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## CABINET ON 17 JULY: ECONOMIC PROSPECTS PAPER

... I attach a revised draft of this paper, which takes account of our discussion yesterday and comments from copy recipients of this minute. The paper should be despatched to No 10 in the course of tomorrow, in time for your bilateral with the Prime Minister on Friday.

## ECONOMIC PROSPECTS

## Memorandum by the Chancellor of the Exchequer

1. Events this year are turning out rather differently from the prospect foreseen at the time of the Budget. The fall in inflation - both worldwide and in the UK - has gone further than expected. But output has grown more slowly, as the initial unfavourable effect of the oil price fall has been superimposed on a temporary pause in activity worldwide, with inevitable consequences for unemployment.
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4. With the $£ a l \ddagger$ in oil prices and the continuing effect of the counterininflationary policies pursued 16 major nations rect of the years there has been a further sharp fall in inflation: consumer prices in the major industrialised countries have risen on average by only 2 per cent over the past year. The consequent increases in real incomes, together with lower interest rates, should ensure a resumption of unsondober vigorous growth, perhaps later this year.

## The British economy

5. At home, the pattern has been broadly similar. Following its strong performance in the four years up to early 1985, output, adjusted for the coal strike, has since grown more slowly, and is now expected to grow at bout $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in 1986, instead of 3 per cent as forecast at Budget time. But w he buoyant consumers' expenditure and exports reflecting world trade growth likely to somecover later in the year, growth in Stimgtr Matymank argive somewhat stronger in 1987 than expected at Budget time. Thu e of $x$ Andre.
6. miners has also been in recent months a decisive reduction in inflation. The RPI is currently down to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. I expect it to remain low throughout 1987 , although perhaps a lit t le above the epd-1986 level. although there will Rhiblh so somber

7. But this fall in inflation has been matched and often surpassed in other industrialised countries. Germany and Japan are now enjoying virtually stable prices. There are clear signs in our competitor countries that the slowdown in price increases is being reflected in lower earnings growth; and their unit cost increases are negligible or negative. $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent a year, forcing increases in our unit 10 TPI of

- costs and eroding our competitiveness.


9. The consequences of this excessive earnings growth are clear. Unemployment, despite all the measures we have taken, continues to increase at an average of $10,000-15,000$ a month. With a faster expansion of employment as output growth picks up, and declining labour force growth, the prospect) is still of some improvement in the unemployment position next year. But excessive earnings growth is losing us jobs. Furthermore, the loss of competitiveness on our non-oil trade, superimposed on the deterioration in the oil account, is beginning to make the current account of the balance of payments look vulnerable.
10. The major changes taking place in financial markets have made the task of interpreting monetary conditions very difficult, for markets and authorities alike. Although narrow money is towards the bottom of its target range, bank lending is expanding rapidly and broad money continues to grow well beyond expectations. House prices have also been rising rapidly.
 ll. The markets have, however, recognised that we are determined to pursue prudent fiscal and monetary policies. We have gone through a period of calm since the Budget, despite a further fall in oil prices and renewed uncertainties about the dollar and some fears about the prospects for our current account. Base rates have fallen by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent although they have remained relatively high; and long-term rates have fallen to their lowest level since the early 1970 s. 12. But the markets are watching for any evidence of lack of resolution on the public sector finances; and, with oil prices currently in the \$l0 barrel range the fiscal prospect looks more difficult than at the time of the Budget. As the Chief Secretary's paper shows, the pressures for higher public spending are intense and relentless. It will require great determination to maintain the
brave hs
top
agar see caller firm control of public expenditure which is central to our economic strategy, essential if we are to avoid increases in the tax burden, and crucial if we are to avoid a damaging fall in confidence.
11. A summary of the most recent Treasury ... assessment is shown in the attached table.

## Conclusion

14. The economy has been experiencing a pause in the steady growth it has experienced since the trough of the recession in 1981. That pause, which is also occurring in the rest of the industrialised world and has coincided with the collapse of oil prices, is affecting short-term employment prospects. But inflation has been lower than expected; and the prospect is still for a resumption of growth at home later on this year, as activity worldwide recovers its momentum.
15. Considerable risks, however, threaten this prospect. Developments in the world economy are inevitably subject to much uncertainty; and with the loss in our competitiveness in non-oil trade superimposed upon the fall in oil prices our external position is beginning to look vulnerable. We must not add to these hazards by putting at risk our reputation for prudent management of the public finances, reversing the progress we have made in recent years in reducing the burden of taxation
(still higher than it was in 1978-79), in reducing borrowing and in holding down inflation. It is vital, therefore, that we maintain, as the Chief Secretary proposes, control of public expenditure.
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## MAJOR ECONOMIC INDICATORS

## United Kingdom

1983
$19841985 \quad 1986$
Summit 7
(excl. UK)

A Demand and activity
(per cent change on previous year)

| GDP | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Domestic Demand <br> of which: <br> consumer spending <br> fixed investment | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 3 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Exports of goods and <br> services | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Imports of goods and <br> services | 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |

B Inflation
(per cent changes on a year earlier)

| Retail prices, fourth <br> quarter | 5 | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | (1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average earnings, <br> fourth quarter | 8 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{(2)}$ |

C Other indicators
(levels)
Current balance
(£ billion) 3
$\begin{array}{llll}1 \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 3 & -6\end{array}$
Unemployment level (per
cent, narrow definition)
12
13
$7 \frac{1}{2}$
Interest rates ( 3 month
inter-bank, per cent)
10
10
$1210^{(1)}$
$6^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{(1)}$
Sterling Index, 1980=100
83
$78 \frac{1}{2}$
78
Oil prices, \$ North Sea
30
$29 \frac{1}{2}$
$27 \frac{1}{2}$
(1) Current levels
(2) Manufacturing earnings only
(3) Brent price for delivery in July, as of 3rd July
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## MR H P EVANS

cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Economic Secretary Minister of State Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns Mr F E R Butler Mr Byatt<br>Mr Cassell<br>Mr Kemp<br>Mr Monger<br>Mr Odling-Smee<br>Mr Peretz<br>Mr Sedgwick<br>Mr Scholar<br>Mr Watson<br>Mr Culpin<br>Mr Davies<br>Miss O'Mara Miss Noble<br>Mr Brooks<br>Dr Rowlatt Mr Ross Goobey

## RETAIL PRICES INDEX ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 8 July. He has approved the draft reply to Lord Young. However, he regrets that he can see no point in producing a Treasury working paper on owner-occupied housing costs. He has commented that the race is over and our horse has lost.
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Treasury Chambers, Parliament Street, SW1P 3AG
O1-233 3000

10 July 1986

David Norgrove
No 10 Downing Street
bean david,
 are ices. Once
ECONOMIC PROSPECTS: CHANCELLOR'S PAPER FOR JULY CABINET
I attach a draft of the Chancellor's paper on economic prospects for next week's Cabinet. Subject to the Prime Minister's views, (and some last minute polishing) the Chancellor would like to circulate next Monday. There will be an opportunity for discussion at tomorrow's bilateral.

The Prime Minister may also like to see the final draft of the Chief Secretary's Cabinet paper. This has been updated to record the agreement on local authority current expenditure, and the need for the Secretary of State for the Environment's statement to cover local authority capital expenditure. The drafting has also been tightened up in places, as the Prime Minister suggested. The Chancellor will explain the change to paragraph $17(a)$, to remove any reference to reporting back to Cabinet after the bilaterals.


RACHEL LOMAX
Principal Private Secretary
FROM: C J RILEY
DATE: 10 JULY 1986
CC Sir P Middleton
Sir T Burns
Mr Odling-Smee
Mrs Lomax
Mr Gride
Mr Spencer ( $0 / r$ )

## THE MEASUREMENT OF FISCAL STANCE

This is the latest version of the note we had earlier intended to circulate to the Fund staff. The main difference from the version I circulated on 3 July is the addition, at your suggestion, of paragraphs 12-13 on the operational - or primary - deficit. If the Chancellor is intending to comment at some stage, he may wish to comment on this version.

C J RILEY

## THE MEASUREMENT OF FISCAL STANCE

This note gives a brief review of alternative measures of fiscal stance, and assesses their usefulness in different contexts.
2. There are a number of potential uses for such measures. For example, they may provide information on:

- the impact of fiscal policy on nominal demand, inflation and interest rates
- the impact of fiscal policy on real demand and activity
- the government's contribution to total net saving in the economy, the counterpart of which is the current account of the balance of payments
- domestic pressure on financial markets, and hence real interest rates; though in this context the importance of external factors must be taken into account
- the sustainability of current fiscal policies.

Single measures of fiscal policy cannot provide adequate information on any of these relationships, but the different measures discussed below have a comparative advantage in providing different types of information.

## The general government financial balance

3. Expressed as a share of GNP or GDP, this is the basic fiscal deficit indicator used by international organisations. It is based on standard national accounts concepts and is available for all major economies, allowing broad international comparisons to be made. Since general government comprises central and local government (together with their social security funds), this measure does not depend upon the extent of public ownership of industry, though for this reason it does not give a fully comprehensive indication of the public sector deficit or its effect on the economy.
4. The financial balance - or financial deficit - measures the increase or decrease in nominal net general government liabilities. Because it is not adjusted for variations in inflation it is most relevant as an indicator of the impact of fiscal policy on nominal demand and interest rates. It can be used to indicate the compatibility of fiscal policy with governments' monetary and inflation objectives.
5. However it has a number of limitations which should be borne in mind, particularly when making international comparisons:

- it does not allow for official lending (or loan guarantees) and is defined on an accruals rather than a payments basis, and so may give a misleading indication of the impact on financial markets. A measure of the borrowing requirement is more useful in this respect.
- it is more prone to revision and error than a cash-based borrowing measure.
- it makes no allowance for the build-up of future commitments such as pension rights.
- it is measured after allowing for net sales of physical assets, although these have little if any effect on nominal demand (or the public sector's balance sheet), but does not allow for net sales of financial assets which have essentially similar effects.
- it does not usually allow for changes in the capital value of fixed interest, indexed or foreign currency denominated debt. Differences in the debt structure across countries will therefore be reflected in the deficit.
- it will vary with the degree to which general government, rather than public corporations or the private sector, have responsibility for providing particular services (for example education or health).


## The structural balance

6. The financial balance is affected by variations in the level of activity in the economy. It can be argued that this should be allowed for in assessing the underlying stance of fiscal policy, and the degree of pressure on market interest rates. The structural balance measure makes an allowance for this by adjusting for the estimated effect of variations in the level of output relative to productive potential. But there are considerable difficulties in gauging the underlying trend in productive potential, and so the adjustments required are subject to great uncertainty. Also, the adjustments depend on the precise fiscal systems in different countries, which makes international comparisons difficult and injects an essential element of arbitrariness into the calculation.
7. Because of the difficulties in estimating the level of productive potential, the OECD and others focus their analysis upon the change in the structural deficit. This is usually viewed as an indicator of discretionary changes in fiscal policy. However there are several problems with this interpretation:
> - the growth of productive potential is also subject to great uncertainty, particularly in the recent past

- the effect of changes in output on the deficit is likely to be complex, depending for example upon the nature of the cycle as well as dynamic and compositional effects. This makes estimates of the division between automatic and discretionary movements prone to error.
- the adjustments are based on an econometric model and as such are extremely uncertain.
- it is necessary to make assumptions about the operation of monetary policy over the cycle, and in particular about changes in interest rates as output varies. But the operation of monetary policy differs between countries, and the choice of assumption is essentially arbitrary.

8. It is doubtful if the distinction between automatic and discretionary changes in fiscal policy is in practice very useful if the objective is to measure the overall impact of fiscal policy on demand, since both affect the economy. (It would certainly be wrong to argue, as some economic commentators tend to do, that only the structural component affects demand.) Moreover, the overall impact on financial markets and nominal demand will depend crucially upon the overall specification of financial policy. Nevertheless, the structural balance measure does offer a rough and ready way of allowing for cycles in output when comparing fiscal deficits, providing a crude indication of the underlying balance, and as such it may be of some limited use.

## The inflation-adjusted financial balance

9. The financial balance is also affected by inflation rates. In particular debt interest payments vary with movements in nominal interest rates. But to the extent that debt interest payments are viewed by investors as a compensation for inflation they represent an early repayment of capital rather than real income, and this will affect saving behaviour and the implications for the economy and financial markets. High inflation will tend to generate high fiscal deficits and high saving ratios for any given state of the 'real' economy; and in certain contexts it is helpful to make an allowance for this.
10. It is often argued that inflation-adjusted financial balances provide a better measure of the impact of fiscal policy on activity and 'real' economic variables than do unadjusted balances. The adjusted measures are obtained by adding to the financial balance or the structural balance an estimate of the fall in the real value of government debt caused by inflation. When the prevailing rate of inflation is high, as it was during the late 1970s and early 1980s, this adjustment yields a much tighter measure of fiscal stance than the financial balance itself, irrespective of the precise method of calculation.
11. This type of indicator measures the stance of fiscal policy relative to a baseline in which inflation is fully accommodated. Consequently, although it may offer an indication of the impact of
fiscal conditions on activity, real interest rates, and the current account of the balance of payments, it is of rather limited use in describing the overall stance of policy when an important objective is to influence inflation. In these circumstances, it would be more appropriate to assess how large the unadjusted balance should be by reference to government's objectives for monetary growth and the path of nominal demand.

## The operational deficit

12. An alternative method of allowing for inflation is to focus on the operational or primary balance - ie the financial balance excluding net interest payments. This differs from the inflationadjusted balance by the amount of 'real' interest paid on countries' outstanding debt, which will reflect the prevailing level of real interest rates and both the level and structure of the debt. Thus not only does this measure allow for differences in inflation, but also it removes from the financial balance that element which reflects differences in the burden of debt in different countries.
13. This measure is subject to all the same drawbacks as the inflation-adjusted measure, and a number of others. Real interest payments add to demand in the economy as do other elements of the financial balance. But more importantly they contribute to the build-up of debt, and hence to the pressure on real interest rates and the external balance. To ignore them is to ignore an important potential source of fiscal and economic instability.

Demand-weighted financial balances
14. The idea here is to weight each element of the financial balance in terms of its effect on real demand, though the principle could easily be extended to cover other effects of fiscal policy - say the effects on inflation. Changes in different taxes and expenditure items have different effects on real demand, and this is allowed for in constructing the weights. These measures should also allow for inflation tax by incorporating an inflation adjustment as described above.
15. In principle the demand weights that are used could reflect whatever accompanying monetary policy and financial market reactions thought to be appropriate. In practice, most demandweighted measures assume an accommodating monetary policy without any anticipatory behaviour by financial markets. But any assumption is essentially arbitrary, and not necessarily appropriate to the particular circumstances involved. Measures of this sort are highly dependent on the economic model used to construct them, as well as the underlying assumptions.
16. Another problem with demand-weighting is that effects on demand vary over time. Often, demand-weighted measures ignore this, taking account only of the first round impact of changes in taxes and expenditure after allowing for saving and import leakages or focusing on effects in a single time period. By ignoring the full implications of lags and second round effects, time series of demand-weighted deficits typically do not represent accurately the effects of fiscal policy on demand.

## Public sector claims on private saving

17. This type of indicator shows the government's financial balance as a fraction of personal and company sector saving (net of stock appreciation and, sometimes, capital consumption) in order to help analyse the effect of government deficits on financial markets and real interest rates. There is some evidence that such indicators are correlated with real interest rates, a relationship which would be indicated by models based on financial flows. Also, one would expect them to be related to the state of the current account of the balance of payments.
18. Although in some ways useful, these indicators are affected by a number of practical drawbacks:

- a rise in the rate of inflation will tend to increase both the government deficit and personal saving, because of inflation tax, without altering business investment or the external position to the same extent. This will increase the deficit/saving ratio without necessarily implying an increase in real interest rates or a deterioration in the current
account. These inflation effects also make international comparisons very difficult, though an inflation-adjusted deficit/saving indicator might be more helpful.
- similarly, a fall in the level of activity will tend to push up the fiscal deficit and may raise the deficit/saving ratio while at the same time there is downward pressure on interest rates.
- the deficit/net saving statistic employs an allowance for business depreciation, which is notoriously difficult to measure.

Debt/income ratios
19. Real interest rates are likely to be influenced by the outstanding stock of public sector debt, not just new borrowing. Focusing on debt/GDP ratios, normally for general government, provides one way of analysing these cumulative effects. Changes in debt/GDP ratios over time provide an indication of the sustainability of current fiscal policies.
20. One possibility is to focus on gross debt. This might be justified on the grounds that government financial assets often tend to be overstated in the financial accounts. Another justification is that public assets often need to be set against liabilities which are off balance sheet. A good example is provided by state pension funds, whose assets reduce net debt as recorded but are hypothecated to meet future pension liabilities.
21. However it can be argued that net debt is the more appropriate concept as long as government assets can be valued properly. If government lending to the private sector replaces borrowing from other sources this should clearly give a better impression of the net government pressure on financial markets, although to the extent that government lending implies an increase in total private sector borrowing the gross debt ratio may be more appropriate. In any event the use of net debt does not deal with the problem of off balance sheet items, which can only be handled by constructing a comprehensive measure of government net worth.
22. Like the inflation-adjusted financial balance, the various debt/income ratio measures implicitly assume full accommodation of inflation (and output growth). Also, there are no very clear criteria available for deciding on the appropriate level or the trend over time. However they can give some indication of the overall pressure on real interest rates, and persistent trends in either direction give an indication of whether existing fiscal policies are sustainable or will need to be changed.
23. A major practical problem is that it is difficult to know the extent to which government lending to the private sector increases the overall pressure on financial markets. This is likely to depend upon the type of lending involved and may differ from year to year or from country to country. Different organisations allow for different categories of lending in constructing net debt figures. The figures produced by the OECD, for example, make no allowance for foreign exchange reserves or central bank holdings of commercial bills, and this produces a serious upward bias in the net debt/income ratio for the UK.
24. A further practical issue is whether the figures should be at market or nominal values. A case can be made either way, but the figures are likely to be quite different. In either case there are difficulties in obtaining appropriate stock data which can be reconciled with observed financial flows.

Conclusion
25. No available measure of the stance of fiscal policy is wholly satisfactory, and indeed no single measure could be given the different potential uses of such measures. Of those available, the unadjusted financial balance is probably the least unsatisfactory measure if the aim is to focus on the impact of fiscal policy on nominal demand and interest rates. Definitions of the structural balance are inevitably arbitrary and there are severe problems of measurement, though ideally they would give some indication of the underlying balance.
26. The demand-weighted inflation-adjusted financial balance may in principle be a useful measure of the impact of fiscal policy on real demand. But again there are severe conceptual and measurement problems which considerably limit its usefulness.
27. Debt/income ratios provide supplementary information which can be important in interpreting the flow data. Changes in the ratios probably provide the best available indication of the sustainability of fiscal policy; and they can also be used to indicate emerging pressures on real interest rates and the current account of the balance of payments. But they have to be interpreted with care, and measured in an appropriate way.

FROM: S BROOKS DATE: 10 JULY 1986

1. MR S J DÁvies
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER


THE JUNE RPI (to be published at 11.30 a.m. on Friday 11 July)

The level of the RPI fell by 0.1 per cent between May and June. The twelve month rate of inflation declined from 2.8 per cent in May to 2.5 per cent in June. This decline is in line with our expectations. The twelve month increase in June is the lowest since December 1967 which was also 2.5 per cent.
2. Excluding mortgage interest payments, the twelve month rate increased from 3.1 per cent in May to 3.3 per cent in June. (The twelve month rate for May has been revised down to 3.1 after further second thoughts by DE.) E Excluding housing the twelve month rate increased from 2.4 per cent to 2.6 per cent.]
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## CONFIDENTIAL

3. The all items RPI was affected in June by the reduction of one per cent in the mortgage interest rate which became generally effective on 1 June. This reduced the index by about 4 per cent. As anticipated, petrol prices increased slightly, by about 3 p per gallon. This is the first rise in petrol prices since May 1985.
4. In July we expect the twelve month rate of increase to rise a little in the range $2.5-2.75$ per cent. Last year the level of the RPI fell by 0.2 per cent between June and July. Unless this fall is repeated this year, the annual rate of inflation has to rise next month.
5. The RPI figures are roughly as anticipated in the City and elsewhere. Wood MacKenzie expect 2.5 per cent, James Capel 2.6 per cent and Phillips \& Drew 2.3 per cent. In addition there were several press reports on Monday 7 July predicting an increase of 2.5 per cent.
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## 10 DOWNING STREET

11 July, 1986.

Dear Mrs. Lomax,

ECONOMIC PROSPECTS: CHANCELLOR'S PAPER FOR JULY CABINET
The Prime Minister and the Chancellor this morning discussed the draft economic prospects paper attached to your letter to me of 10 July.

The Prime Minister had two comments.
First, Mrs. Thatcher felt that the first sentence of paragraph 2 gave an over-optimistic impression. This impression seems to depend on the words ".... every reason to expect a resumption ...." which might be replaced by some such words as ".... a reasonable prospect of a resumption ....".

Second, the Prime Minister felt it would be helpful to include at some point in the paper a reference to the immense scale and growth of the Japanese current account surplus and also of the way in which Japanese exports were increasingly being switched from the United States to Europe.


Mrs. Rachel Lomax, HM Treasury.


1. MISS O'MARA MoM
2. $/ 7$
3. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary
Economic Secretary Minister of State Sir Peter Middleton Sir Terence Burns Mr Cassell Mr Monck Mr H P Evans Mr Odling-Smee Mr Scholar Mr Sedgwick Mr Culpin Mr S Davies Miss Peirson Mr Riley Mr Hacche Mr Pickering
Dr Rowlatt
Mr Cropper
Mr Ross Goober
Mr Tyrie
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## GOLDMAN SACHS ECONOMICS ANALYST: JULY 1986

The Goldman Sachs economics team, led by Gavin Davies, have produced the first in a monthly series of publications on the UK economy entitled 'The UK Economics Analyst'. The 'Analyst' includes an economic commentary, which will focus on particular aspects of the economy from month to month, some detailed comment on monetary and fiscal policy, and a set of forecasts. In essence, therefore, the publication is very similar to that which Gavin Davies et al produced for Simon \& Coates up until April this year.
2. This month's commentary, which will be published on Monday, focuses on interest rates. A note by Dr Rowlatt, of FEU, is attached.

## Assumptions

3. GS forecasts are based on an average oil price of $\$ 15.1 / \mathrm{bl}$ in 1986 and $\$ 14.3 / \mathrm{bl}$ in 1987. The sterling index is expected to decline to 72 by 1987Q2, rising slightly to 73 by 1987Q4.
4. £M3 growth is expected to slow down later this year, although not by enough to bring annual growth into the $11-15 \%$ range given in the FSBR. MO is expected to be within the FSBR range in both 1986 and 1987.
5. The PSBR outturn in $1986 / 87$ is forecast at $£ 7 \frac{3}{4}$ billion, slightly above the FSBR figure of $£ 7$ billion. It then rises to reach $£ 9$ billion in $1987 / 88$. Tax cuts of $£ 2 \frac{3}{4}$ billion are assumed for both 1987/88 and 1988/89.

## Forecast*

6. 

|  | $\frac{1986}{}$ | $\frac{1987}{2.9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GDP(A) | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Consumers' expenditure | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| General Government expenditure | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Gross fixed investment | 1.3 | 5.9 |
| Exports | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Imports | 2.5 | 4.7 |
| RPI(Q4) | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| Unemployment | 3.22 | 3.14 |
| (UK adults, million, Q4) | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Current account (£bn) | $7 \frac{3}{4}$ | 9 |
| PSBR (financial year, £bn) |  |  |

*except where specified, figures are percentage changes on previous year
7. The main points of the forecast are:
(a) GS see retail prices inflation falling to 1.8 per cent by 1987 Q 1 , but then rising to 4.1 per cent in 1987Q4.
(b) GS forecast GDP growth of 1.8 per cent and 2.9 per cent in 1986 and 1987 respectively.
(c) Unemployment of 3.22 million is forecast for 1986 Q 4 , falling slightly to 3.14 million in 1987 Q4.
(d) Base rates are forecast to fall to 8.5 per cent in 1986 Q 4 before rising to an average of 9.4 per cent in 1987.

## "UK economic overview"

8. This section provides background to the forecast tables. On fiscal policy, GS expect public expenditure to keep more or less within the planning total this year but to exceed it by $£ 2 \frac{1}{2}$ billion next ${ }^{\text {year }}$ and by $£ 6 \frac{1}{2}$ billion by 1989-90. They predict over spending by as much as
$£ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ billion on local authorities next year, $£ 1 \frac{1}{2}$ billion on public sector pay and $£ 1$ billion on health and education in the run up to the election. Revenues are expected to be slightly lower in the current financial year than forecast in the FSBR, largely owing to subdued GDP growth (although revenues pick up in the next financial year with higher inflation). Oil revenues remain in line with the Treasury forecast this year and may exceed it in future. As a result, the PSBR is expected to rise to $£ 7 \frac{3}{4}$ billion this year. They assume tax cuts of $£ 2 \frac{3}{4}$ billion next year, bringing the PSBR to $£ 9$ billion, and forecast election pressures will keep it around that level to 1988-89.
9. The rapid growth in £M3 is not seen by GS as an indication that monetary conditions are loose. Interest rates are expected to stay relatively high, reflecting market concern over prospects for inflation and the balance of payments together with pre-election uncertainty. GS believe the UK authorities have been following a "shadow EMS band" of DM/£3.30-3.50, although they do not expect the UK to join the ERM formally before the election.
10. GS believe there will be some encouraging response to falling inflation from average earnings, although this will only serve to delay the expected rise in retail prices inflation until the second quarter of 1987.
11. The downward movement in average earnings results in a rise of only 3 per cent in real personal disposable income in 1986 (compared with around 5 per cent in the FSBR). But with a fall in the saving ratio (presumably reflecting the real balance effects of falling inflation), consumers' expenditure is expected to rise by $4 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent this year, and by $3 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent next year. Investment performance is expected to be rather subdued this year, with growth of only $1 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent. Nevertheless, growth of 6 per cent is expected in 1987, helped by rising non-oil profits and by buoyant private housing lending. Exports of goods and services are forecast to be flat this year (reflecting slow growth in world trade and deteriorating competitiveness) picking up in 1987 to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, following the improved world outlook.
12. Overall, growth is forecast to be around $1^{\frac{3}{4}}$ per cent this year, rising to around 3 per cent next year. The main reason for the pick up seems to be falling inflation, helped by lower oil prices, with their effects on the saving ratio and profits.

## Lines to take

## Positive

13. GS qualitatively in line with Chancellor's comments to Welsh Party Conference (10 June) in expecting pick up in growth in 1987.
14. GS forecast retail prices inflation to fall to 2.1 per cent by 1986 Q 4 and to 1.8 per cent by 1987 Ql.
15. Investment expected to rise strongly in 1987, with forecast growth of 6 per cent.
16. GS believe that there is no real threat to inflation from the present rate of growth of £M3.

## Defensive

17. Growth of only $1 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent in 1986

Yes, but GS forecast growth of 3 per cent for 1987, following benefits of oil price fall. Chancellor has also indicated that while growth this year may be lower than forecast in the FSBR, may well be higher in 1987.

## 18. Currently in downswing of economic cycle?

Pause in worldwide growth expected to be temporary. GS forecast growth in UK of 3 per cent in 1987.
19. Inflation expected to pick up in 1987

GS place too much emphasis on unit wage costs as a determinant of inflation. The maintenance of firm financial policies will ensure continued downward pressure on inflation.
20. Unemployment remains above 3 million in 1987

Unlivaly ( suss)
cannot expect/major improvement in unemployment trend until rate of pay settlements comes down.
21. Deterioration forecast in balance of payments

Too early to say - though volume of world trade may be less than forecast in FSBR.
22. Public expenditure planning total for 1987-88 unrealistic given past performance Past performance not bad. 2986 PEWP shows for two -recent years - 1981-82, and 1982-83, \& $\sim$ ) outturn below final figure plan. St with tho ts of
23. Public expenditure likely to be above target from 1987-88 onwards, given pre-election pressures and local authority overspending

Higher reserves in 1987-88 and 1988-89 allow for uncertainties on LA spending. But no Colaxation on public expenditure simply to buy votes.
24. Tax cuts of $£ 2 \frac{3}{4}$ billion in 1987-88

Wait till Budget.
25. PSBR will rise to $£ 9$ billion in 1987-88

Large margin of error possible on $£ 7$ billion FSBR projection. But will not raise PSBR simply to buy votes and Government has stressed need to keep public borrowing low.


## THE "RISK PREMIUM" ON UK INTEREST RATES - GAVYN DAVIES

This first issue of the new circular from Goldman Sachs, "The UK Economics Analyst", contains an article on UK interest rates by Gavyn Davies.
2. The main concern of the article is with the expected future path of gilt yields and the implications this may have for investors. However, analysis of a possible "risk premium" gives rise to a discussion of the recent paths of the monetary aggregates. Gavyn Davies' view is that, after adjustment for distortions, the year-on-year growth of £M3 has been around 14 per cent in recent months. He favours the hypothesis that the high rate of growth of money has been associated with increases in wealth and concludes that the balances are "...securely held as part of [the private sector's] overall savings balances, with relatively little risk of a future leakage into transactions demand." But he holds this view with "a low degree of confidence."

## Summary

3. Gavyn Davies' analysis of the level of UK interest rates is soundly based on the risk-adjusted interest parity condition. This states that, in the absence of capital controls, currencies will move to a point that equalises the expected real rates of return in different countries after adjustment for risk premium which may differ between countries. It follows that differences between estimates of real bond yields may result from

- incorrect estimates of inflation expectations used in construction of the real yields
- differences in risk premia
- expected future movements in real exchange rates
- market imperfections.

4. Gavyn Davies' calculations, which use historic or short-term forecasts of inflation, suggest that real bond yields in the UK are high relative to those in other countries. However, his judgment is that the reason for this is "a high degree of inflation risk" in the

UK. The main part of the article analyses three approaches to the assessment of the market's view of the future rate of inflation in the UK. The first is based on monetary growth, the second on an analysis of changes in costs and the third on "political risk" - the possibility of a change of Government at the next election.
5. The conclusion is that the market views the risk of inflation in the UK as high compared with other countries and likely to remain so through the remainder of 1986 and in 1987. He therefore sees little room for a narrowing of the nominal yield differential between UK gilts and the average of other major Governments' bonds. He does, however, believe that there is scope for a general easing in short rates and also for a further adjustment of yield curves to the lowering of inflation.

## Comment

## (i) On the general argument

6. Gavyn Davies bases his view of the current level of real long bond yields on nominal yields and historic data or short-term projections for inflation. Finding that on this measure UK real long rates come out above the rest, he concludes that expectations in the markets set UK inflation at a higher level than his (and others') forecasts. His opinion that this discrepancy will continue during the coming months suggests that market expectations are not, in his view, formed rationally.
7. An alternative interpretation of the data, which uses the same theoretical framework, would set market expectations broadly in line with forecasts and explain the discrepancy between nominal (short) rates by the view that, at present levels, a fall in sterling is viewed as more probable than a rise.
(ii) On Gavyn Davies' interpretation of money growth
8. The adjustments made to arrive at an "underlying" growth rate for £M3 are too naive to be taken seriously by us. Gavyn Davies replaces the figures for each component of the counterparts by a trend value. This leads to a substantial reduction in each counterpart. He takes no account of the probable interaction between counterparts (eg if there had been neutral instead of under-funding would bank lending have been higher?)
9. His discussion of whether this money growth matters covers the Governor of the Bank of England's "liquidity glacier" analogy and the Budd-Holly work about which he expresses the usual doubts.
(iii) On the remainder
10. The section on costs is sound and well-written but adds nothing to our own analysis. The section on political risk is, perhaps necessarily, superficial and of little interest.
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FROM: S BROOKS
DATE: 11 July 1986

1. M H P EVANS
2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

C/ lowest since under was introduced

## un 1974.
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PRODUCER PRICES FOR JUNE

The Producer Price Indices for June will be published at 11.30 a.m. on Monday 14 July. The level of the output index was the same in June as in May, with the twelve month rate of change declining slightly to 4.5 per cent in Junes from 4.6 per cent in May. (The May figure has been revised). Input prices fell by 8.4 per cent in the year to June, compared with 8.7 per cent in the year to May.
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PRODUCER PRICES (PERCENTAGE CHANGE OVER A YEAR EARLIER)

Output Prices

A11 All excl FDT*

Input Prices

All

> All (Seasonally Adjusted

| 1985 Q2 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Q3 | 5.6 | 6.5 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -1.2 |
| Q4 | 5.1 | 5.9 | -5.4 | -5.4 | -5.1 |
| 1986 Q1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | -9.4 | -9.4 | -11.9 |
| Q2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -9.0 | -8.9 | -12.2 |
| April | 4.5 | 4.4 | -9.7 | -9.6 | -12.5 |
| May | 4.6 | 4.4 | -8.7 | -8.5 | -12.2 |
| June | 4.5 | 4.3 | -8.4 | -8.4 | -11.8 |

* Excluding the food, drink and tobacco industries.

2. Before seasonal adjustment the producer price index for inputs to manufacturing industry fell by 1.2 per cent between May and June. This was largely the result of lower prices of home-produced food materials and of imported commodities. After seasonal adjustment, the index for manufacturing industry fell by 0.7 per cent. The overall fall in the year to June 1986 was 8.4 per cent, compared with a revised figure of 8.5 per cent in the year to May.
3. Between May and June, the producer output price index excluding the food and drink and tobacco industries rose by 0.2 per cent, with the twelve month rate of increase in June falling slightly to 4.3 per cent.
4. I attach two charts showing movements in producer input and output prices since January 1975.
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MR S BROOKS

cc PS/Chief Secretary PS/Financial Secretary PS/Minister of State Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns<br>Mr F E R Butler<br>Mr H P Evans<br>Mr Scholar<br>Mr Culpin<br>Mr S Davies<br>Miss O'Mara<br>Mr Pickford<br>Mr Ross Goobey<br>Mr Cropper<br>Mr Tyrie

## THE JUNE RPI

The Chancellor was most grateful for your minute of 10 July.
2. You mention the RPI excluding housing at the end of your paragraph 2: the Chancellor has commented that this should not be used in briefing in any way. He thinks it merely confuses the issue.


[^1]cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Economic Secretary Minister of State Sir P Middleton Sir T Burns Mr Cassell Mr Monck Mr H P Evans Mr Odling-Smee Mr Scholar Mr Sedgwick Mr Culpin Miss O'Mara Mr Cropper Mr Ross Goobey Mr Tyrie

GOLDMAN SACHS ECONOMICS ANALYST: JULY 1986

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 11 July. He has the following points on the suggested lines to take:-

- Paragraph 20: Redraft as follows "Unlikely to see major improvement in unemployment trend until rate of pay settlements comes down".
- Paragraph 22: Redraft second sentence as follows "In three of last five years - 1981-82, 1982-83, and 1985-86 - outturn below final figure plan". (The Chancellor has asked whether this is really true of 1982-83.)
- Paragraph 23: Redraft second sentence of answer as follows "Government remains committed to firm control of public expenditure".



Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1H 9NF
Telephone Direct Line 01-213 $\qquad$
Switchboard 01-213 3000 GTN Code 213
Facsimile 01-2135465 Telex 915564
The Rt Hon Nigel Lawson MP Chancellor of the Exchequer Treasury Chambers Parliament Street LONDON

## The Nigel,

RETAIL PRICES INDEX ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Thank you for your letter of 9 July.
As you know, it is my intention to publish the Committee's report on the afternoon of Tuesday 15 July , when I expect to be able to announce my decisions by means of Arranged Questions for Written Answer in both Houses and a press release from this Department. My officials have been in touch with yours about the possible wording of these.

I am copying this to the Prime Minister, Willie Whitelaw, Norman Tebbit, Norman Fowler, Nicholas Ridley, Michael Jopling and the Director of the Central Statistical Office.
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## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - MAY 1986

This will be published at 11.30 am on Tuesday, 15 July.
2. The index of production in the three months to May was 1 per cent higher than in the previous three months and $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than a year ago. However, after allowing for the coal dispute, industrial production was $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower than a year ago.
3. Manufacturing output in the latest three months was little changed from the level of the previous three months but 1 per cent lower than in the same period a year earlier.
4. There have been significant data revisions reflecting both additional information and the twice-yearly updating of seasonal factors. The index of manufacturing output has been revised up by around $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the first quarter of 1986 but April has been revised down, by 1 per cent for manufacturing and by around $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent for production industries.

The revisions to 1985 mean there is now a clearer temporary peak in manufacturing production in 1985 Q2. In the three months to May manufacturing output was 1 per cent below the 1985 Q2 level. However, manufacturing output has been relatively flat over the past four months suggesting that the decline in manufacturing may have stopped. The underlying output of all production industries in the second half of 1985 was almost 1 per cent below the high level reached in the first half but has partly recovered this year due to high oil extraction.

## 6. Recent movements

| percentage changes | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { on } \\ 1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { months to May } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { previous } 3 \text { months } \end{aligned}$ | 3 months to May on previous year | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { April } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of Production | +4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | +1 | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $-1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| within which: |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | +3 | 0 | - 1 | 0 |
| Energy and Water | +9 | $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-4 \frac{1}{2}$ |

adjusted for strikes, weather etc*

| Index of Production | $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Manufacturing output | +3 | 0 | -1 | 0 |

*NOT FOR USE.
7. Manufacturing output in the latest three months was $11 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above its 1981 Q 1 trough but was 8 per cent below its $1979 \mathrm{Q}^{2}$ peak. The index of production was 15 per cent above its 1981 Q 1 trough, although still slightly below the peak recorded in 1979Q2.

## Other industrial detail

8. Within manufacturing, the output of 'other manufacturing' rose by 1 per cent between the two latest three month periods. The output of textiles and clothing fell by 1 per cent, and the output of metals and chemicals by 2 per cent. The output of other minerals, of engineering and allied industries and of food, drink and tobacco were broadly unchanged.

## Lines to take

9. Positive:-
(i) Total production up by 1 per cent in last three months on previous three months.
(ii) Manufacturing output has grown in every year since 1981 - longest period of uninterrupted growth since 1970 - and a fifth year of growth is forecast for 1986.
(iii) Manufacturing profitability in 1984 (latest figures available) best since 1973. Exports up 6 per cent in 1985 to beat 1984's all time and expected to rise further in 1986. Manufacturing industry expected to be major beneficiary of fall in oil price.

## Defensive:-

(iv) Manufacturing output peaked in 1985Q2. Expected to be temporary. Chancellor has made clear that growth is expected to pick up later in 1986 following benefits of lower oil price (in speeches to Association of Economic Representatives in London on 28 May and to Welsh Party Conference on June 10).
(v) Downward revisions to manufacturing output in April show bias adjustment unjustified

No. Historical experience shows initial estimates of manufacturing output have been underestimated on average. Bias adjustments completely justified.
(vi) Manufacturing output growth likely to turn out lower than forecast in FSBR

Growth may be lower than forecast this year but should pick up in 1987.


G HACCHE
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## MR HACCHE

## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - MAY 1986

The Chancellor has seen and was grateful for your minute of 14 July.


FROM: MRS R LOMAX
DATE: 16 JULY 1986

MR J WEBB

cc Sir T Burns<br>Mr Evans<br>Mr S Matthews

## WORLD ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS

The Chancellor has seen the July edition of this regular monthly note. He has commented that the new format is a vast improvement. He thinks it will be very useful.


RACHEL LOMAX

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY
1-19 VICTORIA STREET
LONDON SW1H ET
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { Telephone (Direct dialling) } & 01-215 \text { ) } \\ \text { GTD } & 215 \text { ) }\end{array} .4887 . . .$.
(Switchboard) 01-215 7877

Mrs R Lomax
Principal Private Secretary Chancellor of the Exchequer H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW JAG

## C/ content with Press

Notice?

## CR 22/7

I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in June. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Friday 25 July at 11.30 am and $I$ should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Thursday 24 July and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr Walker, H M Treasury.

Yours sincerely
w. R. Boyer.

W E BOYD

1. MR KEGEY 23.7
2. CHANCELLOR


The June trade figures will be released on Friday 25 July. They will show a small current account surplus of $£ 77$ million, following one of $£ 34$ million in May. Visibles were in deficit by £623 million, offset by an estimated invisible surplus of $£ 700 \mathrm{million}$.

## Main points

2. (i) The June trade account was little changed from May; an increased oil surplus was offset by a higher non-oil deficit;
(ii) The tentative resumed upward movement in the underlying level of non-oil export volume now begins to look a little bit more firmly based (see chart). Volume in Q2 was $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent up on Ql;
(iii) Unfortunately there are now growing signs that the underlying trend in non-oil import volume has also turned up. Volume in Q2 rose $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in Q1 to stand 3 per cent up on a year ago;
(iv) The June oil trade surplus was $£ 76$ million above the May figure but $£ 350$ million below the average monthly surplus in the second half of 1985. Export volume fell for the second month in a row but price was a little higher;
(v) Manufacturing trade was in deficit by $£ 357$ million, $£ 50$ million worse than May. But, the Q2 deficit of $£ 0.6$ billion was still an improvement of more than $£ 0.8$ billion on Ql;
(vi) Invisibles continue to be projected at $£ 700$ million a month.

## Comparison with forecast

4. The current account surplus for the first half of 1986 is $£ 1$ billion below that implied by the FSBR forecast. The non-oil visible balance is $£ 1 \frac{1}{4}$ billion below forecast and the oil visible surplus is $£ \frac{1}{2}$ billion below; but the invisibles surplus is projected to be above forecast. Volumes are in general below forecast for the first half of the year: imports (less oil and erratics) are 3 per cent down, with manufactured imports 5 per cent down; exports (less oil and erratics) and manufactured exports are both 4 per cent below forecast. The outturn for the last two months has been much as anticipated in the internal June forecast.

## Trade prices

5. The overall terms of trade in June improved by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and were 5 per cent better than in 1985. The non-oil terms of trade were stable in the month, but were $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent better than 1985 . Import prices have been falling since March, partly in response to the firm exchange rate. Export prices have been more stable.

Percentage change in trade prices - June 1986 compared to March 1986

Import prices
Export prices

| Manufactures | -1.8 | +0.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Food, drink, tobacco | +0.7 | +.3 |
| Basic materials | -3.3 | -6.0 |
| Fuel | -24 | -25 |

Crude oil import prices fell by over 20 per cent in June. They are

# SECRET AND PERSONAL <br> until 11.30 on Friday 25 July 1986 <br> then CONFIDENTIAL 

now below crude oil export prices.

## Eiffect on markets

6. The markets are expecting a current account surplus of $£ 50$ million (and a trade deficit of $£ 650$ million). This is in line with the figures to be published and there is therefore unlikely to be any significant market impact.

## Press briefing

7. I should be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.


J E FLITHON
EFI

## DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT

## Positive

1. Substantial current account surplus of £l.l billion in first half of year despite lower oil prices.
2. Fall of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in import unit value index in $Q 2$ will help to maintain downward trend of UK inflation.
3. Export volume now moving up again. Excluding oil and erratics up $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in Q2 compared with Q1.

## Defensive

1. Lower oil balance
[Surplus of £8.2 billion in 1985; £2 billion in Q1 1986; £0.8 billion in Q2 1986.]

Reflects substantial fall in oil prices. Large oil surplus still expected in 1986.
2. FSBR current account forecast too optimistic given fall in oil prices
[Current account surplus of $£ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ billion forecast for 1986 after $£ 3.8$ billion surplus in 1985. ¿l.l billion surplus in 1986 Hl. ${ }^{1}$

Too early to say. Forecast allowed for effect of fall in oil price to $\$ 15$ a barrel. Volume of world trade may be less than anticipated. Revisions to invisibles increased current account surpluses in 1934 and 1985 by $£ 0.7$ billion and $£ 0.8$ billion respectively.

Suint possir: An up dally
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## 3. Manufacturing trade

[1986 Q1 deficit of $£ 1.4$ billion; Q2 deficit of $£ 0.6$ billion.]

Improvement of $£ 0.8$ billion between first two quarters of 1986 reflects erratic nature of figures. UK exporters of manufactures have gradually improved volume share of world trade since 1981 after years of decline.

## 4. Import volume

Volume has fluctuated considerably in recent months. For Q2 as a whole only $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent up on $Q 1$.
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TABLE 1: CURRENT ACCOUNT

|  | 1985 | Q1 | Q2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \\ & \text { Apr } \end{aligned}$ | £ billion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May | June | 1986 year to date |
| Oil | +8.2 | +2.0 | +0.8 | +0.3 | +0.2 | +0.3 | +2.8 |
| Non-oil | -10.2 | -3.4 | -2.3 | -0.5 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -5.7 |
| Total visible trade | -2.1 | -1.4 | -1.6 | -0.3 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -3.0 |
| o/w trade in manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (BOP basis) | -3.0 | -1.4 | -0.6 | +0.1 | -0.3 | -0.4 | -2.0 |
| Invisibles | +5.8 | +1.9 | +2.1* | +0.7* | +0.7* | +0.7* | $+4.0$ |
| Current account | +3.8 | +0.5 | +0.5 | +0.4 | +0 | +0.1 | +1.1 |
| *projection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABIE 2: EXPORTS AND IMPORTS (percentage change)

| 1986 | 1986 | Q2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 on |  |  |
| June on May | Q2 on Q1 | Q2 |
|  | 1985 |  |

## i. Exports

Total value
Total volume (BOP basis)
Total volume excl oil and erratics (BOP basis)

Manufactures volume
(excl erratics) OTS basis
Fuels (volume)
ii. Imports

Total value
Total volume (BOP basis)
Total volume exd.oil and erratics (BOP basis)

Manufactures volume
(excl erratics) OTS basis
Fuels (volume)
$-1$
$+\frac{1}{2}$
$+\frac{1}{2}+3 \frac{1}{2}$
$-1 \quad+4$
$\begin{array}{lll}-12 & -8 & -3\end{array}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-12^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \\
0 \\
0 \\
-3
\end{gathered}
$$

$-5 \frac{1}{2}$
$+3$
$+3$
$+2 \frac{1}{2}$
+5
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## TRADE FIGURES FOR JUNE 1986
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FROM: SIR T BURNS DATE: 24 JULY 1986
cc Sir P Middleton
Mr Evans
Miss O`Mara

## JULY CBI QUARTERLY TRENDS SURVEY

I spoke to John Caff this morning in confidence about the next CBI Industrial Trends Survey which is due to be published next Tuesday. He is coming to see me on Monday morning to deliver the results formally to me, and I gather that you are seeing Mr Nickson and Sir Terence Beckett on Monday evening. I have added the figures he gave me on the phone to the table which is annexed to this minute. At face value they are fairly gloomy for activity and very good for costs and inflation. The CBI press notice will be written under the heading "Output levels off but setback temporary". They put the problems down to sluggish world trade, and although output is flat for the moment they expect it to pick up later in the year.
2. The figure for firms working below capacity is surprisingly low, and they explain this as companies cutting out the more expensive plant. They interpret the answers to the questions on stockbuilding as implying that companies are meeting demand from stocks rather than production. They explain some of this in terms of widespread expectation of lower prices of raw materials.
3. John Caff suggested that at the Economic Situation Committee there was no mood of gloom. Consumers' real incomes were rising quickly and they expected the effect of this to come through by the end of the year. There was more concern with the Current Account, import penetration and the exchange rate.

## PERSONAL \& CONFIDENTIAL

4. As you know, we claim to have detected some seasonal variation in the replies to the CBI Survey. I have not had an opportunity to look closely at the seasonal correction, but I suspect that after seasonal adjustment the figure for overall optimism will in fact show a small improvement compared to April. The same goes for volume of new orders in the past four months.
5. Although the results are disappointing they are not surprising, and in line with other information. They confirm, above all, the weakness of external demand and the implications of sluggish activity elsewhere.


T BURNS

ENC

| TOTAL TRADE |  |  | Jan 85 | Apr 85 | Ju1 85 | Oct 85 | Jan 86 | Apr 86 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Optimism re business situa |  | + 4 | +18 | 0 | - 6 | -1 | + 8 | $-9$ |
|  | 12 month forecast of capi authorisations compared w months on: | penditure evious 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a buildings |  | - 8 | - 3 | -21 | -21 | -16 | -15 |  |
|  | b plant and machinery |  | +11 | +14 | 0 | + 4 | + 2 | +10 | $+5$ |
|  | Firms working below capaci |  | 54 | 54 | 45 | 49 | 54 | 57 | 48 |
|  | Numbers employed | - past 4 months | - 8 | - 5 | -15 | - 6 | -16 | -17 |  |
|  |  | - next 4 months | -10 | - 1 | -16 | -10 | -14 | -14 |  |
|  | Volume of new orders | - past 4 months | +13 | +24 | +10 | +11 | - 2 | 0 | $-6$ |
|  |  | - next 4 months | +19 | +23 | +15 | +13 | +9 | +16 | 4 |
|  | Volume of output | - past 4 months | +13 | +21 | +18 | +10 | + 6 | 0 | -2 |
|  |  | - next 4 months | +19 | +27 | +14 | +17 | + 4 | +14 | 5 |
|  | Stocks of raw materials | - past 4 months | + 5 | 0 | +1 | +1 | -1 | - 5 | -7 |
|  |  | - next 4 months | - 5 | +1 | - 8 | -12 | -13 | -12 | $-17$ |
|  | Stocks of work in progress | - past 4 months | + 6 | + 3 | + 7 | + 8 | - 2 | 0 |  |
|  |  | - next 4 months | - 3 | + 8 | - 6 | -7 | -9 | -8 | -13 |
|  | Stocks of finished goods | - past 4 months | + 4 | $+1$ | + 4 | 0 | - 3 | - 3 | -2 |
|  |  | - next 4 months | - 7 | 0 | - 8 | -8 | -15 | -10 | -16 |
|  | Average unit costs | - past 4 months | +34 | +47 | +30 | +19 | +23 | +19 | 11 |
|  |  | - next 4 months | +43 | +34 | +28 | +21 | +34 | +18 | 8 |
|  | Average domestic prices | - past 4 months | +27 | +35 | +23 | +13 | + 8 | +20 | 6 |
|  |  | - next 4 months | +39 | +30 | +23 | +17 | +25 | +19 |  |
|  | Four month forecast of fac limit output | ikely to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Orders or sales |  | 80 | 73 | 73 | 79 | 83 | 81 |  |
|  | Skilled labour |  | 11 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 13 |  |
|  | Other labour |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Plant capacity |  | 14 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 13 |  |
|  | Credit or finance |  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 |  |
|  | Materials/components |  | 4 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 |  |
|  | Other |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |



* question number in quarterly survey
* The 'balance' is the difference between those replying 'more', 'up', 'above normal' or 'more than adequate' and those replying 'less', 'down', 'below normal' or 'less than adequate'.
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the current account of the united kingdom

## BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

JUNE 1986
The current account for June is estimated to have been in surplus by $£ 77$ million compared with a surplus of $£ 34$ million in May. In June, exports were valued at $£ 5826$ million and imports at $£ 6449$ million so that trade in goods was in deficit by $£ 623$ million.

The balance on invisibles in June is projected to be in surplus by $£ 700$ million, a large surplus on the transactions of the private sector and public corporations being partly offset by a deficit on Government transactions.

## SECOND QUARTER 1986

In the second quarter, the current account showed a surplus of £0. 5 billion; much the same as in the first quarter. There was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 1.6$ billion in the second quarter compared with a deficit of $£ 1.4$ billion in the filit. The surplus on invisibles in the second quarter is projected at £2. 1 billion.

## CURRENT ACCOUNT

ThBLE 1
£ million, Seasenally adjuated

| L |  |  | I CurrentBalance |  |  | Visible Trade |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Invisibles } \\ \text { Balance } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Balance | I | Experts | 1 | Imports |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | fob | 1 | fob |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| I | 1984 |  |  | + 1563 |  | - 4391 | , | 70367 | 1 | 74758 | 1 | + 5953 |
| 1 | 1985 |  |  | + 3763 |  | - 2068 | 1 | 78072 | 1 | 80140 | , | + 5831 |
| 1 | 1985 | Q2 |  | + 1587 |  | - 124 | 1 | 20237 | 1 | 20361 | 1 | + 1711 |
| 1 |  | Q3 |  | + 1472 |  | 453 | 1 | 18748 | 1 | 19201 | 1 | + 1925 |
| 1 |  | Q4 |  | + 762 |  | - 225 | 1 | 19018 | 1 | 19242 | , | + 987 |
| 1 | 1986 | Q1 |  | + 528 |  | - 1400 | 1 | 18204 | 1 | 19604 |  | $+1928$ |
| 1 |  | Q2 |  | + 546a |  | - 1554 | 1 | 17735 | 1 | 19289 | 1 | + 2100a |
| 1 | 1986 | Jan |  | + 1090 |  | + 155 | 1 | 6289 | 1 | 6135 | 1 | + 9354 |
| 1 |  | Feb |  | + 152 |  | - 344 | 1 | 6192 | 1 | 6535 | 1 | + 4961 |
| , |  | Mar |  | - 714 |  | - 1211 | 1 | 5723 | 1 | 6934 |  | + 4971 |
| 1 |  | Apr |  | + 435a |  | - 265 | 1 | 6038 | 1 | 6303 | 1 | + 700a |
| 1 |  | May |  | + 34 a |  | - 666 | I | 5871 | 1 | 6537 | 1 | + 700a |
|  |  | June |  | + 77a |  | - 623 | 1 | 5826 | 1 | 6449 | , | + 700a |
| 1 |  |  | I |  |  |  | I |  | 1 |  | I |  |
| 1 | Jenuar | to | 1 |  |  |  | , |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| I | June | 1986 |  | + 1074a |  | - 2954 | 1 | 35938 | 1 | 38893 | 1 | + 4028a |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | 1 |  | , |  |

a Invisibles for April to June 1986 are projections and subject to revision as information becoses available.
b Monthly figures are one-third of the appropriate calendar quarter's estimete or projection, except for VAT abatementa received from the European Comunity which are allocated to the month they are known to have been received. Information relating to credits and debita can be found in Table 3.
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## VISIBLE TRADE IN JUNE 1986

There was a deficit on visible trade in June of £623 million compared with a deficit of $£ 666$ million in May. The surplus on oil at £288 million was £76 million higher than in May while the deficit on non-oil trade increased by £33 million to £911 million.

At £5826 million, exports in June were £45 million (1 per cent) lower than in May. Exports of oil fell by $£ 57$ million while exports of the erratic items increased by $£ 34$ million. Excluding trade in oil and the erratic items, exports were $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent down in June with higher deliveries of food, basic materials and semi-manufactures offset by a fall in exports of finished manufactures.

Total imports were valued at $£ 6449$ million in June which was £88 million ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) lower than in May. Imports of the erratic items were down $£ 55$ million and imports of oil by $£ 133$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports increased by $£ 99$ million ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) between May and June with higher arrivals of food and of finished manufactures.

## RECENT TRENDS

## Visible balance

In the second quarter there was a deficit on visible trade of £1.6 billion, a surplus of £0.8 billion on trade in oil offset by a deficit of $£ 2.3$ billion on non-oil trade. Between the first quarter and the second quarter the deficit on visible trade increased by about £0.2 billion - the surplus on oil fell by about £1.2 billion while the deficit on non-oil trade was reduced by about £1.1 billion.

## Exports

Exports amounted to $£ 17.7$ billion in the second quarter, £O. 5 billion ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) less than in the first quarter. Between the two quarters exports of oil fell by $£ 1.3$ billion reflecting both lower oil prices and the high volumes of crude oil deliveries in January and February. Exports of the erratic items increased by £0. 5 billion in the second quarter. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports grew by 3 per cent between the first and second quarters.

By volume, exports grew by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the second quarter to a level $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the second quarter of last year. Export volume excluding oil and the erratic items also increased by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters. The underlying level of non-oil export volume has risen a little in recent months.

## SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on 25/7/86 at 11.30 am

## Imports

Total imports were valued at $£ 19.3$ billion in the second quarter, £0.3 billion ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) less than in the first quarter. Imports of the erratic items fell by nO. 1 billion and imports of oil also fell by £O. 1 billion. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports in the second quarter were $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent down on the first quarter.

Between the first and second quarters, total import volume increased by 2 per cent to be 3 per cent higher than in the second quarter of last year. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters. The underlying level of non-oil import volume shows signs of having risen a little in recent months.

## Terms of trade and unit values

The terms of trade index increased by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters with the export unit value index falling by 3 per cent and the import unit value index by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Compared with the second quarter of last year, the export unit value index has fallen by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and the import unit value index by 12 per cent. As a result the terms of trade index is now 5 per cent higher than a year earlier.

Export unit values for fuels fell by 35 per cent in the second quarter - to a level less than half that of the second quarter of 1985 - while the unit value index for non-oil exports increased by 1 per cent between the first and second quarters. Within
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the total for non-oil exports, unit values for basic materials fell by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and those for chemicals were unchanged. The unit value index for exports of finished manufactures rose by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the second quarter.

Import unit values for fuels fell by 28 per cent in the second quarter while the unit value index for non-oil imports fell by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Within the total for non-oil imports, those for basic materials fell by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the quarter and for chemicals by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

## Analysis by area

Reflecting the reduction in exports of oil, exports to the developed countries fell by 6 per cent in the second quarter with exports to the European Community countries down 5 per cent and exports to North America down 10 per cent. Exports to the developing countries increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters.

Imports from the developed countries fell by 3 per cent in the latest quarter with higher arrivals from North America (up by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) offset by lower imports from Western Europe (down $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent). Within Western Europe, imports from the European Community countries were $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent down in the second quarter while imports from the rest of Western Europe fell by 14 per cent. Imports from the developing countries increased by 5 per cent between the first and second quarters.

## NOTES TO EDITORS

## l. STANDARD NOTES

The standard notes describe the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) bases of compilation. Copies can be obtained from the address below.

3 AREA (tablea 11 and 15)

Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than 5475 , are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15.

In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

## 2. MONTHLY REVIEW OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS

The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Paymer is, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of $£ 36$ or $£ 3$ per copy.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, 1 Victoria Street, London SWIH OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)

a• Invisibles for April and May 1986 are projections and subject to revision as more information becomes available.
b Monthly figures are one-third of the appropriate quarters estimate or projection except for VAT abatements received from the Community which are allocated to the month they are known to have been received.

Table 3
INV ISIBLES
£ million seasonally adjusted

d ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.
and personal
until release of press notice on
25 JUL 86
at 11.30 am .


Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
VALUE AND VQLUME OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS EXCLUDING THE MORE ERRATIC ITEMS
(Balance of Payments basis)
Table 5
seasonally adjusted


[^2]and personal
until release of press notice on 2.5.5. . 86. at 11.30 a.m.

TRADE IN OIL ${ }^{9}$
(Balance of Payments basis)
seasonally adjusted

|  |  | \| Balance |  | Exports of Oil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports of 0il |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | Crude Oil \| |  |  |  |  |  |  | \| Rest of | |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | Crude Oil |  |  |  |  | Rest of |
| I |  | 1 Trade |  | Total | 1 | [SITC | (REV 2) 3 |  | 333.0] |  | \| Division | |  |  | Total | 1 | [SITC | (REV 2) |  | 333.0] |  |  | Division |
| 1 |  | \|in oil $\mid$ |  |  | 1 | - |  |  |  |  | 1331 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 1 |  | 1 | £ | £ 1 |  | £ 1 |  | 1 I | \| Avg value | |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline £ \\ \text { million } \\ \text { fob } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | £ |  | £ 1 |  |  | \| Avg value | |  |  | $\begin{gathered} £ \\ \text { million } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 |  | \| million | |  | million \| |  | $\mid$ million \| |  | \| million | | per tonne I |  |  | million |  | million |  | million |  | per tonne |  |  |
| I |  |  |  | fob | 1 | fob | 1 | tonnes \| |  | £ fob |  |  |  |  | fob | 1 | fob |  | tonnes | 1 | £ fob |  | 1 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \| 1984 |  |  | 69371 | 14852 | 1 | 12173 | 1 | 75.9 |  | 160.4 | 1 | 2679 | 1 | 7915 | 1 | 3751 | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 150.1 |  | 4163 |
| 11985 |  |  | 81631 | 16050 | 1 | 12921 | 1 | 79.0 | I | 163.5 | 1 | 3128 | I | 7887 | 1 | 4155 | 1 | 26.1 | 1 | 159.0 |  | 3732 |
| \| 1985 | Q2 |  | 24111 | 4336 | 1 | 3499 | 1 | 20.1 | 1 | 174.0 | 1 | 837 | 1 | 1925 | 1 | 1078 | 1 | 6.5 | 1 | 165.6 |  | 847 |
| 1 | Q3 |  | 19001 | 3410 | 1 | 2599 | 1 | 17.5 |  | 148.4 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 1509 | 1 | 816 | 1 | 5.6 | 1 | 145.4 |  | 694 |
| 1 | Q4 | $1+$ | 18931 | 3583 | 1 | 2900 | 1 | 19.9 |  | 145.9 | 1 | 683 | 1 | 1690 | 1 | 1050 | 1 | 7.2 | 1 | 146.9 |  | 640 |
| \| 1986 | Q1 | $1+$ | 19941 | 3117 | 1 | 2520 | I | 22.8 I |  | 110.7 | I | 597 | 1 | 1123 | 1 | 678 | 1 | 6.2 | 1 | 108.7 |  | 445 |
| 1 | Q2 | $1+$ | 7651 | 1770 | 1 | 1192 | 1 | 18.4 I | 1 | 64.8 | 1 | 578 | 1 | 1005 | 1 | 552 | 1 | 7.6 | , | 72.2 | 1 | 453 |
| 11985 | Oct | $1+$ | 7541 | 1277 | 1 | 1050 | 1 | 7.21 | 1 | 145.8 | 1 | 227 | I | 523 | 1 | 291 | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 143.9 | 1 | 233 |
| 1 | Nov |  | 6491 | 1180 | , | 974 | 1 | 6.71 |  | 145.8 | 1 | 207 | 1 | 532 | , | 327 | 1 | 2.2 | I | 150.6 | I | 205 |
| 1 | Dec | $1+$ | 4911 | 1126 | 1 | 876 | I | 6.01 |  | 146.1 | 1 | 249 | 1 | 635 | 1 | 433 | 1 | 3.0 | , | 146.2 | 1 | 202 |
| 11986 | Jan |  | 9871 | 1378 | 1 | 1133 | 1 | 8.21 |  | 138.6 | 1 | 244 | 1 | 391 | 1 | 246 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 136.0 | I | 144 |
| 1 | Feb | $1+$ | 6781 | 1048 | 1 | 859 | 1 | 8.21 |  | 104.4 | , | 189 | 1 | 370 | 1 | 227 | , | 2.2 | 1 | 101.4 | 1 | 143 |
| 1 | Mar |  | 3301 | 692 | 1 | 528 | 1 | 6.41 |  | 83.1 | 1 | 164 | 1 | 362 | 1 | 204 | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 93.7 |  | 158 |
| 1 | Apr |  | 2651 | 662 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 6.81 |  | 66.5 | 1 | 212 | 1 | 397 | 1 | 225 | , | 2.8 | 1 | 79.4 | I | 172 |
| 1 | May | $1+$ | 212.1 | 583 | 1 | 382 | 1 | 6.1 |  | 62.6 | 1 | 201 | 1 | 370 | 1 | 222 | 1 | 3.0 |  | 74.4 | 1 | 148 |
|  |  |  | 2881 | 526 | 1 | 361 | 1 | 5.51 |  | 65.1 | 1 | 165 | 1 | 237 | 1 | 104 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 57.5 | L | 133 |
| 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  |  |  | I |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  |
| 1 |  |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1\% Cham |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \| Lates | 3 mo | ths | on |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  | , |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1-prev | ious | 3 mon | onths 1 | - 43 | 1 | - 53 | 1 | - 191 |  | - 42 | 1 | - 3 | 1 | - 11 | 1 | - 19 | 1 | $+23$ | , | - 34 |  | $+1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1- same | 3 mo | ths | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  |
| I one ye | r ag |  | 1 | - 59 | , | - 66 | 1 | - $8 \frac{1}{2} 1$ |  | - 63 | 1 | - 31 | 1 | -48 | , | -49 | 1 | + 17 | 1 | - 56 | 1 | - 47 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |

9 Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy which are on a time of shipment basis (see paragraph 8 of the standard notes).
and persenal until release of press notice on $251 . .55$.

TRADE IN GODDS OTHER THAN OIL
(Balance of Payments basis)

f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.

and personal
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|  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | 11 |  | 1 | Manufactures excluding erratics ${ }^{h}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | bever- | \| Basic | |  | Total | excluding precious \| |  |  |  | Ninished |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mid$ Total \| | ages | \| Mater- | Fuels | Manufac- |  | stones | \& silv | ver(PS) |  |  | (SNA) |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | and | \| ials | |  | tures | \| Total | |  |  | 1 | 1 | Pass- I |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | tobacco | 11 | 1 |  |  | Total | Chemi | Other | Total \| | enger 1 | Other |  | Inter- | Capita |
|  | 11 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | cals |  |  | Motor | Consume |  | ediat |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cars 1 |  |  |  |  |
| SITC | 1 |  | 1 \| |  |  | 5-8 | 5+6 |  | 6 | 7+8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (REV 2) | 0-9 1 | $0+1$ | $12+4$ | 3 | 5-8 | less \| | less | 15 | \| less | less \| | j | j |  | j | j |
|  | 11 |  | 11 |  |  | SNAPS I | PS |  | 1 PS | SNA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | \| 70488 | | 4693 | 1989 \| | 153081 | 46703 | \| 42169 | | 163331 | 8217 | \| 8116 | \| 25835 | | 10501 | 4673 |  | 11199 | 8912 |
| 1985 | \| 78331 | | 4970 | 21451 | 167121 | 52514 | \| 48482 | 18449 | 9411 | 19038 | \| 30033 | | 13431 | 5257 |  | 13493 | 9940 |
| 1985 Q2 | \| 20258 | | 1284 | 5291 | 45131 | 13436 | \| 12248 | | 47041 | 2402 | \| 2303 | 75441 | 3401 | 1304 |  | 3350 | 2550 |
| Q3 | \| 18828 | | 1300 | 5311 | 36001 | 12879 | \| 12020 | | 45321 | 2285 | \| 2246 | 74891 | 3361 | 1342 |  | 3394 | 2416 |
| Q4 | \| 19097 | | 1193 | 5061 | 37081 | 13164 | \| 12033 | | 45221 | 2340 | \| 2182 | 75121 | 3291 | 1319 |  | 3418 | 2445 |
| 1986 Q1 | \| 18257 | | 1206 | 5111 | 32971 | 12766 | \| 11807 | | 4418 | 2293 | \| 2125 | 73891 | 2931 | 1297 |  | 3346 | 2452 |
| Q2 | \| 17816 | | 1275 | 4401 | 19131 | 13636 | \| 12207 | | 46131 | 2414 | \| 2199 | 75941 | 3471 | 1375 |  | 3333 | 2538 |
| 1986 Apr | 60281 | 419 | 1591 | 7031 | 4577 | 40031 | 14971 | 788 | 709 | 25061 | 1271 | 459 |  | 1082 | 838 |
| May | 59081 | 414 | 1371 | 6511 | 4532 | 41311 | 15331 | 1795 | 1738 | 25981 | 114 I | 464 |  | 1132 | 888 |
| June | 58791 | 443 | 1451 | 5591 | 4528 | 40731 | 1582 | 831 | 752 | 24901 | 106 | 452 |  | 1120 | 813 |
| Percentag | , |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | 11 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q2/Q1 | $-2 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | $+6$ | - 14 I | - 421 | $+7$ | + $3 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | $+4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1+5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | +31 | $+18$ | $+6$ |  | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $1+$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Econonic Categories end-use classification.
EXPORTS BY COMMODITY: VOLUFE INDICES
Table 9
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
If ICES $1980=100$, seasonally adjusted

$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (zentaprecious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
$j$ Based on the United Nations Broad Econonic Categories end-use classification.
and personal
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$h$ These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793', aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
$j$ Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
EXPORTS BY AREA
Table 11
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million, fob, seasonally adjusted

|  |  | Developed Countries |  |  |  |  |  | Developing Countries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r\|r\|} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{K} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total I | European Community | Rest of W Europe | North A <br> Total | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { merica I } \\ \text { USA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other | Total | Oil exporting countries | Other | planned economies |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 704881 | 553641 | 33127 | 7132 | 114161 | 101591 | 3688 | 133561 | 5806 | 7550 | 1630 |
| 1985 | 783311 | 62722 \| | 38200 | 7420 | 133101 | 114991 | 3792 | 13880 | 5957 | 7924 | 1587 |
| 1985 Q2 | 202581 | 16210 I | 9537 | 2034 | 3667 \| | 3189 | 972 | 3606 | 1510 | 2956 | 420 |
| Q3 | 188281 | 152031 | 9312 | 1790 | 31821 | 2715 | 919 | 3314 | 1408 | 1906 | 386 |
| Q4 | 190971 | 15369 \| | 9359 | 1817 | 32821 | 2778 | 910 | 3202 | 1357 | 1845 | 392 |
| 1986 Q1 | 182571 | 14652 \| | 8689 | 1779 | 32541 | 2784 | 930 | 3241 | 1405 | 1837 | 442 |
| Q2 | 178161 | 137681 | 8254 | 1713 | 29191 | 2536 | 882 | 3483 | 1567 | 1917 | 471 |
| 1986 Apr | -60281 | 46191 | 2833 | 520 | 9731 | 857 | 293 | 1172 | 566 | 606 | 144 |
| May | 59081 | 44921 | 2595 | 605 | 9931 | 885 | 300 | 1280 | 563 | 717 | 129 |
| June 1 | 58791 | 46571 | 2825 | 589 | 9541 | 7941 | 289 | 1031 | 438 | 594 | 198 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change I | , |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q2/Q1 | $-2 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | -61 | - 5 | $-3 \frac{1}{2}$ | - 101 | -9 | - 5 | $+7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+12$ | + $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | + $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |

$K$ See paragraph 3 of Notes to Editors.

- Kat

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
$j$ Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY COMNDITY: VQUUFE INDICES
Table 13
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
INDICES $1980=100$ seaso ally adjusted

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (J̌2) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
$j$ Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
and personal
until release of press notice on $25.5!1!$. 8 . at 11.30 a.m.


## IMPORTS BY COMMNDITY: UNIT VALUE INDICES

(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)

INDICES $1980=100$ not seasonally adjuster


These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792)
precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
$j$ Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY AREA
Table 15
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
£ million cif seasonally adjusted


[^3]and personal
until release of press notice on 25 JUL 86
at 11.30 a.m.

## COMNODITY ANALYSIS OF VISIBLE TRADE <br> (Balance of Payments basis)

$£$ million, seasonally adjusted


Monthly data at this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.


FROM: MRS R LOMAX
DATE: 25 JULY 1986
cc Sir P Middleton
Mr Evans Miss O'Mara

JULY CBI QUARTERLY TRENDS SURVEY

The Chancellor was most grateful for your minute of 24 July. He fears that this will get a very bad press. He wonders when the previous worst optimism figure was.


RACHEL LOMAX
Ch
Fogies altarlo


QFTIMISM
re EUSINE
SITUATION

| 1979 | 01 | -5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 02 | 6 |
|  | 03 | $-22$ |
|  | 04 | -40 |
| 1980 | 02 | -45 |
|  | Q2 | -41 |
|  | 03 | -70 |
|  | 04 | -54 |
| 1981 | 01 | -27 |
|  | 02 | -6 |
|  | 03 | 2 |
|  | 04 | -9 |
| 1982 | Q1 | 8 |
|  | 02 | 10 |
|  | 03 | -22 |
|  | 04 | -28 |
| 1983 | Q1 | -5 |
|  | 02 | 31 |
|  | 03 | 24 |
|  | 04 | 7 |
| 1984 | 01 | 27 |
|  | 02 | 26 |
|  | Q3 | -3 |
|  | 0.4 | -5 |
| 1985 | 01 | 4 |
|  | Q2 | 16 |
|  | Q3 | 0 |
|  | 04 | -6 |
| 1986 | 01 | -1 |
|  | 02 | 8 |
|  | Q3 | -9 |

G. HACCHE
$\times 8661$

MONTHLY ECONOMIC BRIEF Prepared by the CSO on 31 July 1986

The trend in unemployment continues upward at a rate of up to 15 thousand per month. The increase would be around 20 thousand per month were it not for the continuing, favourable impact from employment and training measures. The employed labour force is thought to have risen by 25,000 in the first quarter, a projected rise in self-employed more than offsetting a decline in employees in employment, particularly in manufacturing industries. This follows an average quarterly rise of 75,000 in the second half of 1985 .

Early estimates of economic activity in the second quarter based on the limited information so far available suggest that GDP rose by around $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the previous quarter. GDP has been growing at a slower rate since the first part of 1985.

The annual rate of inflation has been falling steadily since the beginning of the year and at 2.5 per cent in the 12 months to June was the smallest rise since December 1967. For July, it is likely that the annual rate will remain low.

The PSBR in June was £0.6 billion, bringing borrowing in the first three months of $1986-87$ to $£ 2.5$ billion - much the same as in the same period of the previous financial year.

First estimates and projections suggest that the current account surplus was of the order of $£ 1$ billion for the first half of 1986. Visible trade is estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 0.6$ billion in June, much the same as in May. The latest observations suggest that the underlying level of non-oil export volume has risen a little in recent months, while the underlying level of non-oil import volume also shows signs of having risen a little recently. Last month's assessment was that both underlying trends had been showing little change recently.

MO remains in the lower half of its target range for the 12 months to June whilst £M3 continues to be above the top end of its range. Early optimism in the money markets for a further base rate cut dissipated as sterling drifted downwards in July on oil price worries. Stock markets had been recovering towards the end of last month, but for most of July share prices have fallen away quite sharply with some revival as the month closed.

In the following notes, the figures for current trend represent our best assessment of the current underlying rate of increase after making allowance for temporary distorting factors such as strikes, unseasonal weather effects, etc. The figures show how the series are moving currently and may be different from the comparisons over the latest published twelve months.

GDP (average measure) in the first quarter of 1986 was $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago or $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent after discounting the effects of the coal strike. This figure does not take account of past experience which shows that revisions to growth rates for the initial published estimates of GDP were, on average, upwards, though not uniformly so. The assessment of current underlying trend for the second quarter of 1986 is that the rate of increase lies in the range $\frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

Industrial production in the three months to May was $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago, but was $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower after allowing for the effects of the coal strike and other disputes, notably those in the motor vehicles industry. On the same basis, respective figures for manufacturing were -1 per cent in both cases. The assessment of underlying trend for industrial production is that the rate of change currently lies in the range -1 to +1 per cent per annum. The trend in manufacturing output is in the range $+\frac{1}{2}$ to $-1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

Retail prices rose by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the twelve months to June 1986. It is only possible to provide a useful indicator of trend for about 70 per cent of the RPI, mainly that covering private sector prices and excluding eg. mortgage interest, rent, rates, products produced by nationalised industries, seasonal food and petrol. The current trend for this series is just under 4 per cent per annum. In the twelve months to June 1986 this series rose by a little over 4 per cent (not published).

Producer input prices have shown an almost uninterrupted decline in seasonally adjusted terms since early last year. A further substantial fall is expected in July, bringing the index to about 15 per cent below its peak in February 1985.

Average earnings (underlying) in the twelve months to May rose by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The current trend is estimated to be in the range $7-7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

Unit wage costs in manufacturing in the three months to May rose by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the same period a year ago. The current underlying trend is estimated to be in the range $6-8$ per cent per annum.

Unemployment (excluding school leavers) in the twelve months to June has been rising on average by 9 thousand per month and by 15 thousand per month in the latest six months. Discounting the effects of employment and training measures as far as possible, the current underlying trend appears to be an increase in the region of 20 thousand per month.

Movements over the latest published 12 months include any revisions that may have occurred since last publication (in general any such differences only occur in the GDP series).


Rate of increase in average earnings, unit wage cost in manufacturing PPI input prices and Private sector retail prices

Month to month percentage changes, smoothed and adjusted for distorting factors where appropriate


1. Excludes seasonal food, mortgage interest, rent, rates and water charges, motor vehicle licences, products produced by Nationalised industries and petrol.



## Monetary aggregates

Percentage change on previous 12 months (seasonally adjusted)



Shaded areas represent announced target ranges
CHART 7
Exports and Imports (excluding oil and erratics ${ }^{1}$ )


1. Ships, North Sea Installations, Aircraft, Precious Stones and Silver
2. Seasonally adjusted data, Balance of Payments basis
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## A ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Al Total gross domestic product (in real terms)

Al. 1 The current projection of $\operatorname{GDP}(A)$ for the second quarter of 1986 suggests a rise in GDP of around $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the previous quarter and a growth of about 1 per cent compared with the second quarter of 1985; the small estimated effect of the coal dispute on GDP in the earlier period does not materially affect this annual growth. Comparing the first half year of 1986 with the same period of 1985, and allowing for the coal strike, also indicates that the level of aggregate economic activity rose about 1 per cent.

Al. 2 There is still little data for the second quarter of 1986 on which to base estimates of GDP. $\operatorname{GDP}(E)$ is flat between the first and second quarters of 1986; $\operatorname{GDP}(0)$ is showing a rise of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, largely attributed to the growth in services. A slight rise in $\operatorname{GDP}(\mathrm{I})$ is projected.

Al. 3 The assessment of the current underlying trend in $\operatorname{GDP}(A)$ is that it lies in the range of $\frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

## A2 Money GDP

A2.1 The projection of money GDP in the second quarter of 1986 suggests its level was virtually unchanged compared with the first quarter (implying a fall of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in prices) and showing a rise of some $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the second quarter of 1985; allowing for the effect of the coal dispute in the earlier period barely changes this rate of growth. The assessment of the current underlying trend of growth in money GDP is that it lies in the region of $3-5$ per cent per annum. This range is significantly lower than during previous quarters but largely reflects the immediate impact of falling oil prices. Money GDP in the non-North Sea sector is growing at a faster rate, though it also is lower than the rate of growth in previous quarters.

A3.1 The overall interpretation of the indicators is little changed since last month's assessment with only a limited amount of new and revised information having become available. The decline in the published version of the coincident index since May 1985 continues to suggest that there has been a weaker underlying rate of economic growth for the last 12 months or so. The incorporation of the latest quarterly CBI results do not indicate decisively whether the current decline in the coincident index will be more than a short term development, although the early GDP estimates contained in this month's Brief do point to a continuation of this period of below trend growth into the second quarter.

A3.2 The leading cyclical indicators still do not provide any clear signals about future turning points in activity and, hence, fail to provide any supportive evidence to aid interpretation of the coincident index. The longer leader fell slightly in May after a period of strong growth in the first part of the year and is then provisionally seen to be rising again in June. Whilst the renewed decline in the shorter leader over the first four months of 1986 is now based on almost full information, this downward movement may have halted in May. For each of the two leaders, an individual constituent component has been fairly dominant on movements so far this year as well as being the main influence on developments through 1985; the series in question are share prices for the longer leader and consumer credit for the shorter leader.

A3.3 Although share prices (in not seasonally adjusted terms) have weakened during much of the last few weeks, the next published update of the longer leader is likely to show further growth through to July. This assessment is based on a partially updated series which uses the latest estimates for the 3 component series for which new information is already available: seasonally adjusted interest rates and share prices for July and the latest quarterly CBI result for business optimism which, also after seasonal adjustment (see quality section), shows a slight rise between April and July despite still remaining negative.

A4.1 In the three months to May, the level of manufacturing output was over 1 per cent below the level in the second quarter of 1985 which was at least a temporary peak. The underlying trend is thought to be either flat or declining rather slowly, with the provisional estimates for April and May indicating that manufacturing output may be levelling out. Manufacturing output in the three months to May was broadly unchanged compared with the previous three months.

A4.2 The latest set of quarterly CBI Trends Survey results, on a seasonally adjusted basis, (see quality section) indicates a further, though smaller, deciine in output in the second quarter. Their output expectaiions point to modest growth though in the previous two surveys the expectations balance has not matched well with the eventual outturn.


Note: Figures in bracke:s represent percentage of GDP(O) by weight in bese year 1980


#### Abstract

A4.3 The underlying output of all production industries in the second half of 1985 was almost $l$ per cent below the high level reached in the first half but partly recovered in the first quarter of this year due to high oil extraction and high demand for gas and electricity. Individual monthly figures can be erratic, but provisional figures for April and May average to a similar level to that for the first quarter. The index of production for June is expected to decline to about 107 (May was 108.6) reflecting a temporary reduction in oil extraction. With oil recovering in July the index is expected to return to about 109.

A4.4 The output measure of GDP is thought to have grown by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters of 1986. GDP(0) in the second quarter was little more than $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above its level of a year earlier. Output of the production and construction industries has been generally flat over this period but service sector output has continued to grow. Output displayed similar trends between the first and second quarters. Production industry output fell by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent but service sector activity increased by over 1 per cent with particularly strong growth in distribution.


## CONFIDENTIAL

B

Bl.l The seasonally adjusted level of unemployment (UK, excluding school leavers) rose by 15 thousand in the month to June, to 3.220 million, or 11.7 per cent of the working population. New unemployment rates are now being used, whereby the self employed and Armed Forces are included in the base (not just employees in employment and the unemployed) (see also quality section).

Bl. 2 The seasonally adjusted series has now risen by some 15,000 per month on average over the past six months. The upward trend continues despite the favourable impact on the trend from employment measures, particularly the growth in the Community Programme. Without the growth in these measures, it seems that the underlying upward trend in adult claimants would be around 20 thousand per month, a higher rate of increase than since mid 1983.


Note: The lower line illustrates the trend in adult unemployment, while the higher line, which allows for the estimated effect of the Community Programme on the count, shows what the underlying trend is estimated to be in the absence of the Community Programme

Bl. 3 The June 'headline' total was 3.229 million. This included 107 thousand claimant school leavers (aged under 18), little changed since June last year. The separate total of non-claimant school leavers registered at Careers Offices, at 101,000 in June was slightly lower than in June 1985. Overall the position for school leavers is little different from last year.

B1. 4 The stock of unfilled vacancies at jobcentres (seasonally adjusted and excluding Community Programme vacancies) increased by 14,000 in the month to June, to 185 thousand. This rise was due almost entirely to a reduction in placings. This may be largely the result of the transfer of more experienced staff from Jobcentres into training for Restart. MSC expect the placing performance to improve as newly recruited staff gain experience.

## B2 <br> Employment and hours

B2.1 The employed labour force in Great Britain is thought to have risen during the first quarter of 1986 by 25,000 , a projected rise in selfemployed more than offsetting a decline in employees in employment, particularly in manufacturing industries. This follows an average quarterly rise of 75,000 in the second half of 1985 and compares with an increase of 68,000 in the first quarter of $1985^{\circ}$ Later figures are available for employees in employment in manufacturing industries in Great Britain and these show an estimated decrease of 21,000 in May 1986. The monthly figures can fluctuate erratically and some of this fluctuation is removed if the averages over three months are considered; the average decrease of 10,000 per month over the three months ending May is the same as for the previous three months. This compares with 2,000 per month in the three months ending May 1985. Severe weather and the reduction in employment in the newspaper publishing industry may have accentuated the decline in the first quarter of 1986 but it is clear that the downward trend, while still slower than the decline of 1980 to 1983, is faster than in the middle of last year when there was only a very slow downward drift.

The Employed Labour Force in Great Britain

| Employees in employment | Self- Employed |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Employed Labour |  |
|  |  | Persons Force |  |
| Manufacturing | Service | Other | All Industries |


| Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 Sep | 5,446 | 13,438 | 1,930 | 20,814 | 2,462 | 23,605 |
| Dec | 5,454 | 13,532 | 1,921 | 20,907 | 2,489 | 23,724 |
| 1985 Mar | 5,440 | 13,599 | 1,911 | 20,950 | 2,516 | 23,792 |
| Jun | 5,439 | 13,651 | 1,896 | 20,986 | 2,543 | 23,856 |
| Sep | 5,438 | 13,708 | 1,865 | 21,011 | [2,574] | 23,911 |
| Dec | 5,425 | 13,803 | 1,849 | 21,077 | [2,604] | 24,005 |
| 1986 Mar | 5,389 | 13,854 | 1,829 | 21,072 | [2,635] | 24,030 |
| Changes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 Q3 | - 3 | + 59 | - 5 | $+51$ | + 27 | + 80 |
| Q4 | + 8 | + 94 | - 9 | +93 | + 27 | +119 |
| 1985 Q1 | - 14 | $+67$ | - 10 | $+43$ | + 27 | + 68 |
| Q2 | - 1 | + 52 | - 15 | + 36 | $+27$ | + 64 |
| Q3 | - 1 | + 57 | - 31 | + 25 | [+31] | + 55 |
| Q4 | - 13. | + 95 | - 16 | + 66 | [+30] | +94 |
| 1986 Q1 | - 36 | + 51 | - 20 | - 5 | [+3l] | + 25 |

    Apr - 2
    May +3
    Jun - 2
    Jul +5
    Aug - 7
    Sep +1
    Oct - 4
    Nov - 13
    Dec +4
    1986 Jan - 3
Feb - 30
Mar - 3
Apr - 7
May - 21

NB: Employed Labour Force also includes HM Forces
Square brackets indicate projections based on the assumption that the average rate of increase between 1981 and 1985 is continuing.
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B2.2 Overtime working by operatives in manufacturing industries was 11.24 million hours a week in May, giving an average over the three months ending May of 11.49 million hours a week. This confirms that overtime has fallen back from the high level of around 12 million hours a week observed in most of 1985. Short-time working resulted in the loss of an estimated 0.52 million hours a week in manufacturing industries in May 1986, giving an average of 0.55 million hours per week lost in the three months ending May. Whilst still low this represents an increase when compared with 1985 during which the level at no time exceeded 0.5 million hours lost per week.

B2. 3 The index of average weekly hours worked by operatives in manufacturing industries stood at 102.6 in May 1986. The average for the three months ending May was 102.8 and compares with 103.3 for the three months ending February 1986. The index has declined slightly since December 1985 having previously remained at much the same level since the end of 1983.

## B3 Redundancies

B3.1 The underlying trend in the number of redundancies confirmed as due to occur, as measured by the twelve month ended averages, has remained broadly level in the last few months, after rising from October of last year until February. This improvement in the trend is most marked in the manufacturing industries, although there has been a slowing in the upward trend in other sectors. However, the advance notifications of redundancies continue to rise so it would be unwise to interpret the confirmed redundancy figures for recent months as evidence that the upward trend in redundancies has ended. Indeed, the total of 128,000 advance notifications in the last three months is the highest for this period since 1982.

B3. 2 The number of confirmed redundancies in the three months ending June 1986 is expected to average about 17,000 a month, slightly less than the 18,000 a month recorded during the corresponding period a year ago.

## Cl Average earnings

Cl. 1 The underlying increase in average weekly earnings in the year to May was about $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, the same as in the year to April. The trend over recent months (at an annual rate) has been 7 to $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The recent slowdown in economic activity has been reflected in smaller overtime payments. Also a small reduction in the average level of pay settlements in the private sector is not being fully offset by somewhat higher pay settlements in the public sector.
Cl. 2 The actual increase in the year to May of 7.5 per cent was not affected to a significant degree by temporary factors and therefore was similar to the underlying increase.
Cl. 3 In June and July, the underlying increase is expected to show little change with the prospect of a reduced effect from changes in hours worked being offset by the effect of higher pay settlements than a year ago for some public sector groups. The actual annual increase in June is expected to be inflated by back pay to teachers. The back pay to civil servants will not affect the actual change as the delay this year is the same as last year. Changes in the timing of pay settlements will inflate the actual increase because teachers will have received two pay settlements in the twelve month periods.

## CONFIDENTIAL

C2. 1 Unit wage costs in the whole economy rose by about 2 per cent between the fourth quarter of 1985 and the first quarter of 1986. In the second quarter they are expected to rise by just over $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, with total wage costs rising by just over 2 per cent and GDP(O) increasing by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent; this would give a rise in unit wage costs of nearly $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent since the second quarter of 1985. These second quarter increases are inflated by over $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent by the unusually high level of back pay in the quarter, arising mainly from the 1985 and 1986 teachers' settlements.

C2.2 In the three months to May manufacturing unit wage costs were a little under $l \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months and labour productivity improved by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Compared with the same period a year ago, earnings in the three months to May increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, and with no increase in labour productivity unit wage costs have also increased by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

C2.3 In 1985, domestic manufacturing unit labour costs grew more rapidly than those of our major competitors; compared with 1984, UK unit labour costs increased by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, as against an increase of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the US and little change in Germany and in Japan. This more rapid increase between 1984 and 1985 was only partly offset by the slight depreciation in the average level of sterling, and UK competitiveness declined. Given the $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent fall in the exchange rate between the fourth quarter of 1985 and the first quarter of this year, there will probably have been some short term gain in competitiveness, even though UK labour costs have continued to grow more rapidly than those of our competitors.

## CONFIDENTIAL

C3.1 The seasonally adjusted price index for materials and fuel purchased by manufacturing industry has shown an almost uninterrupted decline since early last year. A further substantial fall is expected in July, mainly as a result of lower scheduled prices for petroleum products. The seasonally adjusted index should be about 15 per cent below its peak in February 1985. This implies that the twelve-monthly rate of decline in the unadjusted index should be about $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in July as compared with $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in June.

C3.2 The index for output prices (home sales) of manufactured products, which had been rising at an underlying rate of around 5 per cent at the end of 1985 and early this year, has shown slower growth in recent months. The published unadjusted index increased by 0.3 per cent in May and showed no change in June. Output price movements tend to be relatively small at this time of year and the published index is expected to rise by only about 0.l per cent in July. The twelve-monthly rate of increase should remain at around $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, after having been in the range from just under 5 to 5 1/4 per cent in the six months to March.

## CONFIDENTIAL

C4.1 In June, the annual rate of inflation, as measured by the l2-month change in the retail prices index, was 2.5 per cent compared with 2.8 per cent recorded in May. This is the sixth consecutive month in which the rate has fallen. It is now at its lowest level since December 1967.

C4.2 The overall level of prices fell by 0.1 per cent between May and June compared with a rise of 0.2 per cent recorded for the corresponding period last year. The main reason for this fall was the reductions in mortgage interest rates of about one percentage point which more than offset price increases for other goods and services, the most notable of which were for petrol, motor insurance and some foods.

C4.3 In July the 12-month rate is likely to increase slightly as a small monthly increase in the index is expected to replace, in the $12-m o n t h$ calculation, a fall of 0.2 per cent recorded between June and July last year. Higher prices for motor vehicles are expected with some lower prices for seasonal foods and summer sale reductions for durable goods. Although the latest fall in world oil prices, if sustained, should lead to lower petrol prices, it is unlikely that these prices will have shown much change for July.

## CONFIDENTIAL

C5.1 Total wages and salaries probably increased by about 2 per cent between the first and second quarters of 1986, a continuation of the recent trend.

C5.2 In the second quarter of 1986, total personal income and personal disposable income may have risen by 2 and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent respectively compared to the first quarter. The higher growth in personal disposable income reflects the income tax changes announced in the Budget. Prices (as measured by the consumers' expenditure deflator) rose by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters of 1986 and as a result, RPDI may have increased by almost 2 per cent.

C5.3 Consumers' expenditure at current prices rose by less than personal disposable income in the second quarter and the saving ratio increased by about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ percentage points.

|  |  | RPDI <br> 1980 prices £bn | Consumers' expenditure 1980 prices £bn | ```Saving ratio per cent``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 |  | 41.4* | 36.4* | 12 |
| 1985 |  | 42.5* | 37.4* | 12 |
| 1984 | Q1 | 41.0 | 36.2 | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q2 | 40.8 | 36.4 | 11 |
|  | Q3 | 41.1 | 36.2 | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q4 | 42.7 | 36.8 | $14^{2}$ |
| 1985 | Q1 | 41.9 | 36.6 | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q2 | 42.2 | 37.3 | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q3 | 42.7 | 37.7 | 112 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q4 | 43.1 | 38.0 | 12 |
| 1986 | Q1 | 43.4 | 38.3 | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q2 | (44.2) | 38.5 | (13) |

[^4]
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## D COMPONENIS OF DOMESTIC EXPENDITURE

## D1 Consumers' expenditure at constant prices

D1.1 Preliminary estimates suggest that consumers' expenditure rose by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters of 1986 to a level just over 3 per cent above the same period last year. The largest increases in the second quarter were recorded in vehicles and other durable and nondurable goods. Spending on food and energy fell back slightly from high first quarter levels.

D1.2 The index of the volume of retail sales in the second quarter at 120.0 is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous quarter - indicating strong growth. The provisional index for June at 122.0 is well above any previous level and could have been enhanced by fine weather combined with some early summer sales. The buoyancy of sales in June was spread across all kinds of business and extended to the smaller retailers. A fall-back from this level is expected in July for which an index around 120 is forecast, the same as in the second quarter.

D2
General government final consumption at constant prices

D2.1 General government consumption in the first and second quarters is estimated to have been rather higher than recently.

## CONFIDENTIAL

D3.1 Fixed investment was increased in the first quarters of both 1985 and 1986 by expenditure brought forward ahead of phased reductions in first year capital allowances announced in the 1984 Budget, which have now been fully withdrawn. The impact of these reductions appears to have been much less in the first quarter of 1986 than in the first quarter of 1985. Total fixed investment in the first quarter of 1986 was $£ l l .9$ billion compared with £l2.2 billion a year earlier.

D3.2 Fixed investment by manufacturing industries (including leased assets) was 1 per cent higher in the first quarter of 1986 than the first quarter of 1985. Over the same period fixed investment by the construction, distribution and financial industries (excluding assets leased to . manufacturers) fell by 3 per cent.

D3.3 Total fixed investment is expected to have been about $£ 11.7$ billion in the second quarter of 1986 compared with $£ 10.9$ billion in the second quarter of 1985 . This 7 per cent increase would reflect the lower impact of the reduction in capital allowances in 1986. The impact of the reduction in capital allowances may be largely removed by comparison drawn between the first halves of 1985 and 1986. Between the first half of 1985 and the first half of 1986 total fixed investment is expected to have grown by around 2 per cent.

D4 Stockbuilding at constant prices

D4.1 Estimates for the first quarter of 1986 show a $£ 0.4$ billion increase in stocks. Within this figure wholesalers' stocks rose by $£ 0.3$ billion accompanied by a rise in Intervention Board for Agricultural Produce and retailers' stocks of $£ 0.2$ billion in total. These rises were offset by destocking in the energy and manufacturing industries of $£ 0.2$ billion and £O.1 billion respectively.

D4.2 Stocks during the second quarter are estimated to have increased by £0.2 billion.

## E

## OVERSEAS TRADE AND CURRENTI BALANCE

El. 1 Visible trade is estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 623$ million in June. With a projected surplus of $£ 700$ million on invisibles the current account is therefore in surplus by $£ 77$ million.

El. 2 In the second quarter of 1986 visible trade was in deficit by $£ 1.6$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 1.4$ billion in the first quarter. The deficit on non-oil trade decreased by $£ 1.1$ billion over the period but this was more than offset by a fall of $£ 1.2$ billion in the oil surplus.

El. 3 Oil imports were valued at $£ 1.0$ billion in the second quarter compared with £l.l billion in the first quarter. Non-oil imports fell by $£ 0.2$ billion to $£ 18.3$ billion over the same period. Nevertheless the underlying trend of non-oil import volume shows signs of having risen a little in recent months.

El. 4 Exports of oil were valued at $£ 1.8$ billion in the second quarter compared with £3.1 billion in the first quarter. This reflects the recent drop in prices as well as return to more normal levels of deliveries after the high levels recorded in January and-February. Non-oil exports rose by $£ 0.9$ billion to $£ 16.0$ billion over the period. About half this increase was due to an increase in exports of erratic goods, especially aircraft, and most of the rest is attributable to increases in other categories of manufactured goods, particularly chemicals. The underlying level. of non-oil export volume has risen a little in recent months.

E1. 5 Both assessments of underlying trend have changed this month. Last month's assessment was that they had been showing little change recently.

El. 6 First estimates and projections suggest that the current account surplus was of the order of $£ 1$ billion for the first half of 1986, which includes $£ 0.4$ billion of the VAT abatement received from the European Community in respect of 1984 . This should have been received last year but was delayed until 1986. The abatement in respect of 1985 is being received month by month during this year.

## Fl PSBR

Fl. 1 The PSBR is provisionally estimated to have been $\$ 0.6$ billion in June bringing the cumulative total for the first tree months of the financial year 1986-87 to $£ 2.5$ bilion. In the same three months of 1985-86 the PSBR stood at $£ 2.6$ billion. This comparison is not complicated by the level of privatisation proceeds (£1.1 billion from second British Telecom call in June 1985 and £l.l billicn from the third call in April 1986).

F1. 2 The forecast for 1986-87, announced in the Financial Statement and Budget Report, is $£ 7.1$ billion. As usual, most of this borrowing is expected to occur in the first half of the financial year.

Cumulative PSBR from start of financial yaar $£$ billion, not seasonally adjustad


Note: The seasonal pattern can differ from year to year

## CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

Fl. 3 The components of the PSBR in June were:

|  | (£ billion, not seasonally adjusted) <br> Comprising |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{CGBR}(0)$ | LABR PCBR |

Outturns:

| June | 0.6 | 1.2 | -0.5 | -0.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April to June | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.1 | -0.5 |

1986 Budget forecast
for 1986-87 7.1 na na na

## F2 Monetary growth

F2.1 In the four weeks of banking June MO rose by 0.5 per cent and £M3 rose by 1.3 per cent. The twelve month growth rates for the two targetted aggregates are now 3.1 per cent and 18.3 per cent respectively, against target ranges of $2-6$ per cent for $M O$ and $11-15$ per cent for £M3.

> Annualised growth (\% change, seasonally adjusted)

Banking June

| MO | +0.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| £M3 | +1.3 |


| 3 months | 6 months | 12 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +3.8 | +4.4 | +3.1 |
| +33.6 | +23.4 | +18.3 |

F2. 2 Amongst the counterparts to the change in £M3, the net effect of public sector transactions was expansionary by $£ 0.4$ billion, Sterling lending rose $£ 1.6$ billion, and the net effect of the externals and net nondeposit liabilities was contractionary by £0.9 billion.

## CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

F3. 1 Early optimism in the money marisets of a further base rate cut in July dissipatod as oil priccs fell and hopad for cuits in Japanese interest rates (following an American cut) failed to materialise. Towards the end of July the interbank yield curve was flat at around $=0$ per cent through to 12 months maturity. The current base rate is 10 per cent. Sterling drifted down mainly on weaker oil prices and touched all-jime lows of Ven 229.92 and DM 3.1196 on 31 July. A combination of politicel factors, including the Government's poor showing in the lewcastle-uncer-Lyme by-eiection, also weizhed on the pounl which lost 3.9 or its enf uvin the montry. The doiiar hit a new record low of Yen 153.50 and a 5 year icw of DM 2.0890 on 31 July as concerns aboat US economic growth reinforced bearish sentiment.


1 For s long un of monthly dare for these series see Chart 5

## CONFIDENTIAL


GROSS DOMESTJC PRODUCT: 1980 PRICES AIND SEASONALLY ADJUSTEL (figures in brackets are CSO forecasts) (l)

|  | Consumers' expenditure | General <br> government <br> final <br> consumption | Gross domestic fixed capital. formation | Stockbuilding | Total domestic expenditure | Exports of goods and services | Imports of goods and services | Real resources for the balance of payments | F'actor <br> cost <br> adjustiment | Gross domestic produc: at factor cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 (2) | 36.4 | 12.7 | 11. 14 | - | 60.5 | 17.3 | 17.0 | $+(1.3$ | - 8.ć | 52.6 |
| 1985(2) | 37.4 | 12.8 | 11.5 | $+0.2$ | 61.8 | 18.3 | 1.7 .5 | $+0.8$ | - 8.4 | 54.2 |
| 1984 Q3 | 36.2 | 12.9 | 11.4 | -0.1 | 60.3 | 17.3 | 17.1 | $+0.2$ | -8.1 | 52.4 |
| Q4 | 36.8 | 12.8 | 11.5 | $+0.3$ | 61.3 | 18.0 | 18.0 | - | - 8.3 | 53.1 |
| 1985 Q1 | 36.6 | 12.8 | 12.2 | - 0.2 | 61.4 | 18.2 | 17.6 | $+0.6$ | -8.3 | 53.7 |
| Q2 | 37.3 | 12.7 | 10.9 | $+0.5$ | 61.4 | 18.7 | 17.4 | $+1.3$ | - 8.3 | 54.3 |
| Q3 | 37.7 | 12.7 | 11.3 | $+0.1$ | 61.8 | 18.1 | 17.3 | $+(1.8$ | -8.5 | $54.2 \hat{c}$ |
| Q4 | 38.0 | 12.8 | 11.5 | $+0.3$ | 62.6 | 18.4 | 17.9 | $+(1.5$ | - 8.6 | 54.6 |
| 1986 Q1 | 38.3 | 12.9 | 11.9 | + 0.4 | 63.5 | 18.1 | 17.7 | $+0.3$ | -8.6 | 55.2 |
| Q2 | 38.5 | (12.9) | (11.7) | (+0.2) | (63.3) | 18.5 | 17.9 | $+0.6$ | (-8.6) | (55.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Index | umbers 19 | $1=100$ |  |  |
|  |  | GDP(E) | GDP(0) | GDP(I) | GDP(Aver |  | GDP(Aver | e) ccal. | ange | me period |
|  |  |  |  |  | (A) |  | strike a | justec (B) | (A) ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{y} \in$ | eantier |
|  | 1984 | 105.5 | 106.4 | 107.4 | 106.4 |  |  |  | 2.6 | 3.9 |
|  | 1985 | 108.8 | 110.2 | 110.8 | 109.9 |  |  |  | 3.3 | 2.4 |
|  | 1981 Q2(3) | 98.2 | 97.8 | 97.4 | - 97.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1984 Q3 | 105.3 | 106.7 | 108.4 | 106.8 |  | 10 |  | 2.4 | 3.8 |
|  | Q4 | 106.6 | 107.5 | 108.9 | 107.7 |  |  |  | 2.6 | 4.0 |
|  | 1985 Q1 | 107.7 | 109.1 | 109.3 | 108.7 |  |  |  | 2.7 | 3.5 |
|  | Q2 | 109.1 | 110.2 | 111.9 | 110.4 |  |  |  | 4.7 | 3.6 |
|  | Q3 | 108.8 | 110.4 | 111.1 | 110.1 |  | 11 |  | 3.1 | 1.7 |
|  | Q4 | 109.6 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 110.6 |  |  |  | 2.7 | 1.3 |
|  | 1986 Q1 | 110.9 | 111.4 | 111.8 | 111.4 |  |  |  | 2.5 | 1.3 |
|  | Q2* | (110.9) | (112.0) | (112.2) | (111.7 |  |  |  | (1.2) | (0.9) |
| (1) Entries in this table are latest estimates and are not necessarily the same as published figures.(2) Quarterly averages(3) Trough of cycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CONFIDENTIAL

GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT: CURRENT PRICES AND SEASONALLY ADJUSTED (figures in brackets are CSO forecasts) (l) TABLE 2

|  | Inco empl | from ment |  | Other <br> industrial |  | GDP at | Total | GDP (exf | diture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Of which: wages and salaries and forces pay | North <br> Sea <br> oil <br> profits <br> (exclud | and commercial company profits <br> ng stock app | Other <br> factor <br> incomes <br> reciatio | factor cost (incomebased) | final <br> expendi- <br> ture | at <br> factor cost | at market prices |
| 1984 (2) | 45.1 | 39.0 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 69.8 | 102.8 | 68.6 | 79.7 |
| 1985(2) | 49.0 | 42.5 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 12.7 | 76.5 | 111.8 | 75.0 | 87.1 |
| 1984 Q3 | 45.1 | 39.1 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 12.3 | 70.6 | 103.2 | 68.5 | 79.7 |
| Q4 | 46.5 | 40.4 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 72.0 | 107.4 | 70.4 | 81.9 |
| 1985 Q1 | 47.5 | 41.2 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 74.1 | 110.6 | 73.0 | 84.3 |
| Q2 | 48.5 | 42.0 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 12.7 | 76.2 | 111.1 | 74.2 | 86.1 |
| Q3 | 49.6 | 43.0 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 13.1 | 77.0 | 111.2 | 75.2 | 87.6 |
| Q4 | 50.5 | 43.7 | 4.3 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 78.6 | 114.4 | 77.4 | 90.3 |
| 1986 Q1 | 51.6 | 44.7 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 79.6 | 116.3 | 78.9 | 91.8 |
| Q2 | (52.7) | (45.6) | (1.9) | (10.9) | (14.0) | (79.4) | (115.7) | (78.4) | (91.4) |


SELECTED MONTHLY INDICATORS
(Figures in brackets are CSO forecast


[^5]Effects of the coal strike on GDP

Rough estimates of mainly first order effects of the coal strike on GDP are given below. Estimated effects for each of the three measures of GDP have for the most part been independently obtained. The coverage of the strike effects for each measure does not exactly correspond because of gaps in the information available.
per cent
Measure income expenditure output average

## At current market prices

| 1984 | Q1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Q3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  | Q4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | Year | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 1985 | Q1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
|  | Q2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  | Q3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Q4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Year | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

At constant factor cost

| 1984 | Q1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
|  | Q3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
|  | Q4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
|  | Year | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1985 | Q1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
|  | Q2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  | Q3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
|  | Q4 | - | - | - |  |
|  | Year | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

Examples of the sort of second order effects that have not been included are (on the income side) reduced profits of mining machinery manufacturers and of shopkeepers in mining communities, and (on the expenditure side) reduced spending by miners, possibly offset by some increase in spending by policemen.

## CONFIDENTIAL

## Unemployment rates

New rates were first included in the July Labour Market Statistics Press Notice. These should provide a more reliable guide to the incidence of unemployment among the whole work-force. The new national unemployment rate is about $l \frac{1}{2}$ percentage points lower than the narrower based rate.

CBI survey results: seasonal adjustment of cyclical indicator components and output balances

The 3 CBI series as set out below are seasonally adjusted for the purposes of compiling the leading cyclical indicators. Interpretation is significantly affected by making allowance for the seasonality that is found to be evident in these series. Recent figures for these series are as follows:

|  | Business | optimism | Change in $n$ past 4 | w orders months | Stocks o material 4 month | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fraw } \\ & \text { s next } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unadjusted | Seasonally Adjusted | Unadjusted | Seasonally <br> Adjusted | Unadjusted | Seasonally Adjusted |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 4 | +3 | +13 | +14 | - 5 | - 4 |
| Apr | +18 | +3 | +24 | +14 | + 1 | - 4 |
| July | 0 | +6 | +10 | +11 | - 8 | - 8 |
| Oct | - 6 | +5 | +11 | +19 | -12 | -9 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | - 1 | -2 | - 2 | - 1 | -13 | -12 |
| Apr | 8 | -8 | 0 | -10 | -12 | -17 |
| July | - 9 | -3 | - 6 | - 5 | -17 | -17 |

## CONFIDENTIAL

The two CBI series included in the coincident index (firms working below capacity and stocks of materials and fuel over the past 4 months) do not display significant stable seasonality and are incorporated on an unadjusted basis. However, the two questions in the quarterly surveys relating to levels of output (past and future) are put on a seasonally adjusted basis for internal briefing purposes.


Overall the latest set of results are not as depressed as would appear on an unadjusted basis and, for business optimism especially, point to some improvement since April.

c/
RPT.

The RPI in duly is 0.190 lower than in June and WiLt 90 higher than a year earlier. RPI loss mortgage interest payments us 3.790 higher than a year ago.

CR 12/8
$7 / 003$

Real*
Nfa**
Metals

Annual

| 1980 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 95.1 | 87.9 | 86.2 | 74.7 | 99.4 | 91.1 | 96.9 |
| 1982 | 102.7 | 84.3 | 129.4 | 81.6 | 92.3 | 98.6 | 89.5 |
| 1983 | 105.7 | 83.4 | 144.9 | 95.5 | 105.5 | 109.8 | 79.1 |
| 1984 | 96.0 | 74.8 | 136.0 | 98.1 | 116.1 | 105.1 | 82.8 |
| 1985 | 87.0 | 78.4 | 124.2 | 87.6 | 103.6 | 94.5 |  |
| 1986 |  |  | 76.7 | 97.5 | 84.4 | 84.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 70.5 |  |  |

Quarterly

| 1985 | Q1 | 102.5 | 76.1 | 159.5 | 95.1 | 112.1 | 98.5 | 89.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q2 | 99.4 | 75.8 | 140.5 | 91.3 | 103.9 | 99.0 | 90.9 |
|  | Q3 | 92.1 | 72.7 | 122.9 | 83.6 | 96.9 | 93.2 | 82.7 |
|  | Q4 | 90.1 | 74.7 | 121.0 | 80.3 | 101.4 | 86.9 | 75.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | Q1 | 93.7 | 80.9 | 130.8 | 82.6 | 109.5 | 87.2 | 73.7 |
|  | Q2 | 81.8 | 81.1 | 125.2 | 80.3 | 104.5 | 86.7 | 71.8 |
| Q3 | 82.4 | 75.2 | 117.1 | 71.9 | 88.7 | 80.0 | 68.2 |  |
| Q4 | 76.4 | 123.8 | 72.0 | 87.4 | 86.4 | 68.4 |  |  |

Monthly

| March | 93.8 | 82.9 | 131.5 | 109.5 | 89.2 | 72.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April | 94.2 | 82.7 | 129.5 | 111.1 | 89.3 | 72.1 |
| May | 91.2 | 82.0 | 124.9 | 105.9 | 87.7 | 70.9 |
| June | 87.9 | 78.6 | 121.1 | 98.1 | 83.9 | 72.9 |
| July | 82.1 | 75.1 | 115.5 | 91.2 | 78.8 | 68.3 |
| August | 79.9 | 74.1 | 115.6 | 87.2 | 78.8 | 66.7 |
| September | 82.0 | 76.3 | 120.1 | 87.6 | 82.5 | 69.5 |
| October | 81.8 | 76.2 | 123.9 | 87.1 | 84.6 | 68.3 |
| November | 83.6 | 76.9 | 125.4 | 90.0 | 87.0 | 68.4 |
| December | 81.9 | 76.1 | 122.6 | 85.4 | 87.5 | 68.4 |
| January |  |  |  | 82.5 | 8 |  |
| February | 80.3 | 77.0 | 118.8 | 82.6 | 91.7 | 68.7 |

Weekly

| January | 6 | 80.3 | 75.7 | 119.2 | 81.5 | 88.2 | 68.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 | 80.2 | 76.6 | 119.4 | 82.1 | 88.9 | 66.9 |
|  | 20 | 79.7 | 76.8 | 117.4 | 82.4 | 88.3 | 65.5 |
|  | 27 | 81.0 | 78.9 | 119.4 | 84.0 | 89.7 | 66.4 |
| Feb | 3 | 81.0 | 79.3 | 120.5 | 83.2 | 90.7 | 66.7 |
|  | 10 | 82.0 | 79.7 | 120.6 | 83.3 | 92.9 | 67.6 |
|  | 17 | 82.0 | 79.8 | 121.0 | 81.9 | 92.2 | 69.7 |
|  | 24 | 81.9 | 79.5 | 120.0 | 82.1 | 91.1 | 69.9 |
| Mar | 3 | 82.7 | 80.3 | 119.6 | 82.4 | 91.5 | 71.3 |
|  | 10 | 83.6 | 80.9 | 118.7 | 83.1 | 92.7 | 72.3 |
|  | 17 (prov) | 82.2 | 80.2 | 116.6 | 81.8 | 91.8 | 70.7 |

* In relation to prices of manufactured exports. Recent figures are estimated.
** Non-food agriculturals

REAL COMMODITY PRICES *
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Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1H 9NF
Telephone Direct Line 01-213
Switchboard 01-213 3000

> David Norgrove Esq
> Private Secretary
> 10 Downing Street
> LONDON SW 1
$13^{\mathrm{H}_{\text {August }}} 1986$

## Lear David,

## RETAIL PRICE INDEX : JULY 1986

... I enclose a numbered copy of the DE note and draft press release on the Index of Retail Prices due to be released at 11.30 on Friday 15 August.

Numbered copies also go to Alex Allan (Treasury), Sir Peter Middleton (Treasury), Timothy Walker (Trade and Industry), Rachel Passmore (CSO), John Footman (Bank of England), Chris Clove (CO) and Andrew Lansley (Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster's Office).

Yours sincerely
Iasi Makkinean

LAIN MACKINNON
Private Secretary

## PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

## RETAIL PRICES INDEX : JULY 1986

In July, the annual rate of inflation, as measured by the 12 -month change in the retail prices index, was 2.4 per cent compared with 2.5 per cent recorded in June. This is the seventh consecutive month in which the rate has fallen.
2. The overall level of prices fell in July for the second month in succession. Two successive monthly reductions in the price index has not occurred since the third quarter of 1967.
3. In July the index was 0.3 per cent below the level recorded for June. This was a larger decrease than that of 0.2 per cent recorded for the corresponding period last year. There were seasonal falls in the prices of fresh vegetables, including potatoes, and summer sale reductions in the prices of household durables. Petrol prices fell back to around their average level for May.
4. In August the 12 -month rate is likely to remain below $2 \not / 2$ per cent as the movement in the overall level of prices over the month is expected to be similar to the increase of 0.3 per cent recorded between July and August last year. Increases in the prices of durable household goods, clothing and footwear are expected following the end of summer sales, together with further falls in the prices of seasonal foods. Although there have been increases in some petrol prices this week, very few will have been in time to affect the index for August which otherwise seems likely to show that petrol had fallen in price, on average, compared with July.

## Producer Prices

5. The seasonally adjusted price index for materials and fuels purchased by manufacturing industry has shown an almost uninterrupted decline since early last year. In July it was 15 per cent below its peak level in February 1985. This index fell over the month by 2.5 per cent, mainly reflecting falls in the scheduled prices of petroleum products.
6. In July the price index for home sales of manufactured products (not seasonally adjusted) rose by 0.1 per cent compared with June and the annual increase in these prices was 4.4 per cent. This twelve month rate has been around $41 / 2$ per cent since April.

## Tax and Price Index

7. The tax and prices index increased by 0.4 per cent in the year to July compared with 0.6 per cent recorded for June.

## PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

International comparisons
8. The latest 12 -month percentage changes in consumer prices in the main OECD countries are as follows :-
UK FRANCE GERMANY ITALY LANDS JAPAN USA CANADA

| 1985 Q2 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q3 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Q4 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 |  | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 3.0 | 2.6 | -0.2 | - | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 3.9 |  |
| May | 2.8 | 2.3 | -0.2 | - | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 4.1 |  |
| June | 2.5 | 2.3 | -0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FROM: PAUL DAVIS DATE: 13 AUGUST 1986

| CC | PS/Chancellor | $0 / r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS/Chief Secretary | $0 / r$ |
|  | PS/Financial Secretary | $0 / r$ |
|  | PS/Minister of State | $0 / r$ |
|  | Sir Peter Middleton |  |
|  | Sir Terence Burns | $0 / r$ |
|  | Mr F E R Butler |  |
|  | Mr F Cassell |  |
|  | Mr N Monck |  |
|  | Mr Kemp |  |
|  | Mr H P Evans | $0 / r$ |
|  | Mr Sedgwick | $0 / r$ |
|  | Mr Odling-Smee |  |
|  | Mr Scholar |  |
|  | Mr Culpin |  |
|  | Mr S J Davies |  |
|  | Mr Gilhooly |  |
|  | Miss O'Mara |  |
|  | Mr Pickford |  |
|  | Mr Brooks |  |
|  | Mr Hacche |  |
|  | Mr Halligan |  |
|  | Mr P Davis |  |
|  | Mr Westwater |  |
|  | Mr Ross Goobey |  |
|  | Mr Cropper |  |
|  | Mr Tyrie |  |

THE JULY RPI (to be published at 11.30 a.m. on Friday 15 August)

The level of the RPI fell by 0.3 per cent between June and July. The twelve month rate of inflation fell from 2.5 per cent in June to 2.4 per cent in July. This is slightly below what we had expected. The twelve month increase in July is the lowest since November 1967.
2. Excluding mortgage interest payments, the twelve month rate fell from 3.3 per cent in June to 3.2 per cent in July.
3. Between June and July the RPI was affected by the summer sales, which were deeper than expected in their impact on clothing and footwear and durable household goods. Petrol prices fell by about 3 pence per gallon instead of the usual seasonal increases during the summer.
4. In August we expect the twelve month rate of increase to rise to between 2.5 and 2.75 per cent.
5. The RPI figures are lower than anticipated in the City and elsewhere. Both Phillips \& Drew and James Capel expect 2.6 per cent.
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## INDEX OF RETAIL PRICES

JULY 1986

The index of retail prices for all items for July 15,1986 was 384.7 (January $15,1974=100$ ). This represents a decrease of 0.3 per cent on June 1986 (385.8) and an increase of 2.4 per cent on July 1985 (375.7).

The fall in the index between June and July was mainly caused by seasonal falls in the prices of fresh vegetables, summer sale reductions in the prices of household durables and lower petrol prices which fell back to around their average level for May.

The movements for the main groups in the index are shown in Table 2.

Table 1.

|  | All items |  |  |  | All items except seasonal food |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { Jan } 15 \\ 1974=100 \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change over |  |  | Index Jan 15 $1974=100$ | Percentage change over |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 381.1 | +0.4 | +1.2 | +5.1 | 383.3 | +0.4 | +0.9 |
| March | 381.6 | +0.1 | +1.4 | +4.2 | 383.4 | 0.0 | +1.0 |
| April | 385.3 | +1.0 | +2.2 | +3.0 | 387.0 | +0.9 | +1.8 |
| May | 386.0 | +0.2 | +2.0 | +2.8 | 387.3 | +0.1 | +1.6 |
| June | 385.8 | -0.1 | +1.8 | +2.5 | 387.0 | -0.1 | +1.5 |
| July | 384.7 | -0.3 | +1.3 | +2.4 | 386.8 | -0.1 | +1.3 |

Indices (15 January $1974=100$ )
June 10,1986 July 15, 1986 over the month

Food
Seasonal food
Food excluding seasonal
Alcoholic drink
Tobacco
Housing
Fuel and light
Durable household goods
Clothing and footwear
Transport and vehicles
Miscellaneous goods
Services
Meals out
351.4
361.8
350.3
429.4
597.3
471.6
504.8
268.7
227.5
387.9
409.3
401.2
439.3
347.4
$-1.1$
332.2
$-8.2$
350.7
431.0
597.1
0.0
472.8
505.0
265.5
226.8
386.7
408.2
401.5
440.4
+0. 1
+0.4
$+0.3$
0.0
$-1.2$
$-0.3$
$-0.3$
$-0.3$
$+0.1$
$+0.3$
$-0.3$
$-0.1$

## All items excluding food

395.3
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From: R D KERLEY
Date: 19 August 1986

1. MISS $\sigma^{\prime}$ MARA
2. MINISTER OF STATE

While recre ba, bee no dramaai Mi cere is growth this quarto; these fy cures are an encouraging antidote to the wides meed changes Hear the economy is stagnating. mon
cc
Chancellor
Chief Secretary
Financial Secretary
Economic Secretary
Sir P Middleton
Sir T Burns
Mr Cassell
Mr Monck
Mr Scholar
Mr Burgher
Mr P N Sedgwick
Mr Shaw
Mr Culpin
Mr S Davies
Mr Pickford
Mr Alum
Mr Hacche
Mr Pickering
Mr Dyer ( +1 for No. 10)
Mr Cropper
Mr Ross Goobey
Mr Tyre
Mr Stirling - CSO
Mr Clary - CSO
Mr Lang - CSO
HB/02

GDP (OUTPUT MEASURE) IN SECOND QUARTER 1986

The CSO will publish the preliminary estimate for GDP (O) on 20 August.

## Second Quarter Figures

2. GDP (O) is estimated to have risen by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the first and second quarters of 1986 and by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the year to 1986 Q 2 .
3. The contributions of changes in the individual components of GDP (O) are tabled below.
4. Agriculture, forestry and fishing

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.0 \\
+0.1 * \\
+0.7 \\
-0.3
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Construction
3. Services
4. Industrial Production of which:
$-0.3$
5. oil and gas extraction
-0.1
6. other energy and water supply
7. manufacturing GDP (O) *

* Sum of rows $1-4$
** Not for use

4. The most substantial contribution to growth in $Q 2$ came from the service industries and within that sector from distribution (up $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) and from banking and other financial services.
5. The negative contribution of production growth to GDP growth was due largely to a fall in extraction of natural oil and gas, reflecting North Sea maintenance work. Manufacturing made a small position contribution.
6. GDP has grown by over 11 per cent since $1979 Q 1$ and by $14 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent since the 1981 Q trough.

## Lines to take

7. Positive
(a) GDP(O) up about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent between first and second quarters of 1986.
(b) GDP(O) excluding oil and gas extraction up $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent between first and second quarters of 1986.
(c) GDP (O) up $14 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent since trough in $1981 Q 1$ and nearly 10 per cent since June 1983 election. Now in sixth successive year of growth at real average rate of nearly 3 per cent a year - longest period of growth since 1973 oil price rise.
(d) Service sector output up over 1 per cent between first and second quarters of 1986 and nearly 3 per cent above its level a year earlier.

## 8. Defensive

(e) Output stagnant since beginning of last year. Output has risen over $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent since $1985 Q 1$ and up $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in $1986 Q 2$ on previous quarter. Fall in oil price should bring faster growth later this year.
(f) Fall in oil and gas extraction signifies gloomy prospects for future growth. Oil and gas extraction fall expected to be reversed after completion of maintenance work. Service sector growing at nearly 3 per cent. Manufacturing output up by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in 198622 over previous quarter. Signs that decline in manufacturing now at an end.


R D KERLEY

## GDP (OUTPUT)

quarterly series: $1080=100$


## GDP(OUTPUT



OUTPUT OF PRODUCTION \& SERVICE SECTORS


DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY
1-19 VICTORIA STREET LONDON SW1H MET

Telephone (Direct dialling) 01-215) 4887
(Switchboard) 01-215 7877

```
Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary/
Chancellor of the Exchequer
H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW1 3AG
```

I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in July. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Wednesday 27 August at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Tuesday 26 August and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr Brown, H M Treasury.

Yours sincerely
h. S.


WE BOYD
copy 3
No. (4)

THE CURRENT ACCOUNT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM
BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

JULY 1986

```
The current account for July is estimated to have been in surplus
by £12 million compared with a surplus of £77 /in jure. In
July, exports were valued at £5990 million and imports at {6577
million so that trade in goods was in deficit by {588 million.
The balance on invisibles in July is projected to be in surplus
of £ 600 million, a large surplus on the transactions of the
private sector and public corporations being partly offset by a
deficit on Government transactions.
```

MAY TO JULY 1986
In the three months ended July, the current account showed a surplus of $\mathcal{E} 0.1$ billion compared with a deficit of $\mathcal{E} 0.1$ billion in the previous three months. There was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 1.9$ billion in the latest three months; much the same as in the three months ended April. The surplus on invisibles in the latest three months is projected at $£ 2.0$ billion.

CMREEMT ACCOM:
Thate 1 E aillion, Seeconelly edjusted

| 1 |  | 1 | Current | Viatile Trete |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ Invisibles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Balence |  | Belarce | $1$ | Exports Pot | I | Iteparta fot |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1984 | 1 | - 1212 | 1 | 4384 | 1 | 70367 | 1 | 74.751 | 1 | + 5596 |
| 1 | 1985 | 1 | -3602 |  | 2111 | 1 | 78051 | I | 80162 | 1 | + 5713 |
| 1 | 1985 | 02 | + 1566 | 1 | 119 | I | 20226 | 1 | 20345 | 1 | + 1685 |
| 1 |  | 03 | +1447 |  | 440 | 1 | 18749 | I | 19188 | 1 | + 1886 |
| 1 |  | an 1 | + 612 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 19006 | 1 | 19299 | 1 | + 900 |
| 1 | 1986 | 011 | + -27 | 1 | 1459 | 1 | 18204 | 1 | 19663 | 1 | + 2056 |
| 1 |  | 021 | 4314 | 1 | - 1613 | I | 17708 | 1 | 19321 | 1 | +2100al |
| 1 | 1986 | Feb | + 101 |  | - 378 | 1 | 6188 | 1 | 6566 | 1 | + 539 |
| 1 |  | Mar I | - 666 | 1 | - 1205 | 1 | 5732 | 1 | 6936 | 1 | + 539 |
| 1 |  | Apr | - 407a | 1 | - 233 | 1 | 6017 | 1 | 6310 | 1 | +700a |
| 1 |  | May | 3. | 1 | 698 | 1 | 5865 | 1 | 6563 | 1 | - 700a |
| 1 |  | June I | + 77a | 1 | - 623 | 1 | 5826 | 1 | 6409 | 1 | -700a |
| $L$ |  | July 1 | - 12a | 1 | - 588 | 1 | 5990 | 1 | 6577 | , | - 6000 |
| 1 | Feb-Ap | \% 1986 | - 983 | 1 | - 1876 | I | 17936 | 1 | 19812 | 1 | +1778a |
| 1 | May J | uly 1986 | + 92a | 1 | - 1908 | 1 | 17681 | 1 | 19589 | , | + 2000a |
| 1 | SenJ | ly 1986 \| | +1096a | 1 | - 3660 | 1 | 41901 | 1 | 45561 | 1 | + 4756al |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |

Inviaibles Por April to July 1996 are projectione and abject to revision es informetion becomee availeble.
b Monthly Piqurse are one-third of the appropriate calender averter's estimete or projection, except for VAT abetements received froe the Eurepeen Comenity mich are allocated to the enth they are kroen to have been received. Inforeation releting to credita and debita cen be found in Teble 3.

## SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice

 on 27/8/86 at 11.30 amVISIBLE TRADE IN JULY 1986
There was a deficit on visible trade in July of $£ 588$ million compared with a deficit of $£ 623$ million in June. The surplus on oil at $£ 281$ million was little changed from June while the deficit on non-oil trade was reduced by £32 million to $£ 869$ million.

At $£ 5990$ million, exports in July were $£ 164$ million ( 3 per cent) higher than in June. Exports of oil increased by $£ 60 \mathrm{million}$ while exports of the erratic items fell by $£ 43$ million.

Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports increased by $£ 147$ million - also a rise of 3 per cent. Higher exports of finished manufactures accounted for the bulk of the growth.

Total imports were valued at $£ 6577$ million in July which was £129 million (2 per cent) higher than in June. Imports of the erratic items were little changed but imports of oil rose by $£ 57$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports grew by $£ 83$ million ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) between June and July largely reflecting higher arrivals of finished manufactures.

## RECENT TRENDS

## Visible balance

In the threemonths ended July there was a deficit on visible trade of £1.9 billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 0.8$ billion offset by a deficit of $£ 2.7$ billion on non-oil trade. Between the three monthsended April and the latest three months the

```
SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice
on 27/8/86 at 11.30 am
```

deficit on visible trade was little changed - the surplus on oil fell by about $£ 0.5$ billion while the deficit on non-oil trade declined by just over $£ 0.4$ billion.

## Exports

Exports amounted to $£ 17.7$ billion in the latest three months, £0. 3 billion ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) less than in the three months ended April. Exports of oil fell by $£ 0.7$ billion between the two periods while exports of the erratic items were little changed. Excluding oil and the erratic items exports grew by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months. Among the broad sectors, only basic materials showed no growth between the two periods.

By volume, exports grew by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months to a level 3 per cent higher than in the same period a year ago. Export volume excluding oil and the erratic items also grew by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the three months ended July and the recent growth in the underlying level of non-oil export volume continues.

## Imports

Total imports were valued at $£ 19.6$ billion in the three months ended July, £0. 2 billion ( 1 per cent) less than in the three months ended April. Between the two periods, imports of oil fell by $£ 0.3$ billion and imports of the erratic items fell by £0. 2 billion. Non-oil imports excluding the erratic items grew by 1 per cent between the two periods reflecting higher arrivals of finished manufactures.

SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on 27/8/86 at 11.30 am

By volume, imports increased by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months to a level 6 per cent higher than the same period a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items the increase in the latest three months was 4 per cent. The underlying level of non-oil import volume continues to rise.
$\frac{\text { Terms of trade and unit values }}{\text { index }}$
The terms of trade/increased by 2 per cent between the three months ended April and the latest three months with the export unit value index falling by 2 per cent and the import unit value index falling by 4 per cent. Compared with the three months ended July of last year, the export unit value index has fallen by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ percent and import unit values by 11 per cent so that the terms of trade index is now $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than a year earlier.

```
Export unit values for fuels fell by 28 per cent in the latest three months while the unit value index for non-oil exports increased by \(\frac{1}{2}\) percent. Within the total for non-oil exports, the unit value index for basic materials (down \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent) continues to fall and unit values for chemicals were also reduced (down \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent).
```

Import unit values for fuels fell by 49 per cent in the datest three months while the unit value index for non-oil imports fell by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Among the broad sectors, only the unit values for food, drink and tobacco (up $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent) showed any increase in the latest three months.

## SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on 27/8/86 at 11.30 am

Analysis by area sharp the value of
Reflecting the/reduction in/exports of oil, exports to the
developed countries fell by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent between the three months
ended April and the latest three months. Exports to Western
Europe were down 2 per cent and exports to North America and
the other developed countries were down by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and 6 per
cent. Partly offsetting these falls, exports to the developing
countries grew by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months.

Total imports from the developed countries fell by 1 percent in the latest three months almost wholly reflecting lower imports of oil from Norway. Imports from the European Community countries rose by 2 per cent and imports from North America rose by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Imports from the other developed countries increased by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months while imports from the developing countries were down 3 per cent.

## MOTES TO EDITORS

1
INVISIBLES

The figures for invisibles in the first quarter of 1986 are consistent with the annual estimates published in the CSO Pink Book on 22 August. Preliminary estimates for the second quarter of 1986, replacing the projections included in tables 1 and 2, will be published in a CSO press notice on 4 September.

## 2 REVISIONS

Estimates of visible trade for 1984 and 1985 have been revised in line with the recent publication of the Balance of Payments 'Pink Book'.

3 AREA (tables 11 and 15)

Low value consignments ie items of an individual value less than 5475 , are not analysed by country and are therefore excluded from the area data in tables 11 and 15.

## 4 STAMDARD NOTES

The standard notes describe the differences between the Balance of Payments (BOP) and the Overseas Trade Statistics (OTS) bases of compilation. Copies can be obtained from the address below.

## 5 MONTHLY REVIEN OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS

The Monthly Review supplements the information contained in this Press Notice. It gives longer historical runs of data and contains charts, tables on the UK Balance of Payments, UK exports and imports on an Overseas Trade Statistics basis, and certain international comparisons. The Monthly Review is available from the Department of Trade and Industry at the address given below for an annual subscription of $£ 38$ or $£ 3$ per copy.

In addition the method of seasonal adjustment leads to further differences between the sum of areas and figures for total trade.

Enquiries about the Standard Notes, and the Monthly Review, should be addressed to S2A, Room 255, Department of Trade and Industry, 1 Victoria Street, London SW1H OET, Telephone: 01-215 4895.
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CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES
(Balance of Payments basis)

|  | I Current | 1 | Visible Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Invisible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| Balance | 1 | Exports | 1 | Imports | 1 | Visible | $L$ |  | f | hich |  | Belance |
|  | 1 | 1 | fob | 1 | fob | 1 | Balance | 1 | 011 | 1 | Non-Oil | 1 | $b$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | , |
| \| 1984 | $1+1212$ | 1 | 70367 | 1 | -74751 | 1 | - 4384 | 1 | + 6937 | 1 | - 11321 | 1 | + 5596 |
| \| 1985 | $1+3602$ | 1 | 78051 | 1 | 80162 | 1 | - 2111 | 1 | + 8163 | 1 | - 10274 | 1 | + 5713 |
| \| 1985 Q2 | $1+1566$ | 1 | 20226 | 1 | 20345 | 1 | - 119 | 1 | + 2411 | 1 | - 2530 | 1 | $+1685$ |
| Q3 | $1+1447$ | 1 | 18749 | 1 | 19188 | 1 | - 440 | 1 | + 1900 | 1 | - 2340 | 1 | +1886 |
| Q4 | $1+612$ | 1 | 19006 | 1 | 19299 | 1 | - 292 | 1 | + 1893 | 1 | - 2185 | 1 | + 904 |
| \| 1986 Q1 | $1+597$ | 1 | 18204 | 1 | 19663 | 1 | - 1459 | 1 | + 1922 | 1 | - 3381 | 1 | $+2006$ |
| Q2 | $1+437$ | 1 | 17708 | 1 | 19321 | 1 | - 1613 | 1 | + 732 | 1 | - 2345 | 1 | $+2 \mathrm{kcc}$ |
| 11985 Nov | $1+5$ | 1 | 6301 | 1 | 6542 | 1 | 241 | 1 | + 649 | 1 | 890 | 1 | $+246$ |
| Dec | $1+360$ | 1 | 6376 | 1 | 6428 | 1 | 52 | 1 | + 491 | 1 | 543 | 1 | + 412 |
| 11986 Jan | $1+1102$ | 1 | 6284 | 1 | 6160 | 1 | + 124 | 1 | + 949 | 1 | 826 | 1 | + 778 |
| Feb | $1+161$ | 1 | 6188 | 1 | 6566 | 1 | - 378 | 1 | + 643 | 1 | - 1021 | 1 | $+579$ |
| Mar | $1-60$ | 1 | 5732 | 1 | 6936 | 1 | - 1205 | 1 | + 330 | 1 | - 1534 | 1 | $+5.39$ |
| Apr | $1+407 a$ | 1 | 6017 | , | 6310 | 1 | 293 | 1 | + 252 | 1 | - 545 | 1 | $+7006$ |
| May | $1+3 a$ | 1 | 5865 | 1 | 6563 | 1 | - 698 | 1 | + 201 | 1 | - 899 | 1 | $+7000$ |
| June | $1+77 a$ | 1 | 5826 | 1 | 6449 | 1 | - 623 | 1 | + 279 | 1 | - 901 | 1 | +7000 |
| July | $1+12 a$ | 1 | 5990 | 1 | 6577 | 1 | - 538 | 1 | + 281 |  | $-889$ | 1 | $+1000$ |
| \| May-July 1985 | $1+1546$ | 1 | 19700 | 1 | 19905 | 1 | - 206 | 1 | + 2228 | 1 | - 2434 | 1 | $+1752$ |
| \| Feb-Apr 1986 | $1-98 a$ | 1 | 17936 | 1 | 19812 | 1 | - 1876 | 1 | + 1225 | 1 | - 3101 | 1 | $+1778$ |
| \| May-July 1986 | $1+92 a$ | 1 | 17681 | 1 | 19589 | 1 | - 1908 | 1 | + 761 | 1 | - 2669 | 1 | + 2000a-1 |
| * Change | $1+920$ | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \| Latest 3 months |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| on previous 3 |  | 1 | - $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| months same 3 |  | 1 | - 10 | 1 | - $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \| months one year |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Lago | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |

a Invisibles for April to July 1986 are projections and subject to revision as more information becomes available.
b Monthly figures are one-third of the appropriate querters estimate or projection except for VAT abatements received from the Comunity wich are allocated to the month they are known to have been received.

Teble 3
INVISIBRES
E aillion seasonally edjusted

| 1 |  | 1 | All Sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ | Private Sector and Public Corporations ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  | L |  |  | of which |  |  |  |  | I |  | 1 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | Credits | 1 | Debits | 1 | Balance | 1 |  | 1 | Interest | 1 |  | 1 | Credits | 1 | Debits | 1 | Belance |
| 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | I | Servicea | 1 | Profits | 1 | Tranafers | 1 |  | 1 |  | I |  |
| $L$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | Dividends | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \| 1983 |  | 1 | 65224 | 1 | 61255 | I | + 3969 | I | +3652 | 1 | + 2421 | I | - 2104 | 1 | 60599 | 1 | 52903 | 1 | + 7696 |
| 1984 |  | 1 | 76737 | 1 | 71141 | 1 | + 5596 | 1 | + 3744 | 1 | + 4157 | 1 | - 2305 | 1 | 71859 | 1 | 62054 | 1 | + 9805 |
| 11985 |  | I | 80608 | 1 | 74895 | 1 | + 5713 | I | + 5812 | 1 | + 3400 | 1 | - 3499 | 1 | 76241 | 1 | 64791 | 1 | + 11450 |
| 1984 | Q2 | 1 | 17817 | 1 | 16885 | 1 | + 932 | 1 | + 853 | 1 | + 886 | 1 | - 807 | 1 | 16848 C | 1 | 14607 | 1 | + 2239 |
| 1 | Q3 | 1 | 19613 | 1 | 18248 | 1 | + 1365 | 1 | + 949 | I | + 1177 | 1 | - 761 | 1 | 18641 | 1 | 16030 | 1 | + 2611 |
| 1 | Q4 | I | 21529 | 1 | 19489 | 1 | + 2040 | 1 | + 953 | 1 | + 1322 | 1 | - 235 | 1 | 19850 | 1 | 17118 | 1 | + 2732 |
| \| 1985 | Q1 | 1 | 21780 | 1 | 20542 | 1 | + 1238 | 1 | + 1202 | I | + 1051 | , | - 1015 | 1 | 20612 | , | 17817 | 1 | + 2795 |
| 1 | Q2 | 1 | 20324 | , | 18639 | 1 | + 1685 | I | + 1621 | 1 | + 817 | 1 | - 753 | 1 | 19362 | , | 16376 | 1 | + 2986 |
| I | Q3 | 1 | 19518 | 1 | 17632 | 1 | + 1886 | 1 | +1600 | 1 | + 1217 | 1 | - 931 | 1 | 18352 | I | 14939 | 1 | + 3413 |
| 1 | Q4 | 1 | 18986 | 1 | 18082 | 1 | + 904 | 1 | +1389 | 1 | + 315 | 1 | - 800 | 1 | 17915 | 1 | 15659 | 1 | + 2256 |
| 1986 | Q1 |  | 18954 |  | 16893 |  | $+205$ |  | + 1233 |  | 1C38 |  | -215 |  | 17793 |  | 4883 |  | $+2910$ |

d ie excluding general Government transactions and all transfers.

e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
VALUE AND VOLUME OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS EXCLLDING THE MDRE ERRATIC ITEMS
Table 5
(Balance of Payments basis)
sessonelly adjusted

f These are defined as ships, North See installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.

## TRADE IN OIL9

(Balance of Payments bssis)
seasonally adjusted


9 Trade in petroleum and petroleum products. These figures differ from those published by the Department of Energy which are on a time of shipment basis (see paragraph 8 of the standard notes).

TRADE IN GOOOS OTHER THAN OLL
(Balance of Payments basis)

f These are defined aships, North See installations, aircraft, precious atones, and ailver.
e Export unit value index as a percentage of the import unit value index.
and personal
until release of press notice on 27 AUG 86
at 11.30 ฮdm

h These are defined as ships, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
If Based on the United Matioms Braed Econemic Categaries end-uee clasaification.

## EXPORTS BY COMADITY: VAUEY IMDICES

Table 9
(Overeses Trede Statistice basis)
INDICES $1980=100$, masonally adjueted

in These are defined se shipe, North Sea installations (tegether comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious atenes (667), and silver (681.1).
${ }^{1}$ Besed on the United Natione Broed Econemic Categorice and-use clasaification.

INDICES $1980=100$ not seasonelly adjusted

h These are definad as ships, North See installetions (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
J Based on the United Mations Broed Economic Categories end-use cleseification.
EXPORTS BY AREA
Table 11
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
$\varepsilon$ illlion, fob, seasonally adjusted

| 1 |  |  | Totall <br> $K$ | Developed Countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | Developing Countries |  |  |  |  | Centrally plenned economies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Europeen I } \\ & \text { Comunity } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rest of } \\ & \text { W Europe } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | North A | America 1 | Other |  | Total | \| 011 exporting | Other | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  | USA 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | countries | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | 1 | , | , |  | I |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 1 | 704881 | 553641 | 33127 | 1 | 7132 | 1 | 114161 | 10159 \| | 3688 | 1 | 13356 |  | 5806 | 1 | 75501 | 1630 |
| 1985 |  | 1 | 783311 | 627221 | 38200 |  | 7420 | 1 | 133101 | 114991 | 3792 | 1 | 13880 |  | 5957 | 1 | 7924 | 1587 |
| 1985 | Q2 | 1 | 202581 | 162101 | 9537 | 1 | 2034 | 1 | 36671 | 31891 | 972 | 1 | 3606 | I | 1510 | 1 | 2956 | 420 |
|  | Q3 | 1 | 188281 | 152031 | 9312 | 1 | 1790 | 1 | 31821 | 27151 | 919 | 1 | 3314 | 1 | 1408 | 1 | 1906 | - 386 |
|  | Q4 | 1 | 190971 | 153691 | 9359 | I | 1817 | I | 32821 | 27781 | 910 | 1 | 3202 | I | 1357 | 1 | 1845 | 392 |
| 1986 | Q1 | 1 | 182571 | 146521 | 8689 | 1 | 1779 | 1 | 32541 | 27841 | 930 | 1 | 3241 | 1 | 1405 | 1 | 1837 | 442 |
|  | Q2 | 1 | 178161 | 137681 | 8254 | 1 | 1713 | 1 | 29191 | 25361 | 882 | 1 | 3483 | 1 | 1567 | 1 | 1917 | 471 |
| 1986 | May | 1 | 59081 | 4492 I | 2595 | 1 | 605 | I | 9931 | 8851 | 300 | , | 1280 | , | 563 | 1 | 717 | 129 |
|  | June |  | 58791 | 46571 | 2825 | 1 | 589 | 1 | 9541 | 7941 | 289 | 1 | 1031 | 1 | 438 | 1 | 594 | 198 |
|  | July |  | 60331 | 47061 | 2843 | 1 | 581 | I | 9771 | 8301 | 305 | 1 | 1177 | 1 | 532 | 1 | 6461 | 121 |
| Feb | -Apr | 1 | 179891 | 142461 | 8552 |  | 1689 |  | 30541 | 26561 | 951 | 1 | 3314 | 1 | 1484 | 1 | 18301 | 1442 |
| May | July |  | 178201 | 138551 | 8264 | 1 | 1774 | 1 | 29241 | 25091 | 893 |  | 3489 | 1 | 1532 | I | 19561 | 1448 |
| \% C | hange |  | $-\quad 11$ | - 211 | - $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 17 $+\quad 5$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \frac{1}{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1-\quad 5 \frac{1}{2} 1$ |  |  | $+5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | + 3 | 1 |  | $+1 \frac{1}{2}$ |

K See paragraph 3 of Notes to Editors.

h These are defined as ships, North See installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
j Based on the United Nations Broad Economic Categories end-use classification.
IMPORTS BY COMmDITY: VOLUE INDICES
(Overseas Trade Statistics basis)
Table 13
INDICES $1980=100$ seasonslly adjusted

h These are defined as ahips, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones ( 667 ), and silver (681.1).
j Besed on the United Mations Broed Economic Categories end-use classification.

> SEGRTT
and personal
until re:eaze of press notice on 27 AUS.........

IMPORTS BY COMWDITY: UNIT VALUE INDICES
(Overaeas Trade Statistics basis)

h These are defined as ahips, North Sea installations (together comprising SITC (REV 2) 793), aircraft (792) precious stones (667), and silver (681.1).
J Based on the United Mations Brosd Economic Categories end-use claseification.
IMPORTS BY AREA
(Overseas Trade Statistics besia) Table 15
£ aillion cif seasonally adjusted


[^6]and personsl
and personal
until releaze of press notice on 27 r............ $\varepsilon: 11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

COMMODITY ANAL YSIS OF VISIBLE TRADE
(Balance of Payments basis)
$\varepsilon$ million, seasonally adjusted

|  | Food Beverages and Tobacco |  |  | 1 | Basic Materials |  |  |  |  | Fuels |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITC (R2) | $0+1$ |  |  | , | $2+4$ |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exports | 1 Imports | I Visible | 1 | Exports | I | Imports | 1 | Visible |  | Exports | 1 | Imports | 1 | Visible |
|  | fob | 1 fob | 1 Balance | 1 | fob | 1 | fob | 1 | Balance | 1 | fob | 1 | fob | 1 B | Balance |
|  | , | I | 1 | I |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  |
| 1984 | 14672 | 1 8196 | 1-3524 | 1 | 2014 | I | 4864 | 1 | - 2850 | 1 | 15308 | 1 | 9917 | $1+$ | + 5391 |
| 1985 | 14931 | 18523 | $1-3592$ | 1 | 2161 | 1 | 4795 | 1 | - 2634 | 1 | 16712 | 1 | 10087 | $1+$ | + 6625 |
| 1984 Q3 | 11142 | 12073 | $1-932$ | 1 | 519 | 1 | 1192 | 1 | - 673 | 1 | 3840 | 1 | 2507 | $1+$ | + 1333 |
| Q4 | 11218 | 1 2126 | $1-908$ | 1 | 563 | , | 1349 | 1 | 786 | 1 | 4180 | 1 | 3297 | $1+$ | + 883 |
| 1985 Q1 | \| 1185 | 1 2156 | 1 - 971 | 1 | 585 | 1 | 1315 | I | - 731 | , | 4892 | 1 | 3387 | $1+$ | + 1505 |
| Q2 | 1 1272 | 12141 | 1 - 869 | 1 | 533 | 1 | 1232 | 1 | - 699 | 1 | 4513 | 1 | 2541 | $1+$ | + 1971 |
| Q3 | 11290 | 12118 | 1 - 829 | 1 | 534 | 1 | 1162 | 1 | - 628 |  | 3600 | 1 | 2067 | $1+$ | + 1533 |
| Q4 | 11185 | 12108 | $1-923$ | 1 | 509 | 1 | 1086 | 1 | - 577 | 1 | 3708 | 1 | 2092 | $1+$ | + 1616 |
| 1986 Q1 | \| 1197 | 12294 | 1-1096 | 1 | 515 | 1 | 1102 | 1 | - 588 | , | 3271 | 1 | 1702 | $1+$ | + 1569 |
| Q2 | 11262 | 12212 | $1-949$ | 1 | 440 | 1 | 1095 | 1 | - 655 | , | 1861 | 1 | 1389 | $1+$ | + 472 |
|  | Semi-Manufactures |  |  | 1 | Finished Manufactures |  |  |  |  | 1 Total Manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITC (R2) | $5+6$ |  |  | 1 | $7+8$ |  |  |  |  | 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exports <br> Pob | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { fob } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Visible } \\ \text { Belance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exports } \\ & \text { fob } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { fob } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Visible } \\ \text { Belance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Exports } \\ & 1 \text { fob } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Imports } \\ \text { fob } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Visible } \\ \text { I } & \text { Belance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1. |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1984 | \| 18266 | 118410 | 1-144 | 1 | 28324 | 1 | 32059 | 1 | - 3735 | 1 | 46590 | I | 50469 | 1 - | - 3879 |
| 1985 | I 20043 | I 19958 | $1+85$ | 1 | 32237 | 1 | 35339 | 1 | - 3102 | I | 52280 | 1 | 55297 | 1 - | - 3017 |
| 1984 Q3 | 14558 | 14684 | 1-127 | 1 | 7126 | 1 | 8336 | 1 | - 1210 | 1 | 11684 | 1 | 13021 | 1 - | - 1337 |
| Q4 | 4960 | I 4890 | $1+69$ | 1 | 7797 | 1 | 8771 | 1 | - 974 | 1 | 12757 | 1 | 13662 | 1 - | - 905 |
| 1985 Q1 | 5017 | 14836 | $1+182$ | 1 | 7948 | 1 | 9258 | 1 | - 1311 | 1 | 12965 | 1 | 14094 | $1-$ | - 1129 |
| Q2 | 15201 | 15032 | $1+169$ | 1 | 8216 | 1 | 9046 | 1 | - 830 | , | 13417 | 1 | 14077 | $1-$ | - 661 |
| Q3 | 14852 | I 5124 | $1-272$ | 1 | 7960 | 1 | 8359 | 1 | - 400 | 1 | 12812 | 1 | 13484 | 1 - | - 672 |
| Q4 | 14973 | I 4966 | $1+7$ | 1 | 8114 | 1 | 8676 | 1 | - 562 | 1 | 13087 | 1 | 13642 | 1 - | - 555 |
| 1986 Q1 | I 4847 | 5303 | 1-456 | 1 | 7895 | 1 | 8873 | 1 | - 979 | 1 | 12742 | , | 14176 | $1-$ | - 1434 |
| Q2 | 15223 | 5177 | $1+46$ | 1 | 8377 | 1 | 9035 |  | - 658 | , | 13600 | , | 14212 | 1. | - 612 |

Monthly data this level of detail are published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics.

Thus, and attracted DTI press briefing, is for MST to clear (as duty minister) FROM: MARK BROWN but you Might life to see DATE: 22 August 1986

1. MR DAVZES sgD fer unforman cc as attached list
2. MINISTER OF STATE

## JULY TRADE FIGURES



The July trade figures will be released on Wednesday 27 August. They will show the current account almost exactly in balance with a surplus of $£ 12$ million, following one of $£ 77$ million in June. Visibles were in deficit by $£ 588$ million offset by an estimated surplus on invisibles of $£ 600$ million.

## Main Points

2. (i) The visible trade account improved slightly in July, offset by a projected fall in the surplus on invisible leaving the current account in surplus by $£ 12$ million compared with £77 million in June;
(ii) The resumption of the rise in exports is now more strongly founded. Non-oil export volume, excluding erratics, rose for the fourth month in succession in July to surpass the early 1985 peak. In the three months to July volume was $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the previous three months;
(iii) The rise in non-oil import volume, excluding erratics, also continued in July following a flat period between mid-1985 and the early months of this year. In the three months to July volume was 4 per cent higher than in the previous three months;
(iv) The July oil trade surplus, at $£ 281$ million, was almost exactly the same as in June. Both export and import volume rose but their average values were lower;
(v) The deficit on trade in manufactures, at $£ 295$ million, was $£ 55$ million lower than in June. In the three months to July export volume was 5 per cent higher than in the previous three months ( 4 per cent higher excluding erratics), import volume was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher excluding erratics);
(vi) Preliminary data for the second quarter has not suggested any need to change the projection of $£ 700$ million a month invisibles balance (Q2 balance of payments figures are published on Thursday September 4). Invisibles are projected at $£ 600$ million in July. The reduction reflects the profile of EC payments;

## Comparison with Forecast

3. The current account surplus now estimated for the first half of 1986 was over $£ 1$ billion below the figure underlying the FSBR forecast and $£ 445$ million below that contained in the internal June forecast. For the latter the difference lies largely on the invisibles account. Visible trade volumes are in general below the FSBR forecast for the first half of the year but the outturn for the last three months has been much as anticipated in the June forecast; manufactured export volumes were as anticipated in Q2 whereas imports were 1 per cent lower.

## Trade Prices

4. The overall terms of trade improved by $l \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in July leaving the latest three months 2 per cent better than the previous three months and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent better than a year earlier. The non-oil terms of trade fell $l$ per cent in the month but in the latest three months were 2 per cent and 4 per cent higher than in the previous three months and a year earlier respectively. Import prices fell between March and June, partly in response to the firm exchange rate, before rising slightly in July as the effective rate fell. Export prices have been more stable falling slightly in July.

## Percentage Change in Trade Prices

|  | Import Prices Compared to one month one year |  | Export Prices Compared to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactures | 3/2 | 1/2 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 |
| Food, drink, tobacco | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | - $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 41/2 |
| Basic materials | - 1 | -13 | - $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | -15 |
| Fuel | -11 | -53 | -11 | -56 |

5. Crude oil import prices fell by 34 per cent in the three months to July compared with the previous three months to be below export prices which fell by 30 per cent.

## Market Expectation

6. The market concensus is for a current account surplus of $£ 25$ million and a visible trade deficit of $£ 675$ million.

## Press Brief

7. I should be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.


MARK BROWN EA2

## DRAFT BRIEFING FOR IDT

## Positive

1. Substantial current account surplus of $£ 1.1$ billion in first seven months of year despite lower oil prices.
2. Further evidence that the rise in export volume has resumed. Excluding oil and erratics up $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in latest three months. Exports now at record level.
3. 4 per cent fall in import unit value index in latest three months will help to maintain downward trend of UK inflation.

## Defensive

## 1. Lower Oil Balance

(Surplus of $£ 8.2$ billion in 1985; $£ 2$ billion in Ql 1986; £732 million in Q2; £279 million in June; £281 million in July.)

Reflects substantial fall in oil prices. Large oil surplus still expected in 1986.
2. FSRB Current Account Forecast Too Optimistic Given Fall in Oil Prices
[Current account surplus of $£ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ billion forecast for 1986 after $£ 3.8$ billion in 1985. £l.l billion surplus in year to July.]

Quite possibly. An updated forecast will be published as usual in the Autumn.

SECRET AND PERSONAL
UNTIL 11.30 ON WEDNESDAY 27 AUGUST 1986
THEN UNCLASSIFIED

## UNTIL 11.30 ON WEDNESDAY 27 AUGUST 1986 <br> THEN UNCLASSIFIED

## 3. Trade in Manufactures

(1986 Ql deficit of $£ 1.4$ billion; Q2 deficit of $£ 0.6$ billion; $£ 295$ million deficit in July.)

Improvement of $£ 0.8$ billion between first two quarters of 1986 reflects erratic nature of figures. UK exporters of manufactures have gradually improved volume share of worldtrade since 1981 after years of decline.

## 4. Import Volume Picking Up?

Some rise to be expected as economic growth picks up again (cf $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent rise in UK non-oil output in Q2); but figures are a reminder to industry of the need to keep costs down to remain competitive.
5. National Institute Forecasting Current Account Deficit of Almost £6 billion in 1987

National Institute, as usual, unduly pessimistic over prospects for UK economy. They are out of line with almost all other forecasters on the current account for 1987; and their implausible forecast for 1986 - which implies over $£ 350$ million current account deficit a month from now on - does not add to the credibility of their projections.

TABLE 1: CURRENT ACCOUNT


* projection

EXPORTS

Total Value
Total Volume (BOP basis)
Total Volume excl. oil and erratics (BOP basis)

Manufactures volume (excl. erratics, OTS basis)

Fuels volume

## 1986 July on June

1986 May-July
on Feb-Apr
1986 May-July on 1985 May-July

| +3 | $-1 \frac{1}{2}$ | -10 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| +5 | $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | +3 |
| +3 | $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | +4 | +2 |
| +23 | 0 | +4 |

IMPORTS
1986 July 1986 May-July 1986 May-July on June on Feb-Apri on 1985 May-July

## Total Value

| Total Volume (BoP basis) | $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+3 \frac{1}{2}$ | +6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Volume excl. oil | +1 | +4 | +5 |
| and erratic (BoP basis) |  |  | $+5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Manufactures Volume (excl <br> erratics, OTS basis) | $+\frac{1}{2}$ | $+5 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| Fuels Volume | +5 | +17 | +3 |

 vOLUME INDICES
160

> IMPORTS EXCLUOING

QIL RNU ERREHTICS
150

120

90
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CONFIDENTIAL

The Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher MP 10 Downing Street London SW1
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I am copying this letter to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, the Secretary of State for Employment and the PUSS (CCA), Department of Trade and Industry.


MICHAEL JOPLING

FROM: P J DAVIS
DATE: 5 SEPTEMBER 1986


## PRODUCER PRICES FOR AUGUST

The Producer Price Indices for August will be published at 11.30 arm. on Monday 8 September. The level of the output index rose by 0.1 per cent between July and August, and the twelve month rate of change was unchanged from the (revised) July figure of 4.3 per cent. Input prices fell by 9.6 per cent in the year to August, compared with 10.4 per cent in the year to July.

### 11.30 arm. Monday 8 SEPTEMBER THEN <br> UNCLASSIFIED

PRODUCER PRICES (PERCENTAGE CHANGE OVER A YEAR EARLIER)


* Excluding the food, drink and tobacco industries.

2. The producer price index for inputs to manufacturing industry was unchanged between July and August. Rises in costs of imported commodities were offset by falls in prices of petroleum products and home produced food materials. After seasonal adjustment the producer price index for inputs to manufacturing industry rose by 0.4 per cent between July and August.
3. Between July and August, the producer output price index excluding the food and drink and tobacco industries rose by 0.2 per cent, with the twelve month rate of increase in August falling slightly to 3.9 per cent.
4. I attach two charts showing movements in producer input and output prices since January 1975.


P J DAVIS
EAT DIVISION
X 3434
MATERIALS AND FUEL PURCHASED B: MAIIUFAC TURING INDUSTR,
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Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1H 9NF 6460
Telephone Direct Line 01-213.
Switchboard 01-213 3000

David Norgrove Esq
Private Secretary
10 Downing Street LONDON SW 1
|| September 1986

## Nem Marid

## RETAIL PRICE INDEX: AUGUST 1986

... I enclose a numbered copy of the DE note and draft press release on the Index of Retail Prices due to be released at 11.30 on Friday 12 September.

Numbered copies also go to Alex Allan (Treasury), Sir Peter Middleton (Treasury), Timothy Walker (Trade and Industry), Rachel Passmore (CSO), John Footman (Bank of England), Chris Cloke (CO) and Andrew Lansley (Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster's Office).

Yours sincerely


JOHN TURNER Private Secretary

## PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

RETAIL PRICES INDEX : AUGUST 1986

In August, the annual rate of inflation, as measured by the 12 -month change in the retail prices index, was 2.4 per cent, the same as in July.
2. Having recorded falls" in the index for the last two months, the overall level of prices rose in August by 0.3 per cent. This increase matched the one recorded a year earlier leaving the annual rate unchanged. The more notable price increases were for clothing and footwear, following the summer sales, motor vehicles and some seasonal foods. Petrol prices fell on average, the latest increases having taken effect after the August index day.
3. In September the 12 -month rate is likely to rise to around 3 per cent. The overall level of prices is expected to increase by about one half of one per cent between August and September, the largest monthly percentage increase since May 1985, whereas a decrease of 0.1 per cent was recorded between the corresponding months last year. About half of next month's expected increase is a result of the sharp rise in petrol prices.

## Producer Prices

4. The price index for materials and fuels purchased by manufacturing industry was unchanged in August compared with July but on a seasonally adjusted basis it rose by about a half of a per cent. These prices are still nearly 10 per cent lower than they were a year ago.
5. In August the price index for home sales of manufactured products rose by 0.1 per cent compared with July and the annual increase remained around $4 \frac{1 / 4}{}$ per cent.

## Tax and Price Index

7. The tax and prices index increased by 0.6 per cent in the year to August compared with 0.4 per cent recorded for July.

## PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

International comparisons
8. The latest 12 -month percentage changes in consumer prices in the main OECD countries and the averages for all EEC and OECD countries are as follows :-

FEDERAL NETHER OECD EEC
UK FRANCE GERMANY ITALY -LANDS JAPAN USA CANADA Averages

| 1985 Q2 | Q2 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 8.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q3 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
|  | Q4 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 7.9 |
| 1986 | Q1 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
|  | Q2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | -0.2 |  | 0.4 | 0.8 |  | $6 \quad 3.9$ | 2.5 |  |
| May |  | 2.8 | 2.3 | -0.2 | - | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| June |  | 2.5 | 2.3 | -0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| July |  | 2.4 | 2.0 | -0.5 | - | -0.7 | - | 1.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| August |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL 11.30 AM ON FRIDAY 12 SEPTEMBER 1986

## INDEX OF RETAIL PRICES

AUGUST 1986

The index of retail prices for all items for August 12, 1986 was 385.9 (January 15, $1974=100$ ). This represents an increase of 0.3 per cent on July 1986 (384.7) and an increase of 2.4 per cent on August 1985 (376.7).

The rise in the index between July and August was mainly the result of price increases for clothing and footwear, following the summer sales, motor vehicles and some seasonal foods. Petrol prices fell on average, the latest increases having taken effect after the August index day.

The movements for the main groups in the index are shown in Table 2.

Table 1.

|  | All items |  |  |  | All items except seasonal food |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { Jan } 15 \\ 1974=100 \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change over |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { Jan } 15 \\ 1974=100 \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change over |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March | 381.6 | +0.1 | +1.4 | $+4.2$ | 383.4 | 0.0 | +1.0 |
| April | 385.3 | +1.0 | +2.2 | +3.0 | 387.0 | +0.9 | +1.8 |
| May | 386.0 | +0.2 | +2.0 | +2.8 | 387.3 | +0.1 | +1.6 |
| June | 385.8 | -0.1 | +1.8 | +2.5 | 387.0 | -0.1 | +1.5 |
| July | 384.7 | -0.3 | +1.3 | +2.4 | 386.8 | -0.1 | +1.3 |
| August | 385.9 | +0.3 | +1.3 | +2.4 | 387.9 | +0.3 | +1.2 |

TABLE 2

Indices (15 January $1974=100$ )
Percentage change
July 15, 1986 August 12, 1986 over the month

| All items | 384.7 | 385.9 | +0.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All items excluding food | 394.9 | 396.1 | +0.3 |
|  |  |  | +0.3 |
| Food | 347.4 | 348.6 | +1.3 |
| Seasonal food | 332.2 | 336.6 | +0.2 |
| Food excluding seasonal | 350.7 | 351.4 | +0.3 |
| Alcoholic drink | 431.0 | 432.5 | +0.1 |
| Tobacco | 597.1 | 597.5 | +0.5 |
| Housing | 472.8 | 265.3 | +0.2 |
| Fuel and light | 505.0 | 229.7 | -0.5 |
| Durable household goods | 265.5 | 387.0 | +1.3 |
| Clothing and footwear | 226.8 | 410.1 | +0.1 |
| Transport and vehicles | 386.7 | 402.0 | +0.5 |
| Miscellaneous goods | 408.2 | 442.6 | +0.1 |
| Services | 401.5 | +0.5 |  |

FROM: S BROOKS
DATE: 11 September 1986

## 1. MR S J DAkLES <br> 2. CHANCELLOR



THE AUGUST RPI (to be published at 11.30 a.m. on Friday 12 September

The level of the RPI rose by 0.3 per cent between July and August. The twelve month rate of inflation was unchanged between July and August at 2.4 per cent. This is a little lower than we had expected.
2. Excluding mortgage interest payments, the twelve month rate rose from 3.2 per cent in July to 3.3 per cent in August.
3. There were marked increases in some food prices and in the prices of clothing and footwear - the latter as summer sales came to an end. But the prices of durable household goods fell as did the price of petrol. (The recent increases in petrol prices were too late to affect the August index.)
4. In September we expect the twelve month rate of increase to rise, probably to just below 3 per cent. The rise in the rate partly reflects the decline in mortgage rates between August and September 1985, which will drop out of the period of comparison.
5. The RPI figures are in line with City expectations. Both Phillips \& Drew and Goldman Sacks correctly expect a 12 month rate of 2.4 per cent, with James Capel and Wood McKenzie expecting 2.5 per cent..


S BROOKS
EAT DIVISION
X 7946


FROM: A C S ALLAN DATE: 11 SEPTEMBER 1986

MR BROOKS
cc Mr Sedgwick
Mr S J Davies

## THE AUGUST RPI

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 11 September.


A C S ALLAN

MR D NORGROVE
Prime Minister's Office

## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - JULY 1986

The provisional index of the output of the production industries for July 1986 will be published at 11.30 am on Wednesday 17 September. A copy of the Press Notice is attached.

## Latest figures

The July 1986 index of the output of the production industries - energy (including coal) and manufacturing - is provisionally estimated at $110.3(1980=100$, seasonally adjusted), an increase of $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent on June, with oil extraction accounting for much of this rise. For manufacturing the index was 104.2, an increase of 1 per cent on June (see also paragraph on bias adjustment below).

In the three months to July, the output of production industries was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower than in the previous three months but manufacturing output was little changed. Some industry detail is given in the attached table.

## Assessment

Manufacturing output reached at least a temporary peak in the second quarter of 1985 and declined by about 1 per cent during the second half of the year. Provisional figures for the first half of 1986 suggest that output has been broadly flat since the fourth quarter of last year. July is high, but one month is too short a period to establish a trend, and monthly figures can be erratic.

The underlying output of all production industries in the second half of 1985 was almost 1 per cent below the high level reached in the first half. Since then production industries' output has moved broadly in line with changes in energy output. Figures for the first quarter of 1986 showed some recovery, due to high oil extraction and high demand for gas and electricity, but fell back again in the second quarter. July is high, due to manufacturing (see above) and a high level of oil extraction.

## Bias adjustment for manufacturing output

In line with revised procedures introduced in January, figures of manufacturing output for the last 6 months include adjustments to try to allow for underestimation in the provisional estimates (see Note 11 of Notes to Editors of Press Notice).

Figures for August 1986
Figures for August 1986 are scheduled for publication on Tuesday 14 October.


S D Kingaby 16 September 1986
(+ PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL Unt il release of Press Notice at 11.30 am on September 171986 and thereafter unclass if ied +*
Index of output of the production industries $1980=100$

| SUMMARY | Total production industries | Energy and water supply | Total manufacturing industries | Metals | Other <br> minerals and <br> mineral <br> products | Chemicals and man-made fibres | Engineering and allied industries | Food, drink and tobacco | Textiles, footwear, clothing and leather | Other manuf acturing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 103.2 | 110.1 | 100.7 | 108.2 | 95.0 | 113.9 | 99.3 | 102.0 | 97.9 | 97.7 |
| 1985 | 108.1 | 120.1 | 103.8 | 113.2 | 94.4 | 118.8 | 104.0 | 101.3 | 102.2 | 98.8 |
| 19851 | 106.7 | 115.5 | 103.5 | 111.0 | 92.7 | 119.8 | 104.1 | 101.9 | 100.7 | 97.7 |
| 2 | 108.9 | 121.3 | 104.5 | 115.9 | 94.6 | 120.6 | 105.6 | 100.4 | 102.1 | 98.0 |
| 3 | 108.4 | 121.5 | 103.7 | 114.4 | 94.3 | 118.7 | 103.5 | 100.9 | 103.2 | 99.8 |
| 4 | 108.4 | 122.1 | 103.5 | 111.6 | 96.1 | 116.3 | 103.1 | 102.1 | 102.8 | 99.8 |
| 19861 | 109.2 | 127.1 | 102.8 | 110.4 | 92.7 | 116.6 | 102.0 | 100.7 | 103.8 | 100.4 |
| 2 | 108.4 | 122.7 | 103.3 | 111.7 | 93.4 | 116.8 | 102.4 | 101.6 | 104.0 | 100.8 |
| 1986 M | 108.1 | 123.0 | 102.8 | 112 | 92 | 116 | 102 | 102 | 102 | 100 |
| $J$ | 106.7 | 116.2 | 103.3 | 114 | 95 | 118 | 102 | 101 | 104 | 102 |
| $J$ | 110.3 | 127.2 | 104.2 | 115 | 94 | 120 | 103 | 103 | 102 | 102 |

Percentage change latest 3 months on: previous 3 months


Percentage change latest 3 months on: previous 3 months
a year earlier -2.4
1st quarter 1981 (a)
-20.7
ist nalf 1979 (b)
-20.7
-19.1

| DETAILED ANALYSIS cont inued |  | Mechanical engineer ing | Electrical and instrument eng ineer ing | Motor vehicles and parts | Other transport equipment | Food | Drink and tobacco | Textiles | Clothing, <br> footwear and <br> leather | Paper, printing and publishing | All other manufacturing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  | 87.4 | 123.0 | 81.1 | 91.5 | 104.6 | 96.7 . | 93.7 | 101.5 | 96.4 | 99.3 |
|  |  | 92.8 | 130.7 | 86.3 | 94.7 | 104.2 | 95.3 | 98.3 | 105.5 | 98.6 | 99.2 |
| 1985 | 1 | 91.4 | 132.0 | 87.0 | 95.7 | 104.3 | 96.9 | 97.0 | 103.8 | 97.3 | 98.3 |
|  | 2 | 94.5 | 132.6 | 87.6 | 96.5 | 102.8 | 95.3 | 97.1 | 106.4 | 97.5 | 98.6 |
|  | 3 | 91.6 | 128.9 | 88.5 | 93.4 | 104.4 | 93.6 | 99.7 | 106.3 | 99.4 | 100.3 |
|  | 4 | 93.7 | 129.3 | 82.1 | 93.3 | 105.3 | 95.4 | 99.6 | 105.6 | 100.1 | 99.5 |
| 1986 | 1 | 94.9 | 125.5 | 80.6 | 94.4 | 104.1 | 93.8 | 99.6 | 107.4 | 100.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 2 | 96.8 | 125.8 | 82.3 | 93.4 | 105.3 | 93.8 | 99.9 | 107.6 | 100.5 | 100.9 |
| 1986 | M | 97 | 126 | 82 | 93 | 106 | 92 | 97 | 108 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $J$ | 96 | 125 | 83 | 94 | 105 | 94 | 102 | 107 | 101 | 102 |
|  | J | 97 | 127 | 84 | 94 | 106 | 97 | 96 | 107 | 102 | 101 |

Percentage change latest 3 months on: previous 3 months

| $+0.4$ | -0.2 | +3. 1 | -0.6 | +0.8 | -0.9 | $-2.3$ | $-1.3$ | +0.3 | +0.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a year earlier +4.1 | -4.6 | $-5.6$ | -1.8 | $+2.3$ | -0.5 | +0.5 | +0.5 | $+3.2$ | +1.8 |
| 1st quarter $1981(\mathrm{a})+0.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +10.7 | +35.8 | +7.9 | $-11.4$ | +7.3 | $-6.7$ | +8.7 | $+16.3$ | $+6.6$ | +10.1 |
| 1st half 1979(b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-12.2$ | +21.8 | $-32.7$ | $-0.3$ | +6. 1 | $-5.9$ | -21.2 | -7.8 | $-5.3$ | -12.8 |

(a) Last trough for production industries (b) Last peak for production industries

| Treasury | (Principal Private Secretary (Sir Peter Middleton |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cabinet Office | (Mr Jack Hibbert |
| Department of Trade and Industry | (Private Secretary Secretary of State's Office |
|  | (Private Secretary to Geoffrey Pattie |
|  | (Private Secretary to Giles Shaw |
|  | (Private Secretary to John Butcher |
|  | (Sir Brian Hayes (Mr H H Liesner |
|  | (Mr A Whiting <br> (Mr N Harvey <br> (Mr E J Wright |
| Bank of England | (Mr R Leigh-Pemberton |

1. MR S DAVIES


2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

- Mar dales

FROM: R D KERLEY
DATE: 16 SEPTEMBER 1986
cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Economic Secretary Minister of State Sir Peter Middleton Sir Terence Burns Mr Cassel Mr Monck Mr Burgner Mr Scholar Mr Sedgwick Mr Shaw Mr Culpin Miss O'Mara or Mr Pickford Mr Hacche Mr Naisbitt Mr Pickering Mr Dyer ( +1 for No 10) Mr Cropper Mr Ross Goober Mr Tyrie Mr Stirling - CSO Mr Kingaby - CSO Mr Lang - CSO HB/02

## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - JULY 1986

This will be published at 11.30am on Wednesday, 17 September.
2. The index of production fell by 1.4 per cent in the three months to July 1986 compared with the previous three months, but only by 0.1 per cent compared with the same period a year earlier, ( 0.3 per cent after allowing for effects of coal dispute).
3. Manufacturing output rose by 0.2 per cent in the three months to July 1986 compared with the previous three months, but fell by 0.7 per cent compared with the same period a year earlier.

## PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL until 11.30am Wednesday 17 September

 then RESTRICTED4. The CSO's assessment is that the underlying output of all production industries in 1985 H 2 was almost 1 per cent below 1985H1. Since then production industries' output has moved broadly in line with changes in energy output. Figures for 1986 Q1 showed some recovery, due to high oil extraction and high demand for gas and electricity, but fell back again in the second quarter. Manufacturing output reached at least a temporary peak in 1985Q2 and declined by about 1 per cent during the second half of the year. Provisional figures for 1986 Hl suggest that output has been broadly flat since 1985Q4.

## 5. Recent movements

| percentage changes | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \text { on } \\ 1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Latest 3 months on previous 3 months | Latest 3 months on same period one year earlier | July on <br> June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of Production | $+4 \frac{1}{2}$ | -1.4 | -0.1 | +3.4 |
| within which: |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | +3 | +0.2 | -0.7 | +0.9 |
| Energy and Water | +9 | -4.9 | +1.4 | +9.5 |


| adjusted for strikes, weather etc* |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Index of Production | $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ | -0.9 | -0.2 | +3.5 |
| Manufacturing output | +3 | +0.1 | -0.7 | +0.9 |

*NOT FOR USE.
6. Figures for individual months can be erratic, and more reliable comparisons can generally be made on the basis of three-month on three-month changes or on the basis of three month moving averages (see attached graph). However, for July 1986, the index of production was 3.4 per cent higher than in June, with oil extraction accounting for much of the increase. The July index for manufacturing was 0.9 per cent higher than in June. Over the longer term, the output of the production industries in the three months to July was 14 per cent higher than at its trough in 1981 Q1 with manufacturing output 11.6 per cent higher.

## Other industrial detail

7. Within manufacturing, the output of metals increased by 4 per cent, and the output of other minerals, of chemicals and of other manufacturing industries by 1 per cent between the two latest 3 months periods. The output of textiles and clothing fell by 2 per cent. Coal

# PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL 

 until 11.30am Wednesday 17 September then RESTRICTEDproduction fell by 2 per cent (productivity improvements being more than offset by a fall in employment), oil and gas extraction by 2 per cent (with the very low June figure being partially offset by better July figures, reflecting less maintenance work) and other energy and water supply by 10 per cent (mainly reflecting colder than average weather in February and April), again between the latest 3 month periods. Other categories were broadly unchanged.

## $\underline{\text { Lines to take }}$

8. Positive:-

## $31 / 2$

June.?
(i) Total production in July nearly $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above its level in July 1985
(ii) Decline in manufacturing output now at an end. Prospects favourable as manufacturing industry expected to be major beneficiary of fall in oil price.
(iii) Manufacturing has grown in every year since 1981 - longest period of uninterrupted growth since 1970.

## 9. Defensive

(iv) Manufacturing output peaked in 1985Q2. Decline now at end. Chancellor has made clear that growth is expected to pick up later this year following benefits of lower oil price.
(v) Output of production industries fell by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in 3 months to July 1986 compared with previous 3 months. Year on year figure is broadly unchanged and growth should pick up later this year following benefits of lower oil price.


R D KERLEY
OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES


production
cc Sir T Burns Mr Culpin Mr S Davies Miss O'Mara Mr Pickford Mr Hacche

## INDEX OF OUTPUT OF THE PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES - JULY 1986

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 16 September. While recognising that individual months figures can be erratic, he feels something should be made in positive (i) of the point that total production in July was nearly $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above its level in June.


> A CS ALLAN

1. MR S DAvies son
2. CHANCELLOR


FROM: G. HACCHE
DATE: 17 September 1986
cc Chief Secretary Financial Secretary
Economic Secretary
Minister of State
Sir P Middleton
Sir T Burns
Mr Monck
Mr Sedgwick
Mr Scholar
Mr Culpin
Miss O'Mara
Mr Dyer ( +1 for No.10)
Mr Hudson
Mr Hunt
Mr Pickering
Mr Cropper
Mr Ross Goobey
Mr Tyrie
HB/01

COMBINED RELEASE OF LABOUR MARKET STATISTICS ON 18 SEPTEMBER
Summary Statistics (seasonally adjusted unless otherwise stated)
Unemployment

| Total (excl. school leavers) August |
| :--- |
| Total (not seasonally adjusted) August: |
| 'Headline Total' |


| Employed Labour Force 1986Q1 | 3,224 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Manufacturing employment July 1986 |
| :--- |
| previous |
| period |

Index of average earnings
Whole economy July, underlying (actual)
Services July, underlying (actual)
Manufacturing July, underlying (actual)

## Wage and salary costs per unit of output

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Whole economy 1986Q1 } & 6.8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Manufacturing } 3 \text { months ending July } & 6.5\end{array}$
Output per head
Whole economy 1986Q1
1.1

Manufacturing 3 months to July
2. Seasonally-adjusted adult unemployment (excluding school leavers) remained virtually unchanged in August, with a small increase of 400 . The headline total was $3,280,000$, having risen by only 500.
3. The average monthly increases in unemployment for the six months and three months to August were 10,000 and 6,000 respectively.

|  | Average monthly changes |  | ousand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July to August | $\frac{6 \text { mnths to August }}{\text { (previous six months }} \begin{aligned} & \text { in brackets) } \end{aligned}$ | 3 mnths to August (previous three months in brackets) |
| Seasonally adjusted | 0 | $+10 \quad(+12)$ | +6 (+8) |

4. Features of the figures compared with July are shown below (figures not seasanally adjusted except where indicated):
$\qquad$ Change

| $\frac{\text { July }}{195}$ | $\frac{\text { August }}{210}$ | +6 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| -273 | (June) -278 (July) | -5 |

Effect of employment measures on unemployment count (excluding school leavers)

| Headline total | 3,280 | 3,280 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| less claimant school leavers | -102 | -92 | $+10$ |
| less seasonal factors | + 45 | +36 | -9 |
| Adult unemployment (seasonally adj): of which | 3,223 | 3,224 | $+0$ |
| - Males | 2,211 | 2,208 | - 3 |
| - Females | 1,012 | 1,016 | $+4$ |

[^8]The stock of unfilled vacancies, seasonally adjusted, increased by 6,000 in August to 201,000. This is the highest level since 1979.
6. Points of interest are:

(a) Seasonally adjusted total highest on record, equivalent to 11.7 per cent of working population (13.1 per cent on old definition). August saw ninth consecutive monthly increase.
(b) Headline total highest August level recorded - equivalent to 11.9 per cent of working population. The September figures will show a sharp increase in the headline total of about 100,000 . Seasonal influences will cause some increase in adult unemployment and in addition, this year's summer school leavers become eligible for benefit for the first time.
(c) Upward trend in unemployment is put by D.E. at 5-10,000 a month (compared with DE's assessment last month of $10,000-15,000$ ).
(d) Male unemployment has been broadly unchanged since March following a large rise between February and March. Over the same period female unemployment rose steadily by more than 5,000 a month.

## CHANGES IN UNEMPLOYMENT - SEASONALLY ADJUSTED excluding school leavers ('000s)


(e) Restart began to affect the count in August. DE's provisional assessment is that Restart has so far reduced the claimant total by about 5,000 .
7. There have been some minor revisions to the figures for the whole economy employed force which is now estimated to have increased by 25,000 in 1986 Q1 and by 975,000 between June 1983 and March 1986.
8. The number of employees in employment in manufacturing industries is estimated to have decreased by 18,000 in July 1986 compared with an average monthly fall of 13,000 in 1986H1.

## Assessment of Unemployment and Employment Statistics

9. Recent unemployment and vacancy figures have been more hopeful. The virtually unchanged unemployment figures in August followed a rise of only 4,000 in July. The current upward trend is estimated by $D E$ as $5-10,000$ a month compared with $10-15,000$ earlier in the year. Special employment measures have been taking an additional 5,000 off the count each month for about the last year. Restart is tentatively estimated to have reduced the count by 5,000 in August.
10. The stock of vacancies has risen in each of the last seven months and in August was at the highest level for seven years. Inflows of notified vacancies (but not placings) are also at their highest levels since 1979.
11. However, the decline in manufacturing employment in July was slightly above the average monthly fall in the first half of the year. The downward trend which followed the faster decline in 1980 to 1983 is continuing but has accelerated from the middle of last year when there was only a very slow downward drift. Overtime hours in manufacturing have been broadly unchanged in recent months and have fallen back slightly from the levels seen in 1985.

## Other features

12. Manufacturing output per head in the three months to July was 0.9 per cent higher than a year earlier. It fell by $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent between 1985 Q2 and $1985 Q 3$ as output fell and employment was flat, was broadly unchanged in 1985Q4 and 1986Q1 given the similar proportionate falls in employment and output, and has since risen as output has recovered and employment has continued falling.
ufacturing output per head in the three months to July was 26 per cent higher than in 1979Q1 and 32 per cent higher than the trough in 1980Q4.

## 13. Industrial stoppages

i. 2.3 million days were lost in year to July 1986. The current level of days lost is at its lowest level since September 1967.
ii. 893 stoppages have been recorded in year to July 1986 - the lowest figure for any twelve month period since the year to August 1939 .
14. The 12 month change in whole economy average earnings (underlying) has been $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in every month but one since July 1984. This is the first month that DE has published a series for average earnings in services. This is intended to give a more balanced picture of developments within the whole economy. The 12 month change for services (underlying) was $7 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent in July, slightly above the 12 month change earlier this year reflecting higher public sector settlements in particular that for teachers. The 12 month change for manufacturing (underlying) has fallen from 9 per cent last summer to $7 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent in the last four months, reflecting a fall in hours worked.

## Press Release and briefing for No 10

15. You have seen Caroline Slocock's letter of 15 September to No 10 which encloses briefing for the Prime Minister on the combined press release. We understand that, as usual, a DE Minister will be issuing a statement to accompany the press notice. As usual, we suggest your office may like to contact DE to ensure the tone is appropriate.
16. Press comment is likely to be more favourable this month given the virtually unchanged level of unemployment in August and the buoyant vacancies picture. There may be some questioning of why the level of vacancies is so high when the level of unemployment is also high.

## LINES TO TAKE

## 17. Unemployment/Vacancies:

## Besititive

On unemployment
Unemployment virtually unchanged in August.
Male unemployment has been flat since March.

## n vacancies

Stock of vacancies has risen in each of the last seven months and is at highest level for seven years.

## Defensive

When will unemployment start falling?
Not the practice of this or previous governments to publish forecasts of unemployment. But recent figures encouraging.

## 18. Employment

## Positive

(i) Around one million more jobs created since June 1983.
(ii) Rises in employed labour force recorded for twelve successive quarters - longest period of continuous growth for twenty years.

## Defensive

(i) Fall in employment in 1986Q1 but for arbitrary assumption on self-employment

Assumption on growth in self-employment in Q1 perfectly reasonable - based on average growth between 1981 and 1985 and therefore no reason to suspect upward bias.
(ii) Increase in employment slowing; jobs increase in 1986Q1 smallest quarterly increase since recovery in employment began.

Even so, employment has increased over 12 successive quarters. Smaller increase may in part be attributable to special reductions in employment in newspaper industry and adverse weather conditions and continuing possibility that seasonal adjustment is inappropriate.
(iii) Large falls in manufacturing employment in recent months.

Shows need for more realistic pay settlements. But average monthly fall since June 1983 half that under Labour Government.
19. Other features

## Positive

Strike record: In 12 months to July number of stoppages lowest recorded for almost 50 years; number of working days lost lowest for almost 20 years.

Productivity: Output per head in manufacturing in 3 months to July over 30 per cent above trough of 1980Q4.

## Defensive

UK productivity miracle has come to an end.
Slowdown in productivity growth has occurred in all major industrialised countries. Reflects temporary pause in output growth. Already signs of productivity growth picking up. Manufacturing productivity in latest three months $l^{\frac{1}{4}}$ per cent above Q1 level.

## LABOUR MARKET TRENDS

## all figures seasonally adjusted

Whole Economy (UK)

| Adult <br> Unemployment changes | Stock of Notified Vacancies | Employed | Employees in Employment | Enployees in Employment | Short- | Over time | Average Heekly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Force kt | Changes | Changes | (operatives) |  | Hours |
|  |  | Changes | (Quar terly) |  |  |  | operative |
|  |  | Quar terly) |  |  |  |  |  |


| 0005 , monthly aver age change | 0005 , average of 3 months | 000 s | $000 s$ | 000 s , pronthly average in | millions of hours lost | millions <br> of hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ 1980=100 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in 3 Months | ended |  |  | 3 months |  | worked |  |
| ended |  |  |  | ended |  | worked |  |


| 1981 Mat | 70 | 90 | -237 | -264 | -55 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 98.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 56 | 82 | -211 | -238 | -45 | 4.6 | 9.2 | 98.6 |
| Sept | 41 | 90 | -118 | -132 | -27 | 2.6 | 9.9 | 100.2 |
| Dec | 27 | 102 | -145 | -155 | -28 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 100.1 |
| 1982 Mar | 18 | 113 | -45 | -53 | -18 | 1.8 | 10.3 | 100.6 |
| June | 25 | 113 | -109 | -117 | -31 | 1.8 | 10.2 | 100.6 |
| Sept | 26 | 113 | -122 | -135 | -29 | 1.6 | 9.8 | 100.4 |
| Dec | 29 | 116 | -143 | -153 | -29 | 1.6 | 9.7 | 100.7 |
| 1983 Mat | 17 | 123 | -61 | -74 | -21 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 101.0 |
| June | 21 | 133 | 44 | 30 | -16 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 101.0 |
| Sept | 3 | 145 | 134 | 62 | -12 | 0.6 | 11.0 | 102.0 |
| Dec | 7 | 148 | 144 | 75 | -6 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 102.4 |
| 1984 Mat | 17 | 146 | 101 | 31 | -9 | 0.6 | 11.2 | 102.5 |
| June | 6 | 149 | 89 | 20 | -1 | 0.7 | 11.6 | 102.6 |
| Sept | 18 | 152 | 80 | 51 | -1 | 0.7 | 11.5 | 102.5 |
| Dec | 8 | 154 | 119 | 93 | 3 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 103.2 |
| 1985 Mar | 11 | 154 | 69 | 44 | -5 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 103.1 |
| June | 7 | 162 | 64 | 37 | 0 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 103.3 |
| Sept | 3 | 164 | 48 | 18 | 0 | 0.4 | 12.2 | 103.4 |
| Dec | 3 | 168 | 102 | 73 | -4 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 103.6 |
| 1986 Mat | 22 | 165 | 25 | -4 | -12 | 0.5 | 11.7 | 103.0 |
| June | 7 | 175 |  |  | -13 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 102.6 |
| August | 6 | 194 |  |  | -16 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 102.7 |

**UK employees in employment, armed forces,plus an assumed 31,000 increase per quarter in self employment from 1985 Q 3
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SECRET AND PERSONAL until release of Press Notice on Wednesday 24 September 1986 at 11.30 am

To:
MINISTER FOR TRADE
From:
P J STIBBARD
US/S2
V/260 Ext. 4872
18 September 1986

OVERSEAS TRADE FIGURES FOR AUGUST
On 15 September I reported the August export figures. The corresponding import figures are now available. They are at a very high level, with the result that the overall current balance for August ( $-£ 0.9 \mathrm{bn}$ ) shows a rather greater deficit than in March ( $-£ 0.7 \mathrm{bn}$ ), which itself was a record deficit. Similar deterioration are seen in the balances for non-oil goods, and manufactures.

Clearly in this month's press briefing it will be more important than normal to play down the significance of one month's figures. The usual analysis of the figures follows.

## THE CURRENT ACCOUNT

In August, exports were valued at $£ 5.5$ billion and imports at $£ 7.0$ billion so that visible trade, seasonally adjusted on a balance of payments basis, showed a deficit of $£ 1.5$ billion compared with a deficit of $£ 0.6$ billion in July.

The Central Statistical Office project a surplus of $£ 0.6$ billion for invisible in August so that the current account is provisionally estimated to have been in deficit by $\overline{£ 0.9 \text { billion compared with a }}$ broad balance in July.

TABLE 1: CURRENT BALANCE, VISIBLE TRADE AND INVISIBLES (Table 2 of Press Notice)

|  |  |  | Seasonally adjusted <br> Balance of Payments <br> basis <br> £ million |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current <br> Account <br> Balance |  | Visible | Trade | Balances | | Invisibles |
| :--- |
|  |

In the three months ended August there was a deficit on visible trade of $£ 2.7$ billion - a surplus on trade in oil of $£ 0.8$ billion offset by a deficit of $£ 3.5$ billion on non-oil trade. Between the three months ended May and the latest three months the deficit on visible trade increased by $£ 0.5$ billion reflecting an increase in the deficit on non-oil trade - the surplus on oil was little changed.

## EXPORTS

The value of exports in August was $£ 519$ million ( $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) lower than in July. Exports of oil fell by $£ 120$ million and exports of the erratic items fell by $£ 205$ million. Excluding oil and the erratic items, exports were 4 per cent lower than the high level reached in July.

In the three months ended August, total export volume was 3 per cent higher than in the previous three months and 4 per cent higher than a year earlier. It is not unusual for trade in July and August to follow a somewhat erratic path. Taking the two months together, the underlying level of non-oil export volume continues to show signs of an increase in recent months.
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TABLE 2; EXPORTS BY VALUE AND VOLUME (Tables 1, 4 and 7 of Press Notice)

Bop basis, seasonally adjusted

|  |  | VALUE (£m) |  | VOL_UME (1980 $=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Total less oil and erratics | Total | Total less oil and erratics |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70367 \\ & 78051 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50894 \\ & 57710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.5 \\ & 118.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107.6 \\ & 115.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1986 | Mar-May June-Aug | $\begin{aligned} & 17613 \\ & 17286 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14302 \\ & 14621 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.2 \\ & 121.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113.4 \\ & 116.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { Aug } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5826 \\ & 5990 \\ & 5470 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4840 \\ & 4988 \\ & 4793 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.7 \\ & 126.6 \\ & 117.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.9 \\ & 119.4 \\ & 113.9 \end{aligned}$ |

By value, exports fell by 2 per cent between the three months ended May and the latest three months but rose by 2 per cent after excluding oil and the erratic items. Exports to the developed countries in total were virtually unchanged between the two periods while exports to the developing countries fell by $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

## IMPORTS

The value of imports in August was $£ 379$ million ( 6 per cent) higher than in July. Imports of the erratic items were little changed while imports of oil fell by $£ 49$ million between the two months. Excluding oil and the erratic items, imports rose by 7 per cent between July and August. The increase was spread across all of the broad commodity groups within the total for non-oil.

Between the three months ended May and the latest three months, total import volume increased by 5 per cent to be $8 \frac{1}{2} \%$ higher than a year earlier. Excluding oil and the erratic items, import volume rose by 6 per cent in the latest three months. The underlying level of non- $\delta 11$ import volume continues to rise.
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Bop basis, seasonally adjusted

|  |  | VALUE (£m) |  | VOLUME $(1980=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\bar{T}$ otal less oil and erratics | Total | Total less oil and erratics |
| 1984 |  | 74751 | 63282 | 121.9 | 137.0 |
| 1985 |  | 80162 | 68711 | 125.8 | 142.7 |
| 1986 | Mar-May | 19809 | 17661 | 129.0 | 145.0 |
|  | June-Aug | 19982 | 18473 | 135.3 | 153.6 |
| 1986 | June | 6449 | 5962 | 129.6 | 149.6 |
|  | July | 6577 | 6045 | 134.0 | 150.9 |
|  | Aug | 6956 | 6466 | 142.3 | 160.3 |

By value imports increased by 1 per cent between the three months ended May and the latest three months and by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent after excluding oil and the erratic items. Total imports from the developed countries increased by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Arrivals from the European Community countries increased by 5 per cent and those from North America by 1 per cent. Imports from the developing countries fell by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months.

## TRADE IN MANUFACTURES

Estimates of trade in manufactures on a balance of payments basis will be published in the Monthly Review of External Trade Statistics. On present estimates, there was a deficit on trade in manufactures of $£ 1.7$ billion in the latest three months compared with a deficit of $£ 1.1$ billion in the three months ended May. The cumulative deficit for the first 8 months of the year stands at $£ 3.4$ billion.

TABLE 4: TRADE IN MANUFACTURES (SITC 5-8) (Table 16 of Press Notice, quarterly data only)
£ million
Seasonally adjusted
Balance of payments basis

|  | Balance of payments basis |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | Exports | Imports | Balance |
| 1985 | 46590 | 50469 | -3879 |
| 1986 Mar-May | 52280 | 55297 | -3017 |
| June-Aug | 13369 | 14425 | -1056 |
| 1986 June | 13295 | 15030 | -1735 |
| July | 4498 | 4848 | -350 |
| Aug | 4598 | 4894 | -295 |
|  | 4199 | 5288 | -1089 |
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## PUBLICATION

The press notice with the August figures is scheduled for release at 11.30am on Wednesday 24 September 1986. A draft will be circulated tomorrow afternoon.


P J STIBBARD
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## CIRCULATION LIST

```
Copy No 1 Minister for Trade
    2 Prime Minister
    3 Chancellor of the Exchequer
    4 \text { Secretary of State for Trade and Industry}
    5 Sir Robert Armstrong (Cabinet Office)
    6 \text { Sir Brian Hayes (Dept. of Trade and Industry)}
    7 Sir Peter Middleton (HM Treasury)
    8 Governor of the Bank of England
    9 \text { Chairman of the Board of HM Customs and Excise}
    10 Mr J Hibbert (CSO)
    11 Mr Finlinson (HM Customs and Excise)
    12 Mr A Croxford (CSO)
    13 Mr S King (HM Treasury)
    14 Mr Barrell (HM Treasury)
    15 Mr A McIntyre (CSO)
    16 Dr P Rice (Dept. of Energy)
    17 Mr H H Liesner )
    18 Mr P Stibbard )
    19 Mr W E Boyd )
    20 Mr E J Wright ) Dept. of Trade and
    21 Mr A R Hewer ) Industry
    22 Ms F Deuchars )
    23 Miss H Chapman )
```



## 1-19 VICTORIA STREET

 LONDON SW1H NETTelephone (Direct dialling) 01-215) $\quad 4887$ GTN 215)
(Switchboard) 01-215 7877

```
Mr A Allan
Principal Private Secretary Chancellor of the Exchequer H M Treasury
Parliament Street
LONDON
SW 1 3AG
```



I am attaching a copy of the draft Press Notice on the Current Account of the United Kingdom Balance of Payments in August. The draft was agreed earlier today at the usual interdepartmental meeting.

Publication is set for Wednesday 24 September at 11.30 am and I should be grateful if you would arrange for the Notice to be cleared by 12.00 noon Tuesday 23 September and to inform me accordingly.

A copy of this letter and draft Press Notice is being sent to Sir Peter Middleton and Mr King, H M Treasury.

Yours sincerely

WE BOYD

## COVERING SECRET AND PERSONAL

The numbers you ashed for

PHILLIPS AND DREW ECONOMIC BRIEFING - SEPTEMBER
cc Mr Cassell Mrs Lomax Mr Inlet

Mrs Ryding's minute of 18 September asked for the 1979 equivalents of some of the end -1985 figures in Table 2 of the Phillips and Drew Briefing. The 1979 and 1985 figures are set out below (together with the personal sector's equity in life assurance and pension funds, excluded from the Phillips and Drew table).

## Household Wealth

```
End - 1979 £bn
```


## Assets

| Dwellings | 282 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Liquid savings* | 78 |
| Illiquid savings+ | 46 |
| Total | 406 |

Liabilities

| Home loans | 45 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Credit | 10 |
|  | 55 |
| "Wealth" | 351 |

Memorandum Life assurance and pension fund equity 78 End - 1985 £ bn


| Dwellings | 550 | 95 | Home loans | 127 | 182 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Liquid savings* | 182 | 133 | Credit | 26 | 160 |
| Illiquid savings | 108 | 135 | Total | 152 | 176 |
| Total | $\overline{840}$ | 107 | "Wealth" | 688 | 96 |

Memorandum Life assurance and pension fund equity 267

```
* bank and building society deposits and national savings products
+ direct investments in equities, gilts and unit trusts.
```



M M COURTNEY

FROM: S D KING
DATE: 23 SEPTEMBER 1986

1

2. CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

## AUGUST TRADE FIGURES

 cc see attached listThe August trade figures will be released at 11.30 am on Wednesday 24 September. They will show a deficit on the current account of just under £0.9 billion, following a surplus of $£ 12$ million in July. In nominal terms this is the largest monthly deficit ever although, as a percentage of nominal GDP it is smaller than some of the deficits recorded during the mid-l970s. Visible were in deficit by $£ 1.5$ billion (again, only an all time high in nominal terms), partially offset by an estimated surplus of $£ 0.6$ billion on invisibles.

## Main points

2. (i) The visible trade account deteriorated by £0.9 billion in August; combined with an unchanged estimate for invisibles, this gave a current account deficit of £886 million.
(ii) Exports fell back from their very high July level. Non-oil export volume, excluding erratics, fell by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. However in the three months to August they rose by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and 1 per cent on the previous three months and previous year respectively. DTI estimate that the underlying level of non-oil export volumes continues to show signs of having increased in recent months.
(iii) Non-oil import volumes, excluding erratics, rose by over 6 per cent in August compared with July, and by 6 per cent and $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months compared with the previous three months and the previous year respectively. There is no obvious explanation for the sudden increase: it was spread uniformly over most areas (consumer goods, manufactures). However, combined with the sharp rise in exports in July, the August import figures seem to suggest that the trade figures have followed a somewhat erratic path in the last two months.
(iv) The August oil trade surplus, at £2ll million, was a little lower than in July. Both volumes and average values of exports and imports fell, reflecting spot prices in July.
(v) The deficit on trade in manufactures rose from £295 million in July to £l089 million. This was an all time high, both in nominal terms and as a percentage of nominal GDP. In the three months to July export volumes rose by 2 per cent on the previous three months, but by only $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the previous year; import volumes rose by 7 per cent, but fell by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the previous year.
(vi) By geographical area, total exports to the developed countries (in value terms) in the latest three months were virtually unchanged on the previous three months. Exports to the developing countries, however, fell by $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the latest three months, largely due to weak demand in OPEC. Total imports from the developed countries rose by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent over the same period; from the developing countries they were down by $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

## Comparison with Forecast

3. The current account forecast underlying the FSBR for the first three quarters of 1986 was $£ 2.9$ billion. The equivalent figures contained in the internal June forecast was fl. 6 billion. The August figures, however, suggest that the cumulative current account for the first eight months of 1986 has been more or less in balance; it therefore seems that, provisionally, neither forecast will be met (indeed, the August deficit on visible trade is larger than the deficit forecast in June for the entire third quarter). You will remember, however, that you have already indicated publicly that the FSBR forecast may well turn out to have been too optimistic.

## Trade prices

4. The overall terms of trade improved by $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in August. In the latest three months the terms of trade were 2 per cent better than in the previous three months and 4 per cent better than in the same period a year earlier. The non-oil terms of trade improved by a little over 1 per cent on the previous month and, in the latest three months by 1 and 3 per cent on the previous three months and year respectively. Both export and import prices rose in August, though the rise in export prices was a little sharper.

## Percentage Change in Trade Prices

Import Prices
Compared to

Export Prices
Compared to ago
one year
ago

3
2
4

| Manufactures | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Food, drink, <br> tobacco | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Basic materials | -1 | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-10 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Fuel | $-2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 53 | -9 | 59 |

## Market Expectations

5. The market consensus is for a current account deficit of £600 million and a visible trade deficit of $£ 1,200$ million.
At odds with sone of the stuff I're seen

## Press Briefing

6. I should be grateful for clearance of the attached press briefing.


S D KING
EA

The poor trade figures for August are particularly unwelcome at a time when both the domestic financial markets and the exchange rate show signs of fragility. Because of the difficulties that the trade figures could cause we have consulted Sir $T$ Burns on the analysis in this note and the draft press briefing. Our strong preference is to emphasise the considerable 'noise' in recent monthly figures, but, that said, not to try to explain away the poor figures for August. To do so could even increase rather than diminish the misgivings that market operators are likely to have when the figures are released.
$\hat{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{\jmath}$
P N SEDGWICK

## DRAFT BRIEFING FOR MDT

## Positive

1. Non-oil export volumes, excluding erratics, up by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in latest three months on previous three months, and up by 1 per cent on previous year. Underlying trend now resumed upward path after slight fall during 1985.

## Defensive

1. Deficit of $\mathbf{£ 0 . 9} \mathbf{~ b i l l i o n ~ i n ~ A u g u s t ~}$

Noun draw enclusm from sa rn ma nj figmbs. Movements in trade figures have been/ erratic in the last two months and therefore unwise to place great emphasis on August figures. Similar deficit in March was promptly followed by a month of surplus $\leadsto$ Apr.
2. FSBR Current Account Forecast Too Optimistic Given Fall in Oil Price]
[Current account surplus of $£ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ billion forecast for 1986 after £3.6 billion in 1985. Current account more or less in balance in in year to August.]


Quite possibly, as Chancellor has made clear; an updated forecast will be published as usual in the Autumn.
3. On basis of latest figures, seems likely that current account will be in large deficit in 1987. Mull too Son to sa?
cannot judge on basis of one month's figures. Current account will benefit from likely pickup in world trade together with $^{\text {th }}$ recent recovery in oil price.

## 4. Import volume picking up.

Some rise to be expected given pick up in economic growth; indeed, similar experience has been shared by other countries in G5. However, August figures pablo overstate the underlying rise.

## 5. Growth in exports slowing down

July figures unusually high, and consequent fall in August probably represents erratic monthly movement. Underlying trend still remains upward, despite weak demand in LDCs and OPEC.

## 6. Trade in manufactures

[Deficit of $£ 1089$ million in August, giving cumulative deficit for first eight months of year of $£ 3.4$ billion. Compares with deficits for 1984 and 1985 as a whole of $£ 3.9$ and $£ 3.0$ billion respectively.]

Figures have moved erratically in past few months, and therefore not sensible to read too much into one month's figures. In any case, manufacturing as a whole continues to make progress; for example, manufacturing output has grown in every year since 1981, longest period of uninterrupted growth since 1970: manufacturing profitability, at 8 per cent, highest since 1973: manufacturing investment up 2 per cent in 1986 Q2 on $1985 Q 2$.
7. Government welcome lower exchange rate because of deficit
cobschan.
Chancellor has made clear that depreciation is not an easy escape from excessive pay settlements.
SECRET PAND PERSGHAL UNTIL RELEASE OF PRESS MSITICE AT 11 30AM $244^{56}$
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## TABLE 1 : CURRENT ACCOUNT (£billion)

| 1985 | 1986 |  |  |  | 1986 <br> year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar-May | June-Aug | June | July | Aug | to date |
| 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |


| Oil | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-oil | -10.3 | -3.0 | -3.5 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -1.7 | -8.3 |
| Total visible <br> trade | -2.1 | -2.2 | -2.7 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -1.5 | -5.1 |
| Of which: trade in <br> manufactures <br> (BOP basis) | -3.0 | -1.1 | -1.7 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -1.1 | -3.4 |
| $\quad$ Invisibles | 5.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.7 | $0.6 *$ | $0.6 *$ | $5.2^{*}$ |
| $\quad$ Current Account | 3.6 | -0.4 | -0.8 | 0.0 | $0.0 *$ | $-0.9 *$ | $0.0^{*}$ |

* projection


## TABLE 2 : VISIBLE EXPORTS AND IMPORTS - PERCENTAGE CHANGES

| EXPORTS | 1986 Aug <br> on July | 1986 June-Aug <br> on Mar-May | 1986 <br> June-Aug <br> on |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total value |  |  |  |

## IMPORTS

| Total value | +6 | +1 | $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total volume (BOP basis) | +6 | +5 | $+8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total volume excl. oil <br> and erratics (BOP basis) | +6 | +6 | $+6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Manufactures volume (excl. <br> erratics, OTS basis) | $+6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $+7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $-3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Fuels volume | $-13 \frac{1}{2}$ | +14 | +33 |

## TRADE FIGURES FOR JULY 1986

## Advance circulation

Chancellor<br>Chief Secretary<br>Economic Secretary<br>Sir P Middleton<br>Sir G Littler<br>Sir T Burns<br>Mr Cassell<br>Mr H P Evans

Mr C W Kelly<br>Mr Mowl<br>Miss O'Mara<br>Mr Culpin<br>Mr S Robson<br>Mr Barrell<br>Mr Towers<br>Mr Gill - Bank o England<br>Mr Norgrove - No 10<br>Miss Deuchess - DTI

Circulation after 11.30 am on Wednesday 27 August 1986

```
Financial Secretary Mr Hacche
Minister of State
Mr Lankester (Washington)
Mr Matthews
```

Mr Tyrie
Mr Ross Goobey
Miss Roche (No.l0)
Mr Shaw

MONTHLY ECONOMIC BRIEF
Prepared by the CSO on 29 September 1986

Published estimates for the second quarter of 1986 suggest that, after a period of flatness in 1985, GDP continued to rise in 1986 to a level nearly $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the corresponding period a year earlier. The very limited information so far available for the third quarter of 1986 indicates that economic activity is likely to have expanded further, by about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the previous quarter.

The trend in unemployment continues upward, at a rate of 5 to 10 thousand a month although this is slower than earlier in the year. Without the favourable impact of employment measures particularly the growth in the Community Programme, the rate of increase would still be in the range of 10 to 15 thousand a month, as it has been for most of the past three years.

The annual rate of inflation was 2.4 per cent in both July and August and has now been 3 per cent or below for the last five months. This compares with a rate of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent at the beginning of the year and 7 per cent for the middle of 1985. A moderate increase in the rate of inflation is expected for September.

In September, sterling has been depreciating against most European currencies particularly the Deutschmark and to a lesser extent against the dollar. Sterling's ERI started at 71.0 at the beginning of the month and opened at 68.8 today.

In the first five months of $1986 / 7$ the $\operatorname{PSBR}$ stood at $£ 3.8$ billion compared with $£ 4.3$ billion in the corresponding period the previous year. Most of the year's borrowing occurs in the first half of the financial year.

After including the large trade deficit in August, the current account was, on present estimates, in broad balance in the first eight months of 1986. Visible trade is estimated to have been in deficit by $£ 1.5$ billion in August, compared with deficits of a little more than $£ 0.5$ billion in each of the previous three months. Whilst there has been some worsening in the UK's underlying trading position in recent months, the size of the deficit in August overstates this development. The underlying trend of non-oil import volume continues to rise; at the same time the underlying level of non-oil export volume also appears to be still upwards.

In the following notes, the figures for current trend represent our best assessment of the current underlying rate of increase after making allowance for temporary distorting factors such as strikes, unseasonal weather effects, etc. The figures show how the series are moving currently and may be different from the comparisons over the latest published twelve months.

GDP (average measure) in the second quarter of 1986 was nearly $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the same period a year ago or 1 per cent after discounting the effects of the coal strike. This figure does not take account of past experience which shows that revisions to growth rates for the initial published estimates of GDP were on average, upwards, though not uniformly so. The assessment of current underlying trend for the third quarter of 1986 is that the rate of increase lies in the range $1-3$ per cent per annum.

Industrial production in the three months to July was little changed on the same period a year ago, but was $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent lower after allowing for the effects of the coal strike and other disputes, notably those in the motor vehicles industry. On the same basis, respective figures for manufacturing were - $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in both cases. The assessment of underlying trend for industrial production is that the rate of change currently lies in the range -1 to +1 per cent per annum. The trend in manufacturing output is in the range -1 to +1 per cent per annum.

Retail prices rose by just under $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the twelve months to August 1986. It is only possible to provide a useful indicator of trend for about. 70 per cent of the RPI, mainly that covering private sector prices and excluding eg. mortgage interest, rent, rates, products produced by nationalised industries, seasonal food and petrol. The current trend for this series is 4 per cent per annum. In the twelve months to August 1986 this series rose by 4 per cent (not published).

Producer input prices which had declined almost uninterruptedly in seasonally adjusted terms by over 15 per cent since early last year, showed a small rise of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in August and are expected to show a similar small increase in September.

Average earnings (underlying) in the twelve months to July rose by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The current trend is estimated to be $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum.

Unit wage costs in manufacturing in the three months to July rose by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent compared with the same period a year ago. The current underlying trend is estimated to be in the range $6-7$ per cent per annum.

Unemployment (excluding school leavers) in the twelve months to August has been rising on average by 8 thousand per month and by 10 thousand per month in the latest six months. The current trend in the published series seems to be an increase of some 5-10 thousand per month; though discounting the effects of employment measures as far as possible it would be in the region of 10-15 thousand.

Movements over the latest published 12 months include any revisions that may have occurred since last publication (in general any such differences only occur in the GDP series).


Rate of increase in average earnings, unit wage cost in manufacturing PPI input prices and Private sector retail prices

Month to month percentage changes, smoothed and adjusted for distorting factors where appropriate


1. Excludes seasonal food, mortgage interest, rent, rates and water charges, motor vehicle licences, products produced by Nationalised industries and petrol.



## Monetary aggregates



CHART 7
Exports and Imports (excluding oil and erratics ${ }^{1}$ )
Index $1980=100$


1. Ships, North Sea Installations, Aircraft, Precious Stones and Silver
2. Seasonally adjusted data, Balance of Payments basis

[^0]:    RACHEL LOMAX

[^1]:    RACHEL LOMAX

[^2]:    f These are defined as ships, North Sea installations, aircraft, precious stones, and silver.

[^3]:    $K$ See paragraph 3 Notes to Editors.

[^4]:    * Quarterly average

[^5]:    (1) Entries in this table are latest estimates and are not necessarily the same as published figures. (2) Monthly figures are one-third of the quarterly total, except for EC budget refunds allocated to month of receipt. Average amount oustanding in banking months. Annuals data relate to December.
    (4) Movements in exchange rates to 1986 Q1, UK unit wage and salary costs in manufacturing to January 1986 and that of competitors to 1985 Q4 plus extrapolation of recent trends. The estimate for the first quarter of 1986 is
    therefore not firmly based and is only intended to provide a guide to the direction of the latest movements.

[^6]:    K See paragraph 3 Notes to Editors.

[^7]:    M : TIREE.MANPUR
    0:5-9-B6 G:TIREE.DISMANCOM

[^8]:    *Given that the unemployment count date is early in the month, the effect at the end of the previous month is more relevant than the effect at the end of the same month.

