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## BALANCE OF PAYMENTS: MONTHLY NOTE

I attach the first of a new series of monthly notes on the balance of payments. These will attempt to provide a fuller perspective than is possible in the context of the short briefing notes on the trade figures. They will bring together a lot of scattered information in a single, convenient document.
2. In particular, they will discuss developments in trade volumes and prices in the context of the latest information on world activity, domestic demand and competitiveness. They will also comment on export shares, import penetration and the terms of trade. They will include more detailed analysis of the destination of exports and the commodity composition of imports. They will compare recent developments with both the latest internal and external forecasts.
3. The analysis of course, rests in many cases on partial information. It represents our best view but is not always suitable for public use.
4. We expect to refine both the content and the presentation of the note in the light of comments which will be welcome. The intention is to circulate it around the beginning of each month i.e. about 7-10 days after publication of the trade figures.


A BOTTRILL

```
CST
FST
EST
MST
Sir P Middleton
Sir G Littler
Sir T Burns
Mr Lavelle
Mr Cassell
Mr Monck
Mr Evans
Mr Odling-Smee
Mr Peretz
Mr Scholar
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Bottrill
Mr Culpin
Mr S Davies
Mr Matthews
Mr Melliss
Miss O'Mara
Mr Riley
Mr Pickford
Mr Barrell
Mr Dolphin
Mr Eacche
Mr Owen
Mr Patterson
Mr McLaren
Mr King
```


## MONTHLY NOTE ON THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS - DECEMBER 1986

* In October the visible trade deficit narrowed slightly to £0.8 billion and the current balance moved back to a small surplus of $£ 0.1$ billion.
* New figures for the third quarter, however, show a current deficit of £0. 8 billion compared to surpluses of $£ 0.5$ billion in the first quarter of 1986 and of $£ 0.3$ billion in the second. The cumulative current account surplus so far this year is estimated at £0. 1 billion.
* In the year to November UK competitiveness, as measured by relative unit labour costs, appears to have improved by 10 to 14 per cent. This reflects a $14 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent nominal sterling depreciation partly offset by continuing faster growth of UK unit labour costs than those overseas.
* Domestic demand has picked up since the beginning of 1986 , in both the UK and other $G 5$ countries. World trade in manufactures may also have picked up in the second quarter, although it has been held back by weak demand from non-OECD countries, particularly oil exporters.
* Export volumes (excluding oil and erratics) in the UK have continued the upward trend evident since the spring. In the three months to October they were $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and 4 per cent higher than a year earlier.
* Import volumes (excluding oil and erratics) in the UK, which rose sharply in August, have fallen back a little in September and October, although in the three months to October they were 5 per cent higher than the previous three months and 9 per cent above a year ago.
* The terms of trade were unchanged in the three months to October on the previous three months, but were $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower than a year ago.
* The Industry Act forecast projects the current account close to balance in 1986 and a deficit of $£ 1 \frac{1}{2}$ billion in 1987 . Outsiders forecast on average $a \in 23 / 4$ billion deficit in 1987 .


## trent Account

1. The October trade figures, published on 25 November, showed a surplus on oil trade of $£ 0.2$ billion, and a deficit on non-oil trade of $£ 1.0$ billion. The oil trade surplus which fell sharply between the first two quarters because of the fall in the oil price, has since shown little change. The non-oil deficit, however, rose sharply between the second and third quarters. The decline in the visible balance more than offset an improvement in invisibles and the current account moved to a deficit of £0.8 billion in the third quarter, although October showed a small surplus of $£ 0.1$ billion, partly as a result of a rise in the CSO projection of the invisible surplus to $£ 0.9$ billion.
TABLE 1: CURRENT ACCOUNT
Current Visible of which:
Balance Total Oil Non Manufactures

Other | Invisibles |
| :---: |
| goods Balance |



* CSO projection

CEART 1: CURRENT ACCOUNT

(\% changes on a year earlier in brackets)

|  |  | Relative Unit Labour Costs in domestic currencies $1980=100$ | Sterling <br> Exchange <br> Rate <br> Index <br> $1975=100$ |  | Relative Unit Labour Costs in common currencies $1980=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  | $100.1(-2.0)$ | 90.7 | (-4.5) | 94.5 | (-6.5) |
| 1983 |  | 99.0 (-1.0) | 83.4 | (-8.1) | 85.7 | (-9.0) |
| 1984 |  | 102.0 (3.0) | 78.7 | $(-5.5)$ | 83.5 | (-2.6) |
| 1985 |  | 105.1 (3.0) | 78.2 | (-0.6) | 85.5 | (2.6) |
| 1985 | Q1 | 102.2 (1.5) | 72.1 | (-11.8) | 76.6 | (-10.4) |
|  | Q2 | 104.2 (2.4) | 78.9 | (-1.1) | 85.5 | (1.3) |
|  | Q3 | 106.3 (3.8) | 82.1 | (5.3) | 90.7 | (9.3) |
|  | Q4 | 107.3 (3.5) | 79.8 | (6.3) | 89.0 | (9.9) |
| 1986 | Q1 | 107.6 (5.2) | 75.1 | $(+4.2)$ | 84.0 | (9.6) |
|  | Q2 | 107.1* (2.8) | 76.0 | $(-3.7)$ | 84.8* | (-0.8) |
|  | Q3 | 107.2* (0.9) | 71.9 | (-12.4) | 80.1* | (-11.6) |
| October November |  |  | 67.8 | $(-15.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 68.5 | (-14.4) |  |  |

* estimate

CHART 2: UNIT LABOUR COSTS IN MANUFACTURING
$1980=100$


## CONFIDENTIAL

## Competitiveness

2. UK competitiveness (as measured by relative actual unit labour costs), has improved substantially during 1986 reflecting the sharp depreciation of the nominal exchange rate since the beginning of the year. By November the sterling effective rate was $14 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower than a year earlier. Competitiveness almost certainly improved by less, however, because unit labour costs in the UK have been rising faster than in other countries - by an estimated 3 per cent on average in the first three quarters of 1986 compared with a year earlier. (The apparent improvement in UK unit labour cost performance so far in 1986 (see table 2, column l) reflects in part temporary factors - in particular a sharp fall in manufacturing employment - and is thought to overstate the underlying improvement). Only partial information on unit labour costs is available for the latest five months, but on the assumption that there has been no marked change in the trend in the UK's relative performance it seems likely that competitiveness has improved by about l0-14 per cent over the year to November. The UK has gained competitiveness very rapidly against Germany and Japan, and to a lesser extent against France. But against the US we are probably now slightly less competitive than a year ago.

## World Trade and Domestic Demand

3. G5 countries' domestic demand appears to have been growing strongly in the second and third quarters of 1986 , and their export volumes, which provide early information about world trade, may also have begun to recover. Industrial output in the G5 countries other than the UK has, however, not shown much sign of increase in the third quarter. The growth of total world trade has almost certainly picked up more than that for manufactures alone as a result of higher trade in oil. UK domestic demand and production appear to have risen strongly in the third quarter with higher retail sales and manufacturing output.

percentage change on previous year

| World |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G5* | G5 | G5 |
| Export | Domestic | Industrial |
| Volumes | Demand | Production |


| 1982 | -2.9 | -0.2 | -3.6 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 | -1.1 | 4.7 | 2.9 |
| 1984 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| 1985 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 19861 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 11.3 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| - 2 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| 3 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| 4 | -0.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 19861 | -3.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | -3.0 | 2.8 | -0.8 |
| 2 | -0.9 | 4.2 | 0.9 | -0.2 | 3.01 | -1.0 |
| 3 |  |  | 0.5 | 2.3 | 4.51 | 1.0 |
| July |  |  | 0.5 | 2.3 |  | 1.1 |
| August |  |  | 0.4 | -1.0 |  | 0.2 |
| September |  |  | 0.8 | 7.8 |  | 1.5 |
| October |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| * Not sea <br> ** Exclud | nally | errat | $1_{\text {Est }}$ |  |  |  |

## Summary

## TABLE 4: VISIBLE TRADE VALUES

|  | Exports | Emports | Ebillion <br> Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 55.6 | 53.2 | 2.3 |
| 1983 | 60.8 | 61.6 | -0.8 |
| 1984 | 70.4 | 74.8 | -4.4 |
| 1985 | 78.1 | 80.2 | -2.1 |
| 19861 | 18.1 | 19.6 | -1.4 |
| 2 | 17.8 | 19.4 | -1.6 |
|  | 17.5 | 20.6 | -3.0 |
| August | 5.5 | 7.0 | -1.5 |
| September | 6.1 | 7.0 | -0.9 |
| October | 6.2 | 7.0 | -0.8 |

4. Tables 4 and 5 show recent movements in trade values, volumes and prices. The rise in the visible deficit which in the first half of the year reflected the deterioration in the terms of trade associated with the fall in the oil price, has more recently reflected mainly a very rapid growth of import volumes relative to export volumes. The terms of trade showed an improvement in September, although this appears to be due largely to an erratically sharp rise in the volume but not the value of fuel imports, reducing the average price of fuel imports. (The deterioration over the past year in the average value index terms of trade contrasts markedly with the improvement in the DTI's published terms of trade index calculated from unit value indices. The latter indices - being 1980 base weighted - do not give sufficient weight to oil.)

|  | Volumes* |  |  | Average Value Indices |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports | Ratio Exports: Imports | Exports | Imports | Terms of trade |
| 1982 | 101.9 | 101.5 | 100.4 | 115.0 | 113.9 | 101.0 |
| 1983 | 103.8 | 109.7 | 94.6 | 123.5 | 122.0 | 101.2 |
| 1984 | 112.5 | 121.9 | 92.3 | 131.9 | 133.0 | 99.2 |
| 1985 | 118.6 | 125.8 | 94.3 | 138.8 | 138.3 | 100.4 |
| 19861 | 116.9 | 125.7 | 93.0 | 130.8 | 135.1 | 96.8 |
| 2 | 121.8 | 128.6 | 94.7 | 123.2 | 130.8 | 94.2 |
| 3 | 123.3 | 139.1 | 88.6 | 119.8 | 128.3 | 93.4 |
| August | 117.0 | 142.9 | 81.9 | 118.3 | 127.3 | 92.9 |
| September | 126.5 | 139.5 | 90.7 | 121.5 | 129.9 | 93.5 |
| October | 125.3 | 140.6 | 89.1 | 125.3 | 130.5 | 96.0 |
| 3 mths to Oct on same period previous year | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | $-7 \frac{1}{2}$ | -10 | $-2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 mths to Oct on previous 3 meths | 0 | 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| October on September | -1 | 1 | -2 | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2交 |

## Volumes*

```
* including oil and erratics
```


## CHART 3: TERMS OF TRADE



## Exports

5. The upward trend in exports which appears to have begun in March (see Chart 4) has continued with the volume of non-oil
exports (excluding erratics) li per cent higher in the three Onths to October than in the previous three months. The CBI survey for October shows a strong rise in manufacturers' optimism about export prospects compared to July, and the November enquiry indicates a sharp increase in export orders.

TABLE 6: EXPORT VOLUMES

$$
1980=100
$$

Goods
less
erratics*

| 1982 | 104.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 105.2 |
| 1984 | 115.4 |
| 1985 | 123.0 |
| 1986 | 1 |
| 2 | 121.0 |
|  | 2 |

Goods less
oil and
erratics*
99.3
98.2
excluding erratics

$$
96.2
$$

107.6
115.0

$$
115.7
$$

111.4
115.1

111
118.0
113.7

## Manufactures

$$
97.8
$$

$$
107.0
$$

116
117
August
September October
123.4
121.1

113
129.9
128.8
120.8

119
119
of change
3 months to Oct
on same period
previous year $4 \frac{1}{2}$
4
3
3 months to Oct
on previous
3 months $\quad 1 \frac{1}{2}$
$1 \frac{1}{2}$
$-\frac{1}{2}$
Oct on Sept -1
0
0

* Bálance of Payments basis

CHART 4: NON OIL EXPORT VOLUMES EXCLUDING ERRATICS

6. The growth in export volumes against a background of weak. world trade growth in the first three quarters of 1986 suggests that underlying UK export performance has been good. On the basi of WEP estimates of main manufacturing countries' exports the UK's volume share may be close to last year's average although these estimates are subject to revision as fuller information becomes available. The Industry Act forecast is consistent with UK exports of manufactures growing roughly in line with main manufacturing countries' exports.
table 7: UK manupacturers' searb of main manupacturing countribs' EXPORTS

Per cent

Volume

| 1980 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
| 1982 | 8.8 | 8.5 |
| 1983 | 9.1 | 8.1 |
| 1984 | 9.2 | 8.0 |
| 1985 | 9.4 | 8.2 |
| 19851 | 9.4 | 7.7 |
| 2 | 9.5 | 8.4 |
| 3 | 9.2 | 8.4 |
| 4 | 9.4 | 8.4 |
| 19861 | 8.9 | 7.6 |
| 2* | 9.4 | 8.3 |
| 3* | 9.3 | 7.7 |

* Based on WEP estimates

CHART 5: UK SEARE OF MAIN MANUPACTURING COUNTRIES' EXPORTS


Manufacturers' export prices, which remained broadly flat through the early part of 1986 , are now rising in response to both higher domestic costs and the opportunities afforded by sterling's depreciation to improve profit margins. Fuel export prices continued to recover in October (reflecting the oil price rise in early August) but in the three months to October they were 56 per cent lower than a year earlier. Food and basic materials prices have begun to pick up, perhaps reflecting the pick up in world commodity prices in September and October, although this increase has been short-lived.

TABLE 8: EXPORT PRICES*

Indices 1980=100

| Manufac- Food, Drink | Basic | Fuel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tures | and Tobacco | Materials |


| 111.9 | 113.9 | 98.8 | 131.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 120.6 | 118.2 | 108.3 | 138.0 |
| 128.5 | 122.9 | 129.2 | 148.6 |
| 136.1 | 128.1 | 138.4 | 152.1 |

137.1
136.2
137.8
137.5 139.4 125.4
125.5
126.6
131.6
127.8

August
September
October
140.3
132.3

| 3 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-8 \frac{1}{2}$ | -56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $-3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 13 |

## \% change

3 months to Oct on same period previous year 3 months to Oct on previous 3 months
Oct on Sept

* Average Value Indices - Overseas Trade Statistics basis

8. The volume of exports going to oil exporting countries and to the US appear to have fallen in recent months, although these falls have been more than offset by a rise in exports to the European Community. The 25 per cent fall in exports to oil exporters in the three months to October compared with the previous three months, however, overstates the underlying decline, since exports in the three months to July were boosted by deliveries of Tornadoes to Saudi Arabia. Nevertheless, exports to oil-producing countries in the latest three months were still 13 per cent lower than a year ago. Exports to the EC, however, rose $10 \frac{1}{2}$ percent over the same period.

TABLE 9: GEOGRAPHICAL DESTINATION OF EXPORTS
£ million, 1980 prices

|  | EC | US | Oil Exporters | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 20875 | 6472 | 5796 | 14943 |
| 1983 | 22445 | 6860 | 5119 | 14628 |
| 1984 | 24796 | 7690 | 4554 | 16246 |
| 1985 | 27225 | 8291 | 4416 | 16396 |
| 19851 | 6891 | 1978 | 1245 | 3987 |
| 2 | 6505 | 2247 | 1104 | 4386 |
| 3 | 6872 | 2002 | 1048 | 3986 |
| 4 | 6958 | 2062 | 1016 | 4169 |
| 19861 | 6962 | 2137 | 1044 | 4138 |
| 2 | 7389 | 2099 | 1175 | 4515 |
| 3 | 7857 | 2008 | 964 | 4592 |
| August | 2398 | 637 | 271 | 1474 |
| September | 2673 | 667 | 292 | 1558 |
| October | 2761 | 627 | 295 | 1471 |
| 3 months to Oct on same period previous year | 10年 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | -13 | $16 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| \% change |  |  |  |  |
| 3 months to Oct |  |  |  |  |
| on previous | 71 | -7 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | -25 | -2 |
| 3 months | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-7 \frac{1}{2}$ | -25 | -2 |
| Oct on Sept | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | -6 | 1 | $-5 \frac{1}{2}$ |

## Imports

9. Import volumes (excluding oil and erratics) rose by 6 per cent in the three months to October on the previous three months, although there are some signs that imports may have levelled off in September and October after the steep rise in August. Imports of finished manufactures in October remained below the August peak but in the latest three months were more than 5 per cent above the previous three months. Consumer goods have been below their August peak. The most rapid increase recently has been in imports of capital goods, which were up $l l$ per cent, but this represented a recovery from the artificially low level earlier this year perhaps due in part to the phasing out of capital allowances. Semi-manufactures also rose considerably in the three months to October to a level $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above the previous three months reflecting at least in part the increase in domestic manufacturing output. Fuel volumes rose by 19 per cent over the same period although the figures may not be wholly reliable.

TABLE 10a: IMPORT VOLUMES


## table lob Import volumes of manupactures

| Semi Finished | of which: | Other | Inter- | Capital |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| manufac- manufactures | Passenger | consumer | mediate | goods |
| tures | motor cars | goods | goods |  |


| 1982 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 110.1 | 113.3 | 122.8 | 128.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 123.3 | 136.4 | 125.5 | 124.9 | 136.2 | 153.1 |
| 1984 | 137.2 | 153.0 | 119.9 | 139.6 | 161.4 | 172.9 |
| 1985 | 143.9 | 161.5 | 127.9 | 139.6 | 172.8 | 187.2 |
| 19861 | 148 | 159 | 130 | 145 | 171 | 175 |
| 2 | 149 | 162 | 126 | 154 | 182 | 168 |
| 3 | 156 | 177 | 144 | 166 | 193 | 186 |
| August | 159 | 186 | 166 | 171 | 204 | 192 |
| September | 159 | 173 | 125 | 163 | 185 | 189 |
| October | 156 | 175 | 137 | 154 | 191 | 195 |
| \% change | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | $21 \frac{1}{2}$ | $17 \frac{1}{2}$ | $13 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 |
| 3 mths to Oct on previous year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 mths to Oct | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 |
| on prev 3 mths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct on Sept | -2 | 1 | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 3 |

MAGUS.MMSNEBOE

CEART 7: IMPORT PENEIRATION

the The growth of imports in the third quarter was faster than projected growth of total final expenditure, implying a substantial rise in import volume penetration. This follows a period, during 1985, when volume penetration appeared to level off (Chart 7). It is not yet possible to be sure whether the latest deterioration is merely a temporary phenomenon, associated with the particularly strong recent rise in domestic demand earlier or whether it marks a return to more adverse trends.

TABLE ll: IMPORT PENETRATION*

|  |  | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Value |
| 1980 | 13.9 | 14.0 |
| 1981 | 13.9 | 13.2 |
| 1982 | 14.6 | 13.7 |
| 1983 | 15.5 | 14.8 |
| 1984 | 16.5 | 16.2 |
| 1985 | 16.5 | 16.1 |
| 19851 | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| 2 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| 3 | 16.5 | 15.7 |
| 4 | 16.7 | 15.4 |
| 19861 | 16.4 | 15.8 |
| 2 | 16.4 | 15.6 |
| 3** | 17.3 | 16.4 |

* Imports (excluding oil) as a percentage of total final expenditure

```
** Projection
```

11. Import prices of manufactures have begun to rise reflecting the depreciation of sterling. Food import prices are similarly rising. Weak world commodity prices which had until recently helped to hold down the price of imported basic materials, picked up in September and October and may have contributed to the recent rise in the price of basic materials.

|  | Manufactures | Food Drink and Tobacco | $1980=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Basic <br> Materials | Fuel |
| 1982 | 110.9 | 109.0 | 104.4 | 144.7 |
| 1983 | 118.6 | 118.6 | 112.8 | 154.1 |
| 1984 | 126.8 | 129.3 | 131.6 | 173.8 |
| 1985 | 132.9 | 132.7 | 130.2 | 180.0 |
| 19853 | 130.6 | 129.5 | 125.8 | 165.9 |
| 4 | 128.7 | 127.5 | 115.8 | 156.4 |
| 19861 | 135.0 | 129.4 | 115.2 | 141.3 |
| 2 | 133.7 | 130.9 | 113.0 | 103.0 |
| 3 | 134.7 | 133.0 | 110.9 | 77.4 |
| August | 135.0 | 132.9 | 110.5 | 73.7 |
| September | 135.9 | 134.0 | 110.2 | 81.0 |
| October | 137.9 | 136.3 | 112.7 | 80.6 |
| \% change |  |  |  |  |
| 3 mths to Oct on same period previous year | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | -91 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -51 |
| 3 mths to Oct on | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | -1 | -12 |
| previous 3 mths |  |  |  |  |
| Oct on Sept | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |

## INVISIBLES

12. The invisibles balance was estimated to have been in surplus by a little under $£ 2.3$ billion in the third quarter of 1986 , compared with a revised outturn of $£ 1.9$ billion in the second quarter. The average surplus of $£ 751$ million a month in the third quarter compares with the CSO's earlier estimate, published with the October trade figures, of $£ 800$ million a month. The new projection for the surplus in October was £900 million.

13. Improvements on the balances on services and interest, profits and dividends between the second and third quarters were only partially offset by a deterioration in the balance on transfers. The increased surplus on services, which was helped by slight improvements on the travel and civil aviation balances, was largely a reflection of increased credits on 'financial and other services', which were buoyed by higher net insurance premium earnings. The higher transfer deficit was mainly the result of higher net EC contributions. The rise in the IPD surplus reflected improvements in the earnings on both direct and portfolio investment. The former was temporarily boosted by a tax credit to $B P$, and both benefitted from the effect of the falling exchange rate on net earnings in sterling terms. However these effects were partly offset by a sharp rise in UK banks' net overseas interest payments. The Bank of England does not yet have a satisfactory explanation for this.

## BALANCE OF RESOURCES

14. The rapid rise in the volume of imports of goods and services relative to exports of goods and services has led to a shift of resources out of the balance of payments widening to the equivalent of more than 1 per cent of GDP in the third quarter.
( \% change on previous period in brackets)

| 1982 |  | Exports of |  | Imports of |  | Balance* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Goods and $63.3$ | services (0.8) | Goods and $59.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { services } \\ & (0.0) \end{aligned}$ | 3.8 | (-1.3) |
| 1983 |  | 64.7 | (2.2) | 62.8 | (5.5) | 1.9 | (-0.9) |
| 1984 |  | 69.0 | (6.7) | 68.6 | (9.2) | 0.5 | (-0.7) |
| 1985 |  | 73.1 | (5.9) | 71.0 | (3.0) | 2.5 | (1.0) |
| 1986 | 1 | 18.0 | $(-2.0)$ | 17.8 | (-1.2) | 0.2 | $(-0.3)$ |
|  | 2 | 18.6 | (3.9) | 18.1 | (2.0) | 0.5 | (-0.6) |
|  | 3 | 18.9 | (1.4) | 19.1 | (5.5) | -0.2 | $(-1.3)$ |

* Figures in brackets refer to change in resource balance as percentage of GDP in previous period.


## CAPITAL FLOWS AND NET OVERSEAS ASSETS

15. Despite the current account deficit in the third quarter, net capital outflows were recorded, although, at $£ 0.9$ billion, they were considerably less than the $£ 2.6$ billion recorded in the second quarter. There was a sharp rise in outward direct investment, reflecting in part increased take-over activity in the US. Outward portfolio investment, however, declined over the same period. Inward portfolio investment rose and there was also a sharp increase in banks' net foreign currency borrowing. Both of these may be related to the Big Bang. The balancing item was £l.9 billion in the third quarter, similar to the quarterly average for the first half of the year. Some of this may represent errors in the current account, but the major part probably reflects as yet unidentified capital inflows.

## CONFIDENTIAL

BLE 15: NET TRANSACTIONS ON CAPITAL ACCOUNT
E million

|  | 1985 | 1986 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |
| Direct investment | -3937 | +1205 | -210 | -1781 |
| Portfolio investment | -11171 | -4078 | -4864 | -2931 |
| Net foreign currency | +4909 | +788 | +2901 | +6002 |
| $\quad$ lending abroad |  |  |  |  |
| Net sterling lending +2513 +1818 -1389 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ abroad |  |  |  | -722 |
| Other | +1821 | -729 | +1249 | +856 |
| Official reserves | -1758 | -580 | -296 | -2321 |
| Total | -7623 | -1576 | -2609 | -897 |

- = net outflow, $+=$ net inflow.

16. Although there has probably been little net capital outflow this year, given the deterioration in the current account, net overseas assets are nevertheless likely to have grown strongly because of the depreciation of sterling against most currencies other than the US dollar and the strength of overseas stock and bond markets.

## PROSPECTS

17. Independent forecasters are on average expecting a current deficit of $£ 23 / 4$ billion in 1987 compared to the Industry Act forecast's $£ l \frac{1}{2}$ billion deficit but there are wide differences of view. The National Institute expects the current account to be in deficit by $£ 5.6$ billion in 1987 and $£ 7.2$ billion by 1988 , the result of rapid import growth and rather low growth in net earnings on interest, profit and dividends. The latest LBS 'Economic Outlook', however, argues that the recent depreciation of sterling will eventually bring about a shift away from consumption and into exports, and hence, the current account into the medium term should return to broad balance by 1990. Oxford Economic Forecasting shows an even quicker improvement. Others, however, such as Goldman Sachs, Phillips and Drew and Henley show deficits persisting for the rest of the decade.

TABLE 16: CURRENT ACCOUNT ( $£$ billion)
19861987198819891990
National Institute (Nov)
LBS (Nov)
Phillips \& Drew (Nov)
Goldman \& Sachs (Oct)
Henley (Nov)
Oxford (Nov)
Outside Average (Oct)

| -1.8 | -5.6 | -7.2 | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.4 | -2.4 | -1.2 | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| 0.1 | -3.2 | -4.4 | -2.9 | -1.8 |
| -0.8 | -2.8 | -3.3 | -1.9 | -2.9 |
| -0.3 | -1.1 | -2.0 | -2.5 | -2.6 |
| -1.0 | -2.2 | 0.1 | -0.5 | -0.1 |
| 0.2 | -2.7 |  |  |  |

## INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS

18. Over the past year, as the UK current account has deteriorated, the US current deficit has tended to level off as a share of GNP while the German and Japanese surpluses have increased considerably.

TABLE 17 COMPARISON OF CURRENT ACCOUNTS AS \% OF NOMINAL GDP/GNP FOR THE G5

|  |  | US | Japan | Germany | France | UK | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 |  | -2.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.6 |
| 1985 |  | -2.9 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | -0.7 |
| 1985 | 1 | -2.7 | 3.1 | 1.5 | -0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 |
|  | 2 | -3.0 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | -0.1 |
|  | 3 | -2.8 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | -0.0 |
|  | 4 | -3.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | -0.3 |
| 1986 | 1 | -3.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | -0.1 |
|  | 2 | -3.3 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | -0.0 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1.4* | . 0 |

MR BOTTRILL

Ya ra de
BALANCE OF PAYMENTS BRIEFING


Following the release of the latest figures a number of points were raised by attention to gaps in the $Q$ and A briefing. This briefing is usually fairly cursory but has become more so in the last few months. This is to some extent understandable because the bulk of the calls are dealt with by DTI and CSO. However, we are usually approached as a matter of course by journalists working on the 'heavies' so there is a need for some sort of background on the figures.
2. The problem was complicated last month by the fact that coo was clearly completely unprepared for the questions addressed to them in the revision to the invisibles.
3. But a further factor is that the background note for the Chancellor often contains a fuller explanation of the figures than is given in the $Q$ and $A$ - explanation which is often not in the slightest way confidential. But the way the brief is currently written we cannot distinguish between what is and what is not for use. For example, for the most recent set of figures the paragraph on import volumes contained a rather more informative description of the underlying trend in import growth than the single sentence in the briefing.
4. Many journalists were also interested in relating the figures to the projections in the Autumn Statement, but the briefing contained no reference to the AS. In particular it did not mention the forecast on invisibles and how it related to the upward revisions to the CSO's figures.
5. It would also be useful if claims in the briefing such as, "growth [of imports] appears to be slowing in underlying terms"
was supported by the relevant figures (or reference to the appropriate table or chart).
6. We think it would be extremely helpful for IDT to have a brief meeting with EA2 on the figures and the background briefing at an appropriate time before release of the figures, perhaps on the morning of release. Would that be possible?.


S J PICKFORD

DATE: 10 DECEMBER 1986

1. MISS OMARA
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER for fist Order (they are incorporated in the brefigi) Shaw
(published 11.30 Thursday 11 December)
cc Chief Secretary Mr Guy Financial Secretary Economic Secretary Minister of State Sir Peter Middleton Sir Terence Burns Mr Monck Mr H Sedgwick Mr Culpin Mr S J Davies

## INVESTMENT INTENTIONS SURVEY: DECEMBER 1986

The bi-annual survey covers manufacturing, construction, distribution and selected service industries. (The total for these is described here as 'industrial investment'.) These sectors account for around 40 per cent of total fixed investment. The survey questionnaire was completed at the end of September and in October.
2. The main features are:
(i) Industrial investment is expected to rise by around 6 per cent in 1987 in real terms, and and by a similar amount in 1988, following a slight fall this year.
(ii) Manufacturing investment (including leased assets) is expected to rise by around 2 per cent in 1987, following a fall of 2 per cent this year. Indications for 1988 suggest a larger increase in manufacturing investment than in 1987.
(iii) Investment in construction, distribution and selected services (excluding assets leased to manufacturers) is expected to rise by 8 per cent in 1987, following zero growth in 1986. The results suggest a further significant increase in 1988, though smaller than in 1987.

## INVESTMENT INTENTIONS DECEMBER SURVEY

(1980 prices, year on year percentage changes, June 1986 Survey results in brackets)

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing <br> incl. leased assets | +5.6 | -2 | +2 | $+5^{*}$ |
| Construction, distribution, |  | $(+3)$ | $(+2 *)$ |  |
| selected service <br> excl. assetsleased to manufacturing | +10.0 | 0 | +8 | $+6^{*}$ |
| Total |  | $(+4)$ | $\left(+5^{*}\right)$ |  |
|  | +8.4 | -1 | +6 | $+6^{*}$ |

3. The estimates for 1986 are based on data for the first three quarters and projections for Q4. As such, they are subject to considerable revision. Data for the whole of 1985 and the first three quarters of 1986 are, in fact, still very provisional. (Initial estimates of growth in manufacturing investment in 1982, 1983 and 1984 were revised upward substantially as more data became available.)

## Comparison with June Survey

4. Compared with the DTI's June survey, the latest results indicate faster growth in industrial investment in 1987, but from a lower base; the projected growth between 1985 and 1987, is slightly lower than in their June survey. The projected growth in manufacturing investment between 1985 and 1987 is now zero compared with 5 per cent in June.

## Comparison with IAF

5. The Autumn Industry Act forecast stated: 'Non-oil business investment, seems likely to rise this year by almost 3 per cent, compared with 6 per cent last year... In 1987 non oil business investment is expected to grow at a similar rate to, or slightly faster than this year'. Although the definitions are not strictly comparable, the DTI survey points to faster growth in non oil business investment in 1987 than the IAF but slower growth in 1986.

## Comparison with CBI projections

6. The DTI survey indicates slower growth in manufacturing investment for 1987 than projected in the CBI November Economic Situation Report (but similar growth in 1988). For 1986 the DTI's fall of 2 per cent compares with the CBI's projected "no change."

## FORECASTS FOR MANUFACTURING AND NON MANUFACTURING INVESTMENT*: Summary Comparison

DTI Survey
CONFIDENTIAL: HMT (November)
CBI (November)

* FOR INFORMATION ONLY: figures not published.
** NB definitions not wholly comparable between different forecasts.
[NOT FOR USE: The forecast for manufacturing investment underlying the IAF was for faster growth in both 1986 and 1987 than suggested by the DTI. The forecast for non manufacturing (non-North Sea) investment was for faster growth than suggested by DTI in 1986 but slower growth in 1987]


## Lines to take

## Positive

1. Industrial investment expected to rise by around 6 per cent in 1987 and by similar amount in 1988.
2. Manufacturing investment (including leased assets) expected to rise by around 2 per cent in 1987 and by larger amount in 1988.
3. Investment in construction, distribution and services expected to rise by about 8 per cent in 1987, with further growth in 1988.
4. Industrial/manufacturing investment risen by around 30 per cent in last three years, (change between 1983Q1 and average level so far this year to Q3).
5. Survey supports Industry Act forecast of healthy growth in non-oil business investment for 1987.

## Defensive

Fall in industrial/manufacturing investment this year.

Figures for 1986 still subject to very considerable revision. (Initial estimates of growth in manufacturing investment in 1982, 1983 and 1984 were revised upwards substantially.) Survey points to substantial growth in industrial investment in 1987 and 1988. Manufacturing expected to share in growth. CBI also forecasting growth in manufacturing investment in 1987 and 1988.


GWYN HACCHE

The annual rate of inflation in November, as measured by the 12 -month change in the retail prices index, rose to 3.5 per cent from the 3.0 per cent recorded in October.
2. Increases in mortgage interest rates from around 11 per cent to around 12.25 per cent, which affected most borrowers from 1 November, accounted for about three quarters of the 0.8 per cent rise in the 'all items' index between October and November. Higher prices for durable household goods and increased motor insurance premia also contributed to the monthly rise. Last year a smaller increase - of 0.3 per cent - was recorded between the corresponding months.
3. In December higher food prices are expected, contributing to an increase in the 'all items' index of between $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2$ per cent between November and December. This contrasts with the small rise of 0.1 per cent recorded for the same period a year ago and as a result the annual rate of inflation in December is expected to rise further - to around $3 / 4$ per cent.

## Producer Prices

4. The seasonally adjusted price index for materials and fuels purchased by manufacturing industry has shown increases in each of the months from August. Prices were nevertheless 4 per cent lower in November than in November 1985 having declined in the first part of the year.
5. The annual increase in the prices for home sales of manufactured products has been falling steadily since the beginning of 1985 when it was around 6 per cent. By the beginning of this year it was around 5 percent and has been below $4 / 2$ per cent since the summer. In November it was 4.1 per cent.

## Tax and Price Index

6. The tax and prices index increased by 2.2 per cent in the year to November compared with 1.5 per cent recorded for October.

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

International comparisons
8. The latest 12 -month percentage changes in consumer prices in the main OECD countries and the averages for all EEC and OECD countries are as follows:-

|  | FEDERAL | NETHER |  | OECD EEC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UK FRANCE |  |  |  |  |
| GERMANY ITALY -LANDS JAPAN USA CANADA | Averages |  |  |  |


| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Q3 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Q4 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 7.9 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| Q2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | -0.2 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Q3 | 2.6 | 2.1 | -0.4 |  | -0.4 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 2.4 | 2.0 | -0.4 |  | -0.5 | -0.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Sept | 3.0 | 2.3 | -0.4 |  | -0.6 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| October | 3.0 | 2.2 | -0.9 |  | -0.2 | -0.6 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| November | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL 11.30 AM ON FRIDAY 12 DECEMBER 1986
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December 12, 1986

## INDEX OF RETAIL PRICES

NOVEMBER 1986

The index of retail prices for all items for November 11, 1986 was 391.7 (January 15, $1974=100$ ). This represents an increase of 0.8 per cent on October 1986 (388.4) and an increase of 3.5 per cent on November 1985 (387.4).

The rise in the index between September and October was the result of increases in mortgage interest rates from around 11 per cent to around $12 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent, which affected most borrowers from 1 November. Higher prices for durable household goods and increased motor insurance premium were also recorded.

The movements for the main groups in the index are shown in Table 2.

Table 1.

|  | All items |  |  |  | All items except seasonal food |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { Jan } 15 \\ 1974=100 \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change over |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \mathrm{Jan} 15 \\ 1974=100 \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change over |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 385.8 | -0.1 | +1.8 | +2.5 | 387.0 | -0.1 | +1.5 |
| July | 384.7 | -0.3 | +1.3 | +2.4 | 386.8 | -0.1 | +1.3 |
| August | 385.9 | +0.3 | +1.3 | +2.4 | 387.9 | +0.3 | +1.2 |
| September | 387.8 | +0.5 | +1.6 | +3.0 | 390.0 | +0.5 | +1.7 |
| October | 388.4 | +0.2 | +0.8 | +3.0 | 390.9 | +0.2 | +1.0 |
| November | 391.7 | +0.8 | +1.5 | +3.5 | 394.3 | +0.9 | +1.8 |

TABLE 2

Indices (15 January $1974=100$ )
Percentage change
October 14, 1986 November 11, 1986 over the month

| All items | 388.4 | 391.7 | +0.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All items excluding food | 399.6 | 403.7 | +1.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Food | 347.6 | 347.5 | -0.6 |
| Seasonal food | 324.9 | 322.8 | +0.1 |
| Food excluding seasonal | 352.2 | 352.4 | -0.1 |
| Alcoholic drink | 436.6 | 436.0 | +0.4 |
| Tobacco | 599.9 | 602.2 | +4.0 |
| Housing | 478.4 | 506.1 | -0.1 |
| Fuel and light | 506.4 | 267.3 | +1.0 |
| Durable household goods | 264.7 | 234.0 | +0.4 |
| Clothing and footwear | 233.0 | 395.3 | +0.5 |
| Transport and vehicles | 393.3 | 413.0 | +0.1 |
| Miscellaneous goods | 412.5 | 406.2 | +0.5 |
| Services | 404.0 | 449.5 | +0.4 |

## NOTES TO EDITORS

1 The retail prices index measures the change from month to month in the average level of prices of goods and services purchased by most households in the United Kingdom. The expenditure pattern on which the index is based is revised each year using information from the Family Expenditure Survey. The expenditure of certain higher income households and households of retired people dependent mainly on social security benefits is excluded.

2 The index covers a large and representative selection of more than 600 separate goods and services, for which prices movements are regularly measured in about 180 towns throughout the country. Approximately 130,000 separate price quotations are used each month in compiling the index.

3 Seasonal variations in the index of retail prices are due largely to a very few items of "seasonal food". That is, those items of food the prices of which show significant seasonal variations. These items account for less than five per cent of total retail expenditure. If the variation caused by these items is removed, the underlying trend of the figures can be seen more clearly.

4 Rates of change of indices can be calculated over periods of any length. However, rates calculated over periods as long as twelve months are slow to detect changes in trend, while calculations over periods as short as three months give rather volatile results. To help in assessing trends, rates of changes in the all items index and the index for all items except seasonal food are shown in Table 1 over successive periods of six months.

5 The housing costs of owner-occupiers are represented in the index by an indicator which reflects, inter alia, the rate of interest typically charged on mortgage loans. Hitherto this has been based on the rate recommended by the Building Societies' Association but, following the decision of several societies to charge a higher rate from August 1984, a weighted average of actual rates is now being used.

6 The index is given in full in the Employment Gazette.
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CC : PS/Chief Secretary PS/Financial Secretary PS/Economic Secretary PS/Minister of State Sir Peter Middleton Sir Terence Burns Mr FE R Butler Mr F Cassel
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Mr Sedgwick
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Mr Bottrill
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Mr Pickford
Mr Hacche
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Mr Ross Goobey
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Mr Tyrie

THE NOVEMBER RPI (to be published at 11.30 a.m. on Friday 12 December)

The level of the RPI rose by 0.8 per cent between October and November. The twelve month rate of inflation rose to 3.5 per cent in November from 3.0 per cent in October. This is as we expected.
2. Excluding mortgage interest payments, the twelve month rate of increase fell to 3.3 per cent in November from 3.4 per cent in October.
3. The increase in the mortgage rate increased the all items RPI by about 0.6 per cent. Apart from this the prices of durable household goods and motor insurance premimums increased significantly.

## RBI

Sept

## CONFIDENTIAL

4. While most of the effect of higher mortgage rates came through in November, a small residual effect will be reflected in the December index. We expect the twelve month rate of inflation to increase slightly in December in the range $3 \frac{1}{2}-3^{3 / 4}$ per cent. Increases at the upper end of this range would mean that the increase in the RPI in the year to $1986 Q 4$ would round to $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent rather than the $3 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent forecast in the Autumn Statement. It is not possible at the moment to be more precise about the likely outturn.
5. The RPI figures are in line with City expectations. Alexander Laing and Cruickshank, Phillips and Drew, and Wood MacKenzie all correctly anticipate an increase of 0.8 per cent between October and November, while James Cape expect 0.7 per cent.


S BROOKS
EAT DIVISION
EXT 7946

Mr H P Evans
Mr Scholar
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Kelly
MP
Mr Barrel
Mr Owen
Mr S King

## ADVANCE CIRCULATION OF TRADE FIGURES

Your note of 28 November and Mr Culpin's subsequent note.
2. We will amend our circulation list (your Annex C) to add Mr Scholar and Mr Kelly. We will also make it clear that the Press office can be briefed at 9 a.m. on the morning the figures are published. We shall move Mr Evans from the advance circulation list to the 'after 11.30 atm.' list since he no longer needs advance warning.
3. Mr Sedgwick and I have discussed how we might reduce the number of copies we receive from DTI. Our best suggestion is that you add Mr Sedgwick to your list for the exports and trade figures, and that we delete his name from the DTI list.


A BOTTRILL
cm
tb-tdfigs

## FROM: CATHY RYDING DATE: 11 December 1986

MR HACCHE

```
cc Chief Secretary
    Financial Secretary
    Economic Secretary
    Minister of State
    Sir P Middleton
    Sir T Burns
    Mr Monck
    Mr H Sedgwick
    Mr Culpin
    Mr S J Davies
    Miss O'Mara
    Mr Shaw
    Mr Guy
    Mr Hudson
    Mr Naisbitt
    Mr Dyer (+l for No 10)
    Mr Cropper
    Mr Ross Goobey
    Mr Tyrie
```

INVESTMENT INTENTIONS SURVEY : DECEMBER 1986

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 10 December.
2. The Chancellor has noted that the sectors covered by the Survey account for around 40 per cent of total fixed investment. He wonders what our best guess is for the other 60 per cent.

pf CATHY RYDING
$\qquad$
TO: (Circulation list

PS/SECRETARY OF STATE attached)

From:
ROGER NORTON
Head/Branch 3
Room 1.212


Business Statistics Office
NEWPORT, Gwent
GTN: 2411/2069
12 December 1986


RETAIL SALES: PROVISIONAL ESTIMATE FOR NOVEMBER

A Press Notice giving the provisional estimate for retail sales for November is attached for information.
2. The provisional index at 126.1 is well above all previous levels. Recent growth in sales is strong but the latest figure may exaggerate it for technical reasons. (The trading period used for November this year is 6 days further into the Christmas period than in 1985. The limited past evidence available does not suggest this would have a significant effect, but there must be additional
uncertainty in the seasonal adjustment of the estimate.)
3. This Notice will be published at 11.30 am on Monday 15 December. As usual, the contents should be treated as confidential until then.


Department of Trade and Industry
1 Victoria Street SW1H 0ET
Press Office: $\quad 01-215-4471 / 4475$
Ref: 894
Out of hours: 01-215 7877
December 151986
RETAIL SALES: PROVISIONAL ESTIMATE FOR NOVEMBER 1986
VOLUME
The provisional estimate of the seasonally adjusted index of the volume of retail sales in November was $126.1(1980=100)$, well above previous levels.

In the three months September to November, the level of sales was 2 per cent higher than in the previous three months and 7 per cent higher than in the corresponding period a year earlier.

## VALUE

Based on non-seasonally adjusted data, the provisional estimate of the value of retail sales was 10 per cent higher than in November 1985. So far this year, the average value of sales has been 9 per cent higher than in the first eleven months of last year.

## RETAIL SALES



Note: The monthly periods consist of 4 weeks except those marked * which are 5 weeks.

1 Estimates are based on early returns to the monthly inquiry. These returns cover over three-quarters, by turnover, of information available at the final stage. Over the last 12 months the average difference (ignoring sign) between provisional and final estimates has been about 0.25 per cent.

2 The seasonally adjusted volume series includes, where possible, adjustments for the timing of holidays, although there are inevitably problems in adjusting for the effects of Christmas and New Year sales spending. An additional uncertainty this year is the effect of the 5 week trading period in January 1986. This causes November's trading period to be later by 6 calendar days than the corresponding period in 1985 and thus nearer the Christmas peak of sales. However, the limited past evidence suggests that this effect is not significant.

3 The best indication of the recent trend is normally obtained by comparing the average seasonally adjusted index for the latest three months with the average for the immediately preceding three months. However, it is sometimes more appropriate to make a two or four month comparison.

4 Final figures for November will be published on January 121987.

5 Press enquiries to: 01-215-4471/4475. Other calls to $0633-22-2609 / 2987 / 2630$ or 2600 .
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CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER Sir Terence Burns Mr Scholar<br>Mr Sedgwick<br>Mr Culpin<br>Miss O'Mara Mr Hacche

## RETAIL SALES IN NOVEMBER

Provisional figures for retail sales in November will be published on Monday at 11.30 am. They will show almost a $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent rise in real terms over the figure for October, to a level $7 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent up on a year ago. There may be a problem with the seasonal adjustment (the five weeks defined as "November" for the purpose of the index are nearer than usual to Christmas); but it is more likely than not that consumers' spending is running ahead of the projections in the Autumn Statement.

## Line to take

Further evidence of consumer boom? Can't draw much in the way of conclusions about the underlying trend from figures this close to Christmas. Not surprising, given rise in real incomes, if Christmas spending unusually buoyant this year.

S J DAVIES

[^0]
## UNCLASSIFIED



FROM: MRS M HENSON
DATE: 15 DECEMBER 1986
MR S J DAVIES

## RETAIL SALES IN NOVEMBER

The Chancellor has seen and was grateful for your minute of
12 December.

Meena Henson
MEENA HENSON


FROM: AC S ALLAN
DATE: 15 December 1986

MR BOTTRILL
cc Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns Mr Sedgwick Mr S Davies Mr Barrel Mr S King

## BALANCE OF PAYMENTS: MONTHLY NOTE

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 8 December and the attached monthly note. He thought it would be useful if Chart l split the visibles into oil and non-oil. And he noted that in paragraph 3 you said that $G 5$ countries' domestic demand appears to have been growing strongly in the second and third quarters of 1986: surely this is not true of Japan?
2. He looks forward to a note on the balancing item which he had requested earlier.


A CS ALLAN

MR D NORGROVE
Prime Minister's Office

## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - OCTOBER1986

The provisional index of the output of the production industries for October 1986 will be published at 11.30 am on Tuesday 16 December. A copy of the Press Notice is attached.

## Latest figures

The October 1986 index of the output of the production industries - energy (including coal) and manufacturing - is provisionally estimated at $110.0 \quad(1980=100$, seasonally adjusted), a decrease of 1 per cent on September. For manufacturing the index was 105.6, an increase of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on September (see also paragraph on bias adjustment below).

In the three months to October 1986 the output of production industries was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months, with manufacturing output also $\beta_{2}$ per cent higher. Some industry detail is given in the attached Table A.

## Assessment

Manufacturing output reached at least a temporary peak in the second quarter of 1985 and then declined $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent by the first quarter of 1986 . However, since the first quarter, output has recovered and by the third quarter was back to the previous level. The provisional estimates for the three months to October suggest that the recovery may have continued over this period though the underlying rate of growth is probably somewhat less than shown by the published figures.

The underlying output of all production industries in the second half of 1985 was 1 per cent below the level reached in the first half, but partially recovered in the first half of 1986. By the third quarter, underlying output was slightly above the level experienced in the first half of last year. Short-term movements in oil extraction have been affected by seasonal maintenance work but, after allowing for this and for the effects of weather, etc, the output of the energy sector appears to be flat. The latest three month on three month increase, of over $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, in the published series for industrial production may be erratically high. However, some further recovery in the underlying level of production took place in the three months to October, reflecting the improvement in manufacturing industry.

## Bias adjustment for manufacturing output

In line with revised procedures introduced in January, figures of manufacturing output for the last six months include adjustments to try to allow for underestimation in the provisional estimates (see Note 10 of Notes to Editors of Press Notice).

Figures for November 1986
Figures for November 1986 are scheduled for publication on Monday 19 January.

Central Statistical Office


Table A

0
++ PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL until release of Press Notice at 11.30 am on December 161986 and thereafter unclass if ied ++
Index of output of the production industries $1980=100$

| SUMMARY | Total product ion industries | Energy and water supply | Total <br> manuf actur ing industries | Metais | Other <br> minerals and <br> mineral <br> products | Chemicais and man-made fibres | Engineering and allied industries | Food, drink and tobacco | Textiles, footwear, clothing and leather | Other manufacturing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 103.2 | 110.1 | 100.7 | 108.2 | 95.0 | 113.9 | 99.3 | 102.0 | 97.9 | 97.7 |
| 1985 | 108.2 | 120.1 | 103.9 | 115.0 | 94.4 | 119.0 | 104.2 | 100.9 | 102.0 | 98.8 |
| 19852 | 109.1 | 121.9 | 104.5 | 117.7 | 94.5 | 120.8 | 105.7 | 99.9 | 102.0 | 97.6 |
| 3 | 108.3 | 120.9 | 103.9 | 116.2 | 94.2 | 118.7 | 103.6 | 100.5 | 103.2 | 100.0 |
| 4 | 108.4 | 121.9 | 103.6 | 114.0 | 96.3 | 116.4 | 103.2 | 101.5 | 102.4 | 99.9 |
| 1986 | 109.4 | 127.6 | 102.8 | 111.3 | 92.5 | 118.1 | 102.1 | 101.0 | 103.1 | 99.7 |
| 2 | 108.9 | 124.0 | 103.5 | 110.9 | 97.5 | 118.6 | 102.0 | 100.9 | 102.3 | 102.4 |
| 3 | 110.5 | 126.7 | 104.6 | 107.7 | 95.9 | 121.4 | 103.5 | 101.3 | 102.4 | 104.1 |
| 1986 A | 110.6 | 128.7 | 104.1 | 106 | 96 | 121 | 103 | 101 | 104 | 103 |
| S | $110.9$ | 126.5 | 105.3 | 109 | 97 | 123 | 105 | 101 | 103 | 104 |
| 0 | 110.0 | 122.1 | 105.6 | 111 | 98 | 122 | 105 | 102 | 102 |  |
| Percentage change latest 3 months on:previous 3 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +1.7 | $+2.6$ | +1.3 | $-2.0$ | +0.2 | +2.2 | +1.9 | -0.3 | +2.3 | +1.0 |
| a year earlier |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quarter 1981(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +16.2 | +23.5 | +13.3 | +9.4 | +8.7 | +26.3 | +16.0 | +1.7 | +13.2 | $+11.4$ |
| 1st half 1979(b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - OCTOBER 1986

This will be published at 11.30am on Tuesday, 16 December.
2. The index of production rose by 1.7 per cent in the three months to October compared with the previous three months, and also by 1.7 per cent compared with the same period a year earlier.
3. Manufacturing output rose by 1.3 per cent in the three months to October compared with the previous three months, and by 1.1 per cent compared with the same period a year earlier.

## 4. Recent movements


5. The CSO's assessment is that manufacturing output reached at least a temporary peak in 1985 Q2 and then declined $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent by 1986 Q1. Since then, however, output has increased significantly and in 1986Q3 was a little higher than in 1985Q2. The figures for the three months to October suggest that this trend has continued and the figures for September and October have been particularly buoyant.
6. The underlying output of all production industries in 1985 H 2 was almost 1 per cent below the level reached in the first half of that year, but partially recovered in the first half of 1986 and in the latest 3 months underlying output was slightly above the level experienced in 1985H1. After allowing for maintenance work and weather effects, underlying energy output appears to be flat, and thus the latest three months on three months increase of over $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the index of production may be erratically high. However, despite the fall in the index between September and October some further increase in the underlying level of production probably took place in the three months to October, reflecting the improvement in manufacturing industry.
7. Although not part of the index of production, figures for third quarter UK construction output will also be published on 16 December. These will show that output of the construction industry in 1986Q3 rose by nearly 3 per cent compared with 1986Q2, and by 6 per cent compared with 1985 Q3, largely reflecting increases in private sector housebuildi ng.

## Lines to take

8. 

(i) Total production up over $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in three months to October compared with previous 3 months.
(ii) Continued pick-up in manufacturing output. Up $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in three months to October compared with previous 3 months and up 3 per cent since beginning of year to reach highest level since 1980 Q1.
(iii) Construction output at highest level for over six years.


R D KERLEY

FROM: MRS M HENSON
DATE: 15 December 1986

MR S BROOKS

THE NOVEMBER RPI (to be published at 11.30 am on Friday 12 December)

The Chancellor has seen and was grateful for your minute of 11 December.

Mene Henson
MEENA HENSON

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of press notice on 23 December 1986 at 11.30 am
To Minister for Trade

From | Peter Stibbard |
| :--- |
| US/S2 Ext. 4872 |
| V/260 Ext. |
| 15 December 1986 |

## OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR NOVEMBER 1986: EXPORTS

The value of exports in November, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, is estimated at $£ 6.5$ billion, £0. 3 billion ( 5 per cent) higher than in October. Exports of oil were virtually unchanged while exports of the erratic items increased by £O. 1 billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports increased by 4 per cent between October and November.

2
In the three months ended November, total export volume was 5 per cent higher than in the previous three months and $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume increased by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months. The strong upward movement in the underlying trend of non-oil export volume, established earlier in the year, continued in November.

3 In the first eleven months of 1986, total export volume increased by 3 per cent over the same period last year. Excluding oil and erratics the increase was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. These increases are slightly above the partly forecast increases for the whole of 1986, published in Autumn Statement Table 1.2 (respectively 2 per cent and 1 per cent).

4 Recent export figures are shown in the attached table and graphs. A further note describing imports and the current account in November will be circulated on Thursday 18 December.
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INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - OCTOBER 1986

The Chancellor was grateful for your 15 December minute.


A P HUDSON

To: MINISTER FOR TRADE
From: P J STIBBARD
US /SD
V/260 Ext. 4872
18 December 1986

OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR NOVEMBER

## THE CURRENT ACCOUNT

In November exports were valued at $£ 6.5$ billion and imports at $£ 7.5$ billion so that visible trade, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, showed a deficit of $£ 1.0$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 0.8$ billion in October.

The Central Statistical Office are now projecting a surplus on invisible of $£ 800$ per month in the fourth quarter. This is $£ 100$ million per month less than the first projection for the fourth quarter published in the previous monthly press notice. This revision follows the availability of more detailed information on the third quarter than was available when the projections were made a month ago, and some limited indications for the fourth quarter. Particular items contributing to the reduced projections were changes to the estimates of interest on bank borrowing and lending and higher net debits on transfers.

After adding the surplus on invisibles, the current account is provisionally estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 0.2$ billion in November compared with broad balance in October. For the first eleven months of this year as a whole, the current account now shows a deficit of $£ 0.2$ billion.

SECRET and personal until release of press notice on 23 December 1986 at 11.30 am

TABLE 1: CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES (Table 2 of Press Notice)

Seasonally adjusted Balance of Payments Basis
£ million

|  |  | Current Account Balance | Visible | Trade | Balances | Invisibles Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Dil | Non-Oil |  |
| 1984 |  |  | +1211 | -4384 | +6937 | -11321 | +5595 |
| 1985 |  | +3549 | -2111 | +8163 | -10274 | +5660 |
| 1986 | June-Aug | - 660 | -2780 | + 687 | - 3467 | +2120 |
|  | Sept-Nov | - 400A | -2751 | + 786 | - 3537 | +2351A |
| 1986 | Sept | - 134 | - 885 | + 268 | - 1152 | + 751 |
|  | Oct | - 35A | -835 | + 184 | - 1019 | + 800A |
|  | Nov | - 232A | -1032 | + 334 | - 1366 | + 800A |
|  |  | $A=$ Projection |  |  |  |  |

In the three months ended November there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 2.8$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 0.8$ billion offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of $£ 3.5$ billion. Between the three months ended August and the latest three months the overall deficit on visible trade was little changed - an increase in the surplus on oil of $£ 0.1$ billion being matched by a similar increase in the deficit on non-oil trade.

## EXPORTS

The value of exports in November was $£ 309$ million ( 5 per cent) higher than in October. Exports of oil were little changed; exports of the erratic items increased by about $£ 100$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports rose by 4 per cent in November.

Between the three months ended August and the latest three months, total export volume increased by 5 per cent and in the latest three months stood $\overline{8 \frac{1}{2}}$ per cent up on the same period a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume increased by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months and the upward trend in non-oil export volume continues.

## SECRET and personal until release of press notice on 23 December at 11.30 am

TABLE 2: EXPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop Basis, Seasonally Adjusted

|  |  | VALUE (£m) |  | VOLUME ( $1980=100$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Total Less Oil and erratics | Total | Total less Oil and erratics |
| 1984 |  | 70367 | 50894 | 112.5 | 107.6 |
| 1985 |  | 78051 | 57710 | 118.6 | 115.0 |
| 1986 | Jun-Aug | 17296 | 14612 | 121.6 | 116.4 |
|  | Sept-Nov | 18793 | 15603 | 127.8 | 123.1 |
| 1986 | Sept | 6072 | 5081 | 126.5 | 121.1 |
|  | Oct | 6206 | 5155 | 125.3 | 120.8 |
|  | Nov | 6515 | 5366 | 131.5 | 127.3 |

By value, exports rose by $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months. Exports to the developed countries were up 10 per cent while exports to the developing countries fell a little. Within the total for developed countries, deliveries to the European Community grew by 14 per cent and those to North America were up by 6 per cent. For the developing countries there was a fall of $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in exports to the oil-exporting countries and an increase of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to other destinations.

## IMPORTS

The total value of imports in November was $£ 505$ million ( 7 per cent) higher than in October. Imports of the erratic items were little changed while imports of oil fell by about $£ 150$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports increased by 10 per cent between October and November.

Between the three months ended August and the latest three months, total import volume increased by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to be 13 per cent higher than a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume increased by 4 per cent in the latest three months. The upward trend in the underlying level of non-oil import volume, apparent for most of 1986, seemed to be flattening out a month ago, but the high figure recorded for November has re-established the trend.

SECRET and personal until release of press notice

TABLE 3: IMPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

|  |  | VALUE (£m) |  | VOLUME ( $1980=100$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Total less oil and erratics | Total | Total less oil and erratics |
| 1984 |  | 74751 | 63276 | 121.9 | 137.0 |
| 1985 |  | 80162 | 68730 | 125.8 | 142.7 |
| 1986 | Jun-Aug | 20075 | 18474 | 136.1 | 152.9 |
|  | Sept-Nov | 21545 | 19550 | 142.3 | 159.1 |
| 1986 | Sept | 6956 | 6307 | 139.5 | 156.0 |
|  | Oct | 7041 | 6293 | 140.6 | 153.1 |
|  | Nov | 7547 | 6950 | 146.8 | 168.3 |

By value, imports increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months. Imports from the developed countries also rose by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent with arrivals from the European Community up $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, arrivals from North America up by 7 per cent and an 11 per cent increase in imports from the 'other developed' countries (including Japan). Imports from the developing countries rose by 15 per cent in the latest three months.

## TRADE IN MANUFACTURES

Estimates of trade in manufactures on a balance of payments basis will be published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics. On present estimates there was a deficit on trade in manufactures in the three months ended November of $£ 1.9$ billion compared with a deficit of £1.7 billion in the previous three months. The cumulative deficit for the first 11 months of the year stands at $£ 5.4$ billion compared with £3.0 billion for 1985 as a whole.

SECRET and personal until release of press notice on 23 December 1986 at 11.30 am


TABLE 4: TRADE IN MANUFACTURES (SITC 5-8) (Table 16 of Press Notice, quarterly data only)
£ million
Seasonally Adjusted
Balance of Payments Basis

|  | Exports | Imports | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1984 | 46590 | 50469 | -3879 |
| 1985 | 52280 | 55297 | -3017 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1986 Jun-Aug | 13295 | 15028 | -1733 |
| Sept-Nov | 14187 | 16115 | -1928 |
|  |  | 5203 | -562 |
| 1986 | 4641 | 5200 | -528 |
| Sept | 4672 | 5713 | -838 |
| Oct | 4875 |  |  |
| Nov |  |  |  |

## PUBL ICATION

The press notice with the November figures is scheduled for release at 11.30am on Tuesday 23 December.


P J STIBBARD

PS The figures for the first eleven months of 1986 and the forecast for the full year in Autumn Statement Table 1.3 are compared below.

|  |  |  |  | £bn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufactures | $0 i 1$ | Other Goods | Invisibles | Current Balance |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Nov } \\ & 1986 \text { (Autumn } \end{aligned}$ | - 5.4 | 3.9 | - 6.4 | 7.7 | - 0.2 |
| Statement) | - $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | - 7 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |
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1. MR S J DAVIES SOO
2. PS/CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER
 v


PS/Chief Secretary Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns Mr Monck Mr Sedgwick Mr Scholar Mr Culpin Miss O'Mara Mr Shaw Mr Deaton Mr Hacche Mr MacAuslan Mr Stern Mr Tyrie

## MONTHLY NOTE ON UNEMPLOYMENT TRENDS

You asked for my note of 20 November to be updated each month.
2. The special employment measures that are currently affecting the unemployment count are given below with our estimate of their direct effect on the November adult unemployment count:

| Job Release Scheme | (JRS) | 27,000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Job Start | (JOB) | 1,000 |
| New Workers Scheme | (NWS) | 6,000 |
| Community Programme | (CP) | 230,000 |
| Community Industry | (CI) | 4,000 |
| Enterprise Allowance Scheme(EAS) | 23,000 |  |
| Young Workers Scheme | (YWS) | 1,000 |
|  |  | 292,000 |

(The "hangover" effects for EAS have now been removed as requested).
3. The provisional estimated effect of the Youth Training Scheme (YTS) on adult unemployment in November 1986 is 118,000 making such allowances as we can for seasonal factors. (The adjustments for seasonality have been revised since my note of 20 November 1986).

## CONFIDENTIAL

4. The Department of Employment's estimate of the effect of Restart on the adult unemployment count in November is 40,000 . Our estimate of 35,000 is slightly lower.
5. Availability testing, introduced in November, is tentatively estimated to reduce the November adult unemployment count by 1,000 .
6. Table 1 shows the monthly changes in adult unemployment, total SEMS, YMS, Restart and Availability Testing. Estimates are given to the nearest thousand; but it should be clear that they cannot be regarded as accurate to the nearest thousand.
7. Table 2 shows the trends in adult unemployment before and after SEMS, YTS, Restart and Availability Testing. It can be seen that on this analysis the trend excluding all effects has continued to decline and is in fact negative for the three months ending October and November 1986. It should be stressed once again that this analysis depends crucially on the estimated effects of Restart and Availability Testing and the seasonal adjustment of YTS. Moreover there was an improvement in trend at a similar time last year which was not sustained over the winter months.


LESTER HUNT
AI

## TABLE 1

# MONTHLY CHANGES IN ADULT UNEMPLOYMENT EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING MEASURES, RESTART <br> AND AVAILABILITTY TESTING ${ }^{1}$ 

(000s, seasonally adjusted)


1. A negative sign reflects an increasing impact of a scheme on the unemployment count and a positive sign a declining impact of a scheme on the unemployment count.
${ }^{2}$. These figures refer to the end of each month so it is assumed that the effect is on the following months count.
${ }^{3}$. It is assumed that all of the effects of Restart and Availability Testing is on adult unemployment. However a small proportion may in fact affect school leavers.

| Average <br> change in | Average <br> change | Average <br> change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK adult | excluding | excluding |
| unemployment | SEMS and | SEMS, YTS, |
|  | YTS | Restart and Availability |

## Over 3 months ended:

| 1985 November | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December | 3 | 8 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1986 January | 11 | 18 | 18 |
| February | 16 | 22 | 22 |
| March | 22 | 29 | 29 |
| April | 16 | 23 | 23 |
| May | 15 | 22 | 22 |
| June | 7 | 14 | 14 |
| July | 8 | 15 | 15 |
| August | 5 | 12 | 15 |
| September | -9 | -3 | 4 |
| October | -19 | -13 | -8 |
| November | -24 | -17 | -8 |

## Over 6 months ended:

| 1985 November | -1 | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December | 3 | 7 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1986 January | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| February | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| March | 13 | 19 | 19 |
| April | 13 | 20 | 20 |
| May | 15 | 22 | 22 |
| June | 15 | 22 | 22 |
| July | 12 | 19 | 19 |
| August | 10 | 17 | 19 |
| September | -1 | 6 | 9 |
| October | -6 | 1 | 6 |
| November | -10 | -3 | 3 |



1. MR BOTyRILL
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

FROM: S D KING
DATE: 19 December 1986

cc: see attached list

## NOVEMBER TRADE FIGURES

The November trade figures will be released at 11.30 am on Tuesday 23 December. They will show a deficit on visible trade of £1032 million. Combined with a revised projected surplus on invisibles of $£ 800$ million, they give a current account deficit of £232 million, compared with a revised estimated deficit of £35 million for October.

## Main points

## 2. Current Account


3. The value of exports rose by $£ 309$ million in November to £6.5 billion. The value of imports rose by $£ 506$ million to £7.5 billion. The combined effect was to give a visible trade deficit of $£ 1.0$ billion (compared with $£ 0.8$ billion in October).

## SECRET AND PERSONAL

## UNTIL 11.30 a.m. ON TUESDAY 23 DECEMBER THEN CONFIDENTIAL

4. The deterioration of the current account between October and November is entirely accounted for by the change in the visibles balance. The estimates for both months contain a downward revision to the CSO's initial projection of the invisibles surplus in the fourth quarter, from $£ 900$ million a month to $£ 800$ million a month.

## 5. Exports

|  | percentage change |
| :--- | :--- |
| November | 3 mths to November |
| on October | on previous 3 mths |

3 mths to November on same period previous year

| Total value | 5 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total volume | 5 | 5 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total volume <br> excluding oil and <br> erratics | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 |
| Manufactures <br> volume (excluding <br> erratics, OTS basis) | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 6 |

Fuels volume $-\frac{1}{2} \quad 4$
6. Export volumes, excluding oil and erratics, rose by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the three months to November compared with the previous three months to a level 8 per cent higher than the previous year, continuing the upward trend established earlier in the year. Within the total, manufactures rose by 4 per cent in the latest three months compared with the previous three months to a level 6 per cent higher than the previous year. The volume of basic materials exports bounced back from the subdued level in October, but there was a slight fall in exports of food, drink and tobacco, although the level in the latest three months was still 17 per cent above the previous three months. Fuels volumes were more or less unchanged compared with October.
percentage change
November 3 mths to November

on October | on previous 3 mths |
| :--- |

$7 \frac{1}{2}$
$4 \frac{1}{2}$
3 mths to November on same period previous year

Total value
Total volume $4 \frac{1}{2}$
Total volume 10
excluding oil and erratics

Manufactures
volume (excluding erratics, OTS basis)

Fuels volume $-36 \quad 7 \frac{1}{2} \quad 45 \frac{1}{2}$
8. Import volumes, excluding oil and erratics, rose by 10 per cent in November compared with October. Sharp increases were recorded in food, drink and tobacco (ll per cent), basic materials (13 $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent) and manufactures (excluding erratics, up 9 per cent). Within manufactures, the major increases were in consumer goods other than cars (up 17 per cent) and in intermediate goods (up $17 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent). The series for fuel volumes has continued to move erratically: we are awaiting a satisfactory explanation from D.En.
9. The import figures in recent months have been erratic. The large increase in imports this month partly reflects a bounceback from the slight falls recorded in September and October after the steep rise in August. It is also probably a reflection of buoyant domestic demand. In particular the rise of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in retail sales in November has probably contributed to the large increase in imports of consumer goods. The November figures confirm that there is a strong upward trend in import growth. Import volumes rose by 4 per cent in the latest three months compared with the previous three months to a level $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above that of a year earlier.

## Geographical area

10. By geographical area, there was a particularly sharp increase in exports to the US in November, in contrast to the rather sluggish performance in the last few months. There was little change in the value of exports going to the EC and to oil exporting countries.

SECRET AND PERSONAL

|  | Import prices (OTS) |  | Export prices (OTS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov on Oct | 3 mths to Nov on previous 3 mths | Nov <br> on <br> Oct | 3 mths to Nov on previous 3 mths |
| Manufactures | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 0 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Food, drink, tobacco | 0 | 2 | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Basic materials | 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 |
| Fuel | $-3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total (BOP basis) | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Non-oil (BOP basis) | 1 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |

11. Both the overall and the non-oil terms of trade, as measured by unit value indices, deteriorated by 1 per cent in November on the previous month, and by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months on the previous three months. [N.B. the published series are unit value indices, which can present a misleading picture due to their use of 1980 weights. In particular, oil should now have a larger weight in the export UVIs than it does, because production is now higher relative to other goods than it was in 1980.]

## Invisibles

12. The downward revision to the projection for invisibles for the fourth quarter is the result of extra information received by the CSO since the publication last month of the initial invisibles projection in the October trade figures press notice. Revisions to projections have been made in the past, but under a slightly different publication methodology.
13. [NOT FOR PUBLIC USE: When the October trade figures were published, an invisibles balance of $£ 800$ million $a$ month for the third quarter was published on the basis of partial information, some of which - from the Bank - was incorrect. The £900 million a month projection for the fourth quarter was based on this figure. The combination of the corrected Bank data and further information received over the last few weeks has led to the downward revision. It is unlikely to be well received in the press.]

## Comparison with forecast

14. The cumulative current account deficit of $£ 224$ million for the first eleven months of 1986 implies that the current account will need to be in balance or small surplus in December if the Autumn Statement forecast of broad balance for 1986 as a whole is to be met. On invisibles, the revised CSO projection of an £800 million a month surplus for the fourth quarter is entirely consistent with the Autumn Statement forecast of a surplus of $£ 8 \frac{1}{2}$ billion for the year as a whole.

## Market expectations

15. The market expectation is for a visible deficit of £900 million, with the current account in balance. The actual visible deficit, of $£ 1032$ million, is not too far from the expectation, but the revised invisibles surplus may come as something of a surprise.


DRAFT BRIEFING FOR MDT

## Positive

1. Export volumes, excluding oil and erratics, up $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in latest month to reach•all time high. Industry Act forecast projects increase of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in 1987 .

zuchlallan

## Defensive

1. Current account back in deficit
ar inentaly
[Deficit of $£ 232$ million in November giving cumulative deficit for year so far of $£ 224$ million.] [Deficit in November probably reflects rising domestic activity. Encouraging that exports have risen in November by 5 per cent in value terms.]
2. Deficit implies need for further fall in exchange rate
thong recent fall y in exchange rate will in time, benefit current account, But in long run, competitiveness depends on slower growth in unit costs, coupled with mpunutr m hn-pile Cmperivivasest.

## 3. Non-oil tradeable sector unable to respond following fall in

 oil price owing to erosion of manufacturing basePerformance of manufacturing industry improving for some time. Since 1983, election manufacturing output up 10 per cent, investment up 25 per cent. So no reason for pessimism on ability of manufacturing to respond.

## 4. Deficit likely to continue into medium term

Weakness of world trade and adverse effects of exchange rate depreciation (J-curve) are temporary. In time non-oil trade will benefit from recent improvement in competitiveness.

5. Emergence of deficit implies that Industry Act forecast of broad balance for 1986 as a whole will not be met

Given margins of error associated with current account forecasts, likely that Industry Act forecast of broad balance for 1986 as a whole will be reasonably accurate. Revised CSO invisible projection for fourth quarter totally consistent with Industry Act forecast of $£ 8 \frac{1}{2}$ billion for year as a whole.
6. Imports growing too rapidly

Growth of imports associated with strong growth of the economy. At sarowthint Domestic production is also responding, to higher overseas demand. Manufacturing output up $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in three months to October on previous three months.

7 Why revision to invisible projection?

Initial projection of $£ 900$ million a month for fourth quarter made on basis of information available at time of publication of October trade figures. Subsequently, further information, upon which third quarter invisibles figures were based, indicated need for small downward revision [Hence revised down to $£ 800$ million a month
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## the balancing Item in the balance of payments

You requested a note on the size of the balancing item over recent quarters.
2. The balance of payments is defined in accounting terms. Total credits less total debits, and therefore the sum of current and capital accounts, should equal zero. The recorded data, however, are neither complete nor precisely accurate. The balancing item reflects these omissions and errors.
3. Table 1 shows the balancing item over recent years and the latest quarters. It has generally been positive and was particularly large in 1984 and 1985. The figures so far in 1986 are even larger. Early revisions tend to be associated with receipt of additional capital account data. But an analysis of all data revisions from initial publication to final version suggests that current account revisions can also be important. Table 2 shows revisions to the current balance and capital account over the last few years. There have been revisions to both series, although the revisions to the capital account have, on average, been larger. An idea of the main possible sources of error can be gained by examining the quality of the data which contribute to each of the main balances which make up the balance of payments.

## Visibles

4. There is likely to be only a small margin of error on the measurement of imports and exports of goods - overall, the data are thought to be reasonably reliable. However, given the relative size of the balance compared
to the total value of exports and imports, changes in export and import data can have significant effects on the balance.

Invisible

5. Services: The main problem here lies in the 'financial and other services' category. Three-quarters of the data are derived from surveys of industry and commerce, but the rest come from related indicators, rather than from direct sources, and so are much less reliable.
6. Interest, profits and dividends: Earnings arising from direct investment are thought to be monitored reasonably well, although there is often a lack of consistency in the way that companies report their profits (in particular, over the choice of the relevant exchange rate). Data on earnings from portfolio investment are probably less reliable.
7. Transfers: Information on this category is generally good.

## UK external assets and liabilities

8. The flow of direct investment is probably monitored reasonably accurately. However, data for the latest year are based on quarterly sources which can be subject to large revisions when the results of the annual survey on direct investment are published (about eighteen months after the initial estimate).
9. Errors arise in the measurement of UK banks' assets and liabilities largely as a result of two problems - failure to recognise non-resident status, and the difficulties of allowing for exchange rate changes.
10. There are a number of other problems, chiefly the result of gaps existing in estimates for the UK non-bank private sector, particularly on the measurement of trade credit.

## Assessment

11. The various problems listed above suggest that there is always likely to be a substantial balancing item. The recent positive balancing item suggests either (a) that estimates for the current account have been consistently too
pessimistic or (b) that there are substantial omissions on the recording of net capital inflows. Given the relatively greater measurement difficulties associated with the latter, it seems reasonable to suppose that this is the major source of error.
12. Clearly, given the unidentified nature of the balancing item, it is difficult to suggest reasons why it is of a certain size at any particular period in time. One factor which may have had some influence on the increasing size of the balancing item is the greater volatility of the exchange rate recently (see attached chart). This can lead to problems over timing, with credits and debits converted into sterling using different rates of exchange.
13. It has been suggested, by the IMF, that the 'global current account deficit' (ie the implication from national data sources that the world is in deficit with itself) might reflect under-recording of net IPD earnings and poor data on shipping, and could be removed by revising up the surplus or reducing the deficit of various countries. The CSO are looking into this issue at present, (1) but they do not think that it will have any significant implications for the UK current account.


S D KING

Table 1: Balancing Item

> £ million

First estimate Current estimate Difference
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr|r}1974 & 462 & 150 \\ 1975 & 6 & 19 & -312 \\ 1976 & 591 & 326 & -25 \\ 1977 & 2662 & 3377 \\ 1978 & 773 & 2157 & -265 \\ 1979 & 2403 & 592 & -715 \\ 1980 & -539 & -171 & 1384 \\ 1981 & 328 & 193 \\ 1982 & -3861 & -1420 \\ 1983 & -84 & 1534 \\ 1984 & 1040 & 5179 \\ 1985 & 3694 & 4073 \\ 1986 Q 1-Q 3 & - & 5972\end{array}\right)$

TABLE 2: CURRENT AND CAPITAL ACCOUNTS

|  | Current account |  | Capital account |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { estimate } \end{aligned}$ | Current estimate | Difference | First estimate | Current estimate | Difference |
| 1974 | -3668 | -3317 | +351 | 3206 | 3167 | -39 |
| 1975 | -1673 | -1582 | +91 | 1667 | 1601 | -66 |
| 1976 | -1405 | -921 | +484 | 814 | 595 | -219 |
| 1977 | 289 | -136 | -425 | -2951 | -3241 | -290 |
| 1978 | 1032 | 965 | -67 | -1805 | -3122 | -1317 |
| 1979 | 1863 | -717 | -2580 | -4266 | 125 | 4391 |
| 1980 | 3206 | 2928 | -1278 | -2667 | -2757 | -90 |
| 1981 | 6036 | 6159 | 123 | -6364 | -6352 | 12 |
| 1982 | 5428 | 3938 | -1490 | -1567 | -2518 | -951 |
| 1983 | 2916 | 3132 | 216 | -2832 | -4666 | -1834 |
| 1984 | 935 | 1211 | 276 | -1975 | -6390 | -4415 |
| 1985 | 3602 | 3549 | -53 | -7296 | -7622 | -326 |
| 1986Q1-Q3 <br> Average revision | - | 43 | 620 | - | -6015 | 1163 |

## BXCHANGE RATES
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## 1-19 VICTORIA STREET

LONDON SW1H MET
Telephone (Direct dialling) 01-215) 4887
GTN 215)
(Switchboard) 01-215 7877

```
Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary
Chancellor of the Exchequer
H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW1 3AG
```

19 December 1986

I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in November. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Tuesday 23 December at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Monday 22 December and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr King, HM Treasury.

Yours sincerely
w. \&. Bayou

W E BOYD


Press enquiries:
Visible Trade 01-215 4474/5060/5066 Invisibles 01-270 6357
Balance of Payments and Economy 01-270 5238

Non-Press enquiries:
Visibles 01-215 4894/4895/5130
Invisibles 01-270 6363/6364

Date

Ref No

The current account of the United Kingdom balance of payments

## NOVEMBER 1986

The current account for November is estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 232$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 35$ million in October. In November, exports were valued at $£ 6515$ million and imports at $£ 7547$ million so that trade in goods was in deficit by $£ 1032$ million.

The balance on invisibles is projected to be in surplus by $£ 800$ million, a large surplus on the transactions of the private sector and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government transactions. This reflects a revised projection for the invisible balance in the fourth quarter, following the availability of more detailed information on the third quarter (see notes to editors, paragraph 3).

## SEPTEMBER TO NOVEMBER 1986

In the three months ending November, the current account showed a deficit of $£ 0.4$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 0.7$ billion in the previous three months. There was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 2.8$ billion the same as in the three months ended August. The surplus on invisibles in the latest three months is projected at $£ 2.4$ billion.

## CURRENT ACCOUNT

TABLE 1
£ million, Seasonally adjusted

a Invisibles for October and November are projections.
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection. Information relating to credits and debits can be found in Table 3.

VISIBLE TRADE IN NOVEMBER 1986

There was a deficit on visible trade in November of $£ 1032$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 835$ million in October. The surplus on oil at $£ 334$ million was $£ 150$ million higher than in October while the deficit on non-oil trade grew by $£ 347$ million.

At $£ 6515$ million, exports in November were $£ 309$ million ( 5 per cent) higher than in October. Exports of oil were little changed; exports of the erratic items increased by about $£ 100$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports rose by 4 per cent in November.

Total imports were valued at $£ 7547$ million which was $£ 505$ million ( 7 per cent) higher than in October. Imports of the erratic items were little changed while imports of oil fell by about $£ 150$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports increased by 10 per cent between October and November.

## RECENT TRENDS

## Visible balance

In the three months ended November, there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 2.8$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 0.8$ billion offset by a deficit on non-oil trade of $£ 3.5$ billion. Between the three months ended August and the latest three months the overall deficit on visible trade was little changed - an increase in the surplus on oil of $£ 0.1$ billion being matched by a similar increase in the deficit on non-oil trade.

## Exports

Exports amounted to $£ 18.8$ billion in the three months ended November, $£ 1.5$ billion more than in the previous three months. Exports of oil increased by $£ 0.2$ billion between the two periods and exports of the erratic items increased by $£ 0.3$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports were up 7 per cent in the latest three months. Within the total, exports of food, beverages and tobacco sector remain high (up by 18 per cent between the two three month periods). Exports of manufactures increased by 5 per cent.

By volume, exports increased by 5 per cent in the latest three months to be $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent up on the same period a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items export volume increased by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended August and the latest three months and the upward trend in non-oil export volume continues.

## Imports

Total imports were valued at $£ 21.5$ billion in the three months ended November, $£ 1.5$ billion ( $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) more than in the previous three months. Imports of the erratic items increased by $£ 0.3$ billion and imports of oil by $£ 0.1$ billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports grew by 6 per cent between the two periods largely reflecting a 6 per cent increase in imports of manufactures.

By volume, imports increased by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months to be 13 per cent higher than a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume increased by 4 per cent in the latest three months. The upward trend in the underlying level of non-oil import volume, apparent for most of 1986, seemed to be flattening out a month ago, but the high figure recorded for November has re-established the trend.

## Terns of trade and unit values

The terms of trade index fell by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months with the export unit index rising by 2 per cent and the import unit value index rising by 4 per cent. Compared with the same period last year, the export unit value index is down $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and the import unit value index is down 3 per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is now $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than a year ago.

Export unit values for fuels increased by $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil exports increased by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Within the total for non-oil exports, the unit values for manufactures increased by $l \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and those for food, beverages and tobacco by $l$ per cent.

The import unit values for fuels increased by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months as did the unit value index for non-oil imports. Import unit values for finished manufactures (up by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) showed the strongest growth. Dutside manufactures import values increased by about 2 per cent in the latest three months. unit

## Analysis by area

Exports to the developed countries grew by 10 per cent between the three months ended August and the latest three months while exports to the developing countries fell a little. Within the total for developed countries, deliveries to the European Community rose by 14 per cent and those to North America were up by 6 per cent. For the developing countries there was a fall of $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in exports to the oil-exporting countries and an increase of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to other destinations.

Imports from the developed countries increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months ended August and the latest three months with arrivals from the European Community up $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, arrivals from North America up by 7 per cent and an 11 per cent increase in imports from the 'other' developed countries. Imports from the developing countries increased by 15 per cent in the latest three months.

## REVISIONS

1 Estimates of visible trade are derived from a continuous and comprehensive coverage of Customs documents. Revisions to the basic data are infrequent and usually small. Larger revisions, particularly relating to recent periods, occur in the annual review of seasonal adjustment calculations which takes effect in the press notice covering the January figures.

2 Estimates of the invisibles account are based on quarterly and annual inquiries addressed to a sample of those engaging in the relevant transactions. In some parts of the account the information available is incomplete and subject to significant errors of estimation. Monthly figures of the invisibles balance are quarterly estimates and projections expressed at a monthly rate. For the most recent months, the figures are projections - rounded to the nearest $£ 100$ million to emphasise their likely margins of error - which are superseded by figures from the quarterly balance of payments estimates published in early March, June, September and December. If there is strong evidence from early quarterly information that the first quarterly estimates will differ substantially from the latest published projections, a revised set of projections is included in the monthly press notice prior to the issue of the quarterly estimates. A short article on estimates and projections of the monthly invisible balance will be published in the December issue of Economic Trends.

3 The figures for invisibles in this press notice incorporate revisions contained in the CSO quarterly Balance of Payments press notice published on 4 December, covering the estimates for the third quarter of 1986.

## 4 SPAIN/PORTUGAL

Spain and Portugal are members of the European Community. In this press notice all references to the EC include these two countries.

## 5 AREA (tables 11 and 15)

Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than £475, are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15.

In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

## 6 STANDARD NOTES

The standard notes describe the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) bases of compilation. Copies can be obtained from the address below.

## 7 MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS

The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives langer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of $£ 38$ or $£ 3$ per copy.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255 , Department of Trade and Industry, 1 Victoria Street, London SWIH OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)

a Invisibles for October and November are projections, and-abject to revieion as informatron becomes availeble -
b Monthly figures are one third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimate or projection.

Table 3

INVISIBLES

d ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.

e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
VALUE AND VOLUME OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS EXCLUDING THE MORE ERRATIC ITEMS $f$
Table 5
(Balance of Payments basis)

|  | Value \& million fob |  | Volume Index 1980 $=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 65746 | 71191 | 115.4 | 128.8 |
| 1985 | 73760 | 76617 | 123.0 | 133.4 |
| 1985 Q3 | 17835 | 18425 | 121.5 | 132.3 |
| Q4 | 17811 | 18661 | 122.3 | 137.1 |
| 1986 Q1 | 17065 | 18612 | 121.0 | 132.8 |
| Q2 | 16243 | 18466 | 122.7 | 136.5 |
| Q3 | 16394 | 19676 | 127.6 | 148.0 |
| 1986 Mar | 5390 | 6528 | 116.8 | 138.1 |
| Apr | 5413 | 6005 | 121.7 | 130.7 |
| May | 5455 | 6236 | 124.1 | 139.6 |
| June | 5375 | 6225 | 122.4 | 139.3 |
| July | 5546 | 6373 | 129.6 | 144.6 |
| Aug | 5233 | 6732 | 123.4 | 152.8 |
| Sept | 5614 | 6572 | 129.9 | 146.6 |
| Oct | 5779 | 6733 | 128.8 | 149.6 |
| Nov | 5984 | 7234 | 134.1 | 156.8 |
| Sept-Nov 85 | 17718 | 18480 | 121.7 | 134.9 |
| June-Aug 86 | 16154 | 19329 | 125.1 | 145.5 |
| Sept-Nov 86 | 17377 | 20538 | 130.9 | 151.0 |
| \% Change |  |  |  |  |
| Latest 3 months on |  |  |  |  |
| - previous 3 months | + $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+6 \frac{1}{2}$ | + $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+4$ |
| - same 3 months | - 2 | $+11$ | + $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | + 12 |
| one year ago |  |  |  |  |

$f$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.


[^3]and personal
until ralease of press notice on 23 DEC 86 at $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.
until release of press notice on $\ldots . . . . . . .$. ..... 11.30 a.m.

TRADE IN GOODS OTHER THAN OIL
(Balance of Payments basis)

f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
and personal
until releasa of press notice on 23 DEC 86 at 11.30 a....


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
EXPORTS BY COMMOOITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 9
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES $1980=100$, seasonally adjusted


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious
stones (667), and silver (681.1).
Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

and personal
until rolease of press notice on $\because .3 . \ldots \mathrm{C}$. 86 . at 11.30 a.m.

INDICES 1980 : 100 not seasonally adjusted

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and ailver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
EXPORTS BY AREA
Table 11
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million, fob, seasonally adjusted

|  |  |  |  | Developed Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | Developing Countries |  |  |  |  | \| Centrally |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } 1 \\ \mathrm{~K} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | European <br> Comunity |  | Rest of W Europe | 1 | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { America I } \\ & \text { USA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Other 1 | 1 | Total |  | il exporting countries | 1 | Other 1 | planned economies |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 1984 |  | 1 | 70488 1 | 553641 | 33127 | 1 | 7132 | 1 | 114161 | 101591 | 3688 | 1 | 133561 |  | 5806 | 1 | 7550 | 1630 |
| 1985 |  | 1 | 783311 | 62722 I | 38200 | 1 | 7420 | 1 | 133101 | 114991 | 3792 | 1 | 138801 |  | 5957 | 1 | 7924 | I 1587 |
| 1985 | Q3 | 1 | 18828 \\| | 152031 | 9312 | 1 | 1790 | 1 | 31821 | 27151 | 919 | 1 | 3314 | I | 1408 | 1 | 1906 | 1386 |
|  | Q4 | 1 | 190971 | 15369 \| | 9359 | 1 | 1817 | 1 | 32821 | 27781 | 910 |  | 3202 | I | 1357 | 1 | 1845 | 392 |
| 1986 | Q1 | 1 | 18257 | 14652 I | 8689 | 1 | 1779 | 1 | 32541 | 27841 | 930 |  | 3241 | 1 | 1405 | 1 | 1837 | 442 |
|  | Q2 | 1 | 178161 | 13768 \| | 8254 | 1 | 1713 | 1 | 29191 | 25361 | 882 |  | 3483 | 1 | 1567 | 1 | 1917 | 471 |
|  | Q3 | 1 | 176721 | 139261 | 8525 | I | 1696 | 1 | 27941 | 23901 | 911 |  | 3193 | 1 | 1293 | 1 | 1900 | 357 |
| 1986 | Sept 1 |  | 61341 | 4874 1 | 3039 | 1 | 593 | 1 | 9221 | 8081 | 320 | 1 | 1001 | I | 397 | 1 | 604 | 128 |
|  | Oct 1 |  | 62601 | 50491 | 3244 | 1 | 556 | 1 | 9351 | 7791 | 314 | 1 | 1064 | I | 407 | 1 | 657 | 122 |
|  | Nov |  | 65301 | 52091 | 3167 | 1 | 612 | 1 | 11361 | 9971 | 294 |  | 1150 | 1 | 417 | 1 | 733 | 153 |
| June-Aus |  | 1 | 174171 | 137091 | 8311 | I | 1692 | 1 | 28251 | 23771 | 881 | I | 3224 | I | 1334 | I | 1890 | 427 |
| Sept-N |  | 1 | 189231 | 151321 | 9450 | 1 | 1761 | 1 | 29941 | 25831 | 928 |  | 3215 | I | 1221 |  | 1994 | 403 |
| \% chanc |  |  | + $8 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | +101 | + 14 | 1 | +4 | 1 | + 61 | + $8 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | + $5 \frac{1}{2} 1$ |  | -1 | 1 | -81 | 1 | + 51 | - $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |

K See paragraph 5 of Notes to Editors.



These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUME INDICES
Table 13
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES $1980=100$ seasonally adjusted


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious tones (667), and silver (681.1).
Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.


## and personal

until release of press notice on 23. DEC 86 at 11.30 a.m

## IMPORTS BY COMNODITY: UNIT VALUE IMDICES

(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)

INDICES $1980=100$ not seasonally adjusted

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792)
precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Mations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.

IMPORTS BY AREA
Table 15
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million cif seasonally adjusted

$k$ See paragraph 5 Notes to Editors.


Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.


FROM: CATHY RYDING
DATE: 22 December 1986

MR S D KING

```
cc Economic Secretary
Sir P Middleton
Sir G Littler
Sir T Burns
Mr Peretz
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Bottrill
Mr Culpin
Ms O'Mara
Mr Barrel
Mr Owen
```

THE BALANCING ITEM IN THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 19 December.
2. The Chancellor thinks this is a very important matter which cannot be left here.


CATHY RYDING


FROM: S D KING

DATE: 22 December 1986
cc: Chief Secretary Economic Secretary Sir P Middleton Sir G Littler Sir T Burns Mr Cassel
Thanks. The Chancellor is now cuvay Mr Peretz forchnistmas - but given your comments 1 think $A$ is ok to drop the final phrase of defensive 7 as you suggest

NOVEMBER TRADE FIGURES

Mr Bottrill
Mr Kelly
Ms O'Mara Mr Culpin
Mr Barrel or.
Mr Owen
Mr Segal
Mr Norgrove No. 10

On your redraft of defensive 7 of the press briefing, you asked for a figure to be provided for the projected percentage increase in the invisibles balance between $1985 Q 4$ and 1986Q4.
2. There are two problems with this. Firstly, percentage changes do not apply particularly well to balances. For example, it is not obvious whether one can talk sensibly about a percentage change between a positive and negative balance. For this reason, we would normally prefer to express any change in value terms.
3. However, there is a particular problem with providing any figure comparing the invisible balance in 198504 with the one projected for 1986Q4. The 1985 Q4 invisibles balance was distorted downwards, because an EC VAT abatement destined for that quarter ended up in the first quarter of 1986. Consequently, we suggest that the phrase 'which still represents an increase of $X$ per cent over a year ago' should be deleted.


S D KING
cc Chief Secretary Economic Secretary Sir P Middleton Sir G Littler Sir T Burns Mr Cassell Mr Peretz mrsedgwick Mr Bottrill Mr Kelly Ms O'Mara Mr Culpin Mr Barrell Mr Owen Mr Norgrove - No. 10

## NOVEMBER TRADE FIGURES

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 19 December. He had a number of comments on the press briefing, which are detailed below:

Positive 1, line 1: insert "a further" before " $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent".
line 2: insert "further" after "projects".

Defensive 1: redraft to read:
[Deficit of $£ 232$ million in November, giving cumulative deficit for the year so far of $£ 224$ million.] Monthly figures are inevitably erratic.

Defensive 2: redraft to read:
"No. Recent fall in exchange rate will, in time, benefit current account, though initial effect is inevitably adverse. In long run, competitiveness depends on slower growth in unit costs, coupled with improvements in non-price competitiveness."

Defensive 3, line 2: delete "election".

Defensive 4, line 3: replace "improvement in competitiveness" with "exchange rate adjustment".

Defensive 5: redraft to read
"No reason to change forecast."

Defensive 6: redraft to read:
"Growth of imports associated with strong growth of the economy. At same time domestic production is responding to higher demand both at home and abroad. Manufacturing output up $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in three months to October on previous three months."

Defensive 7: redraft to read:
"Initial projection of $£ 900$ million a month for fourth quarter made by CSO on basis of information available at time of publication of October trade figures. Subsequently, further information, upon which third quarter invisibles figures were based, indicated need for downward revision to $£ 800$ million a month, which still represents an increase of $X$ per cent over a year ago."
$C R$
CATHY RYDING

MONTHLY ECONOMIC BRIEF
Prepared by the CSO on 30 . December 1986

Published estimates for the third quarter of 1986 suggest that GDP grew by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the second and third quarters of 1986 to a level which was 2 per cent higher than a year earlier. Provisional estimates for the three broadly independent measures of GDP from which the average measure is derived continue to show different profiles. The current underlying trend rate of growth for $\operatorname{GDP}(A)$ is thought to be within the range $2-4$ per cent per annum.

The annual rate of inflation rose to 3.5 per cent in November from the 3.0 per cent recorded in October, entirely as a result of increases in mortgage interest rates. A further increase to $33 / 4$ per cent is projected for December, if as now seems likely, the pattern of early winter sales which occurred last year is not repeated and given higher expected food prices the resulting average increase of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent for the fourth quarter would be rather higher than the Treasury's forcast of $31 / 4$ per cent.

The PSBR is provisionally estimated to have been $£ 0.1$ billion in November which was lower than expected by outside commentators. The latest figures confirm that borrowing is not running above the path envisaged in the Budget and Autumn Statement.

There was a futher sharp fall in unemployment between October and November, the fourth consecutive monthly fall, and the downward trend continues. Restart and employment measures have helped, though even without them it seems likely that unemployment would have changed very little over the past four months, following the previous seemingly relentless upward trend.

Exports increased quite sharply in November but a larger increase in imports pushed visible trade further into deficit and left the current account also in deficit. The upward trend in non-oil export volume continues. The sharp increase in the value of non-oil imports in November reflects an increase in volume and some firming of import prices.

## recent rates of change and assessment of current TREND FOR SOME KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS

In the following notes, the figures for current trend represent our best assessment of the current underlying rate of increase after making allowance for temporary distorting factors such as strikes, unseasonal weather effects, etc. The figures show how the series are moving currently and may be different from the comparisons over the latest published twelve months.

GDP (average measure) in the third quarter of 1986 was 2 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. This figure does not take account of past experience which shows that revisions to growth rates for the initial published estimates of GDP were on average, upwards, though not uniformly so. The assessment of current underlying trend for the fourth quarter of 1986 is that the rate of increase lies in the range $2-4$ per cent per annum.

Industrial production in the three months to October 1986 was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago; manufacturing output was also $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher. The assessment of underlying trend for industrial production is that the rate of change currently lies in the range $\frac{1}{2}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum. The trend in manufacturing output is in the range $1 \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2}$ to $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.
Retail prices rose by $3 \frac{3}{2}$ per cent in the twelve months to November 1986. It is only possible to provide a useful indicator of trend for about 70 per cent of the RPI, mainly that covering private sector prices and excluding eg. mortgage interest, rent, rates, products produced by nationalised industries, seasonal food and petrol. The current trend for this series is just under 4 per cent per annum. In the twelve months to November 1986 this series rose by a little over $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent (not published).

Producer input prices increased in seasonally adjusted terms in each of the months from August to November, and a further fairly small increase is expected in December. The index should, however, still be about $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower than at the end of last year and $11 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent below its peak in early 1985.

Average earnings (underlying) in the twelve months to October rose by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The current trend is also estimated to be $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

Unit wage costs in manufacturing in the three months to October rose by 4 per cent compared with the same period a year ago. The current underlying trend is estimated to be in the range $2-4$ per cent per annum.

Unemployment (excluding school leavers) in the twelve months to November has risen on average by nearly 3,000 per month. The current trend is downward though it remains difficult to quantify it precisely. There has been an average fall of nearly 10,000 per month over the past six months but the turning point has occurred within this period. The current trend in the series will therefore be more strongly downward. Over the past three months there has been a fall of more than 24,000 per month.

Movements over the latest published 12 months include any revisions that may have occurred since last publication (in general any such differences only occur in the GDP series).



## Rate of increase in average earnings, unit wage cost in manufacturing PPI input prices and Private sector retail prices

Month to month percentage changes, smoothed and adjusted for distorting factors where appropriate


1. Excludes seasonal food, mortgage interest, rent, rates and water charges, motor vehicle licences, products produced by Nationalised industries and petrol.


Interest rates
CHART 5


Monetary aggregates
Percentage change on previous 12 months (not seasonally adjusted)


CHART 7
Exports and Imports (excluding oil and erratics ${ }^{1}$ )


1. Ships, North Sea Installations, Aircraft, Precious Stones and Silver
2. Seasonally adjusted data, Balance of Payments basis

[^0]:    Cm
    ch-rtsls

[^1]:    (a) Last trough for production industries (b) Last peak for production industries

[^2]:    DJFMAMJJASONOJFMAMJJASONDJFMAMJIASDN
    EFLANCE GF FAYMENTS EASIS $198 G=1 G Q$ SEASONFILLY FITUUSTECI

[^3]:    9 Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy which are on a time of shipment basis (see paragraph 8 of the standard notes).

