earlier & \[
\begin{gathered}
1987 \\
\text { on } \\
1986 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total value & \(-4^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) & \(1^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & \(9 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & \(-3 \frac{1}{2}\) & 3 & 6 & 1012 \\
\hline Total volume & -43/2 & 1 & 2 & 6 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & \(-2 \frac{1}{2}\) & 2 & 4 \(\frac{1}{2}\) & 71/2 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & \(-2^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 1 & 6 & 9 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & -12 & -14 & -12 & -1 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & -3 & -12 & -17 & 7 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume (OT & & 18 & 13 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Imports}
6. The rise in import volumes in May was entirely accounted for by a large increase in imports of erratics (especially precious stones). Import volumes (less oil and erratics) fell slightly, but were still 11 per cent higher in the latest three months than in the same period a year earlier. Over the past year there have been strong rises in imports of semi-manufactures, intermediates and capital goods. In the latest three months, however, there was a large increase in imports of consumer goods other than cars, reflecting buoyant consumer demand.

Table 3 : Imports
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{percentage change} \\
\hline May & Latest three & Latest three & 1987 \\
\hline on & months on previous & months on same period & \\
\hline Apr & three months & year earlier & 1986 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Total value & 2 & 11/2 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline Total value excl. oil and erratics & - \(\frac{1}{2}\) & 1 & 12 & 103/2 \\
\hline Total volume & 2 & 13/2 & 11 & 71/2 \\
\hline Total volume excl. oil and erratics & \(-\frac{1}{2}\) & 1 & 11 & 9 \\
\hline Manufactures volume (excl.erratics, OTS basis) & 0 & 13/2 & 14 & 10 \\
\hline Fuels volume (OTS) & 5 & -3 & 41/2 & 11/2 \\
\hline Basic materials volume (OTS) & -3 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) & -2 & 10312 \\
\hline Food, drink and tobacco volume & \[
-2
\] & -4 & 5 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Trade prices}
7. The continuing strength of sterling led to both the total and non-oil terms of trade improving by 2 per cent in the three months to May on the previous three months. [NB - the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture over a period of time due to their use of 1980 weights].

TABLE 4 : Trade Prices*

\section*{percentage change}
latest three months on previous three months

Export prices
Import prices
Trade
Manufactures
(excl.erratics)
Food, drink, tobacco 3 1
Basic materials \(7 \quad 2 \frac{1}{2}\)
Fuel \(-8 \frac{1}{2}\)
-6
Total (BOP basis) 1娄 - \(\frac{1}{2}\)
Total less oil
(BOP basis)
2
0
*Not seasonally adjusted
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\section*{Assessment}
8. The current account deficit for the first five months of 1988 has now exceeded the FSBR forecast of \(£ 4\) billion for the year as a whole. Exports have been weaker than forecast at Budget time, while the trend in imports remains strongly upward.
9. The sharp deterioration in the current account in recent months may well lead to questions on the cause and sustainability of the deficit. We could argue that unlike previous current account deficits, which were associated with public sector financial deficits, the current situation reflects private sector behaviour. The recent rapid growth of consumption and exceptionally low savings ratio are probably a response to the fall in inflation and rise in asset prices over the past few years. These effects should be largely self correcting, with the savings ratio likely to return to more normal levels. The current investment boom will lead to increased productive capacity which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
10. The current account deficit is, therefore, likely to be temporary and, given the prudent financial position of the public sector, there is no need for further government measures. Monetary policy will ensure that downward pressure on inflation is maintained, and will limit the risk of any major move in sentiment against sterling.
11. The above thoughts are reflected in the attached press briefing, for which I would appreciate clearance.

> Pf PAUL DAVIS
> EA
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\section*{DRAFT BRIEFING FOR EDT}

Line to take Chancellor said in the House of Commons on 16 June that current account deficit in 1988 as a whole was likely to exceed FSBR estimate. Reflects extremely buoyant state] of UK economyfrelative to growth in other major economies, Likely to be temporary, so no case for government action to reduce current account deficit.

\section*{Positive}

(i) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to May, manufacturing export volumes 6 per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 5 per cent in three months to April on a year earlier.

(ii) FSBR forecast over-optimistic. Uncertainty over distortions to visible figures, together with erratic nature of quarterly invisible balances make recent trends difficult to discern. But, as Chancellor said in House on 16 June, recent figures point to larger deficit than forecast at Budget time. Next forecast will be published at time of Autumn Statement. (iii) Current account deficit unsustainable. No. Reflects exceptionally high consumption and investment spending by private sector - contrary to experience in 1960 s and 1970 s when current account deficit reflected public sector deficit. Private sector behaviour likely to be self correcting, and is adding to productive capacity which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(iv) Confidence in sterling likely to deteriorate. [No rational reason for this, given temporary nature of deficit. C Indeed confidence in UK over the past year has contributed to deficit by pushing up sterling, and putting downward pressure on interest rates. Government's position peferths clear. Will not hail out excessive inocash (v) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption. Inevitable that strong growth in domestic demand and activity has led to some rise in imports of consumer goods. But has also led to strong rises in imports of capital goods, intermediate and semi-manufactured goods, reflecting rising output, investment and stockbuilding.
(vi) UK current account deficit likely to reach US proportions. US deficit caused by large and persistent US government fiscal deficit. Stance of UK fiscal policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects exceptional and temporary strength private sector spending.
(vii) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit. No. Not given temporary nature of deficit and prudent financial
 (viii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. No. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR expected to remain negative. No reason why Budget should have sought to offset exceptionally buoyant private sector behaviour by fiscal means. Tgupply-site Greater Bulged was a (ix) Current deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Not in nature of government policy to depreciate exchange rate to increase UK competitiveness. Restraint of unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - more important for competitiveness.
(x) Current account deficit indicates economy is overheating. CBI concludes no evidence of overheating - April survey showed no significant labour or raw materials shortages.
(xi) Current account figures may be distorted. Figures for early months of 1988 need to be interpreted with even greater caution than usual because of changes in documentation and classification system. Prudent to await full analysis of data before deciding to what extent pattern of trade distorted.
(xii) Fall in export optimism. [CBI April survey showed continuing deterioration in export optimism with balance remaining negative.] Balance of CBI firms still expect exports to rise in future and June survey showed small balance of firms still with export order books above normal.
(xiii) Fall in exports in 1988. Some slowing of export growth was expected, but trend difficult to discern given uncertainty over recent figures.
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(xiv) Deterioration in cost competitiveness since 1978. Narrow measures of competitiveness misleading because overwhelmingly dependent on exchange rate movements; on this basis Japan is country that has really become uncompetitive.
(xv) Invisibles surplus declining. Quarterly figures erratic. Fall in 1988 Q1 balance mainly due to increased travel deficit, reflecting strong growth in UK consumers' expenditure and strength of sterling, but surpluses on financial services (despite recent fall in net insurance earnings) and on interest profits and dividends still strong.
(xvi) Positive balance of payments balancing item in \(1988 Q 1\) implies unrecorded current account credits. May imply unrecorded current account credits or unrecorded capital inflows. Would not be surprising If some error occurred in current account.
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DATE: 27 June 1988
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Mr H Bush

MAY TRADE FIGURES

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 24 June. He has made some amendments to the briefing, and I attach a revised version.


\section*{BRIEFING FOR IDT ON MAY TRADE FIGURES}

\section*{Line to take}
- A current account deficit is not itself of great concern if it can be readily financed.
- In this case it reflects a shift in private sector behaviour with increased investment and reduced saving (although the figures may well over-state the extent of the reduction in saving); this contrasts with previous current account deficits which reflected unsustainable public sector borrowing.
- It also reflects a new-found confidence in the UK as a place to invest.
- But we remain determined to keep monetary policy tight and certainly will not stand by to watch a depreciation of the \(£\) (as the Chancellor has indicated on many recent occasions).

\section*{Positive}
(i) Manufacturing industry continues to perform well. In three months to May, manufacturing export volumes 6 per cent higher than a year earlier, while output up 5 per cent in three months to April on a year earlier.

Large positive balancing item in Ql (£3.5 billion) suggests that recorded figures understake capital inflows and overstate current account deficit. nature of quarterly invisibles' balances make recent trends difficult to discern. But, as Chancellor said in House on 16 June, recent figures point to a larger deficit than forecast at Budget time. Next forecast will be published at time of Autumn Statement.
(iii) Current account deficit unsustainable. Current account has to balance over very. long-term, but not over shorter period. Present deficit \({ }_{\boldsymbol{A}}\) financing high investment spending by private sector - contrary to experience in 1960 s and 1970s when current account deficit financed public sector deficit. Private investment is adding to productive capacity which will boost exports and displace imports in the future.
(iv) Confidence in sterling likely to deteriorate. Government's position perfectly clear. Will not bail out excessive increases in domestic costs by allowing exchange rate depreciation.
(v) Current deficit reflects excessive consumption. [Must add figures here]. Inevitable that strong growth in domestic demand and activity has led to some rise in imports of consumer goods. But has also led to strong rises in imports of capital goods, intermediate and semi-manufactured goods, reflecting rising output and investment.
(vi) UK current account deficit likely to reach US proportion. No similarity between UK and US positions. US deficit associated with large and persistent US government fiscal deficit. Stance of \(U\) fiscal policy altogether different. UK current account deficit reflects strength of private sector spending.
(vii) Government should take action to reduce current account deficit. Current account deficit counterpart of capital inflows. So long as Government maintains firm fiscal and monetary policy, no problem.
(viii) Budget tax cuts raised domestic demand and caused current account deficit. No. Non-oil tax burden same in 1988-89 as in 1987-88. PSBR expected to remain negative. Moreover, Budget was a supply side Budget, which will bring increasing economic benefit over the years.
(ix) Current account deficit could be reduced by depreciation of sterling. Not Government policy to depreciate exchange rate to try to increase UK competitiveness. Restraint of
unit costs - which is in industry's own hands - key to competitiveness.
[Leave existing ( \(x\) ) to (xv) as drafted; delete (xvi).

MR \(P\) DAVIS
CC
PS／Chancellor Sir p middleton
Sir T Burns
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in Sedqwick
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\section*{MAY TRADE FIGURES}

We agreed an additional first line to take for today as follows：
＂As Chancellor said in House of Commons on 16 June，current account deficit in 1988 as a whole is likely to exceed Budget Forecast．Reflects stronger growth of UK economy relative to other major economies coupled with increased overseas desire to invest in UK．

> JLL Gin
> John give```

